



MACIAS GINI & COMPANY_{LLP}

515 S. Figueroa Street, Ste. 325
Los Angeles, California 90071

213.612.0200 PHONE
213.286.6426 FAX

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT

Board of Supervisors
County of San Diego, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of San Diego, California (County), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, which collectively comprise the County’s basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the County’s management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the financial statements of the First 5 Commission of San Diego (Commission), the discretely presented component unit, and the San Diego County Employees Retirement Association (SDCERA), which represents 63%, 65%, and 6% of the assets, net assets/fund balances and revenues, respectively, of the aggregate remaining fund information as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose reports thereon have been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the Commission and SDCERA, is based on the reports of the other auditors.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The financial statements of SDCERA were not audited in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit and the reports of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County as of June 30, 2005, and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows, where applicable, thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.



As discussed in Note V. of the basic financial statements, effective July 1, 2004, the County adopted the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 40 – *Deposit and Investment Risk Disclosures – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 3.*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 19, 2005 on our consideration of the County’s internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The management’s discussion and analysis, budgetary comparison information, and schedule of funding progress on pages 23 through 39, 112 through 114, and 116, respectively, are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We and the other auditors have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we and the other auditors did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County’s basic financial statements. The introductory section, combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and schedules, and statistical tables are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and schedules have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The introductory section and statistical tables have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied by us and the other auditors in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Mauar, Jini & Company LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Los Angeles, California
December 19, 2005