



COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

Adopted Operational Plan | Fiscal Years 2012-2013 & 2013-2014 ■■■



Walter F. Ekard
Chief Administrative Officer

Donald F. Steuer
Chief Financial Officer

Board of Supervisors

- Greg Cox, District 1
- Dianne Jacob, District 2
- Pam Slater-Price, District 3
- Ron Roberts, District 4
- Bill Horn, District 5





GOVERNMENT FINANCE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION

*Distinguished
Budget Presentation
Award*

PRESENTED TO

**San Diego County
California**

For the Fiscal Year Beginning

July 1, 2011

Linda C. Danson Jeffrey R. Egan

President

Executive Director

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) presented a Distinguished Budget Presentation Award to **San Diego County, California** for its annual budget for the fiscal year beginning **July 1, 2011**. In order to receive this award, a governmental unit must publish a budget document that meets program criteria as a policy document, as an operations guide, as a financial plan and as a communications device.

This award is valid for a period of one year only. We believe our current budget continues to conform to program requirements, and we are submitting it to GFOA to determine its eligibility for another award.

Published October 2012

Office of Financial Planning

Ebony Shelton, Director



Table of Contents

County of San Diego	Board of Supervisors	3
	Organizational Chart	4
	Message from the Chief Administrative Officer	5
	2012-13 Adopted Budget at a Glance	8
	Mission and Vision	12
	San Diego County Facts and Figures	13
	San Diego County Profile and Economic Indicators	17
	Governmental Structure and Budget Documents	29
	Governmental Structure	29
	The General Management System	29
	Operational Plan	32
	Financial Planning Calendar - 2012-13 Target Dates	35
	Operational Plan Format	36
	All Funds: Total Appropriations	41
	All Funds: Total Staffing	54
	All Funds: Total Funding Sources	60
	Summary of General Fund Financing Sources	66
	General Purpose Revenue	77
	Summary of Financial Policies	88
	Capital Projects	94
	Reserves and Resources	95
	Debt Management Policies and Obligations	98
	Debt Management	98
	Long-Term Obligation Policy	98
	Credit Ratings	99
	Long-Term Obligations	102
	Short-Term Obligations	105
	Excellence in Governing	107
Public Safety Group	Public Safety Group Summary & Executive Office	115
	District Attorney	121
	Sheriff	127
	Child Support Services	135
	Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board	141
	Emergency Services	145
	Medical Examiner	151
	Probation	157
	Public Defender	165
	San Diego County Fire Authority	171



Health and Human Services Agency	
Health and Human Services Agency Summary	179
Regional Operations	185
Strategic Planning and Operational Support	195
Aging & Independence Services	201
Behavioral Health Services	207
Child Welfare Services	215
Public Health Services	221
Public Administrator / Public Guardian	227
Administrative Support	231
Land Use and Environment Group	
Land Use and Environment Group & Executive Office	239
Agriculture, Weights & Measures	245
Air Pollution Control District	253
Environmental Health	259
Farm and Home Advisor	267
Parks and Recreation	273
Planning and Land Use	281
Public Works	289
Community Services Group	
Community Services Group Summary & Executive Office	301
Animal Services	305
County Library	311
General Services	317
Housing and Community Development	325
Purchasing and Contracting	333
County of San Diego Redevelopment Agency and Successor Agency	339
Registrar of Voters	345
Finance and General Government Group	
Finance and General Government Group & Executive Office ..	353
Board of Supervisors	359
Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk	365
Treasurer-Tax Collector	371
Chief Administrative Office	377
Auditor and Controller	389
County Technology Office	397
Civil Service Commission	403
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	407
County Counsel	411
San Diego County Grand Jury	417
Human Resources	419
County Communications Office	425

Capital Program	Capital Program	433
	Capital Appropriations	436
	Operating Impact of Capital Program	439
	Capital Program Summary	444
	Capital Outlay Fund	447
	County Health Complex Fund	464
	Justice Facility Construction Fund	465
	Library Projects Fund	467
	Multiple Species Conservation Program Fund	470
	Edgemoor Development Fund	472
	Lease Payments	474
	Outstanding Capital Projects by Group/Agency	478
Finance Other	Finance Other	485
Appendices	Appendix A: All Funds - Budget Summary	493
	Appendix B: Budget Summary and Changes in Fund Balance	506
	Appendix C: General Fund Budget Summary	519
	Appendix D: Health & Human Services - Regional Operations	523
	Appendix E: Operational Plan Acronyms and Abbreviations	525
	Appendix F: Glossary of Operational Plan Terms	527
	Index	535



County of San Diego

Board of Supervisors	3
Organizational Chart	4
Message from the Chief Administrative Officer	5
2012-13 Adopted Budget at a Glance	8
Mission and Vision	12
San Diego County Facts and Figures	13
San Diego County Profile and Economic Indicators	17
Governmental Structure and Budget Documents	29
Financial Planning Calendar - 2012-13 Target Dates	35
Operational Plan Format	36
All Funds: Total Appropriations	41
All Funds: Total Staffing	54
All Funds: Total Funding Sources	60
Summary of General Fund Financing Sources	66
General Purpose Revenue	77
Summary of Financial Policies	88
Capital Projects	94
Reserves and Resources	95
Debt Management Policies and Obligations	98
Excellence in Governing	107



Board of Supervisors



Greg Cox
Supervisor
District One



Dianne Jacob
Supervisor
District Two




Pam Slater-Price
Supervisor
District Three



Ron Roberts
Supervisor
District Four




Bill Horn
Supervisor
District Five



Note: This map reflects the Supervisorial District boundaries as adopted by the Board of Supervisors on September 27, 2011.

Organizational Chart



*Elected Official

Rev. 3/2012

Message from the Chief Administrative Officer

Embracing Change



Several years ago, two things happened that touched all our lives. The first event was, of course, the recession that began in 2008. Much has already been said about this topic and fortunately, we are starting to see signs of recovery - albeit slow and at times uneven - as we begin to put this event behind us. The second thing that occurred, however, has not been as widely discussed and that is that the pace of change in our world began accelerating exponentially. This dynamic - while not receiving as much attention as the recession - has been every bit as challenging and shows no signs of abating. In fact, the pace of change underway now in our world continues to accelerate. Fueled by technology advances, we are quickly changing and reshaping all aspects of our lives - from how we live and work to how we share information and communicate - in many ways fundamentally changing the public's expectations of and relationship to their government.

Therefore, if I had to sum up this year's Adopted Operational Plan for Fiscal Years 2012-14 in only two words, those words would be "Embracing Change." The County of San Diego will spend the next two years rising to the challenges that change presents, embracing the opportunities it offers and continuously examining how we can change as an organization to better provide the services the public needs and expects. Within this document, which guides how public funds will be spent over the next two years, you will see many references to changes in the way we do business.

A number of important programmatic and spending changes are the result of decisions made at the State level, with legislation enacted in Sacramento requiring us to significantly change how we provide many public safety and health programs. Implementation of Assembly Bill (AB) 118, *Local Revenue Fund 2011* shifted the State's financial responsibility for various services to Counties, with funding coming from a dedicated portion of sales tax, and the implementation of AB 109, *Public Safety Realignment (2011)*, expanded County responsibility for the management of criminal offenders. These bills - along with the State's decision to eliminate redevelopment - are requiring Counties to profoundly change not just how we provide services but what services we can or, in some cases, must provide.

In addition to adjusting to new roles and responsibilities in the areas of health, public safety and redevelopment, we're also taking advantage of opportunities to improve how and where we serve the public. Departments throughout the organization are using our Government Without Walls (GWOW) initiative, deploying new technology tools to expand employees' mobility, allowing them to spend more productive time in the community and with customers.

We're changing the way we design our services, too, by continually looking for more opportunities to streamline operations - such as the Red Tape Reduction Task Force - and by addressing public needs in innovative, comprehensive ways, as illustrated by our unique "*Live Well, San Diego!*" health and wellness initiative.

We're changing the facilities we use to serve the public -- continuing progress on the new, space- and energy-efficient County Operations Center in Kearny Mesa, and moving forward to turn underutilized parking lots at the historic, waterfront County Administration Center into a multi-purpose park that will serve current and future generations of San Diegans and welcome visitors to our region. We're moving forward to provide much-needed public safety facilities in the region including the replacement of the Las Colinas Women's Detention Facility, the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility, a new Sheriff station in Rancho San Diego and upgraded facilities for the Sheriff station in Pine Valley and for the Boulevard Fire Station.

And last but not least, we're changing how we communicate with the public we serve, by creating the County News Center to expand the services and information the public can find online and through social media.

Indeed, change is a constant that's threaded throughout every County department in this Operational Plan.


One thing, though, that will not change is our commitment to fiscal discipline. We continue to live within our means and to strive to maintain the County's strong credit ratings. The Fiscal Years 2012-14 Adopted Operational Plan continues our commitment to structural balance and prudent reserves with a total of \$4.85 billion budgeted for Fiscal Year 2012-13. This budget contains a total of 16,011 staff years - 324 more than were budgeted in Fiscal Year 2011-12. These positions are being added to provide additional staff to support the County's family resource centers, to address the County's public safety realignment responsibilities, staffing for the new women's detention facility, sworn supervisory staff for the Sheriff's patrol stations, and additional staff to assist with the timely resolution of residential and commercial assessment appeals.

In the years ahead, we will preserve essential public safety services, continue the multi-year investment in the County's capital infrastructure to provide needed facilities for the region and expand our investment in health and wellness programs by leveraging new resources to improve the Community Nutrition Education Program, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, and the Low Income Health Program. As we have done in past years, we'll focus on emergency preparedness and fire protection; protect public health; promote healthier communities and living habits; conduct the November Presidential election; maintain a strong, vibrant public library system; expand and protect park and open space resources and continue to promote sustainability and conservation.

We will do this by working with the Board of Supervisors to ensure that County government in San Diego remains fiscally sound, operationally strong and able to meet the core needs of County residents within available revenues. We will continue to closely monitor changing economic conditions and the uncertainties surrounding key State revenue sources, working with other California counties to protect local revenues and interests as the State works to redefine State/County roles, responsibilities and revenues. And we will continue to use the County's General Management System (GMS) to carry out the Board's priorities, using our GMS management disciplines and commitment to innovation, continuous improvement, excellence and customer service to respond as efficiently as possible to increasing case-loads and other demands for service.

For the County of San Diego, our greatest asset is our ability to combine the expertise and dedication of our employees, the pragmatic, seasoned leadership of the Board of Supervisors and our commitment to a culture that embraces change. With these organizational strengths, I am confident that we will meet the challenges of the future.

I invite you to read more about the County's accomplishments, objectives and programmatic changes in the individual group and department sections that begin on page 115.




Walter F. Ekard, Chief Administrative Officer



2012-13 Adopted Budget at a Glance

Adopted Budget by Functional Area — All Funds


Total Budget: \$4,845,238,142



Budget by Functional Area - All Funds		
	Budget in Millions	Percentage of Total Budget
Public Safety	\$ 1,469.4	30.3%
Health & Human Services	1,928.9	39.8%
Land Use & Environment	392.9	8.1%
Community Services	305.5	6.3%
Finance & General Government	340.8	7.0%
Capital Program	94.2	1.9%
Finance Other	313.5	6.5%
Total	\$ 4,845.2	100.0%

Note: In the chart and table, the sum of individual percentages may not total 100.0% due to rounding.


Adopted Budget by Category of Expenditure — All Funds



Budget by Category of Expenditure - All Funds		
	Budget in Millions	Percentage of Total Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 1,697.3	35.0%
Services & Supplies	1,881.0	38.8%
Other Charges	736.4	15.2%
Operating Transfers Out	376.4	7.8%
Capital Assets / Land Acquisition	93.0	1.9%
Capital Assets Equipment	24.8	0.5%
Remaining Categories:		
<i>Fund Balance Component Increases</i>	13.7	0.3%
<i>Management Reserves</i>	30.3	0.6%
<i>Contingency Reserves</i>	22.1	0.5%
<i>Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements</i>	(29.7)	-0.6%
Total	\$ 4,845.2	100.0%

Note: In the chart and table, the sum of individual percentages may not total 100.0% due to rounding.


Adopted Budget by Category of Revenue — All Funds



Budget by Category of Revenue - All Funds		
	Budget in Millions	Percentage of Total Budget
State Revenue	\$ 1,367.7	28.2%
Federal Revenue	898.1	18.5%
Charges For Current Services	769.6	15.9%
Taxes Current Property	547.6	11.3%
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	390.7	8.1%
Other Financing Sources	386.3	8.0%
Use of Fund Balance	196.1	4.0%
Other Intergovernmental Revenue	84.1	1.7%
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	59.0	1.2%
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	54.0	1.1%
Licenses, Permits & Franchises	49.6	1.0%
Miscellaneous Revenues	41.9	0.9%
Fund Balance Component Decreases	0.5	0.0%
Total	\$ 4,845.2	100.0%

Note: In the chart and table, the sum of individual percentages may not total 100.0% due to rounding.

Adopted Staffing by Group/Agency — All Funds



Staffing by Group/Agency - All Funds		
	Staff Years *	Percentage of Total Staffing
Public Safety	7,120.00	44.5%
Health & Human Services	5,306.25	33.1%
Land Use & Environment	1,451.00	9.1%
Community Services	959.00	6.0%
Finance & General Government	1,174.50	7.3%
Total	16,010.75	100.0%

* A staff year in the Operational Plan context equates to one permanent employee working full-time for one year.

Note: In the chart and table, the sum of individual percentages may not total 100.0% due to rounding.



Mission and Vision

MISSION

*To efficiently provide
public services that build
strong and sustainable
communities*



VISION

*A county that is
safe, healthy and thriving*

STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

Safe Communities

Promote Safe Communities

Sustainable Environments

*Support environments that foster viable, livable
communities while bolstering economic growth*

Healthy Families

*Make it easier for residents to lead healthy lives
while improving opportunities for children and adults*



San Diego County Facts and Figures

FOUNDED:	February 18, 1850
SIZE:	4,261 square miles
COASTLINE:	75 miles
ELEVATION:	Lowest - Sea Level Highest - 6,535 ft Hot Springs Mountain

POPULATION¹:	2010	2011	2012
	3,095,313	3,115,810	3,143,429
¹ Second most populous county in California and fifth most populous in the U.S. <i>Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010; State of California, Department of Finance, May 2012</i>			
INCORPORATED CITIES:	18		

CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE:	2011	2012
	1,583,808	1,593,043
<i>Source: California Employment Development Department, Historical Data for Unemployment Rate and Labor Force, 2011 Annual Average and 2012 January to July average.</i>		
UNEMPLOYMENT RATE:	2011	2012
	10.0%	9.2%
<i>Source: California Employment Development Department, Historical Data for Unemployment Rate and Labor Force, 2011 Annual Average and 2012 January to July average.</i>		

EMPLOYMENT MIX: (Industry)¹	2011 Employees	2012 Employees
Government ²	213,600	218,600
Professional & Business Services	211,400	217,700
Trade, Transportation & Utilities	198,900	202,800
Leisure and Hospitality	165,500	170,000
Educational & Health Services	146,700	151,900
Manufacturing	92,500	91,800
Financial Activities	66,400	69,500
Construction	57,300	58,400
Other Services	46,400	48,400
Information Technology	23,900	23,800
Farming	9,700	9,600
Mining & Logging	400	400
Total	1,232,700	1,262,900
¹ Industry employment is by place of work; excludes self-employed individuals, unpaid family workers, and household domestic workers. ² Excludes the U.S. Department of Defense. <i>Source: California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division (August 2012 Benchmark, Preliminary)</i>		

TEN LARGEST EMPLOYERS:	2010 Employees	2011 Employees
Federal Government ¹	44,000	46,300
State of California	42,300	45,500
University of California, San Diego	26,823	27,393
County of San Diego ²	15,842	15,687
Sharp Healthcare	14,832	14,969
Scripps Health	13,823	13,830
San Diego Unified School District	14,485	13,730
Qualcomm Inc.	11,847	10,509
City of San Diego	10,470	10,211
Kaiser Permanente	7,404	8,200
¹ Excludes the U.S. Department of Defense. ² County of San Diego, Fiscal Year 2011-13 Adopted Operational Plan <i>Source: San Diego Business Journal Book of Lists, 2012</i>		

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME¹:	2009	2010	2011
	\$60,231	\$59,923	\$59,477
¹ Adjusted for inflation. Source: U.S. Census Bureau			

FISCAL YEAR 2012-13 ASSESSED VALUATION:	\$393 billion	2011 CONSUMER PRICE INDEX:	252.91
Source: San Diego County Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk (Gross less regular exemptions)		Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics March 2012	

MEDIAN HOME PRICE ¹:	June 2010	June 2011	June 2012
	\$340,000	\$330,000	\$335,500
¹ Median price of all existing homes sold in June of each year. Source: California Association of Realtors/DataQuick Information System			

Fiscal Year 2012-13 Top Ten Property Taxpayers:	2012-13 Estimated Tax
San Diego Gas & Electric Company	\$88,731,907
Southern California Edison Company	\$32,836,035
Irvine Company	\$16,713,561
Kilroy Realty, LLP	\$14,829,918
Qualcomm Incorporated	\$14,024,801
Host Hotel and Resorts	\$13,929,215
Pacific Bell Telephone Company	\$10,861,566
BSK Del Partners, LLC	\$8,921,787
OC/SD Holdings, LLC	\$6,791,630
Sunstone Park Lessees, LLC	\$6,675,186
Source: County of San Diego, Auditor and Controller, Property Tax Services Division	

LAND USE: (in descending order)	2011 Acres¹
Parkland	1,100,025
Vacant or Undeveloped Land	851,626
Residential	361,059
Public/Government	155,978
Agriculture	118,955
Other Transportation	105,602
Commercial/Industrial	34,095
Total	2,727,340

¹The acres available for land use may vary year to year due to survey updates that include tide level changes.
 Source: San Diego Association of Governments, 2011

AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION:	2011 Value	2011 Acres
Nursery & Flower Crops (e.g., indoor plants, trees & shrubs, bedding plants, cut flowers, etc.)	\$1,092,916,550	12,173
Fruit & Nut Crops (e.g., avocados, citrus, berries, etc.)	\$319,205,955	33,838
Vegetable Crops (e.g., tomatoes, herbs, mushrooms, etc.)	\$177,013,955	6,686
Livestock & Poultry Products (e.g., chicken eggs, milk, etc.)	\$65,550,005	—
Livestock & Poultry (e.g., cattle, calves, chicken, hogs & pigs)	\$20,996,688	—
Field Crops (e.g., pastures, ranges, hay, etc.)	\$5,038,735	248,089
Apiary (e.g., honey, pollination, bees & queen bees, etc.)	\$2,245,470	—
Timber Products (e.g., firewood and timber)	\$777,714	—
Totals	\$1,683,745,072	300,786

Source: County of San Diego, Department of Agriculture, Weights & Measures - 2011- San Diego County Crop Statistics & Annual Report

MAJOR MILITARY BASES AND INSTALLATIONS:	CITY
United States Coast Guard Sector San Diego	San Diego
Marine Corps Air Station Miramar (3rd Marine Aircraft Wing)	San Diego
Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton (largest West Coast expeditionary training facility)	North County
Marine Corps Recruit Depot San Diego	San Diego
Naval Base Coronado (includes Naval Air Station North Island and Naval Amphibious Base)	Coronado
Naval Base Point Loma (includes Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command - SPAWAR)	San Diego
Naval Medical Center San Diego	San Diego
Naval Base San Diego (principal home port of the Pacific Fleet)	San Diego

Source: U.S. Department of Defense Base Structure Report 2012

TOURIST ATTRACTIONS:
Anza-Borrego Desert State Park ¹ , Borrego Springs
Balboa Park and Museums, San Diego
Birch Aquarium at Scripps, La Jolla
Del Mar Racetrack, Del Mar
Gaslamp Quarter National Historic District, San Diego
Hotel Del Coronado, Coronado
Legoland California, Carlsbad
Maritime Museum, San Diego
Old Town State Historic Park, San Diego
Palomar Observatory, Palomar Mountain
Petco Park, San Diego
Point Loma and Cabrillo National Monument, San Diego
Qualcomm Stadium, San Diego
San Diego Zoo Safari Park, Escondido
San Diego Zoo, San Diego
Sea World San Diego, San Diego

Torrey Pines Golf Course, La Jolla
Torrey Pines State Beach and Reserve, San Diego
U.S. Olympic Training Center, Chula Vista
USS Midway Museum, San Diego

¹ Anza-Borrego Desert State Park is primarily in San Diego County but also in Imperial and Riverside Counties.
Source: California Division of Tourism

TOTAL VISITORS 2011:	31,146,000
-----------------------------	-------------------

Source: San Diego Convention and Visitors Bureau Quarterly Travel Forecast, June 2012



San Diego County Profile and Economic Indicators

History & Geography

San Diego County became one of California's original 27 counties on February 18, 1850, shortly after California became the 31st State in the Union. The County functions under a Charter adopted in 1933, including subsequent amendments. At the time of its creation, San Diego County comprised much of the southern section of California. The original boundaries included San Diego, along with portions of what are now Imperial, Riverside, San Bernardino and Inyo counties.

The original territory of nearly 40,000 square miles was gradually reduced until 1907, when the present boundaries were established. Today, San Diego County covers 4,261 square miles, approximately the size of the state of Connecticut, extending 75 miles along the Pacific Coast from Mexico to Orange County and inland 75 miles to Imperial County along the international border shared with Mexico. Riverside and Orange counties form the northern border. It is the southwesternmost county in the contiguous 48 states.

For thousands of years, American Indians have lived in this area. The four tribal groupings that make up the indigenous Indians of San Diego County are the Kumeyaay (also referred to as Diegueño or Mission Indians), the Luiseño, the Cupeño and the Cahuilla. San Diego County has the largest number of Indian reservations (19) of any county in the United States. However, the reservations are very small, with total land holdings of an estimated 193 square miles.

The Spanish explorer Juan Rodriguez Cabrillo arrived by sea in the region on September 28, 1542. Although he named the area San Miguel, it was renamed 60 years later by Spaniard Sebastian Vizcaino. He chose the name San Diego in honor of his flagship and, it is said, his favorite saint, San Diego de Alcala.

San Diego County enjoys a wide variety of climate and terrain, from coastal plains and fertile inland valleys to mountain ranges and the Anza-Borrego Desert in the east. The Cleveland National Forest occupies much of the interior portion of the county. The climate is equable in the coastal and valley regions where most resources and population are located. The average annual rainfall is only 10 inches for the coastal regions, so the county is highly reliant on imported water.


County Population

San Diego County is the southernmost major metropolitan area in the State. In March 2011, the U.S. Census Bureau estimated the County's population for 2010 to be 3,095,313, an increase of 10.0% from the 2000 figure of 2,813,833. The State of California Department of Finance released population data incorporating the 2010 Census counts as the benchmark, and the County's revised population estimate for January 1, 2011 was 3,115,810 and the County's population estimate for January 1, 2012 was 3,143,429. San Diego County is the second largest county by population in California and the fifth largest county by population in the country, as measured by the U.S. Census Bureau.

San Diego County Population				
	2000	2011	2012	Year Incorporated
Carlsbad	78,247	106,403	107,674	1952
Chula Vista	173,556	245,987	249,382	1911
Coronado	24,100	23,329	23,187	1890
Del Mar	4,389	4,182	4,194	1959
El Cajon	94,869	99,981	100,562	1912
Encinitas	58,014	59,827	60,346	1986
Escondido	133,559	144,998	146,064	1888
Imperial Beach	26,992	26,437	26,609	1956
La Mesa	54,749	57,969	58,296	1912
Lemon Grove	24,918	25,445	25,603	1977
National City	54,260	58,688	58,967	1887
Oceanside	161,029	167,943	169,319	1888
Poway	48,044	48,088	48,382	1980
San Diego	1,223,400	1,309,784	1,321,315	1850
San Marcos	54,977	84,586	85,569	1963
Santee	52,975	54,102	54,643	1980
Solana Beach	12,979	12,928	13,000	1986
Vista	89,857	94,269	95,036	1963
Unincorporated	442,919	490,864	495,281	
Total	2,813,833	3,115,810	3,143,429	


Source: U.S. Census -2000 data; California Department of Finance updated 2011 and estimated 2012 information.

San Diego County Population Distribution by Race, Ethnicity and Age 2010 Total Population: 3,095,313



Source: 2010 U.S. Census

San Diego County Distribution by Race/Ethnicity 2000, 2010, and 2030 Projection Percentage of Total Population




Note: Percentages represent the share of each group compared to the total population.

Source: U.S. Census - 2000 and 2010 Population

San Diego Association of Governments 2050 Regional Growth Forecast (Feb 2010) - 2030 Projection

The first chart on the previous page shows for 2010 the most recent age, race/ethnicity composition for the regional population. On the second chart, data for 2030 forecasts that the San Diego regional population will be approximately 3.9 million according to the San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) based on the 2050 Regional Growth Forecast final series as of October 2011, a 38% increase from calendar year 2000. San Diego County's racial and ethnic composition is as diverse as its geography. SANDAG projects that in 2030, San Diego's population breakdown will be: 41.9% White; 37.0% Hispanic; 11.4% Asian and Pacific Islander; 5.4% African American; and 4.3% all other groups. A significant growth in the Hispanic population is seen in this projection. The chart below indicates the regional population trends are also anticipated to show changes to the population in several age segments with individuals under 15 years of age declining gradually and those individuals 65 and older estimated to increase approximately 131% in 2030 from 2000.


San Diego County - Population Distribution by Age 2000 , 2010, and 2030 Projection



Source: SANDAG Data Warehouse (2010); SANDAG 2050 Regional Growth Forecast - 2030 Data, October, 2011.

Annual population growth has averaged approximately 0.9% over the past 11 years as presented on the chart on the following page. Natural increase is the primary source of population growth. Another contributor to the change in population is net migration (both foreign and domestic) which has varied dramatically in the past 11 years.

San Diego County Population Change: 2000 Through 2011



Note: Natural Increase consists of Births minus Deaths. Net Migration is a measure of people moving into and away from San Diego County, both foreign and domestic. San Diego County Population Change data is on a fiscal year basis beginning July 1st. Source: California Department of Finance.

Economic Indicators

U.S. Economy


The U.S. economy suffered through a very deep recession beginning in December 2007 and ending in June 2009 according to the National Bureau of Economic Research, approximately 18 months from when it began, making it the longest recession since 1929. The recovery, which began just over three years ago, remains lackluster according to Mark Zandi, "The Recession's Lingering Grip" 11 September, 2012 (Source: Moody's Analytics, <http://www.economy.com>). He added that "It's not that the economy has gone nowhere since the recession; significant progress has been made...But despite the gains, growth has been tepid and sporadic. Businesses are reluctant to hire and invest, haunted by memories of the recession and worried about the European debt crisis and Washington's fiscal challenges."

On July 27, 2012, the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) released revised data for 2009 through 2011 that indicated that the recession had been slightly less deep and the early part of the subsequent recovery had been a bit more gradual than previously thought. In 2011, the revised real gross domestic product (GDP) increased by 1.8% compared to

the revised 2.4% increase in 2010. (See the chart on the following page for a historical comparison.) According to the second estimate released by the BEA, the U.S. economy increased at an annual rate of 1.7% in the second quarter of 2012. In the first quarter, real GDP increased by 2.0%. The increase in real GDP in the second quarter primarily reflected positive contributions from personal consumption expenditures (PCE), exports, nonresidential fixed investment, and residential fixed investment that were partly offset by negative contributions from private inventory investment and from state and local government spending. Imports, which are a subtraction in the calculation of GDP, increased. The near-term projection for real GDP growth was revised down somewhat according to the July 31 and August 1, 2012 minutes of the Federal Open Market Committee.

Further, according to the minutes of the Federal Open Market Committee meeting on July 31 and August 1, 2012, economic activity increased at a slower pace in the second quarter than earlier in the year and labor market conditions have improved little in recent months. In the second quarter, consumer price inflation was markedly lower than in the first quarter, mostly reflecting declines in consumer energy prices, while measures of longer-run inflation expectations

U.S. Gross Domestic Product Annual Percent Change 2004 Through 2012



Notes: Gross Domestic Product (GDP) percent change measured by calendar year, based on chained 2005 dollars. The annual GDP percent change is projected for calendar year 2012.

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce "revised data" - July 27, 2012; UCLA Anderson Forecast - June 2012

remain stable. Private nonfarm employment expanded in June at about the same modest pace as in the second quarter as a whole, and government employment decreased slightly. The unemployment rate improved compared to 2011; however, long-duration unemployment has stayed elevated.

Conditions in the housing market generally improved further in recent months, but activity remained at a low level against the backdrop of the large inventory of foreclosed and distressed properties and tight underwriting standards for mortgage loans. Manufacturing production decelerated significantly in the second quarter following a large gain in the first quarter. The production of motor vehicles and parts increased considerably last quarter, but factory output outside of the motor vehicle sector was essentially flat. Households' real disposable income rose at a faster pace than consumer spending in both the first and second quarters, boosted in part in recent months by lower energy prices. Real business expenditures on equipment and software rose in the second quarter at a faster pace than in the first quarter. However, new orders for nondefense capital goods excluding aircraft decreased and the backlog of unfilled orders decelerated sharply.

Some significant risks facing the U.S. economy in 2012 include continued weakness in the housing market, continued uncertainty among households and businesses about the economic outlook, slower global economic growth, concerns about the fiscal and banking situation in the euro area, and the overall outlook for global economic growth (Source: Minutes from the Federal Open Market Committee meeting on July 31 and August 1, 2012). Households continue to face significant challenges including limited growth in disposable income, stubbornly high unemployment levels, tight credit markets, and burdensome, although declining, mortgage debt. (Source: State of California Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) 2012-13 Budget: Economic and Revenue Update February 27, 2012).

California Economy

California's economy continues to recover from the impact of the worst recession since the Great Depression. In 2011, California's labor market registered gains, albeit at an uneven pace during the course of the year. Further, California is on track for a second year of net job gains. In a year-over-year comparison (July 2011 to July 2012), nonfarm payroll employment in California increased by 365,100 jobs


(up 2.6 %). The State unemployment rate fell modestly from 12.4% in 2010 to 11.7% in 2011. The July 2012 unemployment rate was 10.9% which was equal to the seven month average for 2012. Across the major industries of the State, 2011 brought some much needed relief after years of job losses. Most, but not all, industries in the State registered gains, with the largest percentage increases coming in Information, Education, and Administrative Services. Further, most of the major industries saw job gains continue into 2012.

Aerospace and Technology has continued to add jobs in 2012. International trade continues to play an important role in the State's economy, and California ranks as the second largest exporting state in the country. California's largest exports come from aerospace, pharmaceuticals, other information technology sectors, and agriculture. Imports outweigh exports by a 2-to-1 margin. The tourism and hospitality industry in the State has improved over the past two years. Occupancy rates through the first five months of 2012 rose by roughly 3% in the major markets of the State. (Source: Los Angeles County Economic Development Corporation - The Kyser Center for Economic Research: 2012-2013 Mid-Year Economic Forecast and Industry Outlook, July 2012).

Following a decline of 5.4% in 2009, real personal income grew by 2.5% in 2010 and 3.5% in 2011. Taxable sales declined 14.4% in 2009 and grew by 3.0% in 2010 and are estimated to grow by 6.5% in 2011 (final taxable sales figures will not be available until early 2013). Consumer spending continues to be impacted by this economic volatility. (See chart below.) California's economy continues to recover from the steep recession. Nonfarm employment grew by 0.9% in 2011 and is projected to grow by 1.6% in 2012 and 1.8% in 2013. In 2012, real personal income is expected to grow by 1.5% and taxable sales are predicted to increase by 4.3%. More improvement is expected in 2013.

For 2012 and 2013, even with the headwinds of a global economic slowdown, California's economy should move forward this year and next. While the labor market is still years away from normal, progress will be made over the next two years with gains in private sector jobs, notably in technology-related industries, professional and business services, and information, with some welcome gains in construction. (Source: Los Angeles County Economic Development Corporation - The Kyser Center for Economic Research: 2012-2013 Mid-Year Economic Forecast and Industry Outlook, July 2012).

California Annual Taxable Sales Trend
2000 Through 2014



Note: Taxable sales are stated in calendar year 2005 dollars.
Source: UCLA Anderson Forecast, June 2012

San Diego Economy


San Diego's recent relative economic stability has been based on its increasing diversification of economic activity. The region is a thriving hub for the biotech and telecommunications industries. San Diego is also an important manufacturing center and a popular travel destination. Since the end of the Cold War, the military's presence has diminished but remains an important driver of the region's economy.

San Diego certainly shared the pain of the recession along with the rest of Southern California. The outlook for San Diego County for the second half of 2012 is for continued though moderate expansion (Source: Los Angeles County Economic Development Corporation - The Kyser Center for Economic Research: 2012-2013 Mid-Year Economic Forecast and Industry Outlook, July 2012). Unemployment in the region in 2011 averaged 10.0%, and was lower than the

2011 median of 10.4% for the 10 largest counties in the State. In 2012, the average unemployment rate for the first seven months was 9.2%. San Diego's employment continues to compare favorably to other Southern California counties, with only Orange County experiencing lower unemployment.

Another indicator of economic health is county taxable sales. Taxable sales started to decline overall in the county in 2007 and that trend continued in 2008 and 2009. In 2010, taxable sales showed moderate growth. While the annual figures on taxable sales for 2011 are not yet available from the California State Board of Equalization, sales tax revenues in 2011 have shown continued improvement. One category that has contributed to the higher growth rates in 2011 has been increased fuel costs. Growth in taxable sales is expected to continue in 2012 and 2013.

**San Diego County Taxable Sales by Category
2005 Through 2010¹**



Source: State Board of Equalization


¹Beginning in 2009, the State Board of Equalization began summarizing taxable sales using the North American Industry Classification System codes. As a result of the coding change, industry-level data for 2009 are not comparable to that of prior years.

*Due to coding changes as described above, this category no longer exists for calendar year 2009; taxable sales in the Business and Personal Services have been absorbed and redistributed to the remaining categories.

In reference to the previous table, the Categories of Taxable Sales include:

- General Retail — apparel stores, general merchandise, home furnishings and appliances, and other retail.
- Automotive Related — automotive stores and service stations.
- Food Related — food stores and eating and drinking establishments.
- Building Materials — hardware stores.
- Business and Personal Services — for example: beauty salons and hotels.
- All Other Outlets — Use Tax Collections. The State's *use tax* applies to the use, storage or other consumption of merchandise.

Unemployment Rate Comparison by Select California Regions July 2011 and July 2012



Source: California Employment Development Department

Inflation as measured by the Bureau of Labor Statistics consumer price index for all urban consumers (CPI-U) showed the cost of living increased 3.0% in 2011 compared to 1.3% in 2010 and virtually zero -0.02% in 2009. The increase was led by energy prices rising 16.7% including gas prices jumping 23.7%. Moreover, transportation accounts for San Diego's second-largest category of consumer expenditures (Source: National University System Institute for Policy Research Economic Ledger - March 2012).

More current indicators show that the economy continued to gradually improve during 2012. Stock prices, building permits, help wanted advertising, consumer confidence,

and the national economy as measured by the Conference Board Index of Leading Economic Indicators were positive in July 2012. Consumer confidence was up for the eighth straight month (University of San Diego Burnham-Moores Center). Nonfarm wage and salary employment increased by 35,100 in July 2012 compared to July 2011.

The region's health care industry continues to expand and add jobs. San Diego attracts a significant number of retirees, a demographic that requires a larger share of health care services. Moreover, several of the region's major health care providers have expansion plans underway or have future projects: Sharp Healthcare, Scripps Health and Kaiser Permanente. The manufacturing sector continues to

struggle. However, the San Diego region has the benefit of several innovative clusters including communications, bio-fuels, genomics, energy storage, cyber-security and clean-tech. While smaller than it once was, San Diego's agricultural industry is still significant. It ranks as the 17th largest agricultural economy among counties in the United States. (Source: Los Angeles County Economic Development Corporation - The Kyser Center for Economic Research: 2012-13 Economic Forecast and Industry Outlook, February 2012 and the 2012-2013 Mid-Year Economic Forecast and Industry Outlook, July 2012).

In 2012-13, the federal government and Pentagon initiatives to cut back on defense spending will have an impact on San Diego's economy. Offsetting the cutback is the fact that the region is home to significant military commands and training centers. Additionally, the Department of Defense is shifting its focus to the Pacific and deploying more forces in San Diego.


While demand for cruises to Mexico has declined and cruise lines have been shrinking their presence in San

Diego, positive news is seen in a plan to expand the San Diego Convention Center. If the expansion goes forward, the \$520 million project would begin in 2013 and be complete in 2016.

Residential real estate is improving but will not see a significant turnaround this year. The median price for a single-family home in San Diego has been rising on a month to month basis, and the year over year declines are slowing. Nonresidential real estate is on the mend, but remains a long way from being fully recovered. Still, steady job growth is raising demand for office and other types of commercial real estate.

Approximately one-half of San Diego County's population is part of the civilian labor force (1,615,100 in July 2012). The region is also home to one of the largest military complexes in the world. San Diego's annual average unemployment rate continues to compare favorably to the State rate, and it is expected to be somewhat higher than the U.S. rate.


Annual Average Unemployment Rate Comparison U.S., California and San Diego County



Notes: Unemployment rates are measured by calendar year. The rates for 2012 represent January through July figures only.
Source: California Employment Development Department; Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor

San Diego's median household income has experienced strong annual growth in recent years, but median household income actually declined in 2009, 2010 and 2011 due to high unemployment and constrained consumer spending.


San Diego County Median Household Income 2002 Through 2011



Note: Median Household Income is measured by calendar year.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau - American Community Survey

An unintended consequence of the housing market's recent turmoil has been the improvement in housing affordability during this period. The California Association of Realtors index for first-time buyers throughout California showed a marginal decrease for San Diego for June 2012 (65%) compared to December 2011 (67%). However, the percentage of households that could afford to buy an entry-level home in San Diego for June 2012 show an overall increase to 65% up from 27% in December 2006. The median home price of existing homes sold continues to fluctuate slightly. During 2009 and 2010, median home sales started to rebound from the low experienced at the end of 2008 and early 2009. Median home prices declined marginally in 2011 but are showing moderate improvement in June 2012 compared to June 2011.

San Diego County Median Price of Existing Homes Sold 2002 Through 2012




Note: Median home price of all existing homes sold in June of each year.
Source: Data Quick Information Systems

Another measure of the downturn in housing is the rate of foreclosures, as well as the companion indices notices of loan default and deeds recorded (changes in ownership). The number of total deeds recorded has fallen significantly since 2003 from 223,087 to a low 119,933 in 2011, reflecting the continued weakness in the housing market overall. Through June 2012, the number of total deeds recorded was 66,983 up 20% from 55,649 in the first half of 2011.

In San Diego County, notices from lenders to property owners that they were in default on their mortgage loans increased markedly from 2003 through 2009, and foreclosures rose dramatically from 2003 through 2008 before

declining 21% in 2009. In 2010, notices of default were down 35.2% and down an additional 11.0% in 2011. In 2010, foreclosures declined 13.0% and down an additional 9.3% in 2011. The percentage of properties with delinquent mortgage loans that went into foreclosure averaged at approximately 11.6% from 2003 through 2005. During the recession, this indicator peaked at 57.5% in 2008 and declined to 55.3% in 2011. Foreclosures compared to total deeds recorded (change in ownership) averaged 0.3% over the three-year period of 2003, 2004 and 2005, then rose significantly beginning in 2006, reaching 16.9% in 2008 declining to 10.2% in 2011.

San Diego County Total Notices of Default and Foreclosures 2003 Through 2011



Notes: A Notice of Default is an official notice of payment delinquency to a borrower with property as security under a mortgage or deed of trust; it prescribes the terms that must be met in order to prevent foreclosure proceedings.

Foreclosures are measured by the number of Trustee's Deeds recorded.

Source: San Diego County Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk

San Diego tourism slowed in 2009, with the number of visitors declining by 4.8% compared to 2008; however, tourism improved gradually in 2010 increasing by 0.9%. In 2011, visitor growth improved by 4.3% totaling more than 31 million visitors. Overall, tourism continues to be a stimulus to the local economy. Total visitor spending was approximately \$7.5 billion in 2011 up from \$7.1 billion in 2010 and up from \$7.0 billion in 2009. As of June 2012, growth in visitation to San Diego is expected to slow to 2.2% but total visitor spending is estimated to grow 5.0%. Visitor expenditure levels, however, are anticipated to remain below 2007 levels.

The state of the economy continues to impact the ability of the County to fund and provide many of the services that county residents have come to expect. The revenue and workload effects along with the strategies being employed by the County to manage the public's resources are described in the pages following that summarize the expenditures, revenues and staffing levels for Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14 and in the individual Group and department presentations that begin on page 115.

Governmental Structure and Budget Documents

Governmental Structure

The County of San Diego is one of 58 counties in the State of California. The basic provisions for the government of the County are contained in the California Constitution and the California Government Code. A county, which is a legal subdivision, is also the largest political division of the State having corporate powers. The California Constitution acknowledges two types of counties - general law counties and charter counties. General law counties adhere to State law as to the number and duties of county elected officials. San Diego County is one of 14 charter counties in California, whereby the county adopts a charter for its own government. A charter, however, does not give county officials any additional authority over local regulations, revenue-raising abilities, budgetary decisions or intergovernmental relations. (Source: California State Association of Counties.)

The Charter of San Diego County provides for:

- The election, compensation, terms, removal and salary of a governing board of five members, elected by district.
- An elected sheriff, an elected district attorney, an elected assessor/recorder/county clerk, an elected treasurer-tax collector, the appointment of other officers, their compensation, terms and removal from office.
- The performance of functions required by statute.
- The powers and duties of governing bodies and all other county officers and the consolidation and segregation of county offices.

The County of San Diego is governed by a five-member Board of Supervisors elected to four-year terms in district, nonpartisan elections. Each board member is limited to no more than two terms and must reside in the district from which he or she is elected. The Board of Supervisors sets priorities for the County and oversees most County departments and programs and approves their budgets. Per California Government Code §23005, the County may exercise its powers only through the Board of Supervisors or through agents and officers acting under authority of the Board or authority conferred by law. The Board of Supervisors appoints the following officers: the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO), the County Counsel, the Probation

Officer and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. All other appointive officers are appointed by the CAO. The CAO assists the Board of Supervisors in coordinating the functions and operations of the County; is responsible for carrying out all of the Board's policy decisions that pertain to the functions assigned to that officer; and supervises the expenditures of all departments.

The State Legislature has granted each county the power necessary to provide for the health and well-being of its residents. There are 18 incorporated cities in the County of San Diego and a vast number of unincorporated communities. The County provides a full range of public services to its residents, including law enforcement, detention and correction, emergency response services, health and sanitation, parks and recreation, libraries and roads. The County also serves as a delivery channel for many State services, such as foster care, public health care and elections. These services are provided by five business Groups (Public Safety, Land Use and Environment, Community Services, Finance and General Government and the Health and Human Services Agency), each headed by a General Manager who reports to the CAO. Within the Groups, there are four departments that are headed by elected officials - the District Attorney and the Sheriff in the Public Safety Group and the Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk and the Treasurer-Tax Collector in the Finance and General Government Group. An organizational chart for the County can be found on page 4.

The General Management System

The County's General Management System (GMS) is the framework that guides the management of County operations and service delivery to residents, businesses and visitors. The GMS identifies how the County sets goals, prioritizes the use of resources, evaluates performance, ensures cooperation and recognizes accomplishments in a structured, coordinated way. By developing and adhering to a written operations manual, the County of San Diego is able to create and maintain an organizational culture that values efficiency, innovation, and fiscal discipline and that provides focused, meaningful public services that improve lives and benefit the community.

At the heart of the GMS are five overlapping components which ensure that the County asks and answers crucial questions:

Strategic Planning asks: *Where do we want to go?* The Strategic Plan looks ahead five years to anticipate significant needs, challenges, risks and opportunities that are likely to develop and sets goals for the future. Long-range strategic planning activities require us to assess where the County is and where it wants to be.

Operational Planning asks: *How do we get there from here?* Operational Planning allocates resources over the next two fiscal years to specific programs and services that support the County's long-term goals as articulated in the Strategic Plan. This includes adoption of an annual budget and approval in principle of a second year spending plan.

Monitoring and Control asks: *How is our performance?* Monitoring and Control shows whether the County is on track to achieve its goals. The County evaluates its progress frequently, including structured monthly, quarterly, and annual reviews so that necessary adjustments may be made without delay.

Functional Threading asks: *Are we working together?* Although the County is divided into groups, departments and divisions for operational purposes, the County has many critical functions and goals that cross these organizational lines. Functional threading ensures that information and resources are coordinated and shared throughout the organization to achieve common goals, solve problems and maximize efficiency.

Motivation, Rewards and Recognition asks: *Are we encouraging excellence?* County employees must embrace the GMS disciplines and understand how this system guides the success of County operations and contributes to their success on the job. To encourage excellence, managers must set clear expectations, provide incentives, evaluate performance, and reward those who meet or exceed goals. And County employees meet the challenge by becoming Knowledge Workers who are comfortable with changing technology and who prepare themselves and their departments for changes expected in the future.

The five GMS components form an annual cycle that is renewed each fiscal year with review of the Strategic Plan and development of a new Operational Plan.



GMS 2.0/2.1

In Fiscal Year 2008-09, the County launched GMS 2.0, an enterprisewide initiative that challenged County employees to identify and prepare for the significant changes taking place in their workplace, community, economy and world using the GMS framework. GMS 2.0 required County managers and employees to identify: how their units were addressing the region's increasing need for resource conservation and sustainability and how staff will manage the exploding amount of information available to them to stay on the cutting edge of their fields. In Fiscal Year 2009-10, GMS 2.1 followed on these principles by challenging the workforce to make better use of technology tools to accomplish its goals and to identify how the County can make its operations and the organization more nimble, mobile and adaptable as circumstances and needs change.

GMS 2.0/2.1 emphasizes that everyone in the County workforce shares responsibility for helping the organization adapt to change and move forward in this fast-paced world. Examples of how County employees are embracing GMS 2.0/2.1 and what they have accomplished are now reflected throughout the enterprise. In keeping with the GMS disciplines and our commitment to continuous improvement, the County will continue to look ahead and aggressively embrace, adapt to and use the increasingly fast pace of change to ensure that County services meet residents' needs and provide the best value to taxpayers.

Context for Strategic and Operational Planning

To be effective, the goals that the County sets and the resources that are allocated are consistent with the purpose of the organization. The context for all strategic and operational planning is provided by the County's Vision and Mission. First and foremost, the Strategic Plan sets the course for accomplishing the County's Vision:

A county that is safe, healthy and thriving

By establishing a clear Vision, the County can articulate the strategies and approaches it will take to carry out its Mission. The Mission reflects the County's commitment to identify, understand and respond to the critical issues that affect county residents as well as to provide services that help make San Diego County an enjoyable area in which to live. The County's Mission:

To efficiently provide public services that build strong and sustainable communities

The County recognizes that "The noblest motive is the public good." As such, there is an ethical obligation and commitment to uphold basic standards as we conduct operations to realize a shared Vision. The County embraces the following values:

- Integrity - We are dedicated to the highest ethical standards.
- Stewardship - We will ensure responsible stewardship of all that is entrusted to us.
- Commitment - We are committed to excellence in all that we do.

Strategic Plan

As noted on the previous page, the GMS outlines how County government will operate to ensure that services are provided in an efficient, effective manner. The first thing the County does to ensure that it operates efficiently and effectively is to develop a long-term (five-year) Strategic Plan that sets forth the County's priorities and what it will

accomplish with its resources. The Strategic Plan articulates the organization's external and internal priorities and the goals it will achieve in that period.

The Strategic Plan is developed by the Chief Administrative Officer and the County Executive Team, based on the policies and priorities set by the Board of Supervisors and an enterprisewide review of the issues, risks and opportunities facing the region and the County organization. In reviewing the Strategic Plan as part of the annual GMS cycle, it was determined that some changes were needed in order to keep up with the changing world we live in and the residents that we serve. These changes were also made to promote increased collaboration between Groups and Departments to better achieve our goals. All County programs support at least one of these three new Strategic Initiatives or the Required Disciplines for Excellence that make achievement of the initiatives possible:

- **Safe Communities** (Promote safe communities),
- **Sustainable Environments** (Support environments that foster viable, livable communities while bolstering economic growth), and
- **Healthy Families** (Make it easier for residents to lead healthy lives while improving opportunities for children and adults).

The Strategic Plan also commits the organization to adhere to eight key internal organizational disciplines that are necessary to maintain a high level of operational excellence and accomplish the Strategic Initiatives. These Required Disciplines for Excellence are:

- **Fiscal Stability** - Maintain fiscal stability to ensure services that customers rely on, in good times and in bad.
- **Customer Satisfaction** - Ensure customers are provided with superior services.
- **Regional Leadership** - As a regional leader, the County forges cooperative partnerships and leverages additional resources for residents.
- **Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce** - Develop a committed, skilled, adaptable and diverse workforce that turns plans and resources into achievement and success.
- **Essential Infrastructure** - Provide the essential infrastructure to ensure superior service delivery to our residents.
- **Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct** - Ensure accountability to ourselves and the public by requiring that County business be conducted as openly as possible, resulting in the efficient and ethical use of public funds.

- **Continuous Improvement and Innovation** - Achieve operational efficiency through continuous efforts to improve and innovate, thereby maximizing value for taxpayers.
- **Information Services** - The County of San Diego will be the center of Information Services innovation for advancing the delivery of County services, anytime and anywhere.

To ensure that the Strategic Plan incorporates a fiscal perspective, the CAO, Chief Financial Officer and General Managers annually assess the long-term fiscal health of the County and review a five-year forecast of revenues and expenditures to which all departments contribute. This process leads to the development of preliminary short and medium-term operational objectives and the resource allocations necessary to achieve them.

Operational Plan

The Operational Plan provides the County's financial plan for the next two fiscal years (e.g., July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2014). However, pursuant to Government Code §29000 et seq., State law allows the Board of Supervisors to formally adopt only the first year of the Operational Plan as the County's budget. The Board approves the second year of the plan in principle for planning purposes. To demonstrate that resources are allocated to support the County's Strategic Plan goals, all program objectives in the Operational Plan and department performance measures are aligned with the Strategic Plan Initiatives and/or the Required Disciplines for Excellence.

The five business Groups and their respective departments develop specific objectives as part of the preparation of the Operational Plan. Objectives are clear discussions of anticipated levels of achievement for the next two years. They communicate the entity's core services and organizational priorities. The objectives include measurable targets for accomplishing specific goals plus a discussion of the resources necessary to meet those goals. The Operational Plan also details each department's major accomplishments during the past fiscal year as related to achievement of the goals laid out in the County's five-year Strategic Plan.

Performance Measurement

Since Fiscal Year 2005-06, the County has undertaken an extensive effort to demonstrate performance to citizens through reporting meaningful and uncomplicated performance measures. The focus was shifted from reporting on

what was happening to the organization, to what is happening in the lives of citizens, customers and stakeholders because of County services. This effort remains a priority and each department is required to measure performance in terms of outcomes - how they affect peoples' lives - not just a count of the activities they perform. The most significant measures are reflected in this document as part of the respective narrative section of each department's budget presentation.

Budget Documents

Several documents are produced to aid in budget development and deliberations:

The **CAO Proposed Operational Plan** is a comprehensive overview of the Chief Administrative Officer's (CAO) proposed plan for the County's operations for the next two fiscal years. It is submitted to the Board of Supervisors in mid-May of each year. It includes:

- Summary tables outlining financing sources and expenditures for all County funds, plus an overview of staffing levels.
- A summary of the County's projected reserves, debt management policies and short-term and long-term financial obligations.
- A detailed section by Group/Agency and Department/Program describing each entity's functions, mission, current fiscal year anticipated accomplishments, operating objectives for the two upcoming fiscal years, and performance measures and staffing by program, expenditures by category, revenue amounts and sources.
- An explanation of the capital program planning process along with a description of the capital projects with new appropriations proposed, the operating impact of the capital projects scheduled for completion during the next two fiscal years, and budget summaries for capital projects by fund.
- Other supporting material including budget summaries, a glossary and an index.

Public Review and Hearings — Prior to adopting a budget, the Board of Supervisors conducts public hearings for 10 calendar days. Pursuant to California Government Code §29081, budget hearings may be continued from day to day until concluded, but not to exceed a total of 14 calendar days. This process commences with presentations by community organizations that have applied for grant funds

available through the Community Enhancement Program. Public hearings on the Operational Plan begin during the first half of June.

All requests for increases to the CAO Proposed Operational Plan, whether they come from Board members, County staff, County advisory boards or members of the public, must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board in writing by the close of public hearings.

Change Letters are proposed changes to the CAO Proposed Operational Plan submitted by the CAO and members of the Board of Supervisors. The CAO Change Letter updates the CAO Proposed Operational Plan with information that becomes available after the latter document is presented to the Board of Supervisors. Such modifications may be due to Board actions that occurred subsequent to the submission of the CAO Proposed Operational Plan or recent changes in State or federal funding. The CAO Change Letter typically contains a schedule of revisions by department along with explanatory text.

Referrals to Budget are items on which the Board of Supervisors has deferred action during the current fiscal year so that they may be considered in the context of the overall budget. Each business Group tracks its referrals to budget. As Budget Deliberations approach, the status of each referral is updated and included in a compilation of all the referrals made throughout the year. This document is submitted to the Board of Supervisors for its review and action during Budget Deliberations.

Citizen Advisory Board Statements are the comments of citizen committees on the CAO Proposed Operational Plan.

Budget Deliberations — After the conclusion of public hearings, the Board of Supervisors discusses the CAO Proposed Operational Plan, requested amendments and public testimony with the CAO and other County officials as necessary. Based on these discussions, the Board gives direction to the CAO regarding the expenditure and revenue levels to be included in the adopted Operational Plan. Board of Supervisors Budget Deliberations are usually completed by the end of June.

Referrals from Budget are requests made by the Board of Supervisors during Budget Deliberations for additional information to assist them in making decisions during the fiscal year. The applicable business Group is responsible for providing requested information to the Board of Supervisors.

The **Adopted Operational Plan** shows the Board of Supervisors' adopted budget for the immediate budget year and the plan approved in principle for the following year. The

Adopted Operational Plan is an update of the CAO Proposed Operational Plan reflecting revisions made by the Board of Supervisors during Budget Deliberations. Unlike the CAO Proposed Operational Plan, which displays the two prior fiscal years' adopted budgets and the proposed amounts for the two upcoming fiscal years, the Adopted Operational Plan provides perspective by displaying actual expenditures and revenue at the Group/Agency and Department level for the two prior fiscal years, as well as the adopted and amended budget for the immediate prior fiscal year. The amended budget for each department is the budget at the end of the fiscal year. It reflects the adopted budget plus any amounts carried forward from the previous year through the encumbrance process and any changes that were authorized during the year. Any budget-to-actual comparisons are best made using the amended budget as a base.

Note on Actual General Purpose Revenue and Use of Fund Balance in departmental tables - Each department's budget table shows the funding sources for its programs for the indicated budget years, including various categories of program revenues, fund balance, fund balance component decreases and General Purpose Revenue Allocation. For any given budget year, the amount of the general purpose revenue allocation is intended to be fixed, meaning that the amount is anticipated to be the same for the adopted budget, the amended budget and the actuals. Exceptions are made due to unique one-time events. In the case of the use of fund balance, the amount in the actual column may be either positive or negative. The sum of the actual fund balance, any fund balance component decreases and the general purpose revenue allocation equals the total amount of nonprogram revenue funding sources used to support the actual expenditures of the department.

Budget Modifications — State Law permits modifications to the adopted budget during the year with approval by the Board of Supervisors or, in certain instances, by the Auditor and Controller. There are two options for requesting a mid-year budget adjustment from the Board of Supervisors:

- **Board of Supervisors Regular Agenda Process** — Budget modifications are generally made due to unforeseen and program-specific changes. In compliance with Government Code §29130, increases in appropriations require a four-fifths vote by the Board of Supervisors after the budget is adopted.

Such changes could include requests for additional appropriations as a result of additional revenues for specific programs or a contract modification. Items placed on the agenda that have a fiscal or budgetary impact are reviewed and approved by the Chief Finan-



■ ■ ■ Governmental Structure and Budget Documents

cial Officer. Contract modifications also require the approval of the Purchasing Agent. County Counsel reviews and approves all Board letters.

- **Quarterly Status Reports** — The CAO provides a quarterly budget status report to the Board of Supervisors that may also recommend appropriation changes

to address unanticipated needs or make technical adjustments to the budget. These reports are placed on the Board of Supervisors regular agenda and are also posted on the Auditor and Controller's website.



Financial Planning Calendar - 2012-13 Target Dates

Jan 23	Narrative instructions for Operational Plan issued by Office of Financial Planning (OFP)
Feb 6	Budget instructions for Operational Plan issued by OFP
Feb 13	Budget database opens for Operational Plan development
Feb 29	Due date for departments to submit draft Anticipated Accomplishments, Objectives and Performance Measures sections of narratives to OFP
Mar 16	Budget database closed to departments to enable business Groups (Groups) to review
Mar 26	Deadline for departments to submit their budget changes from current year adopted budget plus their final Anticipated Accomplishments, Objectives and Performance Measures sections of narratives to their Group Finance Director
Mar 29	Budget database closed to Groups
Apr 4	Deadline for Groups to have all department narratives reviewed and submitted to OFP
Apr 24	Draft copy of balanced CAO Proposed Operational Plan sent to Chief Administrative Officer (CAO), Assistant CAO, Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and General Managers
Apr 30	CAO Proposed Operational Plan docketed and released to the Board of Supervisors and public Change Letter Instructions issued by OFP and budget database opens for modifications
May 8	Board of Supervisors accepts CAO Proposed Operational Plan
May 14	OFP sends request to Groups for Referrals to Budget
May 16	Budget Change Letter database closed to departments to enable final review by Groups
May 18	Department Change Letter narratives due to Groups for review
May 23	Budget Change Letter database closed to Groups
May 25	Deadline for Groups to submit responses to Referrals to Budget to OFP
May 30	Deadline for Groups to have all departments' Change Letter narratives reviewed and submitted to OFP
Jun 11-20	Public Hearings on CAO Proposed Operational Plan (10 calendar days)
Jun 20	Last day for Citizen Advisory Committees to submit statements to the Clerk of the Board The CAO Change Letter to be filed with the Clerk of the Board; all other proposals from Board members or the public to increase the CAO Proposed Operational Plan are due to the Clerk of the Board
Jun 26-27	Board of Supervisors Budget Deliberations and approval of the 2012-14 Operational Plan
Aug 7	Board of Supervisors adopts Fiscal Year 2012-13 Budget





Operational Plan Format


Introduction - County Overview

This Operational Plan provides the financial plan for the County of San Diego for the next two fiscal years - July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2014. The introductory portion of the document highlights the following:

- Board of Supervisors and Organizational Chart
- Message from the Chief Administrative Officer
- Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget at a Glance
- County Profile, County History and Economic Indicators
- Governmental Structure, Budget Documents and Financial Planning Calendar
- Appropriations and Funding Sources for all funds and the General Fund
- Staffing
- Financial Policies
- Financial Obligations and Debt Service
- Recognition of County Performance

Groups and Departments

This section highlights the five business groups and the departments in each group. The following information is presented:



2011-12 Accomplishments

Brief descriptions of the group's/department's accomplishments for Fiscal Year 2011-12. The discussions address the progress made on the 2011-13 Objectives reported in the prior fiscal year and include the final results based on the actual work completed. Accomplishments are categorized by the County's Strategic Initiatives or Required Disciplines for Excellence.

2012-14 Objectives

Group's/department's key goals and priorities for the next two fiscal years and statements on how they will be achieved. Each objective is linked to one of the County's Strategic Initiatives or Required Disciplines for Excellence and focuses on the outcome desired by the work performed.

Related Links

The County's website for the group/department. Some departments list additional websites that may be of interest to the reader.

Performance Measures

Each department's key performance measures are outlined in a table format. The department's progress in achieving its goals and objectives is depicted over time. Data include past performance, current year goals and the actual results, as well as approved targets for the next two fiscal years.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Defined Measure . . .	90% of xxx	92% of xxx	92% of xxx	94% of xxx	94% of xxx

Table Notes

Footnotes to the Performance Measures table which provide additional details to explain or clarify a measure or the measurement data.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Detailed explanations of the budget changes in staffing, expenditures and revenues from the prior year's adopted budget to the newly adopted budget. Dollar changes are rounded. Therefore, the sum of the individual expenditure and revenue categories may not equal the total change for the overall expenditures and revenues.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

A brief narrative description of significant changes in staffing, expenditures and revenues from the first year of the Adopted Operational Plan to the second year of the two-year plan.

Budget Tables

Tables of comparative data on staffing, expenditures and revenues are presented for each group and department. The following page provides an example of the table format which includes Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals; the Adopted Budget, Amended Budget and Actuals for Fiscal Year 2011-12; the Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget; and the Approved Budget for Fiscal Year 2013-14.

Sample Budget Tables

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Name of Program						
Name of Program						
Total						

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Name of Program						
Name of Program						
Total						

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits						
Services & Supplies						
Other Charges						
Capital Asset Equipment						
Total						

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Current Property						
Licenses, Permits & Franchises						
Charges for Current Services						
Miscellaneous Revenues						
Other Financing Sources						
Use of Fund Balance						
General Purpose Revenue Allocation						
Total						

Capital Program

This section of the Operational Plan discusses the County's Capital Program - its structure, funds, policies and procedures. Details are provided for the following:

- Capital Appropriations — Discusses new appropriations to the capital budget for Fiscal Years 2012-14, including the amount and purpose of each capital item.
- Operating Impact of Capital Program — A summary of the potential impact on the operating budget is presented for a handful of capital projects that are scheduled for completion during Fiscal Years 2012-14.
- Tables summarizing the Capital Program budget, including the budget by fund, by categories of expenditures and revenues, and the revenue detail. Data include Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals; Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget, Amended Budget and Actuals; Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget; and the Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget.
 - Tables are presented for each fund within the Capital Program that has budgeted appropriations for the fiscal years presented, which may include any or all of the following funds: Capital Outlay, County Health Complex, Justice Facility Construction, Multiple Species Conservation Program, Library Projects and Edgemoor Development.
 - Information includes: Budget by Categories of Expenditures; Project Details listing each capital project by name and number; and Funding Source which provides all funding sources and lists each project funded by each source.
 - Data include Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals; Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget, Amended Budget and Actuals; Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget; and the Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget.
- Lease Payments — Details lease payment expenditures, revenues and funding sources for the same fiscal years as described above.
- Outstanding Capital Projects by Group/Agency — Total appropriations and the remaining balance for each capital project within each business group is displayed, along with the fiscal year the project was established.

Finance Other

This component of the document highlights miscellaneous funds and programs that are predominantly Countywide in nature, have no staffing associated with them or exist for proper budgetary accounting purposes.

Appendices


- Appendices A, B and C present tables of data which include Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals; Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget, Amended Budget and Actuals; Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget; and the Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget.
 - Appendix A: All Funds - Budget Summary - Tables outline staff years and expenditures and revenues by category for each business group, the Capital Program and Finance Other.
 - Appendix B: Budget Summary of All Funds - Tables of Countywide appropriations by fund type and appropriations by fund type within each business group, the Capital Program and Finance Other.
 - Appendix C: General Fund Budget Summary - Tables of General Fund expenditures for each department within each business group and for Finance Other; also provided are financing sources by category for the total General Fund.
- Appendix D: Health & Human Services - Regional Operations - Tables depict staff years and total appropriations by type of program or administrative service for the Regional Operations division of the Health and Human Services Agency.
- Appendix E: Operational Plan Abbreviations and Acronyms - Common abbreviations and acronyms referenced in the plan.
- Appendix F: Glossary of Operational Plan Terms - Explanations of key terms used in the document and during the budget process.
- Index: An alphabetical listing of key topics and the page reference for each.

All Funds: Total Appropriations

Total Appropriations by Group/Agency

Appropriations total **\$4.85 billion** in the Adopted Budget for **Fiscal Year 2012-13** and \$4.65 billion for Fiscal Year 2013-14. This is a **decrease of \$14.4 million or 0.3%** for Fiscal Year 2012-13 from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget. Looking at the Operational Plan by Group/Agency, appropriations decrease in Land Use and Environment, Finance and General Government, the Capital Program and Finance Other, while they are increasing in Public Safety, Health and Human Services and Community Services.

**Total Appropriations by Group/Agency
Fiscal Year 2012-13: \$4.85 billion**




The pie chart above shows each Group/Agency's share of the Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget, while the bar chart and table on the following page compare the Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14 appropriations to the two prior fiscal years. The percentage change is also calculated for the variance between Fiscal Years 2012-13 Adopted Budget and the 2011-12 Adopted Budget. An overview of

the County's Operational Plan for Fiscal Year 2012-13 is presented below by Group/Agency and highlights changes and key areas of focus. Appendix A: All Funds - Budget Summary, provides a summary of expenditures and financing sources by account group for the entire County and for each Group and the Agency. More detail by department begins on page 115.

Note: In charts, the sum of individual percentages may not total 100.0% due to rounding. Also, in tables, the sum of individual figures within a column may not equal the total for that column due to rounding.

Total Appropriations by Group/Agency Fiscal Years 2010-11 Through 2013-14



Total Appropriations by Group/Agency (in millions)

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	% Change	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Public Safety	\$ 1,307.3	\$ 1,410.3	\$ 1,469.4	4.2	\$ 1,470.2
Health & Human Services	1,856.4	1,919.9	1,928.9	0.5	1,921.4
Land Use & Environment	492.2	419.0	392.9	(6.2)	359.2
Community Services	293.4	289.1	305.5	5.7	293.1
Finance & General Government	360.3	363.2	340.8	(6.2)	319.9
Capital Program	202.2	127.2	94.2	(26.0)	12.4
Finance Other	445.0	331.0	313.5	(5.3)	273.8
Total	\$ 4,957.0	\$ 4,859.6	\$ 4,845.2	(0.3)	\$ 4,650.0

Public Safety Group (PSG) – A net increase of 4.2% or \$59.1 million from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget. The increase primarily relates to increased costs reflecting negotiated labor agreements and increases in County retirement contributions, growth in Proposition 172, *Local Public Safety Protection and Improvement Act of 1993*, funding, additional service requirements due to the transfer of responsibilities for offenders from the State to the counties, and the planned use of one-time resources. Reductions in State funding and the expiration of funding

under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 are recognized. All mandated services are maintained.

Major changes include:

- Resources and services to address the transfer of responsibility for certain offenders from the State to the counties pursuant to Assembly Bill (AB) 109, *Public Safety Realignment (2011)*. As outlined in State budget bills, funds to support services and activities required by counties due to Public Safety Realignment are allocated to the Local Community Corrections Account within each county's County Local Revenue Fund.

Increased services include supervision of offenders, costs associated with the custody of offenders, including food and medical costs, and resources for services including mental health treatment, substance abuse treatment, vocational and behavioral services.

- Transition planning for the staffing and operation of the Women's Detention Facility.
- Increase in sworn supervisory positions at patrol stations in the unincorporated area. Increases to support hiring and training activities in the Sheriff, and to support Emergency Planning. Crime Lab and Records, Inmate Welfare and the operations in support of the Regional Communications System.
- Resources to fund the replacement of the conventional radio system providing support to critical public fire-safety voice communications for local and statewide mutual aid response to emergencies throughout the county.
- The implementation of a public defense internship pilot program and adjustments in public defense to allow positions to be filled at authorized levels as required by the volume and complexity of cases.
- Reductions in amounts allocated for one-time expenditures in the previous fiscal year.

The departments within the Public Safety Group will continue to provide core services, supporting safe and livable communities for the residents of San Diego County and operating an efficient and responsive criminal justice system.

Key areas of focus include:

- Promoting strategies that prevent crime and make neighborhoods safe places to live, work and play.
- Promoting and implementing strategies that protect residents from crime, including proactive law enforcement and monitoring of offenders subject to community supervision.
- Providing for a strong, collaborative criminal justice system that holds offenders accountable.
- Reducing recidivism by implementing treatment strategies that help offenders successfully reenter society.
- Continuing to strengthen the County's and communities' ability to prepare for, respond to and recover from disasters.
- Promoting the well-being of children and the self-sufficiency of families through the success of the child support program.
- Leveraging new technology innovations to improve service delivery and operational efficiency.

Health and Human Services Agency (HHS) - A net increase of 0.5% or \$9.0 million from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget. The increase relates to the increase in staff years as well as increases in wage and benefit costs that reflect negotiated labor agreements and increases in retirement contributions, and costs associated with contracted services and client payments. Reductions in funding and caseloads are also recognized as well as the implementation of Assembly Bill (AB) 118, *Local Revenue Fund 2011*, which shifts State financial responsibility of various services to counties, funded with a dedicated portion of sales tax.

Major changes include:

- Ongoing implementation of Assembly Bill (AB) 109, *Public Safety Realignment*, in partnership with Probation and the community for the provision of mental and substance abuse services.
- Increase in In-Home Supportive Services associated with the sunset of a State imposed reduction of hours.
- Increase associated with Aid to Adoptive Children payments and expansion of services to emancipated youth under the Transitional Housing Program.
- Decrease in Mental Health Services contracts and in Child Welfare Services due to the San Diego County Office of Education (SDCOE) assuming responsibility for provision of Emotionally Related Mental Health Services in the schools.
- Decrease in CalWORKs Assistance payments, and Foster Care payments to align with projected caseload costs.

A major goal in the development of the Agency's operational plan is to advance the *Live Well, San Diego!* initiative. In that endeavor, HHS has pursued and acquired grants that will help improve the health and well-being of San Diego's communities and citizens. As in the past, HHS continues to work with advisory boards and other key stakeholders in these efforts.

Key areas of focus include:

Continue implementation of Phase 1 and develop framework for Phase 2 of *Live Well, San Diego!* to achieve the vision of healthy, safe and thriving communities by:

- Building a better service delivery system that is innovative and outcome-driven.
- Implement Year 1 of the Community Transformation Grant (CTG) to support public health efforts to reduce chronic diseases, promote healthier lifestyles, reduce health disparities and decrease health care costs.

■ ■ ■ All Funds: Total Appropriations

- Implement the Community Based Care Transition program to reduce readmissions to hospitals by Medicare recipients.
- Evaluate data from the two pilot programs integrating physical and behavioral health services.
- Establish the Extended Foster Care program, an outcome from Assembly Bill 12, *The California Fostering Connections to Success Act*, to extend foster care to 21 years of age.
- Supporting positive choices that empower residents to take responsibility for their own health and well-being.
 - Implement Year 1 of the Community Nutrition Education Program (CNEP) grant to increase access and nutrition education for CalFresh eligible or potentially eligible clients
- Pursuing policy and environmental changes that make it easier for people to engage in healthy and safe behaviors
 - Complete and document community input to the six regional *Live Well, San Diego!* plans by using the MAPP process from the National Association of County and City Health Officials.
- Improving the culture from within by increasing employees' knowledge on how to incorporate health and safety.
 - Implement second phase of the succession plan to advance *Live Well, San Diego!*
- Advancing operational excellence by ensuring fiscal stability, customer service, leadership, accountability and transparency, continuous improvement and workforce excellence.
 - Begin improvements to Family Resource Centers and ACCESS (a public benefits transaction call center), including increasing accuracy of payment, and technological enhancements to improve customer service.
 - Implement Year 1 of the SNAP grant to implement a system for electronic documents conversion and tracking, and to help reduce processing time and minimize lost documents.
 - Begin phase 2 of the Knowledge Integration Project (KIP) to develop the foundation for information exchange across multiple disciplines to improve the information available and coordinate services for shared clients.
- Complete co-location of Public Administrator/Public Guardian with Mental Health Conservatorship to improve service coordination.

Land Use and Environment Group (LUEG) - A net decrease of 6.2 or \$26.1 million from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget. Significant decreases are in: right-of-way acquisition costs for Bear Valley Parkway; completion of capital projects in the County of San Diego Sanitation District and Airports; completion of grant funded contracts; one-time costs for completion of several business process reengineering projects and reductions in staffing.

Major changes include:

- Reductions in the Road Fund Detail Work Program's construction and consulting contracts resulting from near completion of Proposition 1B, *Transportation Bond Act*, funded projects.
- One-time funding in the Watershed Protection Program for new Bacteria Total Maximum Daily Load requirements by the Regional Water Quality Control Board.
- One-time funds for major maintenance projects identified in the facility conditions assessment program and for upgrades for the Agua Caliente Park Water, Sewer and Electrical Line Replacement project.
- Decrease in one-time funds due to completion of the Mobile Source Emission Reduction Incentive Programs.
- One-time funding for the completion for the final phase of the Business Case Management System - Accela, (BCMS) and associated online reporting tools.

Key areas of focus include:

- Improving the land development process overall, as well as the associated customer experience and streamlining permit processing.
- Continuing business process reengineering efforts to develop new online tools that will streamline processes and improve customer service.
- Working on the Property Specific Requests for a General Plan Amendment.
- Protecting public health and helping to prevent disease via education and awareness of vector-borne diseases and proper disposal of household hazardous, electronic and universal waste.
- Protecting San Diego County's \$1.65 billion agricultural industry from damaging pests, noxious nonnative weeds and diseases.
- Awarding and managing construction contracts for road improvement projects in various county communities to enhance safety and improve traffic flow.
- Expanding and protecting park resources, improving infrastructure and acquiring additional parkland throughout the county.

- Preserving and enhancing the quality of life for county residents by implementing habitat conservation programs such as the Multiple Species Conservation Program, Special Area Management Plan and Resource Management Plans.
- Completing required toxic air contaminant emission health risk assessments to verify compliance of new and expanding businesses with health risk standards.
- Protecting and preserving the county's water quality and watersheds.

Community Services Group (CSG) - A net increase of 5.7% or \$16.4 million from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget. The increase is due to a major upgrade of the Documentum Enterprise Content Management application, the use of loans from the California Energy Commission to finance energy-efficiency projects, State CalHome grant funding for down payment and closing costs assistance to eligible first-time homebuyers, and increases in wage and benefit costs that reflect negotiated labor agreements and increases in County retirement contributions. Offsetting the increases in program appropriations is a decrease of \$7.6 million in elections' costs due to the scheduled February 2012 Presidential Primary.

Major changes include:

- Major upgrade of the Documentum Enterprise Content Management application.
- Conducting one election in Fiscal Year 2012-13 (two primaries were budgeted in Fiscal Year 2011-12).
- Use of loans from the California Energy Commission to finance energy-efficiency projects.
- Increased costs of major maintenance and energy-efficiency projects, routine maintenance and contracted services for County-owned facilities.
- Higher demand for fuel and higher fuel costs.
- Dissolution of the County of San Diego Redevelopment Agency and subsequent budgeting and management of the Successor Agency. Effective February 1, 2012, all California redevelopment agencies were dissolved and their assets, obligations and programs were transferred to successor agencies, usually the sponsoring agency. The County of San Diego elected to be the Successor Agency for the former Redevelopment Agency.

Key areas of focus include:

- Conducting the November 2012 Primary Elections.
- Maintaining library hours to provide patron access to library materials and services.

- Completing the Lincoln Acres library, replacing a much older and smaller facility.
- Providing resources to homeless families exiting from transitional housing.
- Assisting first-time homebuyers with down payment and closing costs assistance with a combination of State and federal grant funding.
- Savings in the purchase and contracting of goods and services for all County departments through innovative procurement methods.
- Design and construction of capital improvements for the new Women's Detention Facility, the County Administration Center Waterfront Park and related new parking garage at Cedar and Kettner streets in downtown San Diego, moving County staff into Phase 1B of the County Operations Center development in Kearny Mesa, and the new Rancho San Diego Sheriff's Station.
- Improving animal shelters and the medical treatment of animals to make them adoptable sooner.

Finance and General Government Group (FGG) - A net decrease of 6.2% or \$22.4 million from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget. The decrease is mainly due to the completion of one-time funding of major enterprise information technology (IT) projects in Fiscal Year 2011-12 partially offset by smaller IT projects in Fiscal Year 2012-13. There are also offsetting increases in staffing costs due to an increase in staff years and for retirement costs related to existing positions.

Major changes include:

- A reduction of funding to reflect the fact that the Integrated Property Tax System (IPTS) project initiated in Fiscal Year 2011-12 is not anticipated to require additional appropriations in Fiscal Year 2012-13.
- An increase in planned IT services for a number of County departments through the County's information technology outsourcing contract.
- An increase in staff years for property appraisal activities countywide and for restored clerk service levels in one branch office of the Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk.

Key areas of focus include:

- Maintaining the County's fiscal stability through active monitoring of economic conditions, sound accounting, auditing, budgetary practices and management discipline, including continued assurance of accountability and transparency in the use of federal economic stimulus funds.
- Maintaining a robust, diverse and adaptable workforce.

■ ■ ■ All Funds: Total Appropriations

- Aggressively pursuing opportunities to restructure the County's debt portfolio to maximize taxpayer savings.
- Maintaining a strong Treasurer's Investment Pool.
- Developing a new Integrated Property Tax System.
- Completing upgrades to the County's key financial systems, including the enterprise budget software system, the imaging system for supporting financial documents, and the system used for information technology billing purposes.
- Providing the highest quality legal services to the Board of Supervisors and County departments.
- Maintaining the investment in modern information technology.

Capital Program - A decrease of 26.0% or \$33.0 million from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget. The amount budgeted in the Capital Program for Capital Projects can vary significantly from year to year. The Fiscal Year 2012-13 Capital Program includes the following capital projects:

- \$35.4 million for the Cedar and Kettner Development.
- \$27.6 million for the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility.
- \$10.0 million for the Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP).
- \$8.0 million for the HHSA, PSG, CSG Office Relocation project.
- \$2.0 million for the Agua Caliente Park Water, Sewer and Electrical Line Replacement project.
- \$0.9 million for the County Administration Center Waterfront Park.
- \$0.1 million for Rainbow County Park improvements.

- \$0.1 million for the Mountain Empire (Campo) Community Center playground. \$0.1 million for Spring Valley Park LED Lighting and Landscaping.

The Capital Program also includes appropriations for the Edgemoor Development Fund to pay debt service on the 2005 and 2006 Edgemoor Certificates of Participation and other costs to maintain the Edgemoor property.

In Fiscal Year 2013-14, appropriations decrease \$81.8 million, and the program includes funding of \$2.5 million for MSCP.


Finance Other - A decrease of 5.3% or \$17.6 million from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget. Many of the appropriations in this group vary little from year to year, but some appropriations reported here are one-time and can fluctuate significantly. One-time appropriations in Fiscal Year 2011-12 included \$70.0 million for the Women's Detention Facility replacement project, \$10.0 million for Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP), \$0.5 million for the Agua Caliente Park Cabins and \$0.46 million for the Long-Term Animal Care Facility.

In the Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted budget, the General Fund contribution to the Capital Program continues to include appropriations for MSCP of \$10.0 million, \$27.6 million loan for the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility, \$8.0 million for the HHSA, PSG, CSG Office Relocation, and \$2.0 million for the Agua Caliente Park Water, Sewer and Electrical Line Replacement project. In addition, lease payments for certain long-term lease obligations have increased by \$4.4 million and a one-time appropriation of \$9.7 million is budgeted to fund future capital projects or anticipated higher payments to the retirement fund in future years. See page 433 for the details of the budget for Finance Other.

Total Appropriations by Category of Expenditure

The pie chart below shows the Adopted Budget broken down by category of expenditure. As noted previously, the Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget is decreasing overall by \$14.4 million from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget and decreasing further by \$195.2 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14.

Total Appropriations by Category of Expenditure
Fiscal Year 2012-13: \$4.85 billion




The changes by category are summarized as follows:

- Salaries and Benefits are increasing overall by a net \$41.8 million or 2.5%** in Fiscal Year 2012-13. This change reflects higher retirement contribution requirements, negotiated reductions in the County funding of the employee share of retirement costs, negotiated benefit increases and an increase of 323.50 staff years. The current labor contracts are effective through June 23, 2013, except for the Deputy Sheriffs' Association (DSA) contract which is effective through June 26, 2014. All contracts include increases in flexible benefit credits effective January 2013; and further negotiated reductions in the County funding of the employee share of retirement costs. There is no increase in base pay. In

addition, current contracts for six bargaining units of the Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 221, include a one-time monetary payment equivalent to 1% of base pay in Fiscal Year 2012-13.

In Fiscal Year 2013-14, Salaries and Benefits are increasing by a net of \$64.1 million or 3.8%, which reflects anticipated higher retirement contribution requirements, a 1% negotiated wage increase in base pay for the DSA bargaining unit, an increase in flexible benefit credits for the DSA bargaining unit effective January 2014, and a net increase of 104.00 staff years. See Total Staffing on page 54 for a summary of staffing changes by business group.

Total Appropriations by Category of Expenditure Fiscal Years 2010-11 Through 2013-14



Total Appropriations by Category of Expenditure (in millions)

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	% Change	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Employee Benefits	\$ 1,611.3	\$ 1,655.5	\$ 1,697.3	2.5	\$ 1,761.4
Services & Supplies	1,833.8	1,851.5	1,881.0	1.6	1,764.8
Other Charges	764.2	770.9	736.4	(4.5)	726.4
Operating Transfers Out	455.3	369.3	376.4	1.9	353.4
Remaining Categories:					
<i>Capital Assets/Land Acquisition</i>	204.8	137.8	93.0	(32.5)	10.1
<i>Capital Assets Equipment</i>	23.4	22.5	24.8	10.1	17.0
<i>Exp Transfer & Reimbursements</i>	(20.0)	(20.2)	(29.7)	47.1	(29.9)
<i>Reserves</i>	22.1	21.8	22.1	1.4	22.1
<i>Fund Balance Component Increases</i>	33.4	18.4	13.7	(25.3)	0.4
<i>Management Reserves</i>	28.7	32.3	30.3	(6.2)	24.3
Total	\$ 4,957.0	\$ 4,859.6	\$ 4,845.2	(0.3)	\$ 4,650.0

Services and Supplies are increasing by a net of **\$29.5 million** or **1.6%**. This category accounts for expenditures for items such as office supplies, contracted services, facility leases, facility maintenance, minor equipment, utility usage, services provided by internal service funds and various other requirements. While individual accounts are increasing or decreasing by varying amounts, the most significant changes

include a \$41.3 million decrease due to the reduction of one-time appropriations for the Integrated Property Tax System, a \$5.9 million increase to replace the conventional radio system, a \$16.9 million increase for services supporting Public Safety Realignment, a \$7.9 million increase for Mental Health Services Act programs, an increase of \$7.5 million in health care administration and primary care services contracts, and an

increase in information technology costs of \$13.3 million and a corresponding increase in the Information Technology Internal Service Fund of \$12.7 million.

A decrease of \$116.2 million or 6.2% in Fiscal Year 2013-14, is primarily due to completion of one-time expenditures in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and redirection of resources to address anticipated increases in retirement contributions.

- **Other Charges** are **decreasing by \$34.5 million or 4.5%**. This category includes items such as aid payments, debt service payments, interest expense, right-of-way easement purchases and various other payments including contributions to trial courts and grants to organizations participating in the Community Enhancement and the Neighborhood Reinvestment Programs. The decreases are primarily due to reduced funding from CalWORKs (\$8.6 million), Seriously Emotionally Disturbed Children (\$10.8 million), foster care (\$5.5 million), and completion of the Bear Valley Parkway right-of-way acquisition (\$9.6 million).

A net decrease of \$10.0 million or 1.4% is projected in Fiscal Year 2013-14 due to decreases in certain scheduled lease payments and reduction of the Homeland Security Grant grant funding.

- **Operating Transfers Out**, the accounting vehicle for transferring the resources of one fund to pay for activities in another, is **increasing by \$7.1 million or 1.9%**. The most significant increases are in the Public Safety Group in the Proposition 172, *Local Public Safety Protection and Improvement Act of 1993*, special revenue fund for transfer to the General Fund; in Community Services largely in General Services due to project management labor provided for the Major Maintenance Improvement Program projects, in Purchasing and Contracting for one-time costs for the Documentum 6.7 version upgrade; and in Finance Other for appropriations to fund anticipated one-time capital projects, for the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility, the Registrar of Voter's Relocation, the Agua Caliente Park Water, Sewer and Electrical Line Replacement and for the Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP). The most significant decrease is in Finance Other and is due to the nonrecurrence of one-time funding for the Women's Detention Facility capital project (\$70.0 million).

A decrease of \$23.0 million or 6.1% is projected for Fiscal Year 2013-14, and is due to the nonrecurrence of one-time items from the prior year, including a \$7.5 million decrease for MSCP and an \$8.7 million decrease for funding future capital projects.

- **Capital Assets/Land Acquisition**, which includes capital improvement projects and property acquisitions, is **decreasing by \$44.8 million or 32.5%** from Fiscal Year 2011-12. Appropriations vary from year to year depending upon the cost of the projects being funded. Of the \$93.0 million budgeted for Fiscal Year 2012-13, \$83.3 million is for projects in the Capital Program, \$8.0 million is for the Registrar of Voters' relocation, upgrading Fleet facilities and improving tenant areas for County Mail Services, Sheriff Transportation and Probation Work Projects, with the remainder for projects in the Airport Enterprise Fund and in the San Diego County Sanitation District. Specifically, the Fiscal Year 2012-13 Capital Program includes \$27.6 million for the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility, \$27.1 million for the Cedar and Kettner Development, \$10.0 million for land acquisition for MSCP and \$2.0 million for the Agua Caliente Park Water, Sewer and Electrical Line Replacement project.

A decrease of \$82.9 million or 89.1% is projected for Fiscal Year 2013-14. \$2.5 million is planned for MSCP land acquisition.

- **Capital Assets Equipment** is **increasing by \$2.3 million or 10.1%** from last year. This account primarily includes routine internal service fund purchases of replacement vehicles and heavy equipment. It may also include appropriations for information technology hardware and communications equipment. Amounts may vary from year to year. A decrease of \$7.8 million is expected for Fiscal Year 2013-14.

- **Expenditure Transfers and Reimbursements** are **increasing by \$9.5 million or 47.1%**. Activity in this account reflects the transfer of expenses to another department within the same fund for services provided. A transfer can occur because a department's funding source requires the expenses to be recorded in that department for revenue claiming purposes, although the actual services are being provided by another department.

The Expenditure Transfers and Reimbursement accounts are negative amounts to avoid the duplication of expenditures. One significant example is the agreement between the Health and Human Services Agency (HHS) and the District Attorney for Public Assistance Fraud investigation services. The District Attorney investigates and prosecutes suspected fraudulent public assistance cases for HHS. The District Attorney offsets the budgeted expenses with a negative amount in the Expenditure Transfers and Reimbursements account. HHS budgets the expense for that activity in

■ ■ ■ All Funds: Total Appropriations

a Services and Supplies account offset by the appropriate State or federal revenue account. In Fiscal Year 2012-13, \$3.0 million in HHSA expenditures are associated with the reimbursement for services under Public Safety Realignment through the Probation Department.


- **Contingency Reserves** are appropriations that are set aside for unanticipated needs during the year. In Fiscal Year 2012-13, three funds have a contingency reserve. The General Fund contingency reserve remains at \$20.0 million. See the discussion of the General Fund Contingency Reserve in the Finance Other section on page 485. The Employee Benefits Internal Service Fund contingency reserve increases to \$2.0 million from \$1.7 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13. The Fleet Internal Service Fund contingency reserve is budgeted at \$0.1 million, which is unchanged from Fiscal Year 2011-12.

- **Fund Balance Component Increases (formerly Reserve/Designation Increase)** can vary from year to year depending upon the need to set aside fund balance for specific future uses. In Fiscal Year 2012-13, fund balance has been committed for replacement reserves for equipment and infrastructure in the San Diego County Sanitation District for Lakeside, Julian and Wintergarden service areas (\$11.4 million), for initial amount toward the replacement/upgrade of fire apparatus and equipment for regional support for the San Diego County Fire Authority (\$1.9 million), and for reserves for building maintenance and replacement for the Air Pollution Control District (\$0.3 million).
- **Management Reserves are decreasing by \$2.0 million or 6.2%.** The level of Management Reserves can vary from year to year. They are used to fund one-time projects or to serve as a prudent mitigation for revenue and economic uncertainties at the business group or department level.

Total Appropriations by Fund Type

The financial transactions of the County are recorded in individual funds and account groups. The State Controller prescribes uniform accounting practices for California counties. Various revenue sources are controlled and spent for purposes that require those funds to be accounted for separately. Accordingly, the funds/fund types described below provide the basic structure for the Operational Plan. Appendix B: Budget Summary and Changes in Fund Balance provides expenditure amounts for County funds by Type of Fund and by Group/Agency. (See also "Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting" on page 91.)

Total Appropriations by Fund Type Fiscal Year 2012-13: \$4.85 billion



Governmental Fund Types


The **General Fund** accounts for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The General Fund is the County's primary operating fund.

Special Revenue Funds account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes (other than for major capital projects). Examples include Road, Library, Asset Forfeiture and Proposition 172 Special Revenue funds.

Debt Service Funds account for the accumulation of resources for and the payment of principal and interest on general long-term debt. The Debt Service Funds include bond principal and interest payments and administrative expenses for Pension Obligation Bonds. A discussion of long and short-term financial obligations can be found on 102.

Capital Projects Funds account for financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities (other than those financed by proprietary funds and trust funds).

Total Appropriations by Fund Type Fiscal Years 2010-11 Through 2013-14



* Remaining Funds include Capital Project Funds, Enterprise Funds and Special Districts & Redevelopment Funds

Total Appropriations by Fund Type (in millions)

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	% Change	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
General Fund	\$ 3,739.1	\$ 3,742.8	\$ 3,718.9	(0.6)	\$ 3,637.6
Special Revenue Funds	397.4	389.4	398.2	2.3	393.6
Internal Service Funds	366.2	362.8	399.4	10.1	380.6
Debt Service Funds	81.4	81.4	81.5	0.1	81.5
Capital Project Funds	202.2	127.2	94.2	(26.0)	12.4
Enterprise Funds	22.5	24.3	25.0	3.0	22.4
Special Districts & Redevelopment Funds	148.3	131.8	128.1	(2.8)	122.0
Total	\$ 4,957.0	\$ 4,859.6	\$ 4,845.2	(0.3)	\$ 4,650.0

Proprietary Fund Types

Internal Service Funds account for the financing of goods or services provided by one department to other departments of the County, or to other governmental units, on a cost-reimbursement basis. Examples include the Facilities Management, Fleet, Purchasing and Contracting, Employee Benefits, Public Liability and Information Technology Internal Service Funds.

Enterprise Funds account for any activity for which a fee is charged to external users for goods or services. Enterprise funds are also used for any activity whose principal external revenue sources meet any of the following criteria:

- Issued debt is backed solely by fees and charges.
- Cost of providing services must legally be recovered through fees and charges.
- Government's policy is to establish fees or charges to recover the cost of provided services.

Examples include the Airport and Wastewater Funds.

Special Districts & Redevelopment Funds

Special Districts are separate legal entities governed by the Board of Supervisors that provide for specialized public improvements and services deemed to benefit properties and residents financed by specific taxes and assessments. The special districts provide authorized services such as air pollution control, sanitation, flood control, road, park, lighting maintenance, fire protection or ambulance service to specific areas in the county.

Redevelopment Funds were originally established to account for the proceeds of redevelopment area incremental taxes, interest revenues and temporary loans which were used to eliminate slums and blighted areas, improve housing, expand employment opportunities and provide an environment for the social, economic and psychological growth and well-being of all citizens of the county.

The State of California, through the passage of Assembly Bill X1 26, Community Redevelopment Dissolution, dissolved all redevelopment agencies as of February 1, 2012. As a requirement of the dissolution process, all funds, assets and obligations of the redevelopment agencies were transferred to a successor agency for payment or disbursement. Redevelopment Funds will be removed from future year Operational Plans, replaced by successor agency funds and included under Governmental Fund Types within the Special Revenue Funds and Debt Service Funds, as they apply.

Appropriations Limits

Spending limits for the County are governed by the 1979 passage of California Proposition 4, Limitation of Government Appropriations (Article XIII B of the California Constitution, commonly known as the Gann initiative or Gann Limit). Proposition 4 places an appropriations limit on most spending from tax proceeds.

The limit for each year is equal to the prior year's spending with upward adjustments allowed for changes in population and the cost of living. Most appropriations are subject to the limit. However, Proposition 4 and subsequently Proposition 99 (1988), Tobacco Tax and Health Protection Act, Proposition 10 (1998), California Children and Families First Act and Proposition 111 (1990), Traffic Congestion Relief and Spending Limitations Act, exempt certain appropriations from the limit. These exemptions include capital outlay, debt service, local government subventions, new tobacco taxes, appropriations supported by increased gas taxes, and appropriations resulting from national disasters.

When the limit is exceeded, Proposition 4 requires the surplus to be returned to the taxpayers within two years. Appropriations in the two-year period can be averaged before becoming subject to the excess revenue provisions of the Gann Limit. As shown in the following table, the County continues to be far below the Gann Limit.


San Diego County Appropriation Limit (in millions)								
	Fiscal Year 2005-06	Fiscal Year 2006-07	Fiscal Year 2007-08	Fiscal Year 2008-09	Fiscal Year 2009-10	Fiscal Year 2010-11	Fiscal Year 2011-12	Fiscal Year 2012-13
Gann Limit	\$ 3,300	\$ 3,433	\$ 3,619	\$ 3,825	\$ 3,897	\$ 3,852	\$ 3,977	\$ 4,164
Appropriations subject to the limit	\$ 877	\$ 1,002	\$ 1,287	\$ 1,340	\$ 1,309	\$ 1,264	\$ 1,255	\$ 1,527



All Funds: Total Staffing


Adopted staff years¹ for Fiscal Year 2012-13 are 323.50 greater than the Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2011-12, an increase of 2.1% to 16,010.75 staff years. This net increase is primarily attributable to increased staffing in the Public Safety Group and the Health and Human Services Agency. While overall staffing levels are increasing, there are some departments and programs in which staffing levels are decreasing. A further net increase of 104.00 staff years is expected in Fiscal Year 2013-14. The staffing changes are summarized below by business group.

Total Staffing by Group/Agency Fiscal Year 2012-13: 16,010.75 Staff Years



¹ One staff year equates to one permanent employee working full-time for one year.

Total Staffing by Group/Agency Fiscal Years 2010-11 Through 2013-14



Total Staffing by Group/Agency (staff years)

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	% Change	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Public Safety	7,041.25	6,971.00	7,120.00	2.1	7,228.00
Health & Human Services	5,156.25	5,130.25	5,306.25	3.4	5,306.25
Land Use & Environment	1,501.00	1,456.00	1,451.00	(0.3)	1,451.00
Community Services	978.25	963.50	959.00	(0.5)	955.00
Finance & General Government	1,165.50	1,166.50	1,174.50	0.7	1,174.50
Total	15,842.25	15,687.25	16,010.75	2.1	16,114.75

The **Public Safety Group (PSG)** has a **net increase of 149.00 staff years, a 2.1% increase**, to align staffing with available revenues and to address key operational requirements.

- District Attorney - decreases by 44.00 staff years. This reduction is mitigated by previous investment in information technology to improve business efficiency.
- Sheriff's Department - increases by a net of 84.00 staff years. This includes an increase of 20.00 staff years for the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility, 15.00 staff years to create an Alternate Custody Unit in response to Public Safety Realignment and one

position to direct the implementation of Public Safety Realignment in the Sheriff's Department, an increase of 18.00 staff years to form the transition team to prepare for the staffing and operation of the Women's Detention Facility, an additional 14.00 staff years to support the hiring and training needs of the department and an increase of 8.00 staff years to provide additional sworn supervisory positions at patrol stations.

Other changes include an increase of 4.00 staff years in the Crime Lab and the Records Unit to perform functions supported by Cal ID revenues, an increase of 3.00 staff years in the Inmate Services Division, an increase

of 3.00 staff years in the Wireless Service Division, an increase of 3.00 staff years to support emergency planning, manage grant funds and support data services, an increase of 2.00 staff years for law enforcement services in the North County Transit District, a decrease of 4.00 staff years due to a reduction in the law enforcement services requested by the contract cities and a reduction of 2.00 staff years due to the expiration of two American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) grants.

- Medical Examiner - increase of 1.00 staff year supported by the contract for Toxicology Services with the County of San Bernardino.
- Probation Department - increases by a net of 106.00 staff years. This includes an increase of 103.00 staff years to supervise offenders as required by Public Safety Realignment. Other changes include an increase of 7.00 staff years to continue to provide required staffing ratios in the juvenile institutions previously achieved through the use of staff overtime, an increase of 2.00 staff years for services related to the Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act, an increase of 1.00 staff year to provide financial and operational support to Public Safety Realignment, an increase of 1.00 staff year to provide reentry services to juvenile offenders, a decrease of 5.00 staff years due to the elimination of State funding for programs created by Senate Bill (SB) 618 *San Diego Prisoner Reentry Program*, a decrease of 2.00 staff year due to a reduction in school district revenue for the Truancy Intervention Program and a reduction of 1.00 staff year due to the expiration of an ARRA grant.
- Public Defender - increases by 2.00 staff years to respond to additional criminal case defense responsibilities as the result of Public Safety Realignment.

In Fiscal Year 2013-14, the Public Safety Group increases by 108.00 staff years in the Sheriff's Department; 107.00 staff years for the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility and 1.00 staff year in Emergency Planning required to assist in the department's efforts in emergency preparedness and disaster response.

The **Health and Human Services Agency (HHS)** has an **increase of 176.00 staff years or 3.4%**.

- Regional Operations - increases by 155.00 staff years to support Family Resource Centers, ACCESS operations (a public benefits transaction call center), Health Promotion operations and Family Resource Center Centralized Imaging operations, offset by transfers to other divisions based on operational needs.

- Strategic Planning and Operational Support (SPOS) - increases by 9.00 staff years in the Office of Business Intelligence (OBI) to advance operational excellence by managing and coordinating data and information analysis, and to support efforts to enhance quality control in eligibility services, offset by transfers to other divisions based on operational needs.
- Administrative Support - increases by 4.00 staff years in Human Resources to meet increased recruitment and training needs, especially in frontline positions such as eligibility and child welfare services. Increases are the result of transfers from other divisions within the Agency.
- Aging and Independence Services - decreases by 1.00 staff year due to the transfer of staff to another division based on operational needs.
- Behavioral Health Services - decreases by 8.00 staff years due to the transfer of staff to other divisions based on operational needs.
- Child Welfare Services - increases by 14.00 staff years due to the move of the Court Unit from Regional Child Welfare Services. Increases are the result of transfers from other divisions within the Agency.
- Public Health Services - increases by 3.00 staff years to support activities in Epidemiology, Emergency Medical Services, and Maternal, Child and Family Health Services. Increases are the result of transfers from other divisions within the Agency.

In Fiscal Year 2013-14, there is no change in staffing.

The **Land Use and Environment Group (LUEG)** has a **decrease of 5.00 staff years or 0.3%**.

- Agriculture, Weights and Measures - increases by 3.00 staff years in the Standards Program for increased standardization, quality control inspections and coordination with various agencies.
- Environmental Health - decreases by 1.00 staff year due to workload reductions in the Land and Water Quality Division.
- Farm and Home Advisor - decreases by 1.00 staff year as a result of operational changes and consolidation of duties.
- Planning and Land Use - decreases by 4.00 staff years. A decrease of 2.00 staff years in Code Enforcement as a result of reengineering, cross-training and automation services, a decrease of 1.00 staff year in LUEG Geographic Information Services (GIS) as a result of reorganization of duties within the division, and a decrease of 1.00 staff year in Project Planning due to reduced workload as a result of current economic conditions.

- Public Works - decreases by 2.00 staff years in the Road Fund; a decrease of 1.00 staff year in the Land Development Program due to consolidation of management oversight and a decrease of 1.00 staff year in Engineering Services Program due to reductions in workload.

In Fiscal Year 2013-14, there is no change in staffing.

The **Community Services Group (CSG)** has a **decrease of 4.50 staff years or 0.5%**.

- County Library - decreases by 10.50 staff years to continue to adjust to ongoing costs as a result of the loss of revenue from property taxes, State grants, and General Fund support since Fiscal Year 2008-09. The Library will continue to mitigate the impacts of these reductions through library design, automation and business process improvements. A decrease of 1.75 staff years is due to a technical adjustment to correct prior year's processing of staff reductions.
- General Services - increases by a net 5.00 staff years. An increase of 9.00 staff years related to the transfer of maintenance responsibilities at the County Administration Center from the Clerk of the Board; a decrease of 3.00 staff years as a result of building maintenance efficiencies; an increase of 2.00 staff years as a result of reorganizing work related to maintenance of fleet vehicles; a reduction of 2.00 staff years to consolidate welding-related labor due to a diminished workload, and a reduction of 1.00 staff year as a result of streamlining support services.
- Registrar of Voters - increases by 1.00 staff year to implement and manage the new Chinese language service program, which will provide community outreach and elections materials translation.

In Fiscal Year 2013-14, the County Library decreases by 4.00 staff years to continue to realign staffing with available resources.

The **Finance and General Government Group (FGG)** has an **increase of 8.00 staff years or 0.7%**.

- Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk - increases by 13.00 staff years. The staffing increase results from the rein-

statement of 10.00 appraisal positions to assist with the timely resolution of residential and commercial assessment appeals filings. An increase of 3.00 clerical support positions will allow the department to extend clerk services (primarily marriage licenses and ceremonies) at the Chula Vista branch office.

- Clerk of the Board of Supervisors - decreases by 9.00 staff years. The staffing decrease results from the transfer of County Administration Center facility management responsibilities from the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to the Department of General Services.
- Department of Human Resources - increases by 2.00 staff years. The staffing increase results from the addition of a Wellness Coordinator to oversee and manage the Workers' Compensation Work Safe Stay/Healthy and Employee Wellness Program and the addition of a Human Resources Assistant in the Human Resources Services Division due to additional support needed to meet the increasing hiring needs of the Public Safety Group. One position will be added in the Administration Division due to the reorganization of duties within the department, and will be offset by the deletion of a position in the Employee Benefits Division.
- Auditor and Controller - increases by 1.00 staff year. The staffing increase results from transfers among programs to accommodate increasing workloads in redevelopment dissolution activities and to restore one previously deleted position in Central Payroll to meet projected needs.
- Finance and General Government Group Executive Office - increases by 1.00 staff year. The staffing increase results from the reinstatement of one previously deleted position to accommodate increasing workloads in group program management.

In Fiscal Year 2013-14, there is no change in staffing.

More detail on staff year changes can be found in the Group/Agency section that begins on page 115.

■ ■ ■ All Funds: Total Staffing

Total Staffing by Department within Group (staff years)					
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	% Change	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Public Safety	7,041.25	6,971.00	7,120.00	2.1	7,228.00
Public Safety Executive Office	10.00	11.00	11.00	0.0	11.00
District Attorney	1,053.00	1,022.00	978.00	(4.3)	978.00
Sheriff	3,800.00	3,812.00	3,896.00	2.2	4,004.00
Child Support Services	473.00	472.00	472.00	0.0	472.00
Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.0	4.00
Office of Emergency Services	17.00	17.00	17.00	0.0	17.00
Medical Examiner	51.00	51.00	52.00	2.0	52.00
Probation	1,234.25	1,225.00	1,331.00	8.7	1,331.00
Public Defender	399.00	344.00	346.00	0.6	346.00
San Diego County Fire Authority	0.00	13.00	13.00	0.0	13.00
Health & Human Services	5,156.25	5,130.25	5,306.25	3.4	5,306.25
Regional Operations	2,262.00	2,277.00	2,432.00	6.8	2,432.00
Strategic Planning & Operational Support	200.00	202.00	211.00	4.5	211.00
Aging and Independence Services	292.50	292.50	291.50	(0.3)	291.50
Behavioral Health Services	840.50	837.50	829.50	(1.0)	829.50
Child Welfare Services	744.50	703.50	717.50	2.0	717.50
Public Health Services	479.75	480.75	483.75	0.6	483.75
Public Administrator / Public Guardian	34.00	34.00	34.00	0.0	34.00
Administrative Support	303.00	303.00	307.00	1.3	307.00
Land Use & Environment	1,501.00	1,456.00	1,451.00	(0.3)	1,451.00
Land Use and Environment Executive Office	10.00	10.00	10.00	0.0	10.00
Agriculture, Weights and Measures	150.00	150.00	153.00	2.0	153.00
Air Pollution Control District	146.00	146.00	146.00	0.0	146.00
Environmental Health	285.00	282.00	281.00	0.4	281.00
Farm and Home Advisor	5.00	3.00	2.00	(33.3)	2.00
Parks and Recreation	175.00	175.00	175.00	0.0	175.00
Planning and Land Use	196.00	164.00	160.00	(2.4)	160.00
Public Works	534.00	526.00	524.00	(0.4)	524.00

Total Staffing by Department within Group (staff years)					
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	% Change	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Community Services	978.25	963.50	959.00	(0.5)	955.00
Community Services Executive Office	8.00	8.00	8.00	0.0	8.00
Animal Services	123.00	123.00	123.00	0.0	123.00
County Library	290.25	280.50	270.00	(3.7)	266.00
General Services	334.00	331.00	336.00	1.5	336.00
Housing & Community Development	102.00	102.00	102.00	0.0	102.00
Purchasing and Contracting	58.00	56.00	56.00	0.0	56.00
Registrar of Voters	63.00	63.00	64.00	1.6	64.00
Finance & General Government	1,165.50	1,166.50	1,174.50	0.7	1,174.50
Finance & General Government Executive Office	6.00	6.00	7.00	16.7	7.00
Board of Supervisors	56.00	56.00	56.00	0.0	56.00
Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk	397.50	397.50	410.50	3.3	410.50
Treasurer-Tax Collector	121.00	121.00	121.00	0.0	121.00
Chief Administrative Office	14.50	14.50	14.50	0.0	14.50
Auditor and Controller	245.50	245.50	246.50	0.4	246.50
County Technology Office	16.00	16.00	16.00	0.0	16.00
Civil Service Commission	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.0	4.00
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	37.00	36.00	27.00	(25.0)	27.00
County Counsel	135.00	135.00	135.00	0.0	135.00
Grand Jury	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.0	1.00
Human Resources	110.00	112.00	114.00	1.8	114.00
County Communications Office	22.00	22.00	22.00	0.0	22.00
County Total	15,842.25	15,687.25	16,010.75	2.1	16,114.75




All Funds: Total Funding Sources

Total Funding by Source

Total resources available to support County services for Fiscal Year 2012-13 are \$4.85 billion, a decrease of \$14.4 million or 0.3% from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget. Total resources decrease further by \$195.2 million or 4.0% to \$4.65 billion in Fiscal Year 2013-14. For Fiscal Year 2012-13, the combination of State Revenue (\$1.37 billion), Federal Revenue (\$898.1 million) and Other Intergovernmental Revenue (\$84.1 million) supplies 48.5% of the funding sources for the County's budget. Interfund Operating Transfers, Use of Money & Property, Miscellaneous Revenues and Other Financing Sources make up 10.1% of the funding sources (\$487.3 million). Another 18.0% (\$873.2 million) comes from Charges for Current Services, Fees and Fines. Use of Fund Balance and Fund Balance Component Decreases supply 4.1% (\$196.6 million) of the funding sources.


Total Funding by Source
Fiscal Year 2012-13: \$4.85 billion



Finally, revenues in the Property and Other Taxes category received from property taxes, property tax in lieu of vehicle license fees, the Teeter program, sales and use tax, real property transfer tax, transient occupancy tax and miscellaneous other revenues account for 19.4% (\$938.3 million) of

the financing sources for the County's budget. The majority of the revenues in this category (95.3%) are in the General Fund with the balance in the Library Fund, the Road Fund and miscellaneous other funds.

Total Funding by Source Fiscal Years 2010-11 Through 2013-14



*Other Revenues include Other Financing Sources, Use of Money & Property and Miscellaneous Revenues.

Total Funding by Source (in millions)					
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	% Change	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
State Revenue	\$ 1,204.4	\$ 1,257.2	\$ 1,367.7	8.8	\$ 1,382.8
Federal Revenue	888.1	905.7	898.1	(0.8)	873.9
Other Intergovernmental Revenue	111.6	117.9	84.1	(28.7)	73.5
Operating Transfers and Other Financing Sources, Use of Money & Property & Misc. Revenues	534.3	475.8	487.3	2.4	400.2
Charges for Services, Fees, and Fines	859.3	854.6	873.2	2.2	859.8
Property & Other Taxes	932.8	939.5	938.3	(0.1)	945.4
FB Component Decrease	13.3	22.2	0.5	(97.5)	14.2
Use of Fund Balance	413.2	286.7	196.1	(31.6)	100.2
Total	\$ 4,957.0	\$ 4,859.6	\$ 4,845.2	(0.3)	\$ 4,650.0


Overall Change

The \$14.4 million decrease in the Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget is the net of increases in some funding sources and decreases in others. In the table on the previous page, State Revenue, Operating Transfers and Other Financing Sources and Charges for Services, Fees & Fine increase a combined \$140.5 million. Reductions totaling \$154.8 million are in the combined categories of Federal Revenue, Other Intergovernmental Revenue, Property and Other Taxes and Fund Balance Component Decrease/Use of Fund Balance. The General Fund Financing Sources section beginning on page 66 addresses significant revenue changes by source in the General Fund. Changes other than those in the General Fund are highlighted below.

Change by Source

State Revenue

State Revenue **increases by \$110.4 million or 8.8%** overall in Fiscal Year 2012-13.



There are increases in the Public Safety Group (PSG) of \$100.0 million, in the Health and Human Services Agency (HHSA) of \$10.1 million and in Finance Other of \$2.8 million, offset by decreases in the Community Services Group (CSG) of \$1.3 million and in the Land Use and Environment Group (LUEG) of \$1.2 million.

The \$100.0 million increase in PSG includes a \$24.0 million estimated increase in Proposition 172, *Local Public Safety Protection and Improvement Act of 1993*, sales tax revenues. Please see the General Fund Financing Sources section on page 66 for more information on Proposition 172 funding. The remaining General Fund increase of \$76.0 million is primarily the result of Public Safety Realignment 2011 implementation, which is also discussed in the General Fund Financing Sources section.

HHSA's increase of \$10.1 million is in the General Fund and

is discussed in that section.


In Finance Other, the \$2.8 million increase is in the Local Detention Facility Revenue account due to a reclassification from Other Intergovernmental revenue.

The \$1.4 million decrease in CSG is due to the elimination of prior year one-time funding in Registrar of Voters, offset by an increase in Housing and Community Development (HCD) for the CalHome Program General Loan Funding grant which are both discussed in the General Fund section.

LUEG's decrease of \$1.2 million is primarily due to completion of mobile source emissions incentives programs in the Air Pollution Control District (APCD) and in the Department of Public Works (DPW) mainly resulting from fewer State funded construction projects and a completed slope and drainage repair project. There are offsetting increases of \$0.4 million in the General Fund in DPW which is discussed in the General Fund section.

Federal Revenue


Federal Revenue **decreases by \$7.6 million or 0.8%** overall in Fiscal Year 2012-13.



A net decrease of \$5.8 million is in the General Fund, and is largely due to decreases in PSG, LUEG and CSG, offset by an increase in HHSA. See the General Fund Financing Sources section for additional details related to these changes. Outside of the General Fund, there is a total decrease of \$1.8 million primarily in LUEG, in DPW, due to funding reduction resulting from decreased work or completion of various projects such as Community Development Block Grant construction, 2010 Flooding Emergency, airport construction and highway projects in the Road Fund, as well as in APCD for the completion of mobile source emissions incentives programs, and in the Capital Program related to the elimination of prior year one-time funding in various capital projects.


Other Intergovernmental Revenue

Other Intergovernmental Revenue **decreases by a net of \$33.8 million or 28.7%** overall in Fiscal Year 2012-13.



The decreases are primarily in PSG and a small portion is in Finance Other, both of which are discussed in the General Fund Financing section. The decrease is offset by a net increase outside of the General Fund predominantly in the Capital Program, which is mainly from Aid from Redevelopment Successor Agencies, to partially fund the Cedar and Kettner Development project, offset by a reduction due to prior year one-time funding for the County Administration Center (CAC) Waterfront Park capital project. The balance of the net increase is in HHSa resulting from additional ambulance transport fees in County Service Areas (CSAs) 17 and 69.

Operating Transfers and Other Financing Sources, Use of Money & Property and Miscellaneous Revenues



■ Other Financing Sources (primarily Operating Transfers between funds) **decrease by a net of \$0.2 million or 0.04%**. The most significant changes include a decrease of \$36.1 million in the Capital Program and a decrease of \$4.8 million in LUEG, offset by increases in PSG of

\$23.5 million and in CSG of \$17.3 million. The net decrease in the Capital Program is primarily attributable to the elimination of prior year one-time funding for the development of the CAC Waterfront Park, the Women's Detention Facility, Sweetwater Lane Park Synthetic Turf, Agua Caliente Park and the Long-Term Animal Care Facility, offset by an increase in funding for the following projects: Cedar and Kettner Development, HHSa PSG and CSG Office Relocation, Agua Caliente Park Water, Sewer and Electrical Line Replacement, Edgemoor Development and the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility.

The decrease in LUEG is in DPW due to reduced revenue in capital improvement projects, Equipment Operations Internal Service Fund (ISF) and the Road Fund Equipment Acquisition Fund, and an additional reduction in the Department of Parks and Recreation in the General Fund due to reduced staff support in Recreation and Community Center Operations (CSAs).

An increase of \$23.5 million in PSG is largely due to an increase in Proposition 172, which supports regional law enforcement and detention services, due to increases in annual receipts and the use of prior year fund balance available in the Proposition 172 Special Revenue Fund. This also includes an increase in San Diego County Fire Authority of \$0.5 million as a result of the transfer of funds and a transition of operation activities to CSA 135.

The \$17.3 million increase in CSG is largely due to activities related to SDGE's "on bill financing" and California Energy Commission financing in General Services; in the County Successor Agency for transfers among Successor Agency funds; and in Purchasing and Contracting as a result of Documentum system maintenance and upgrade and for the rebudget of one-time projects.


- Revenue from Use of Money & Property **increases by a net of \$4.7 million or 8.7%** in Fiscal Year 2012-13. Changes include:
 - Increase of \$6.8 million in the Capital Program primarily in Edgemoor Development, CAC Waterfront Park and Cedar Kettner Development.
 - Increase of \$1.3 million in LUEG related to increased rental rates on equipment in the Equipment Operations ISF and on properties owned by County airports.
 - Increase of \$0.5 million in CSG primarily due to increased operating leases of County-owned properties.
 - Increase of \$0.3 million in PSG is in the General Fund which is further discussed in that section.

■ ■ ■ All Funds: Total Funding Sources

- Decrease of \$4.1 million in Finance Other, also in the General Fund due to declining interest rates on investments and deposits.
- Miscellaneous Revenues **increase by \$6.9 million or 19.6%**. The increases are primarily in the General Fund: \$6.4 million increase in PSG, \$0.9 million in LUEG and \$0.2 million in Finance and General Government (FGG), offset by \$1.5 million decrease in HHSA and \$0.1 million decrease in CSG. Please see the General Fund Financing section for more information.

Outside of the General Fund, there is a net increase of \$1.0 million: increase of \$1.1 million in PSG due to increased revenue from sales of commissary goods to inmates in the Sheriff; \$0.2 million increase in LUEG due to an increase in capital projects in the Road Fund; offset by a decrease of \$0.3 million in CSG resulting from reduced donations to the Vista library.

Charges for Services, Fees and Fines



- Charges for Current Services **increase by \$18.9 million or 2.5%**. Of this total, an increase of \$12.7 million is in FGG, primarily in the County Technology Office due to planned information technology (IT) services to various departments; an increase of \$5.5 million in CSG for services provided by General Services to client departments based on operational needs; an increase of \$2.0 million in Finance Other is due to additional charges to departments in the Workers' Compensation Employee Benefit ISF and Pension Obligation Bonds, offset by a


decrease in Public Liability ISF; an increase of \$0.5 million in HHSA additional revenue for nonresident transport fees in CSAs 17 and 69; and offset by a net decrease of \$1.5 million in LUEG, in DPW, which includes reduced funding from Transportation Impact Fees, Liquid Waste, Inactive Waste, Airport, Flood Control and Sanitation Districts.

In addition, a \$0.4 million net decrease is in the General Fund which includes: \$3.3 million increase in Finance Other and \$2.6 million increase in FGG; offset by decreases of \$3.1 million in HHSA, \$0.7 million in LUEG and \$2.5 million in PSG, all of which are discussed in the General Fund Financing section.

- Licenses, Permits & Franchises **decrease by \$0.3 million or 0.6%**. A net decrease of \$0.2 million is budgeted in LUEG, mainly in APCD to align budget with prior year actuals and due to re-categorizing of emission fees. \$0.1 million is in HHSA and is discussed in the General Fund Financing section.
- Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties **remain unchanged**.

Property and Other Taxes


Property and Other Taxes **decrease by \$1.2 million or 0.1%**.



The overall decreases are in DPW due to the completion of projects in the Road Fund funded by TransNet sales tax and in CSG for property taxes distributed from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund.

Fund Balance Component Decreases


The use of Fund Balance Component Decreases **decrease by \$21.6 million or 97.6%** compared to Fiscal Year 2011-12.



The decreases in this category are primarily in DPW due to the dissolution of sanitation districts and the consolidation of the San Diego County Sanitation District. Additional decreases are mainly in HHSA and CSG, which are discussed further in the General Fund Financing section.

Use of Fund Balance

Finally, the Use of Fund Balance **decreases by \$90.6 million or 31.6%** primarily in the General Fund due to the normal fluctuations in one-time projects, including prior year funding for the Integrated Property Tax System (IPTS) and Regional Communications System (RCS), as well as funding of a one-time negotiated salary adjustment.



See the Group/Agency section beginning on page 115 for the breakdown of financing sources by department.




Summary of General Fund Financing Sources


General Fund Financing Sources - Overview

The General Fund is the County's largest single and primary operating fund. It is used to account for all financial resources of the County except those required to be accounted for in other funds. In this Adopted Operational Plan, **General Fund Financing Sources total \$3.72 billion** for Fiscal Year 2012-13, a **\$23.9 million or 0.64% decrease** from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget. In comparison, the ten years average annual growth rate through Fiscal Year 2012-13 was 3.4%. The decrease in Fiscal Year 2012-13 is primarily due to a reduction in the use of one-time resources (fund balance) offset by growth in program revenues and a slight improvement in General Purpose Revenue. General Fund Financing Sources are expected to decrease by \$81.3 million or 2.2% in Fiscal Year 2013-14 primarily due to a further reduction in the use of one-time resources.

General Fund Financing Sources Fiscal Year 2012-13: \$3.72 billion



Total Funding by Source Fiscal Years 2010-11 Through 2013-14



*Other Revenues include Other Financing Sources, Use of Money & Property and Miscellaneous Revenues.


General Fund Financing Sources (in millions)					
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	% Change	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
State Revenue	\$ 940.7	\$ 981.7	\$ 1,069.7	9.0	\$ 1,078.6
Federal Revenue	874.0	890.3	884.5	(0.7)	862.2
Other Intergovernmental Revenue	98.2	98.5	61.9	(37.1)	58.1
Operating Transfers and Other Financing Sources, Use of Money & Property & Misc. Revenues	268.7	284.9	310.0	8.8	303.0
Charges for Services, Fees, & Fines	385.4	384.0	383.5	(0.1)	382.5
Property & Other Taxes	880.8	887.5	894.3	0.8	901.2
Fund Balance Component Decreases	4.6	5.9	0.5	(90.8)	14.2
Use of Fund Balance	286.8	210.1	114.5	(45.5)	37.8
Total	\$ 3,739.1	\$ 3,742.8	\$ 3,718.9	(0.6)	\$ 3,637.6

Summary of General Fund Financing Sources


General Fund Financing Sources by Category

The preceding section displayed General Fund Financing Sources by account type. This section looks at General Fund Financing Sources according to how they are generated. From that perspective, they can be categorized as one of three funding types: Program Revenue, General Purpose Revenue or Use of Fund Balance (including Fund Balance Component-Decreases).

General Fund Financing Sources by Category Fiscal Year 2012-13: \$3.72 billion




General Fund Financing by Group and Category Fiscal Year 2012-13: \$3.72 billion



In Fiscal Year 2012-13, General Purpose Revenue (GPR) increases by 0.3% (\$2.7 million), the planned use of fund balance declines by 46.7% (\$100.9 million) and Program Revenue increases by 2.9% (\$74.3 million) from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget. In Fiscal Year 2013-14, GPR is projected to increase by 0.8% (\$7.3 million), Program Revenue is projected to decline by 1.0% (\$25.7 million), and the planned use of fund balance is expected to decline by 54.8% (\$63.0 million). Uses of fund balance in Fiscal Year 2013-14 are tentative and subject to revision during the next Operational Plan development cycle.

General Fund Financing Sources by Category Fiscal Years 2010-11 Through 2013-14




General Fund Financing Sources by Category (in millions)					
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	% Change	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Program Revenues	\$ 2,493.3	\$ 2,562.4	\$ 2,636.8	2.9	\$ 2,611.1
Fund Balance Component Decreases / Use of Fund Balance	291.4	216.0	115.0	(46.7)	52.0
General Purpose Revenues	954.4	964.4	967.1	0.3	974.5
Total	\$ 3,739.1	\$ 3,742.8	\$ 3,718.9	(0.6)	\$ 3,637.6

General Fund - Program Revenue

Program Revenue, as the name implies, is dedicated to and can be used only for the specific programs with which they are associated. This revenue makes up 70.9% of General Fund Financing Sources in Fiscal Year 2012-13, and is derived primarily from State and federal subventions and grants, and from charges and fees earned from specific

programs. Of the County's Program Revenue, the Health and Human Services Agency manages 67.7%, the Public Safety Group manages 23.9% and the balance is managed across the County's other service delivery groups. Program Revenue is expected to increase by 2.9% (\$74.3 million) from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget compared to an average annual growth for the last ten years of 2.9%.

General Fund - Program Revenue by Source Fiscal Year 2012-13: \$2.64 billion



General Fund - Program Revenue by Source (in millions)					
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	% Change	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
State Revenue	\$ 935.7	\$ 976.8	\$ 1,062.0	8.7	\$ 1,070.8
Federal Revenue	872.9	889.2	883.4	(0.7)	861.1
Other Financing Sources	225.1	241.5	264.6	9.6	265.6
Charges For Current Services	290.5	290.4	289.9	(0.1)	290.2
Other Intergovernmental Revenue	68.6	63.6	29.8	(53.2)	25.8
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	35.4	34.8	35.1	0.7	31.9
Licenses, Permits & Franchises	30.1	31.3	31.0	(0.6)	32.8
Miscellaneous Revenues	25.6	24.7	30.5	23.5	22.4
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	9.3	10.2	10.5	2.9	10.6
Total	\$ 2,493.3	\$ 2,562.4	\$ 2,636.8	2.9	\$ 2,611.1


General Fund - Change in Program Revenue

The \$74.3 million increase in Program Revenue in the Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget is the net of increases and decreases in various funding sources. As indicated in the table on the previous page, State Revenue; Other Financing Sources; Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties; Miscellaneous Revenues and Revenue from the Use of Money & Property increase a combined \$114.6 million. Reductions totaling \$40.3 million are in the categories of Federal Revenue; Charges for Current Services; Other Intergovernmental Revenue; Taxes Other than Current Secured and Licenses, Permits & Franchises.

General Fund - Change in Program Revenue by Source

State Revenue

State Revenue **increases by \$85.2 million or 8.7%** in Fiscal Year 2012-13.



Of this total, the increase is largely due to \$76.0 million in the Public Safety Group (PSG) resulting from the implementation of Public Safety Realignment of 2011. Additionally, there are increases in the Health and Human Services Agency (HHSA) of \$10.1 million and in the Land Use and Environment Group (LUEG) of \$0.4 million, partially offset by a decrease in the Community Services Group (CSG) of \$1.4 million.


In HHSA, the increase of \$10.1 million is primarily the result of 2011 Realignment implementation affecting social services and mental health services programs.

The \$0.4 million increase in LUEG is in the Department of Public Works for Proposition 84 grants to implement an Integrated Regional Water Management plan to improve water quality.

The \$1.4 million decrease in CSG is primarily due to the elimination of one-time prior year funding in Help America Vote Act (HAVA) for voting booths, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) voting booths and Precinct Inspector supply bag/ballot box in the Registrar of Voters.

Federal Revenue

Federal Revenue **decreases by a net of \$5.8 million or 0.7%**.



It decreases in PSG by \$7.5 million, in LUEG by \$4.2 million and in CSG by \$1.6 million, partially offset by an increase in HHSA of \$7.4 million.

The net decrease in PSG of \$7.5 million is primarily due to the expiration of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) grant funded programs, decreases in the Criminal Alien Assistance Program, the Urban Areas Security Initiative, Community Oriented Policing (COPS), and the Operation Stonegarden Grant Program in the Sheriff's Department; and the Homeland Security Grant programs in both the Sheriff's Department and the Office of Emergency Services.

The \$4.2 million net decrease in LUEG is largely in the Department of Planning and Land Use (DPLU) primarily attributed to completion of grant projects for the Energy Efficiency Conservation Block Grants and in Environmental Health for Urban Areas and Homeland Security Initiatives for emergency response training and equipment.

The decrease of \$1.6 million in CSG is in Housing and Community Development (HCD) and is associated with reductions in Home Investment Partnership, in the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and various one-time prior year projects.

The net increase of \$7.4 million in HHSA is associated with the implementation of the Low Income Health Program (LIHP) and projected increase in funding for IHSS program and Aid for Adopted Children.

Other Financing Sources

Other Financing Sources (including Operating Transfers from Other Funds) **increase by a net of \$23.1 million or 9.6%**. There is an increase of \$22.9 million in PSG and \$0.3 million in CSG, offset by a decrease of \$0.1 million in LUEG.

The \$22.9 million increase in PSG is primarily due to funding from Proposition 172. Please see the following section for more information on Proposition 172 funding. The \$0.3 million increase in CSG is a result of energy-efficiency projects related to SDG&E's on-bill financing and California Energy Commission financing. The \$0.1 million decrease in LUEG is due to the transfer of staff support to PSG for County Service Areas.

Charges For Current Services

Charges For Current Services **decrease by \$0.4 million or 0.1%**. Revenues increase by \$3.4 million in Finance and General Government Group (FGG) and \$2.6 million in CSG, and are offset by decreases of \$3.1 million in HHSA, \$0.7 million in LUEG and \$2.5 million in PSG.

- The increases in FGG are in the Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk based on one-time initiatives for the integrated recording/vital records system, increases in E-recording revenue, Social Security Number Truncation revenue, marriage ceremonies, and recorded document fees; in FGG Administration related to external department overhead payments (A-87) for enterprise resource planning system support; in County Counsel due to an increase in project workloads; in the Clerk of the Board due to increases in passport application and photo services; and in the County Technology Office based on overall information technology expenditures of all county departments.
- The CSG increase is in the Registrar of Voters as a result of a greater number of billable jurisdictions participating in the Presidential General Election as compared to the Presidential Primary Election, as well as in Animal Services due to an increase in the contract cities' proportional share of the department's operational budget.
- In HHSA, the decreases are in Regional Operations associated with the San Diego First Five Commission contract ending the Early Childhood program; in Public Administrator/Public Guardian based on declining estate values; in Public Health Services due to the elimination of Urban Area Security Initiative grant allocation; and in Health Care Administration due to Third Party Reimbursement and a decrease in Child Abuse prevention fees.
- In LUEG, the decreases are in Environmental Health due to completion of projects associated with the Vector

Control Program Benefit Assessment funds; in Planning and Land Use related to economic conditions; and in Parks due to the reclassification of grant funds to Intergovernmental Revenues and the reduction of park and camping revenues.

- In PSG, the decreases are in Probation as a result of overall reductions in collections for cost of supervision and in the Sheriff based on Jail Bed Leasing due to decreased misdemeanor jail bookings by the City of San Diego.

Other Intergovernmental Revenue

Other Intergovernmental Revenue **decreases by \$33.9 million or 53.2%**. This decrease is largely in PSG predominantly in the Sheriff's Department related to the recategorizing of reimbursement for Trial Courts to State revenue resulting from the implementation of Public Safety Realignment of 2011 and in the San Diego County Fire Authority resulting from a revised projection of revenue for the Dead, Dying and Diseased Tree Grant Program. The decreases are partially offset by increases in CSG of \$0.4 million in HCD due to carried-forward prior year funding from Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA); and in LUEG of \$0.3 million in Parks and Recreation due to reclassification of grants from Charges to Current Services, as well as increased grant funding from Coastal Impact Assistance Program; and in Public Works related to Proposition 84, *Stormwater Grant Program*, grant to implement the Integrated Regional Water Management Plan.

Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties

Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties **increase by \$0.3 million or 0.7%**, primarily in PSG, to support maintenance and operation costs associated with juvenile institutional facilities in the Probation Department.

Licenses, Permits & Franchises

Licenses, Permits & Franchises **decrease by \$0.2 million or 0.7%**, primarily in HHSA, to align projected available revenue from the Domestic Violence Trust Fund. The decrease is offset by an increase in FGG which is the result of increased marriage license fees.

Miscellaneous Revenues


Miscellaneous Revenues **increase by \$5.8 million or 23.5%**. Increases include \$6.4 million in PSG due to a settlement to resolve litigation with San Diego Gas & Electric, increase from sales of commissary goods to inmates, and reimbursement for Regional Communications System expenditures; \$0.9 million in LUEG in Public Works due to an increase in fuel sales at the airport and the development of Business Case Management System (Accela) report-

ing tools in Environmental Health; and \$0.2 million in FGG to fund a Wellness Coordinator and due to increased reimbursement in Workers' Compensation Internal Service Fund. The increase is offset by a \$1.5 million decrease in HHS Behavioral Health Services due to the elimination of prior year one-time funding and \$0.1 million decrease in CSG in HCD for program income from long-term loan repayments.

Revenue from Use of Money & Property

Revenue from Use of Money & Property **increases by \$0.3 million or 2.9%**. The primary source of the increase is in PSG associated with the lease of the Otay Mesa Detention Facility.

Proposition 172 and 1991 Realignment Sales Tax Revenue Fiscal Year 2002-03 to Fiscal Year 2013-14



Note: 2002-03 to 2011-12 figures represent actual revenues. Fiscal Year 2012-13 and 2013-14 figures represent projected revenue as included in the Fiscal Year 2012-14 Adopted Operational Plan. Starting in 2011, the 1991 Realignment has been adjusted to exclude funding for Mental Health support that was transferred to the 2011 Realignment. Also beginning in 2011, CalWORKs funding has been incorporated into the 1991 Realignment.

Select General Fund Program Revenues

Following are some of the largest and most closely watched program revenues. Please see the individual Group and department sections beginning on page 115 for more specific information on the various other program revenues.

- **1991 Health and Social Services Realignment Revenues (\$279.3 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$284.3 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14)** are received from the State to support health and social services programs. The term 1991 Realignment refers to the transfer in 1991 of responsibility from the State to counties for certain health, mental health and social services programs, along with the provision of dedicated sales tax and vehicle license fee revenues to pay for these services. The 2011 State budget realigned various programs and the

revenues to support them. See the section below on the 2011 Realignment. Mental health programs and funding were shifted from the 1991 Realignment to 2011 Realignment. Starting in Fiscal Year 2011-12, additional CalWORKs funding was incorporated into the 1991 Realignment.

Between Fiscal Years 2002-03 and 2006-07, annual revenue growth averaged 5.0%. Revenues declined by an average 4.6% from the peak in 2006-07 of \$313.0 million through 2010-11.

These revenues are budgeted above the Fiscal Year 2011-12 budgeted amount by 5.5% (\$14.5 million) or 4.1% above prior year actuals (\$11.0 million). It is anticipated that these revenues will grow modestly in Fiscal Year 2013-14. The chart above shows the realized reve-

nues for Health and Social Services Realignment for Fiscal Years 2002-03 through 2011-12 and projected levels for Fiscal Years 2012-13 through 2013-14.

- **2011 Realignment** (Health and Human Services and Public Safety). As part of the Fiscal Year 2011-12 State of California budget plan, the legislature enacted a major shift or "realignment" of State program responsibilities and revenues to local governments. Legislative changes were included in Assembly Bills (AB) 109, 117 and 118 along with other implementing legislation associated with the State of California's Budget for Fiscal Year 2011-12. Due to the passage of AB 118, *Local Revenue Fund 2011*, the State replaced State General Funds with a portion of State sales tax and State and local Vehicle License Fee (VLF) revenues to local governments to fund various criminal justice, mental health, and social service programs beginning in Fiscal Year 2011-12. This is a significant shift from a known and committed amount of State funding for a broad range of programs to an estimated variable amount of sales tax which is remitted based on economic activity. The revenues provided for realignment are deposited into the Local Revenue Fund 2011 and allocated to specific accounts by statute. Additional changes are anticipated which would expand realignment further.
 - **Public Safety Realignment 2011 (\$106.7 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$116.0 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14)**. Funds allocated to the Local Community Corrections Account will support services required to address the transfer of responsibility for certain offenders from the State to the counties pursuant to AB 109, *Public Safety Realignment (2011)*, including supervision of offenders, costs associated with the custody of offenders, including food and medical costs and equipment and furnishings for the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility, and resources for services including mental health treatment, substance abuse treatment, and vocational and behavioral services.
- Public Safety Realignment 2011 also includes these accounts and related subaccounts: Juvenile Justice (Youthful Offender Block Grant and Juvenile Reentry), Local Community Corrections (AB 109), Local Law Enforcement Services (various programs), District Attorney and Public Defender (AB 109), and Trial Court Security.
- **Health and Human Services Realignment 2011 (\$200.7 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$200.7 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14)**.

Health and Human Services Realignment 2011 includes activities in Behavioral Health Services, Adult Protective Services, Child Abuse Prevention, Child Welfare Services, Foster Care, Adoptions, and the activities related to mental health programs shifted from 1991 Realignment.

- **Proposition 172 - Public Safety Sales Tax Revenues (\$220.5 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$226.6 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14)** support regionwide public safety services provided by three Public Safety Group departments - the Sheriff, District Attorney and Probation. The revenue source is a dedicated one-half cent of the statewide sales tax that was approved by the voters in 1993 and is distributed to counties based on the relative levels of taxable sales in each county to the total taxable sales in all qualified counties. Counties in turn distribute a portion of the Proposition 172 receipts to their cities according to ratios established pursuant to the Government Code.

Between Fiscal Years 2002-03 and 2005-06, annual revenue growth averaged 9.0%. Revenues declined by an average 3.1% from the peak in 2005-06 of \$236.4 million through 2010-11.

For Fiscal Year 2012-13, these revenues are budgeted above the Fiscal Year 2011-12 budgeted amount by 12.2% (\$24.0 million) but below prior year actuals by 1.7% (\$3.7 million) due to stronger than expected growth in Fiscal Year 2011-12 subsequent to budget development. It is anticipated that these revenues will grow modestly in Fiscal Year 2013-14. The chart on the preceding page shows the realized revenues for Proposition 172 for Fiscal Years 2002-03 through 2011-12 and projected levels for Fiscal Years 2012-13 through 2013-14. See, also, the discussion of General Purpose Revenue on page 77.

- **Tobacco Settlement Revenues (\$32.2 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$24.2 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14)** by Board of Supervisors Policy E-14, *Expenditure of Tobacco Settlement Revenue in San Diego County*, are dedicated to health care-based programs. These revenues are the result of the historic Master Settlement Agreement in 1998 between the California Attorney General and several other states and the four major tobacco companies. The agreement provided more than \$206 billion in Tobacco Settlement Payments over 25 years in exchange for the release of all past, present and future claims related to the use of tobacco products. California agreed to distribute its share of the settlement to its counties based on population.

To reduce the risk of nonreceipt of the Tobacco Settlement Payments, some counties and states opted to securitize these payments. Securitization is a process whereby the owner of the receivable sells the right to that income stream to a third party in exchange for an up-front payment. The County of San Diego helped to pioneer this process and deposited the net proceeds of \$412.0 million into the Tobacco Securitization Endowment Fund on a total securitization of \$466 million in January 2002 in exchange for its Tobacco Settlement Payments. These funds are spent pursuant to the Board of Supervisors Policy.

In May 2006, the County securitized additional anticipated receipts and added \$123.5 million to the endowment fund. These proceeds will enable the County to fund approximately \$27.5 million of health care programs annually through approximately 2034. The \$32.2 million budgeted in Fiscal Year 2012-13 reflects \$8.0 million in one-time, nonsecuritized Tobacco Settlement funds and \$24.2 million in Securitized Tobacco funds. Another \$3.3 million is budgeted and retained in the Tobacco Securitization Special Revenue Fund. \$3.1 million is set aside as an unallocated reserve and \$0.2 million is budgeted for processing costs in Fiscal Year 2012-13. A request will be submitted to the Board of Supervisors if the additional resources are needed.

General Fund - General Purpose Revenue

General Purpose Revenue (GPR) makes up 26.0% of the General Fund Financing Sources. Please see the separate discussion of General Purpose Revenue beginning on page 77.

General Fund - Use of Fund Balance/Fund Balance Component Decreases (previously Designations)

Use of Fund Balance, including Fund Balance Component Decreases, **(\$115.0 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$52.0 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14)**, represents 3.1% of General Fund Financing Sources in Fiscal Year 2012-13. Fund Balance is the result of careful management of resources Countywide in past years. It is both a resource that can be used for one-time expenses and one that

serves as a mitigation for unexpected events or requirements. By its nature, fund balance is not suitable for the support of ongoing operations.

The following list details the various General Fund - Use of Fund Balance budgeted for Fiscal Year 2012-13:

- Management reserves.
- Negotiated one-time 1% salary payment not covered by other funding sources.
- Reentry program in the District Attorney and Probation departments.
- Information Technology (IT) equipment purchase and installation in the District Attorney.
- Resources for Child Support Services to match available federal funds.
- Medical Examiner equipment replacement.
- Equipment and equipment replacement rebudget in Sheriff's.
- Fire Protection and Emergency Medical Services Grant Program for one-time capital needs for volunteer fire protection districts.
- Aerial Fire Suppression "Call When Needed" support.
- Radio communication equipment for the Probation Department.
- Defense of special circumstance cases rebudget.
- Graduate law clerks and temporary staff for the Public Defender.
- Public Defender support for the remaining term of certain lease costs associated with the discontinued contract for Family Dependency Services.
- San Diego County Fire Authority equipment replacement commitment, acquisition of a reserve fire engine, and various program prevention and response activities.
- One-time major maintenance.
- Mainframe system software support.
- One-time resources to support recruitment activities associated with Assembly Bill (AB) 109, *Public Safety Realignment (2011)*.
- Relocation efforts related to the County Operations Center capital project.
- Health and Human Services Juvenile Diversion Program support.
- Health and Human Services resources for transition from future State enacted cuts or economic downturn.
- Grand Avenue clinic sale proceeds commitment for Public Health.
- Childhood Obesity Initiative in Public Health.

Summary of General Fund Financing Sources


- Public Administration/Public Guardian (PA/PG) for one-time use to mitigate the projected decline in revenues and the continued reorganization of warehouse functions.
- HHSAs technological advancements to support *Live Well, San Diego!*
- Polaris all-terrain with vehicle spray equipment for pest management.
- Tribal liaison consultant and support rebudget.
- Firestorm 2007 rebuilding permit fee waiver rebudget.
- Environmental Health support for beach water quality monitoring rebudget.
- Green Building Program and Homeowner Relief Act Fee Waivers.
- Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) (North) Resource Management Plans to protect biological and cultural resources.
- Land Use implementation of workplan and associated General Plan amendments.
- Land Use rebudgets for Purchase of Agriculture Conservation Easements (PACE) program support, zoning ordinance update, Greenhouse Gas Guidelines Phase I, and code enforcement abatements.
- Land Use continuous improvement program and sponsor group training.
- Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) testing for Watershed Program.
- Traffic modeling support.
- Public Works rebudgets for Integrated Regional Water Management data management system grant match, Residential Integrated Pest Management program, and Proctor Valley Road closure.
- Downtown Justice Center Support Facilities Master Plan.
- Upgrade Fleet work order system to M5.
- Continuation of Vote-by-Mail program.
- Voice Response System rebudget
- Digital X-ray cameras for Carlsbad and Bonita animal shelters.
- Various information technology projects, such as:
 - Business Process Reengineering initiatives.
 - Probation Case Management System.
 - Accela for Mobile Office Implementation, CalAg-Permit System (CAPS), Agriculture Water Quality, and Pesticide Regulation Program and implementation costs for fee offset staff.
 - Business Case Management System - Accela rebudget for continued implementation, user acceptance testing, reporting tools and training.
 - Data imaging project rebudget.
 - Online Payment Solution Integration.
 - Parcel Genealogy, Business Intelligence and Asset Management applications rebudget.
 - Qmatic System Upgrade - Customer Routing in Land Development Process.
 - Web-based Reporting System for Waste Haulers rebudget.
 - Documentum - document digitization, re-architect and upgrade to version 6.7, Community Development files (rebudget).
 - Upgrades or replacement of BRASS, XenDocs, and Chargeback.
 - Transition of County Counsel's Case Management System.
 - Electronic Document Submittal/Review Automation for Land Use rebudget.
 - Justice Electronic Library System implementation to create electronic files for juvenile case management.
 - Information Technology Improvement Needs Assessment projects: Identity and Access Management, Mobile Enterprise Applications Platform, Mobility Device Management, Master Data Management, endpoint encryption.
 - Desktop virtualization.
 - Electronic Approval System and SharePoint enhancements and Documentum integration.
 - Transformation of Project Portfolio Management, Application Lifecycle Management, and Application Rationalization project to manage and identify existing software for replacement or upgrade.
 - Learning Management System database upgrade.
 - Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) short-term data storage and unanticipated project costs.
- Assessor Film Deterioration Project.
- Terminal leave for long-term staff.
- Workforce Academy for Youth (WAY) program.
- San Dieguito River Valley Open Space.
- Grants provided to community organizations.
- Capital projects including MSCP land purchases and Agua Caliente Campground Expansion and upgrades to sewer system and other utilities.

General Purpose Revenue

General Purpose Revenue by Source

General Purpose Revenue (GPR) represents approximately 26.0% of the General Fund's Financing Sources. This revenue comes from property taxes, property tax in lieu of vehicle license fees (VLF), the Teeter program, sales and use tax, real property transfer tax (RPTT), Aid from Redevelopment Successor Agencies, and miscellaneous other sources. It may be used for any purpose that is a legal expenditure of County funds. The Board of Supervisors, therefore, has the greatest flexibility in allocating this revenue. Details of the major components of General Purpose Revenue are discussed below.


General Purpose Revenue by Source Fiscal Year 2012-13: \$967.1 million



For the twelve-year period of Fiscal Year 1999-2000 through Fiscal Year 2011-12, GPR grew by an annual average of \$38.4 million. This is a decrease from the eleven-year average of \$41.4 million from Fiscal Year 1999-2000 through Fiscal Year 2010-11, and a decrease from the ten-year average of \$46.4 million from Fiscal Year 1999-2000 through Fiscal Year 2009-10. The national recession that began in December 2007 and ended in June 2009, along with the State and local downturn that corresponded with the national recession, have had a significant impact on


GPR. The U.S. economy is still continuing to recover from the deep recession. For Fiscal Year 2012-13, the \$967.1 million budgeted for GPR is an increase of \$2.7 million or 0.3% from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 budgeted amount of \$964.4 million. These resources are projected to increase slightly to \$974.5 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14. See the chart on the following page for a historical view of GPR. Also see the accompanying table for a summary by account of historical and projected GPR.

General Purpose Revenue History Fiscal Year 1999-00 to Fiscal Year 2013-14



Notes: General Purpose Revenue (GPR) for Fiscal Years 1999-00 through 2011-12 represents actual revenue. GPR projections for Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14 are included in the 2012-14 Adopted Operational Plan.


General Purpose Revenue by Source Fiscal Years 2010-11 Through 2013-14



General Purpose Revenue					
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	% Change	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Property Taxes Current Secured	\$ 477,192,517	\$ 487,158,053	\$ 490,625,867	0.7	\$ 494,058,561
Property Taxes Current Supplemental	3,044,869	1,874,015	3,291,750	75.7	3,324,668
Property Taxes Current Unsecured	17,338,957	16,781,591	16,522,894	(1.5)	16,459,773
Property Taxes Current Unsecured Supplemental	—	—	50,000	—	50,750
Total Property Tax Revenue	\$ 497,576,343	\$ 505,813,659	\$ 510,490,511	0.9	\$ 513,893,752
Total Property Tax In Lieu of VLF	\$ 301,837,082	\$ 302,159,104	\$ 301,668,991	(0.2)	\$ 304,584,143
Teeter Tax Reserve Excess	\$ 21,500,000	\$ 19,000,000	\$ 21,000,000	10.5	\$ 21,000,000
Teeter Prop Tax Cumulative Prior Years	6,204,217	4,376,700	3,769,926	(13.9)	3,524,803
Teeter Prop Tax Prior Year	12,554,621	9,542,387	7,756,580	(18.7)	7,687,415
Total Teeter Revenue	\$ 40,258,838	\$ 32,919,087	\$ 32,526,506	(1.2)	\$ 32,212,218
Sales & Use Taxes	\$ 14,999,966	\$ 15,993,213	\$ 18,406,197	15.1	\$ 18,958,383
In Lieu Local Sales & Use Tax	5,003,345	5,713,046	5,999,874	5.0	6,179,871
Total Sales & Use Tax / In Lieu of Sales Tax	\$ 20,003,311	\$ 21,706,259	\$ 24,406,071	12.4	\$ 25,138,254
State Aid HOPTR	\$ 4,985,000	\$ 4,910,000	\$ 4,882,356	(0.6)	\$ 4,882,356
Federal In-Lieu Taxes	1,056,408	1,100,000	1,081,818	(1.7)	1,081,818
Local Detention Facility Revenue	—	—	2,850,953	—	2,850,953
Aid From City of San Diego	5,222,553	5,222,553	2,371,600	(54.6)	2,371,600
Aid from Redevelopment Agencies/Aid from Redevelopment Successor Agencies	24,362,009	29,612,382	29,772,755	0.5	29,971,545
Total Intergovernmental Revenue	\$ 35,625,970	\$ 40,844,935	\$ 40,959,482	0.3	\$ 41,158,272
Property Taxes Prior Secured	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000	0.0	\$ 400,000
Property Taxes Prior Secured Supplemental	2,030,625	4,033,363	4,914,113	21.8	4,963,750
Property Taxes Prior Unsecured	300,000	300,000	250,000	(16.7)	250,000
Property Taxes Prior Unsecured Suppl.	200,000	350,000	400,000	14.3	400,000
Other Tax Aircraft Unsecured	3,045,000	3,451,000	2,609,264	(24.4)	2,648,402
Transient Occupancy Tax	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	0.0	2,500,000
Real Property Transfer Taxes	12,659,593	13,828,537	14,097,121	1.9	14,238,093
Franchises, Licenses, Permits	10,083,693	10,336,530	10,513,537	1.7	10,567,673
Fees, Fines & Forfeitures	2,124,258	2,064,742	2,221,017	7.6	2,265,437
Penalties & Cost Delinquency Taxes	17,102,675	15,161,049	14,693,668	(3.1)	14,774,950
Interest On Deposits & Investments	7,623,775	7,525,430	3,437,944	(54.3)	3,437,944
Interfund Charges/Miscellaneous Revenues	1,028,837	1,028,305	1,027,775	(0.1)	1,032,112
Total Other Revenues including RPTT	\$ 59,098,456	\$ 60,978,956	\$ 57,064,439	(6.4)	\$ 57,478,361
Total General Purpose Revenue	\$ 954,400,000	\$ 964,422,000	\$ 967,116,000	0.3	\$ 974,465,000


Property Tax Revenue

Property Tax Revenue, (**\$510.5 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$513.9 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14**), including current secured, current supplemental, current unsecured and current unsecured supplemental, represents 52.8% of the total General Purpose Revenue in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and 52.7% of the projected amount in Fiscal Year 2013-14.



(The term "current" refers to those taxes that are due and expected to be paid in the referenced budget year.) For Fiscal Year 2012-13, budgeted property tax revenue is \$4.7 million or 0.9% higher than the budget for Fiscal Year 2011-12. Property tax revenue is projected to increase 0.7% or \$3.4 million for Fiscal Year 2013-14. Property Tax Revenue in the State of California is a funding source for local governments and school districts and is based on ad valorem property taxation, whereby the amount due is calculated by applying a 1% tax rate to the assessed value of real property (land and improvements) and certain business personal property owned by tenants. The assessed value of property is tracked on the secured, unsecured and supplemental tax rolls. Counties generate the property tax bills and collect the tax payments on behalf of the taxing entities within their respective boundaries. In some cases, there are additional ad valorem taxes and special assessments approved by the voters, which are included on the tax bills as well. Property tax payment amounts received by the counties are then distributed to the various taxing entities.

San Diego County Locally Assessed Secured Property Values
Fiscal Year 2001-02 to Fiscal Year 2013-14



Notes: The projected locally assessed secured values assumes a -10% decline in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and 10% increase for Fiscal Year 2013-14.
Source: San Diego County Auditor and Controller

The assessed value of real property declined in 2009 and 2010 (following the credit crisis and economic downturn that began in 2007) and it grew marginally in 2011. For 2012, weakness in residential markets is contributing to a 1% projected and budgeted decline in assessed value of real property overall.

- **Current Secured** property tax revenue (**\$490.6 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$494.1 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14**) is budgeted to increase by \$3.5 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 from the adopted level for Fiscal Year 2011-12.

This revenue is generated from the secured roll, that part of the roll containing real property, including residential and commercial property as well as State-assessed public utilities. The Fiscal Year 2012-13 revenue amount assumes a decline of 1% in the local secured assessed value compared to the actual current secured assessed value amount for 2011-12 (which was an approximate 0.4% increase from the prior year), but it also makes certain assumptions regarding the County's share of countywide property tax revenues, the delinquency rate and the amount of tax roll corrections and refunds on prior year assessments.

In Fiscal Year 2012-13, refunds and corrections combined are projected to decline to \$7.5 million compared to the Fiscal Year 2010-11 actual level of \$19.4 million and the Fiscal Year 2011-12 actual level of \$16.6 million because a significant portion of the decrease in property values since 2007 will have been captured and reflected in the total value of the 2012 tax assessment roll. While refunds and corrections are expected to decline, there is a risk that refunds and corrections may exceed budgeted levels due to commercial property assessment reviews currently in progress. The anticipated reduction in refunds and corrections will not increase current secured revenue as refunds and corrections were budgeted at \$5.8 million in Fiscal Year 2011-12. The timing and amount of these potential resultant refunds is unknown and will be monitored closely.

The expected increase in revenue of \$3.5 million or 0.7% is the net result of the budgeted increase in refunds and corrections compared to budget, the decrease in the budgeted current secured assessed value amount, an improvement in the delinquency rate, and the fact that the assessed value assumption used to develop the budget in Fiscal Year 2011-12 improved to a positive 0.4%. Specifically, the Fiscal Year 2011-12 current secured revenue assumed a 0.5% decrease in the local secured assessed value over the actual current secured assessed value amount for 2010-11. However, the actual current secured assessed value increased by 0.4%. Further, as noted above, for Fiscal Year 2012-13, local secured assessed value was budgeted to decline by 1.0%. The Fiscal Year 2012-13 actual change in local secured assessed value resulted in a 0.3% decline (gross less regular exemptions). This adjustment would generally contribute to a positive variance to budget, but

it is expected to be offset by additional corrections and refunds in Fiscal Year 2012-13. For Fiscal Year 2013-14, local secured assessed value is assumed to grow by 1.0% and the County's share of total property tax revenues is expected to increase based on this anticipated growth.

- **Current Supplemental** property tax revenue (**\$3.3 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$3.3 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14**) is budgeted to increase by \$1.4 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 from the adopted level in Fiscal Year 2011-12. This revenue is derived from net increases to the secured tax roll from either new construction or changes in ownership that occur subsequent to the January 1 lien date and are, therefore, more difficult to predict. These actions are captured on the supplemental roll. The slowdown in new construction and the decline in real estate prices have been acutely felt in supplemental property tax revenues. In many change of ownership transactions, instead of a property owner being billed for an additional amount of property tax because the value of the property after the transaction is higher than the value as of the lien date, the property owner receives a refund because the value is lower than it was on the lien date.

In Fiscal Year 2005-06, supplemental refunds countywide totaled \$4.0 million. They increased to \$6.2 million in Fiscal Year 2006-07, increased to \$15.0 million in 2007-08, and exceeded \$38.3 million in Fiscal Year 2008-09. Supplemental refunds dropped to \$21.6 million in Fiscal Year 2009-10 and dropped to \$15.3 million in Fiscal Year 2010-11. In Fiscal Year 2011-12, supplemental refunds actually increased to \$17.2 million. However, they are anticipated to continue to decline gradually over time as residential and commercial assessed values improve.

Current supplemental property tax revenues were \$29.5 million in Fiscal Year 2005-06. They dropped to \$23.4 million in Fiscal Year 2006-07, to \$14.0 million in Fiscal Year 2007-08, to \$2.4 million in Fiscal Year 2008-09, and to \$1.9 million in Fiscal Year 2009-10. In Fiscal Year 2010-11, Current Supplemental property tax revenues were \$3.9 million and in Fiscal Year 2011-12, Current Supplemental property tax revenues were \$3.5 million. The budget assumes that this weakness will continue through the next two fiscal years with the Fiscal Year 2012-13 amount being \$3.3 million, followed by \$3.3 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14.

- **Current Unsecured** property tax revenue (**\$16.5 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$16.5 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14**) is not based on a lien on real property. The


General Purpose Revenue

unsecured roll is that part of the assessment roll consisting largely of business personal property owned by tenants. The roll is forecasted based on trends and available information at the time the budget is developed. A marginally more conservative projection is used for Fiscal Year 2013-14.

- Current Unsecured Supplemental** property tax revenue (**\$0.1 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$0.1 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14**) is derived from supplemental bills that are transferred to the unsecured roll when a change in ownership occurs. There may be a subsequent change in ownership following the initial change in ownership or completion of new construction, which occurs prior to the mailing of the initial supplemental tax bill. When this occurs, the bill is prorated and a portion of the original supplemental tax bill that is attributable to the initial change in ownership or completion of new construction becomes an unsecured supplemental tax bill. Historically, this revenue category has not been budgeted because the actual amount of revenue received has been low.

Property Tax in Lieu of Vehicle License Fees (VLF)

Property Tax in Lieu of Vehicle License Fees (VLF) **comprises 31.2% (\$301.7 million) of the General Purpose Revenue amount in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and 31.3% of the projected amount (\$304.6 million) in Fiscal Year 2013-14.**



Beginning in Fiscal Year 2004-05, this revenue source replaced the previous distribution of vehicle license fees to local governments. Per the implementing legislation, revenue levels for this funding source are based on the growth or reduction in net taxable unsecured and local secured assessed value. With a projected and budgeted 1% decline


in taxable unsecured and local secured assessed value in Fiscal Year 2012-13, revenues are anticipated to be \$0.5 million lower than what was budgeted for Fiscal Year 2011-12. The decline is partially offset by the actual assessed value increase in Fiscal Year 2011-12 of 0.3% compared to a budgeted reduction of 0.5%. The Fiscal Year 2013-14 revenue is estimated using a 1.0% assessed value growth calculation.

Teeter Revenue

Teeter Revenue (**\$32.5 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$32.2 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14**) represents about 3.4% of General Purpose Revenue in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and 3.3% of the projected amount in Fiscal Year 2013-14. For Fiscal Year 2012-13, budgeted Teeter Revenue is \$0.4 million or 1.2% less than the budget for Fiscal Year 2011-12.

In Fiscal Year 1993-94, the County adopted the alternative method of secured property tax apportionment available under Chapter 3, Part 8, Division 1, of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California (also known as the "Teeter Plan"). This alternative method provides for funding each taxing entity included in the Teeter Plan (named after its author) with its total secured property taxes and special assessments during the year for which the taxes are levied, regardless of whether all taxes due were paid by the property owner in that year. Under this plan, the County advances funds to these entities to cover the unpaid (delinquent) taxes (the "Teetered taxes"). The County's general fund benefits from this plan by being entitled to future collections of penalties and interest that are also due once the delinquent taxes are paid.

Teeter Revenue is projected based on the anticipated collection of the County's portion of the Teetered taxes from the prior year and cumulative prior years as well as the interest and penalty payments, which appear in the Teeter Tax Loss Reserve Excess account. See the table on page 79 for the amount of revenue pertaining to each of these three components. A legal requirement of the Teeter Plan requires the County to maintain a tax loss reserve fund to cover losses that may occur if delinquent taxes are not paid and the property goes into default and is sold for less than the outstanding taxes and assessments. Throughout the year, all interest and penalties collected on Teetered secured and supplemental property taxes are first deposited into the Teeter Tax Loss Reserve Fund. Any excess amounts above 25% of the total delinquent secured taxes and assessments may be transferred to the general fund.




For Fiscal Year 2012-13, collections from previous years' receivables are budgeted to decrease by \$2.4 million based on the size of the outstanding annual receivables and anticipated collection trends and market conditions. In Fiscal Year 2012-13, excess amounts from the Teeter Tax Loss Reserve Fund are budgeted to increase from the \$19.0 million in the Fiscal Year 2011-12 budget to \$21.0 million. They are also projected at \$21.0 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14.

Sales & Use Tax Revenue

Sales & Use Tax Revenue (**\$24.4 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$25.1 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14**) represents about 2.5% of General Purpose Revenue in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and 2.6% of the projected amount in Fiscal Year 2013-14. This revenue is derived from taxable sales by retailers who sell or rent tangible personal property in unincorporated areas of the county or from use taxes from consumers who purchase tangible personal property from out of state. Use taxes are also imposed on the storage, use, lease or other consumption of tangible personal property at any time a sales tax has not been paid by the retailer. The amounts shown in the table on page 79 reflect the combined Sales and Use Tax revenues and the In Lieu Local Sales & Use Tax replacement funding that will be transferred to the County from the Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF).

The ERAF was established in 1992-93 and 1993-94 in response to serious State budgetary shortfalls. The legislature and administration permanently redirected over \$3 billion of property taxes from cities, counties and special districts to schools and community college districts. These redirected funds reduced the state's funding obligation for K-14 school districts by a commensurate amount.

The In Lieu Local Sales & Use Tax is referred to as the "triple flip" and was effective July 1, 2004. Assembly Bill (AB) 7 XI, *California Fiscal Recovery Financing Act*, one of the 2003-04 State budget bills, enabled the State to redirect one-quarter cent of the local sales and use tax to the State to repay up to \$15.0 billion in bonds authorized by Proposition 57 (2004), *Economic Recovery Bond Act*, to help the State refinance its past debt. In turn, the lost local sales tax revenues are replaced on a dollar-for-dollar basis with countywide property tax revenues shifted back from the ERAF.




Sales & Use Tax revenue, in the county, had been growing moderately through Fiscal Year 2007-08 in concert with population growth and new retail business formation in the unincorporated areas of the county. However, the recession, housing market declines and unemployment trends negatively impacted taxable sales at the statewide, Southern California and San Diego regional level. Sales and Use Tax revenue started to improve during calendar year 2010 with year-over-year quarterly increases in all four quarters. This trend continued throughout calendar year 2011. Fiscal Year 2011-12 Sales and Use Tax revenue increased by \$3.3 million or 15.4% compared to budget and \$2.6 million or 11.6% compared to Fiscal Year 2010-11 actuals. For Fiscal Year 2012-13, the amount is budgeted to increase by \$2.7 million or 12.4% above the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget. Sales Tax growth in Fiscal Year 2013-14 is anticipated to be \$0.7 million or 3.0% over Fiscal Year 2012-13.

Intergovernmental Revenue

Intergovernmental Revenue (**\$41.0 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$41.2 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14**) comprises 4.2% of the General Purpose Revenue amount in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and 4.2% of the projected amount in Fiscal Year 2013-14.

General Purpose Revenue




For Fiscal Year 2012-13, the amount budgeted is \$0.1 million or 0.3% above the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget. Funding from various intergovernmental sources include Redevelopment Successor Agencies, the City of San Diego (pursuant to a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) related to the County's Central Jail), the federal government (Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILT) for tax-exempt federal lands administered by the Bureau of Land Management, the National Park Service, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service), and the State of California (reimbursement to the County for the Homeowner's Property Tax Relief (HOPTR) program). Under the HOPTR program, homeowners are exempted from paying property taxes on the first \$7,000 of the assessed value of their personal residence and the State reimburses local taxing entities for the related loss of revenue.

The largest portion of this funding is from aid from Redevelopment Successor Agencies. Redevelopment agencies were dissolved by the California legislature in ABX1 26 on June 28, 2011. The California Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the dissolution on December 29, 2011. The Court extended the date of dissolution to February 1, 2012. Based on Section 34183 of the Health and Safety Code, the county auditor-controller shall remit from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund to each local agency and school entity an amount of property tax revenues in an amount equal to that which would have been received under Section 33401, 33492.14, 33607, 33607.6, 33607.7 or 33676. Residual funds not allocated for specific purposes will be distributed to local taxing agencies under Section 34183 of the Health and Safety Code, but the specific amount for Fiscal Year 2012-13 will not be finalized until later in the year.

Other Revenues

Other Revenues for **Fiscal Year 2012-13 total \$57.1 million and increase to \$57.5 million in Fiscal Year 2013-14**, and it is approximately 5.9% of the total General Purpose Revenue amount in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and 5.9% of the projected amount in Fiscal Year 2013-14. The Fiscal Year 2012-13 amount represents a 6.4% or \$3.9 million decrease from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget.




Various revenue sources make up this "Other Revenues" category including Real Property Transfer Tax (RPTT), interest on deposits, fees, fines and forfeitures, prior year property taxes, penalties & cost delinquency taxes, franchise fee revenue, cable and video licenses and other miscellaneous revenues.

The largest component of this revenue category for Fiscal Year 2012-13, at \$14.7 million, is Penalties & Cost Delinquency Taxes. These revenues are received as a result of penalties assessed on the late payment of current year taxes (those taxes paid late, but before the end of the fiscal year). The second largest component of Other Revenues, RPTT, is a leading indicator of local economic strength. RPTT revenue for Fiscal Year 2012-13 is budgeted at \$14.1 million, a 1.9% (\$0.3 million) increase from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget, reflecting a continued improvement in receipts compared to the substantial slowing and overall volatility that began in fall 2008. Revenues are projected to improve by \$0.1 million or 1.0% in Fiscal Year 2013-14 with an assumption that property re-sales will continue to improve, but only modestly. RPTT is paid when any lands, tenements or other realty exceeding \$100 in value are sold and granted, assigned, transferred or conveyed to the purchaser. The tax rate, set by the State, is \$0.55 per \$500 of assessed valuation. The County realizes 100% of the revenues from transactions in the unincorporated area and 50% of the revenues from transactions in the incorporated areas.

Allocation of General Purpose Revenue by Group/Agency

General Purpose Revenue (GPR) is allocated annually to fund County services based on an analysis of available program revenues, federal/State service delivery obligations and the priorities and strategic direction set by the Board of Supervisors. While the Fiscal Year 2012-13 budget for the Public Safety Group represents 30.3% of total County expenditures, the allocation of GPR for services in that Group equals 60.0% of the total GPR. By contrast, the Health and Human Services Agency's budget represents 39.8% of total County expenditures but, because of significant amounts of funding from program revenues, it is allocated only about 6.6% of the total GPR. As noted above, the total amount of GPR increases in the Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget by \$2.7 million.

**General Purpose Revenue Allocation
by Group/Agency
Fiscal Year 2012-13: \$967.1 million**



The allocation of GPR for Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14 reflects a multi-year strategy to managing County resources within the recovering economic environment, which began to show signs of stabilization and gradual recovery in Fiscal Year 2010-11 and continued in Fiscal Year 2011-12 despite weakness in current secured assessed values. The primary goals of this strategy are to preserve core public safety and nonpublic safety services, maintain the commitment to the County's capital program, and address increases in contributions to the retirement fund.

Although various aspects of the economic environment are described elsewhere in this document, the following is a brief summary of trends. The total assessed value of real estate in the County fell in Fiscal Years 2009-10 and 2010-11, and grew marginally in Fiscal Year 2011-12. In Fiscal Year 2012-13, assessed value declined marginally again.


In **Fiscal Year 2012-13, GPR is budgeted at \$967.1 million**, a slight improvement from Fiscal Year 2011-12, which was budgeted at \$964.4 million, but still a significant drop from a high of \$1.01 billion in Fiscal Year 2008-09. More

General Purpose Revenue

detail on the assessed value changes are described earlier in the General Purpose Revenue section. Also important are increases in the required contributions to the retirement fund driven by the 25.7% loss in the retirement fund's market value in Fiscal Year 2008-09. The annual retirement contributions are budgeted to increase in Fiscal Year 2012-13 by 17.4% and in Fiscal Year 2013-14 by 15.7%. However, in Fiscal Year 2012-13 the negotiated decrease in the County portion of the employee paid retirement offset effective July

1, 2012 partially mitigates the increase. The Fiscal Year 2012-13 net employer contributions to the retirement fund are budgeted to increase by 9.1%. Contributions are expected to continue to increase significantly through Fiscal Year 2014-15; however, the annual rate of increase beyond Fiscal Year 2012-13 is not certain. Future contribution rates will be driven by the actual performance of the retirement fund. However, it is prudent to plan with these possibilities in mind.

General Purpose Revenue Allocations by Group/Agency Fiscal Years 2010-11 Through 2013-14



General Purpose Revenue Allocations by Group/Agency (in millions)					
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	% Change	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Public Safety	\$ 530.5	\$ 563.9	\$ 579.9	2.8	\$ 609.8
Health & Human Services	62.0	62.9	63.4	0.9	65.0
Land Use & Environment	54.6	42.5	43.6	2.6	45.4
Community Services	18.5	18.7	19.3	1.5	19.8
Finance & General Government	108.2	109.8	111.5	1.5	114.9
Finance Other	180.6	166.6	149.4	(10.3)	119.6
Total	\$ 954.4	\$ 964.4	\$ 967.1	0.3	\$ 974.5

The significant drop in retail sales statewide driven by economic contraction caused Proposition 172 and 1991 Realignment revenues to plummet from highs of \$236.4 million for Proposition 172 in Fiscal Year 2005-06 and \$313.0 million for 1991 Realignment in Fiscal Year 2006-07. Fiscal Year 2011-12 Proposition 172 budgeted revenues are still down \$39.9 million from the 2005-06 peak but are anticipated to improve in Fiscal Year 2012-13 growing by \$24.0 million from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 budgeted level. In Fiscal Year 2011-12, 1991 Realignment budgeted revenues are down \$48.2 million from the 2006-07 high but are also anticipated to recover by \$14.5 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13.

The resource management strategy to address these issues over the next two years is summarized as follows:

- The Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget factors in the County's labor agreements and assumes salaries will remain at the Fiscal Year 2011-12 levels except for changes due to step or merit increases. In Fiscal Year 2012-13, employees in the CL, CM, FS, HS and SW bargaining units will receive a negotiated one-time 1% payment estimated at \$3.0 million. Flex credits will increase 5% as of January 1, 2013. The County portion of the employee paid retirement offset will be further reduced in Fiscal Year 2012-13 by \$17.0 million.
- Shifts \$15.9 million from the pension stabilization fund in Fiscal Year 2012-13 to the five operating groups to fund the net cost increase in required retirement fund contributions and negotiated flex credit increases.
- Initially, \$16.3 million of fund balance was allocated for public safety services in the Public Safety Group (PSG)

as a placeholder. The PSG Proposition 172 Fund stabilization strategy was refined for Fiscal Year 2012-13 now that the revenue picture has become clearer. This revised strategy nearly eliminates the \$16.3 million placeholder using a combination of Proposition 172 revenue growth and \$1.4 million Proposition 172 fund balance generated in Fiscal Year 2011-12.

- The Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget also factors in the County's labor agreements and assumes no negotiated wage increases, except for the DSA bargaining unit. The Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget includes a 1% negotiated wage increase for the DSA bargaining unit effective June 28, 2013 and a negotiated increase in flex credits effective January 1, 2014.
- Shifts \$37.2 million from the pension stabilization fund in Fiscal Year 2013-14 to the five operating groups to fund the net cost increase in required retirement fund contributions.
- Uses \$13.0 million of the Realignment fund balance commitment in Fiscal Year 2013-14 to offset the anticipated shortfall in realignment revenues compared to the County's obligation to fund a share of the costs for certain health and social services programs. This amount is tentative and dependent upon the direction of the economy over the next year.

Further detail on GPR allocations is provided in the Group and department sections that begin on page 115. The previous charts and table show the amount of General Purpose Revenue allocated to support each Group/Agency for Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14 compared to the two prior fiscal years.



Summary of Financial Policies

Background

The County of San Diego has long been recognized for its strong financial management practices. The Government Finance Officers Association has recognized the County for its annual financial report with the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting and for its budget document with the Distinguished Budget Presentation Award. The following is an overview of various policies that the County adheres to in its financial management practices and uses to guide the County's budgetary decision making process.

Financial Planning and Budget

The County is actively engaged in financial and strategic planning activities. As discussed previously, the General Management System is the framework that guides County operations as is set forth in **Board of Supervisors Policy A-136, Use of County of San Diego General Management System for Administration of County Operations**.

- With the GMS as a guide for fiscal management practices, the County will:
 - Maintain fiscal stability to ensure the ability to provide services that customers rely on, in good times and in bad. All departments share in the responsibility of ensuring fiscal stability for the County.
 - Ensure that the financial management activities of the County support structural balance between ongoing revenues and expenditures.
 - Use the Strategic Plan as a guide to develop an annual five-year financial forecast to review primary cost drivers, service needs and available funding sources, which will lay the foundation for the upcoming Operational Plan.
 - Annually develop a structurally balanced two-year Operational Plan, the first year of which is formally adopted by the Board of Supervisors as the County's budget and the second year is accepted as a tentative plan.
 - ◆ California Government Code §29009 requires a balanced budget, defined as "the funding sources shall equal the financing uses," in the recommended, adopted and final budgets.
 - ◆ A structurally balanced budget means that ongoing, not one-time, resources are used to fund ongoing costs.

- Conduct quarterly financial reporting processes to allow County managers to appropriately address changes in the external economic or internal financial conditions of the organization. At no time shall total expenditures exceed total appropriations; a budget amendment must be submitted and approved by the Board of Supervisors.
- Develop and use performance measures to monitor progress and ensure that the County is on track to achieve its goals.

Revenues

- As a political subdivision of the State of California, the County has all the powers specifically stated and necessarily implied in general law and the County Charter, including the power to assess, levy and collect taxes.
- The County shall review and evaluate revenues from all sources in order to maximize these revenues within existing legal provisions - **County Administrative Manual 0030-22, Revenue Management: Auditor and Controller Responsibilities**. The Auditor and Controller will:
 - Devise and monitor the goals and objectives of a revenue management program within policy guidelines prescribed by the Board of Supervisors. This includes a periodic review of the County's financial condition in order to ensure that the County's financial sources (revenues) are sufficient to meet anticipated obligations.
 - Develop annual revenue estimates for the development of the Operational Plan relating to revenues under control of the Chief Administrative Officer.
 - Ensure that full cost is recovered from fees, grants and revenue contracts to the extent legally possible. If not, the reasons for recovery of less than full cost will be documented and disclosed.
- All revenues received by the County identified as "one-time" revenues will only be appropriated for "one-time" expenditures - **County Administrative Manual 0030-14, Use of One-Time Revenues**.
- County departments will seek to recover the full cost of all services they provide to agencies or individuals outside the County of San Diego organization on a contractual or fee basis or when obtaining grant funding. Exceptions to this policy require specific Board of Supervisors approval for the nonreimbursed costs - **Board of Supervisors Policy B-29, Fees, Grants, Revenue Contracts - Department Responsibility for Cost Recovery**.

- Full cost is defined as the sum of direct costs plus departmental overhead costs plus external indirect costs as calculated pursuant to the federal Office of Management and Budget Circular A-87 cost plan for the County.
(http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/assets/agencyinformation_circulars_pdf/a87_2004.pdf)
- All proposed grant funding requests must be certified by the department head as being worthy of funding with County resources if external financing was unavailable.
- Funding sources that will require a revenue match from the County General Fund shall be limited to the designated match level mandated as a condition of funding.
- The establishment of fees, and subsequent changes to fees, will be done by ordinance or resolution at regularly scheduled meetings of the Board of Supervisors. Fees are to be deposited or paid in advance of delivery or completion of services. All fee schedules will be reviewed annually or more frequently, if warranted, to allow for full cost recovery.
- The Chief Administrative Officer shall review all proposed new or changed fee schedules, grant applications and revenue contracts from an overall policy perspective before they are submitted to the Board of Supervisors for action. The County Counsel shall review all revenue contracts to ensure that the County's interests are protected.
- During the budget development process, selected departments may be asked to analyze services, either County operated or contracted, to determine if the quality, economy and productivity are equal to that of an alternative delivery method, including other government agencies, and to determine how the revenues can be maximized so the highest level or volume of services can be provided - **Board of Supervisors Policy B-63, Competitive Determination of Optimum Service Delivery Method**).
- There are three basic categories of funding sources for County programs and services - Program Revenue, General Purpose Revenue and fund balance.
 - Program Revenue may be received in the form of fees paid by customers for a particular service or may be received as a subvention or grant from the State or federal government based on qualifying services being provided to local residents. For purposes of constructing the Operational Plan, Program Revenue is defined to also include all revenue received by special funds.
 - General Purpose Revenue may be used to provide for any service that is within the legal purview of the County. It is used to match federal or State program revenues where required and to fund mandated and discretionary services where either no program revenue or insufficient program revenue is received. General Purpose Revenue shall be budgeted only after all other funding sources for those services are taken into account.
 - Fund balance results from an excess of revenues over expenditures in prior fiscal years. Fund balance is used to support one-time projects only, not ongoing services.
- Revenue received from the Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement (1998) shall be allocated to support a comprehensive tobacco control strategy, to increase funding for health care-based programs, and to supplement, but not replace, existing health care revenue — **Board of Supervisors Policy E-14, Expenditure of Tobacco Settlement Revenue in San Diego County**.
- All County funds shall be established according to the procedures set forth in **County Administrative Manual 0030-18, Establishing Funds and Transfer of Excess Cash Balances to the General Fund**. Interest earned on all funds is deposited to the General Fund, unless specific legislation, codes or Board of Supervisors action directs otherwise.

Expenditures

- The Chief Administrative Officer supervises the expenditures of all departments and reports to the Board of Supervisors whether those expenditures are necessary - County Charter, Article VII, Section 703.4.
- Changes during the year to the adopted budget are permitted by State law with approval by the Board of Supervisors or, in certain instances, by the Auditor and Controller.
- Appropriation transfers of any amount between objects within a budget unit may be processed by the Auditor and Controller except when the transfer would have actual or potential programmatic impacts or is to or from Capital Projects, Road Projects or Operating Transfers between departmental budget units. Programmatic impact is defined as a change in program emphasis (e.g., due to shifts in workload or new opportunities), staffing or method of service delivery from the adopted budget. Appropriation transfer requests that fall within the exception categories require approval from the



Board of Supervisors — **County Administrative Manual 0030-10**, *Transfers of Appropriations between Objects within a Budget Unit*.

- As a general policy, the County does not backfill programmatic funding eliminated by the State of California.
- Contracts for services, when properly issued and administered, are an approved method to accomplish County program objectives. Pursuant to County Charter §§703.10 and §916, the County may employ an independent contractor if it is determined that the services can be provided more economically and efficiently than by persons employed in the Classified Service. The County may enter into contracts for services based upon conditions and methods as set forth in **Board of Supervisors Policy A-81**, *Procurement of Contract Services*.
- The County shall procure items or services on a competitive basis unless it is in the County's best interests not to use the competitive procurement process. The competitive procurement requirements may be satisfied through conducting either (a) formal bidding or (b) competitive negotiated procurement. Definitions and guidelines for exemptions and exceptions are outlined in **Board of Supervisors Policy A-87**, *Competitive Procurement*.
- The County will establish appropriations for the Community Enhancement Program at a level approximately equal to the amount of Transient Occupancy Tax revenues estimated to be collected each fiscal year. Each of the five Board of Supervisors office is allocated 20% of the total program amount for purposes of recommending grant awards to community organizations based on eligibility criteria and application guidelines — **Board of Supervisors Policy B-58**, *Funding of the Community Enhancement Program*.
- All appropriations available for the Neighborhood Reinvestment Program will be included annually in the County's Operational Plan. Resources available may vary and may range up to \$10.0 million subject to the budget priorities of the Board of Supervisors as detailed in **Board of Supervisors Policy B-72**, *Neighborhood Reinvestment Program*.

Reserves

- The County provides a wide variety of services that are funded by a number of revenue sources. The County must be prepared for unforeseen events that could result in additional expenditure requirements or loss of revenue by establishing and maintaining prudent levels of fund balance and reserves.
- Pursuant to **Board of Supervisors Policy B-71**, *Fund Balance and Reserves*, the County will maintain fund balances and reserves in the General Fund to support fiscal health and stability including:
 - *Fund Balance Committed for Unforeseen Catastrophic Events*
 - *General Fund Contingency Reserve*
 - *General Fund Minimum Fund Balance for Economic Uncertainty*
- The Board of Supervisors may waive the requirement to maintain fund balance and reserve amounts at the targeted levels if it finds that it is in the best interest of the residents of the County to so do.
- In the event that the Commitment for Unforeseen Catastrophic Events, the Contingency Reserve or General Fund Minimum Fund Balance for Economic Uncertainty falls below targeted levels, the Chief Administrative Officer will present a plan to the Board of Supervisors for restoration to those targeted levels.
- For additional details on County Reserves, refer to page 95, Reserves and Resources.

Debt Management

- The County adopted **Board of Supervisors Policy B-65**, *Long-Term Financial Obligation Management Policy*, to ensure sound financial management. The Policy governs the County's entry into financial obligations that exceed one fiscal year.
- The County may issue Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANS) as a short-term financing instrument to overcome temporary shortfalls in cash due to the timing of expenditures and receipt of revenues.
- For additional details on the County's debt management policy, refer to page 98, Debt Management Policies and Obligations section.

Investments

- The San Diego County Treasurer-Tax Collector is responsible for the collection, banking, investment, disbursement and accountability of public funds, excluding pension funds. Accordingly, the County Treasurer shall annually prepare an Investment Policy that will be reviewed and monitored by the County Treasury Oversight Committee, established by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to California Government Code §§27130-27137.
- The monies entrusted to the County Treasurer (the Fund) will comprise an actively managed portfolio. This means that the Treasurer and his staff will observe, review and react to changing conditions that affect the Fund.
- The *San Diego County Treasurer's Pooled Money Fund Investment Policy* shall be annually reviewed and approved at a public hearing by the Board of Supervisors. When investing, reinvesting, purchasing, acquiring, exchanging, selling and managing public funds:
 - The primary objective shall be to safeguard the principal of the funds under the County Treasurer's control.
 - The secondary objective shall be to meet the liquidity needs of the participants.
 - The third objective shall be to achieve an investment return on the funds under control of the Treasurer within the parameters of prudent risk management.
- The Treasurer shall prepare a monthly investment report to be posted on the Treasurer-Tax Collector's website.
- The Treasurer shall provide to the Treasury Oversight Committee an annual independent review by an external auditor to assure compliance with policies and procedures set forth by the California Government Code.

Capital Improvements

- The County Board of Supervisors has jurisdiction over the acquisition, use and disposal of County-owned real property and County-leased property under the authority of California Government Code §23004.
- The need for capital improvements is assessed annually. **Board of Supervisors Policy B-37, Use of the Capital Program Funds**, establishes funding methods, administration and control, and allowable uses of the Capital Program Funds.
- The physical assets of the County are extensive; thus, it is essential that the County follows an effective strategy to manage and plan for current and long-term capital and space needs. The Department of General Services shall be the responsible agency to manage the capital

facilities planning and space needs of the County. The department is responsible for establishing the general objectives and standards for the location, design and occupancy of County-owned or leased facilities, as well as serving as the steward of a Countywide master plan and individual campus plan - **Board of Supervisors Policy G-16, Capital Facilities and Space Planning**.

- Additional details on the County's Capital Program may be found on page 433.

Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

Governmentwide, proprietary and fiduciary fund financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenue in the fiscal year for which the taxes are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental Funds are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recognized when measurable and available. Sales taxes, investment income, State and federal grants and charges for services are accrued at the end of the fiscal year if their receipt is anticipated within 180 days. Property taxes are accrued if they are collectible within 60 days after the end of the accounting period. Expenditures are generally recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences, claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due. General capital asset acquisitions and general principal payments are reported as expenditures in governmental funds. Proceeds of general long-term debt and capital leases are reported as other financing sources.

Proprietary Funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the County's enterprise funds and internal service funds are charges to customers for services. Operating expenses for enterprise funds and internal service funds include the costs of services, administrative

expenses and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

For governmentwide (governmental and business-type activities) and proprietary fund activities, the County applies all applicable Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements, as well as any applicable pronouncement of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the Accounting Principles Board, or any Accounting Research Bulletins issued on or before November 30, 1989, unless those pronouncements conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements. The County has elected not to apply the FASB standards issued subsequent to November 30, 1989, in reporting business-type activities and proprietary funds. The GASB periodically updates its codification of the existing Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards, which, along with subsequent GASB pronouncements (Statements and Interpretations), constitutes Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) for government agencies.

Financial Statement Presentation

For governmental funds only, current assets, current liabilities and fund balances are generally included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances - governmental funds present increases (i.e., revenues and other financing sources), decreases (i.e., expenditures and other financing uses) and the net change in fund balances.

For proprietary funds and fiduciary funds, all assets and all liabilities associated with the operation of these funds are included on the statement of net assets. Net assets for the proprietary funds are segregated into "invested in capital assets, net of related debt" and "unrestricted net assets" in the County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR). The net assets for the fiduciary funds are described as "held in trust for other pool participants" and "held in trust for individual investment accounts" in the CAFR. Proprietary funds statement of revenues, expenses and changes in fund net assets present increases (i.e., operating revenues and nonoperating revenue), decreases (i.e., operating expenses and nonoperating expenses), income/loss before capital contributions and transfers and the change in net assets.

Differences Between Budgetary and Financial Reports

Governmental Funds — An operating budget is adopted each fiscal year by the Board of Supervisors for the governmental funds. The annual resolution adopts the budget at the object level of expenditure within departments and authorizes the carry forward of appropriations and related funding for prior year encumbrances. Certain annual appropriations are budgeted on a project or program basis. If such projects or programs are not completed at the end of the fiscal year, unexpended appropriations, including encumbered funds, are carried forward to the following year with the approval of the Board of Supervisors. Any budget amendments are approved by the Group and department managers or the Board of Supervisors.

The schedule of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance - budget and actual - is presented as Required Supplementary Information in the CAFR and is prepared in accordance with GAAP. This statement includes the following columns:

- The Original Budget column consists of the adopted budget plus the encumbrances carried forward from the prior fiscal year. The County adopts its budget subsequent to the start of the new fiscal year.
- The Final Budget column consists of the Original Budget column plus amendments to the budget occurring during the fiscal year.
- The Actual column represents the actual amounts of revenues and expenditures reported on a GAAP basis which is the same basis that is used to present the aforementioned original and final budget.

Proprietary Funds — The Board of Supervisors approves an annual spending plan for proprietary funds. Although the adopted expense estimates are not appropriations, their budgetary controls are the same as those of the governmental funds. Because these funds collect fees and revenues generally to cover the cost of the goods and services they provide, their accounting and budgeting bases are closer to commercial models.

All Funds/GASB 54 — The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, which structures the fund balance components to focus financial reporting on planned use of funds and constraints on the specific purposes for which funds can be spent.

The five components of fund balance are:

- Nonspendable fund balance - inherently nonspendable.
- Restricted fund balance - externally enforceable limitations on use.
- Committed fund balance - self-imposed limitations set in place prior to the end of the period.
- Assigned fund balance - limitation resulting from intended use.
- Unassigned fund balance - residual net resources.

Fund Balance Component Increases are shown as appropriations (expenditures) and Fund Balance Component Decreases are shown as revenues when used as a funding source. These two categories are only used for adjustments to Restricted, Committed or Assigned fund balance. The term "Use of Fund Balance" indicates that budgetary fund balance available for use is being applied as a funding source.

Capital Projects

Capital Projects

Each year, the County assesses the need for capital improvements in accordance with Board of Supervisors Policies G-16, *Capital Facilities and Space Planning* and B-37, *Use of the Capital Program Funds*. These policies provide guidelines for the County's multi-year approach to planning for capital projects. The projects identified in this process include the improvement to or acquisition of land and facilities. Certain infrastructure projects, such as roads, bridges and sewer lines, are reviewed separately and budgeted in the applicable operating fund (e.g., Road Fund or sanitation district funds). The Fiscal Year 2012-13 capital projects budget for the County is \$84.3 million and \$2.5 million for Fiscal Year 2013-14. This excludes amounts appropriated in the Edgemoor Development Fund to support the long-term lease payments associated with the construction of the Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility. The Capital Program section of this Operational Plan on page 433 highlights major projects and includes a schedule of lease-purchase payments related to previously debt-financed projects.

The following chart shows the dollar amount and number of projects with new appropriations by Capital Program fund, as well as a summary by Group/Agency of the remaining dollar amount for projects previously budgeted and the number of projects still underway. Once appropriations are established for a capital project, they are carried forward until the project is completed.

Capital Project Appropriations		
	Dollar Amount	Number of Projects
Appropriation Increases for New & Existing Capital Projects (Fiscal Year 2012-13)		
Capital Outlay Fund	\$ 46,702,282	7
Justice Facility Construction Fund	27,600,000	1
Multiple Species Conservation Program Fund	10,000,000	1
Total - Appropriation Increases for New & Existing Capital Projects (Fiscal Year 2012-13)	\$ 84,302,282	9
Projects Underway		
Public Safety Group	\$ 215,302,968	12
Health & Human Services Agency	1,285,905	6
Land Use & Environment Group	49,050,742	88
Community Services Group	83,324,796	16
Finance & General Government Group	39,252,707	2
Total - Projects Underway	\$ 388,217,119	124
Grand Total	\$ 472,519,401	133

The Capital Program section of this Operational Plan on page 433 highlights major projects and includes a schedule of lease-purchase payments related to previously debt-financed projects.

Reserves and Resources

The County maintains a prudent level of resources to help protect the fiscal health and stability of the County. These tables include frequently referenced budgetary reserves and resources but do not include the reserves and resources of all funds as reported in the Consolidated Annual Financial Report (CAFR). The figures in the tables reflect budgeted and/or estimated amounts for the items listed. The totals for these items may vary from those in the CAFR since the CAFR reflects final audited fiscal year end balances. The CAFR can be accessed at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/auditor/cafr.html>.

In addition, due to the implementation of Governmental Accounting and Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, certain accounts have been revised to reflect the new naming convention. See Summary of Financial Policies for more information.

Reserves and Resources (in millions)		
	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget
General Fund Minimum Fund Balance for Economic Uncertainty	\$ 100.0	\$ 100.0
General Fund Contingency Reserve - Operations	20.0	20.0
Committed Fund Balance - Unforeseen Catastrophic Events	55.5	55.5
Group/Agency Management Reserves	32.2	30.2
Total	\$ 207.7	\$ 205.7

General Fund - Fund Balance and Reserves

The County provides a wide variety of services that are funded by a number of revenue sources. Expenditures for these services are subject to fluctuations in demand; revenues are influenced by changes in the economy and budgetary decisions made by the State of California and the federal government. In accordance with Board of Supervisors Policy B-71, *Fund Balance and Reserves*, the County must be prepared for unforeseen events or economic uncertainties that could result in additional expenditure requirements or loss of revenue by establishing and maintaining prudent levels of fund balance and reserves.

- General Fund Minimum Fund Balance for Economic Uncertainty** — Established in Fiscal Year 2009-10, pursuant to Board of Supervisors Policy B-71, *Fund Balance and Reserves* (previously known as General Fund Designation of Fund Balance for Economic Uncertainty), sets a target amount that equates to 10% of General Purpose Revenue. The \$100.0 million set aside of General Fund unassigned fund balance for Fiscal Year 2012-13 equates to 10.3% of General Purpose Revenue and is in compliance with that policy.

- General Fund Contingency Reserve** — Appropriated for unanticipated needs or to offset revenue shortfalls during the fiscal year. Board of Supervisors Policy B-71, *Fund Balance and Reserves*, sets a target amount that equates to 2% of budgeted General Purpose Revenue. The \$20.0 million budgeted for Fiscal Year 2012-13 equates to 2.1% of General Purpose Revenue and is in compliance with that policy.
- Committed - Unforeseen Catastrophic Events** — Established in Fiscal Year 2007-08 by the Board of Supervisors, previously known as General Reserve, to address unforeseen catastrophic situations. By law, the General Reserve may be established, cancelled, increased or decreased at the time of adopting the budget with a three-fifths vote of the Board of Supervisors. It may be increased at any time during the year with a four-fifths vote of the Board, and in the case of a legally declared emergency as defined in Government Code §29127, the Board by a four-fifths vote may appropriate these funds and make the expenditures necessary for the emergency. Board of Supervisors Policy B-71, *Fund*

Balance and Reserves, sets a target amount for this reserve that equates to 5% of budgeted General Purpose Revenue. The County's fund balance commitment for Unforeseen Catastrophic Events of \$55.5 million equates to 5.7% of Fiscal Year 2012-13 budgeted General Purpose Revenue and is in compliance with the policy.

- **Group/Agency Management Reserves** — Appropriations established at the Group/Agency or department level to fund unanticipated items during the fiscal year or for a planned future year use. There is no targeted level for these reserves. However, establishment of management reserves shall not be permitted if the action would result in the amount of unassigned fund balance falling below the targeted level.

Additional Reserves and Resources (in millions)		
	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget
Tobacco Securitization Endowment Fund	\$ 392.6	\$ 370.8
Workers' Compensation Fund	103.4	106.6
Environmental Trust Fund	59.7	55.1
Public Liability Fund	32.6	35.5
Restricted - Debt Service	22.6	24.6
Total	\$ 610.9	\$ 592.6

Additional Reserves and Resources

The additional reserves and resources reflected in the table above represent the most frequently referenced budgetary reserves and resources but do not include all reserves and resources reported in the CAFR.

Tobacco Securitization Endowment Fund — The County established the Tobacco Securitization Endowment Fund in January 2002. In lieu of receiving the Tobacco Settlement revenue on an annual basis, the County securitized the payment stream and deposited the net proceeds of \$412.0 million into the Tobacco Securitization Endowment Fund. Based on certain interest rate assumptions, these proceeds would have enabled the County to fund approximately \$24.2 million of health care programs annually through approximately 2020. Due to lower than anticipated interest earnings, in May 2006, the original issuance was refunded through a second securitization and an additional \$123.5 million was deposited into the fund. It is estimated that this will extend the life of the endowment fund from the year 2020 to 2034 and allow for \$27.5 million in anticipated proceeds annually.

Workers' Compensation Fund — The County contracts with an actuary to annually estimate the liability and capture the costs associated with all reported and unreported work-

ers' compensation claims. The liability is estimated to be \$113.0 million, which includes \$25.3 million in expected costs for Fiscal Year 2011-12. The cash balance in the fund was \$106.6 million as of July 1, 2012.

Environmental Trust Fund — Proceeds from the sale of the County's Solid Waste System on August 12, 1997, were set aside to fund inactive/closed landfill management for approximately 30 years. The decrease from Fiscal Year 2011-12 to Fiscal Year 2012-13 represents the net amount drawn down to support landfill management operations.

Public Liability Fund — The County contracts with an actuary to annually assess the long-term liability of the fund and determine adequate level of reserves for current and future public risk management claims. The liability is estimated to be \$25.9 million, which includes \$10.6 million in expected costs for Fiscal Year 2011-12. The cash balance in the fund was \$35.5 million as of July 1, 2012.

Restricted - Debt Service — The portion of bond proceeds from various County Certificates of Participation and Lease Revenue Bonds that are set aside to provide assurance to the investors that funds are available should the County not be able to make a lease payment from currently budgeted resources.

Committed Fund Balance (General Fund only, in millions)		
	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget Increase/(Decrease)
Committed - Sale Proceeds Grand Avenue Clinic	\$ 0.0	\$ 0.1
Committed - SDCFA Equipment Replacement	0.0	1.9
Committed - Environmental Health	0.6	(0.5)
Total	\$ 0.6	\$ 1.5

Committed Fund Balance (General Fund only)

The Board of Supervisors has determined from time to time that certain amounts of fund balance be set aside for specific purposes. Balances can increase or decrease depending upon whether the funds are being accumulated for later use, are being used because of fluctuating workloads, or to make scheduled payments over a limited period of time. The figures in the table do not reflect all General Fund commitments, but rather those with an increase or decrease. Totals for these items may vary from those in the Consolidated Annual Financial Report (CAFR) since the CAFR reflects final audited fiscal year end balances. The CAFR can be accessed at <http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/auditor/cafr.html>.

- **Committed - Sales Proceeds Grand Avenue Clinic** — Established in Fiscal Year 2010-11 to set aside funds for future replacement of a Health and Human Services Agency public health clinic based on proceeds from the sale of the former North Central Public Health Clinic on Grand Avenue.
- **Committed - SDCFA Equipment Replacement** — Established in Fiscal Year 2012-13 to set aside funds for future replacement of San Diego County Fire Authority (SDCFA) equipment.
- **Committed - Environmental Health** — Established in Fiscal Year 2003-04, by the Department of Environmental Health (DEH) to set aside any excess revenue over cost that may occur in some fiscal years for use in a subsequent fiscal year when costs exceed revenue. This ensures that excess revenue over cost paid by DEH customers is used only to fund expenses in DEH.

Debt Management Policies and Obligations

Debt Management

The County of San Diego uses debt financing to: (i) fund certain capital assets that support the provision of services by the County; (ii) achieve savings in meeting its obligations to the pension fund; or (iii) provide for short-term cash flow requirements. The decision to use debt financing is governed by several factors including the nature of the project to be financed, availability of other financing, and debt affordability. The County enters into both long-term and short-term financings, which undergo the scrutiny of the credit rating agencies. The County's long-term financings adhere to a Board of Supervisors approved policy. This policy, the County's current credit ratings and the various forms of debt financing utilized by the County are described in more detail below. The term debt is used to refer to certain financial obligations of the County that are sold in the capital markets, including its bonds, Certificates of Participation and notes.

Long-Term Obligation Policy

The foundation of any well-managed debt program is a comprehensive and fiscally prudent policy that sets forth the parameters for issuing debt and managing the outstanding debt portfolio and provides guidance to decision makers. Adherence to a long-term financial strategy and policy is important to ensure that the County maintains a sound debt position and that credit quality is protected. The County Board of Supervisors adopted Board Policy B-65, *Long-Term Financial Obligation Management Policy*, on August 11, 1998, and periodically reviews and updates it as necessary. Policy B-65, along with the rating agencies' analyses, have been the foundation for managing the County's debt program. For purposes of this policy, long-term financial obligations are those that exceed one fiscal year.

Long-Term Obligation Limits

- All long-term financings shall comply with federal, State and County Charter requirements.
- All long-term obligations must be approved by the Board of Supervisors after approval and recommendation by the Debt Advisory Committee, which is composed of the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), the Auditor and Controller and the Treasurer-Tax Collector.
- Prior to its recommendation, the Debt Advisory Committee shall assess the ability of the County to repay the obligation, identify the funding source of repayment, evaluate the impact of the ongoing obligation on the

current budget and future budgets, assess the maintenance and operational requirements of the project to be financed, and consider the impact on the County's credit rating.

- The term of the long-term obligation will not exceed the useful life or the average life of the project or projects being financed.
- Annual principal and interest payments on long-term obligations of the General Fund will not exceed 5% of General Fund revenue.

Uses of Long-Term Obligations

- Long-term financial obligations will not be used to finance current operations or recurring needs.
- The Board of Supervisors may consider long-term financial obligations for the purpose of providing office space or operational facilities to County departments or agencies, upon recommendation of the Debt Advisory Committee. Capital projects identified as candidates for debt financing should have first been identified and prioritized during the development of the County's multi-year Capital Improvement Needs Assessment. If the Debt Advisory Committee deems that the financing is feasible, financially and economically prudent, coincides with the County's objectives and does not impair the County's creditworthiness, then it will be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors for consideration.

Structuring Practices

- Variable rate obligations shall not exceed 15% of the County's outstanding long-term obligations.
- Derivative products, such as interest rate swaps, will be considered only if they meet the economic goals and policy objectives of the County as outlined in the Swap Policy of the Debt Advisory Committee.
- Long-term obligations taken on by organizations utilizing the County as a conduit issuer must qualify for an investment grade rating by one of the nationally recognized rating agencies (either with or without alternative credit enhancement). An exception to this requirement would be private placements subject to approval by the Debt Advisory Committee.

Management Practices

- The County shall encourage and maintain good relations with credit rating agencies, investors in the County's long-term financial obligations, and those in the financial community who participate in the issuance or monitoring of the County's long-term obligations. A policy of full

and open disclosure on every financial report and long-term obligation transaction will be enforced, an investor relations website will be maintained with current and accurate information, and a credit rating agency presentation/update shall be conducted annually.

- The County shall comply with all ongoing disclosure conditions and shall file such required documents in a timely manner.
- The County shall monitor earnings on bond proceeds and rebate excess earnings as required to the U.S. Treasury to avoid the loss of tax-exempt status.
- The County of San Diego will enforce filing notices of completion on all projects within five years of their financing. The County shall continually review outstanding obligations and aggressively initiate refinancings when economically feasible and advantageous.

Credit Ratings

The most recent long-term credit review by Moody's Investors Service, Standard and Poor's and Fitch Ratings was performed in May and June 2012, when the agencies assigned ratings to the County's Fiscal Year 2012-13 Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes, and affirmed the County's long-term obligation and issuer ratings as well. All three rating agencies cited the County's strong financial management, broad and diverse economy, and low to moderate debt burden in their rationale for the ratings they assigned. According to Fitch Ratings, "the county's strong financial results are supported by forward-looking management poli-

cies and practices that include reserve targets, disciplined funding of capital needs and long-term obligations, and conservative budgeting." Moody's Investors Service states that the County's financial position remains strong and its affirmation of County ratings is based on "the county's solid fiscal position, very large and slowly growing economy, and low debt levels." Standard and Poor's affirms its ratings of the County and its obligations and maintains the stable outlook on the County's ratings because of "the county's deep and diverse economy, strong reserves, formal policies, manageable debt, and record of conservative budgeting."

The San Diego County Employees Retirement Association (SDCERA) had its 'AAA' rating affirmed by Standard & Poor's in February 2012. The rating reflects the organization's overall capacity to pay its financial obligations, and is based on SDCERA's strong fund management, good funded status despite a challenging fiscal year and continued strong credit quality of the pension system's sponsor (County of San Diego).

The San Diego County Investment Pool continues to hold an AAAf/S1 rating from Standard & Poor's. The rating reflects the extremely strong protection the pool's portfolio investments provide against losses from credit defaults. The pool invests primarily in 'AAA' or 'A-1/P-1/F-1' rated securities. The 'S1' volatility rating signifies that the pool possesses low sensitivity to changing market conditions given its low-risk profile and conservative investment policies.

The County of San Diego's credit ratings are as follows:

Credit Ratings			
	Moody's Investors Service	Standard & Poor's	Fitch Ratings
County of San Diego (Issuer Rating)	Aa1 (GSR)	AAA	AAA
Certificates of Participation and Lease Revenue Bonds	Aa3 (GSR)	AA+	AA+
Pension Obligation Bonds	Aa2 (GSR)	AA+	AA+
San Diego County Employees Retirement Association	—	AAA	—
County Investment Pool	—	AAAf/S1	—
<i>GSR - Global Scale Rating</i>			

Authority to Finance and Bond Ratios

The table below lists the statutes authorizing the County of San Diego to enter into long-term and short-term obligations and, if applicable, the legal authority on maximum bonded indebtedness. All long-term and short-term obligations must conform to State and local laws and regulations. The basic constitutional authority for State and local entities to enter into long-term and short-term obligations is in the Tenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. To incur long-term or short-term obligations within the State of California, a political subdivision must have either express or implied statutory authority.

State constitutional limitations prohibit cities and counties from entering into indebtedness or liability exceeding in any year the income and revenue provided for such year unless the local agency first obtains two-thirds voter approval for the obligation.

However, there are three major exceptions to the debt limit which have been recognized by the California courts: (i) the

Offner-Dean lease exception, (ii) the special fund doctrine and (iii) the obligation imposed by law exception.

The *Offner-Dean lease exception* provides that a long-term lease obligation entered into by an agency will not be considered an indebtedness or liability under the debt limit if the lease meets certain criteria.

The *special fund doctrine* is an exception to the debt limit which permits long-term indebtedness or liabilities to be incurred without an election if the indebtedness or liability is payable from a special fund and not from the entity's general revenue. An example of a special fund would be one consisting of enterprise revenue that is used to finance an activity related to the source of the revenue.

The courts have applied the *obligation imposed by law* exception to indebtedness used to finance an obligation imposed on the local agency by law. The theory of this exception is that the obligation is involuntary; therefore, it would not be relevant to obtain voter approval.

Authority to Finance	
Issuer	Issuance Legal Authority
County of San Diego	General: Government Code §5900 et seq. and §29900 et seq.
	Maximum Indebtedness: Government Code §29909
	Short-Term TRAns: Government Code §53820 et seq.
	Pension Obligation Bonds: Government Code §53580 et seq.
Nonprofit Public Benefit Corporation	Corporations Code §5110 et seq.
Joint Powers Authority	Government Code §6500 et seq.
Redevelopment Agency*	Health and Safety Code §33000 et seq.
Housing Authority	Health and Safety Code §34200 et seq.
	Multi-family Bonds: Health and Safety Code §52075 et seq.
Assessment Bonds	Street and Highway Code §6400 et seq. and §8500 et seq.
Mello-Roos Community Facilities District	Government Code §53311 et seq.
Conduit Bonds	Government Code §26227

* Effective February 1, 2012, Redevelopment Agencies in California have been dissolved and the authority for Redevelopment Agencies to issue new bonds has expired.

Bond and Debt Service Ratios

Bond ratios useful to County management, the general public and investors are as follows:

Bond Ratios						
	Fiscal Year 2007-08	Fiscal Year 2008-09	Fiscal Year 2009-10	Fiscal Year 2010-11	Fiscal Year 2011-12	Fiscal Year 2012-13
Net Bonded Debt (in millions) ¹	\$1,578.7	\$1,404.1	\$1,436.7	\$1,265.5	\$1,204.4	\$1,177.0 ²
Net Bonded Debt per Capita ^{3,4}	\$521	\$458	\$465	\$406	\$383	\$371 ⁴
Ratio of Net Bonded Debt to Assessed Value	0.41%	0.35%	0.36%	0.32%	0.31%	0.30%

¹ Net Bonded Debt excludes Redevelopment Agency Bonds and reflects the net effect of debt service reserves.

² Net Bonded Debt as of June 30, 2012.

³ Based on the January 1st annual estimated population figures for the County of San Diego provided by the State of California Department of Finance (DOF). E-4 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State with Annual Percent Change - January 2001 to 2009, with 2000 and 2010 Census counts. This also includes revised January 1, 2011 and January 1, 2012 population estimates by the DOF that incorporate the 2010 Census counts.

⁴ Based on estimated January 1, 2013 population figures for the County of San Diego using an annual average growth for the last ten years.

Note: If the County were to issue General Obligation Bonds, the debt limit pursuant to Government Code §29909 would be 1.25% of the taxable property of the county. The estimated taxable assessed value in the county as of June 30, 2012 is \$393.0 billion.

General Fund Debt Service Ratios

The Total Debt Service reported in the table below is composed of payments on the County's Pension Obligation Bonds, Certificates of Participation and Lease Revenue Bonds. They are described in the following section titled Long-Term Obligations. In addition, the detail of the payments required for assets financed through the Certificates of Participation and Lease Revenue Bonds is provided on page 474 in the Capital Program section.

Components of General Fund Debt Service Ratio (in millions)					
	Fiscal Year 2009-10 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
General Fund Revenue ¹	\$ 3,446.3	\$ 3,447.7	\$ 3,526.9	\$ 3,603.9	\$ 3,585.6
Total Debt Service ²	\$ 129.4	\$ 120.5	\$ 120.5	\$ 124.5	\$ 118.4
Ratio of Total Debt Service to General Fund Revenue	3.75%	3.49%	3.42%	3.46%	3.30%
General Fund Share of Debt Service Cost ³	\$ 103.5	\$ 93.6	\$ 95.0	\$ 97.2	\$ 91.3
Ratio of General Fund Share of Debt Service to General Fund Revenue	3.00%	2.71%	2.69%	2.70%	2.55%

See table notes on the following page.

■ ■ ■ Debt Management Policies and Obligations

- ¹ General Fund Revenue excludes fund balance and fund balance component decreases.
- ² The decrease in Total Debt Service in Fiscal Year 2010-11 is mainly due to the final payment of principal and interest for the Information Technology Certificates of Participation made in Fiscal Year 2009-10.
- ³ General Fund Share of Debt Service Cost excludes debt service chargeable to special revenue funds, enterprise funds, special districts and external funding sources.

Long-Term Obligations

The County's outstanding long-term principal bonded debt as of June 30, 2012 and projected as of June 30, 2013 is:

Outstanding Principal Bonded Debt (in millions)		
	As of June 30, 2012	Projected as of June 30, 2013
Certificates of Participation	\$ 261.4	\$ 239.9
Lease Revenue Bonds	133.8	130.5
Pension Obligation Bonds	806.8	770.5
Redevelopment Agency Tax Allocation Bonds	13.9	13.5
Total	\$ 1,215.9	\$ 1,154.4

The following discussion explains the nature and purpose of each of the long-term financing instruments available to or used by the County.

Certificates of Participation (COPs) and Lease Revenue Bonds (LRBs) are sold to investors to raise cash for the financing of capital infrastructure. The repayment of these COPs and LRBs is secured by a revenue stream created by lease payments, often associated with the capital asset that the proceeds of the COPs or LRBs are funding. These lease payments are established in agreements between the County and another entity, typically either a nonprofit corporation, such as the San Diego County Capital Asset Leasing Corporation, or a joint powers authority, such as the San Diego Regional Building Authority. The annual lease payments from the County to the financing entity are in an amount sufficient to satisfy the principal and interest payments due to the holders of the COPs or LRBs. At the end of the lease period, the title to the asset is typically conveyed to the County.

The County first used COPs in 1955 with the financing of the El Cajon Administrative Building. Since then, the County has made use of various lease-backed transactions, both COPs and LRBs, to fund the County's major capital requirements. The County currently has COPs and LRBs outstanding, the proceeds of which were used to fund the construction of various justice facilities, the Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility, the County Operations Center and the anticipated County Administration Center Waterfront Park.

Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds (POBs) are financing instruments typically used to pay some or all of a pension plan's unfunded liability. The bond proceeds are transferred to the issuer's pension system as a prepayment of all or part of the unfunded pension liabilities of the issuer, and the proceeds are invested as directed by the pension system. POBs have been issued on several occasions by the County to reduce the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of the San Diego County Employees Retirement Association (SDCERA) on a lump sum basis rather than making actuarially determined amortized payments over a specified period of years. The size of the UAAL is determined annually by an actuary and can increase or decrease depending on changes in actuarial assumptions, earnings on the assets of the fund and retiree benefits. POBs totaling \$430.4 million were first issued by the County in February 1994. Since this initial issue, the County has issued additional series of POBs: in September 2002, the County issued \$737,340,000 of POBs, a portion of which refunded the POBs issued in 1994; in June 2004, the County issued an additional \$454,112,916 of POBs; and in August 2008, \$443,515,000 of POBs were issued to refund the variable rate portion of the POBs issued in 2002.

A total of \$264 million of the principal component of the County's outstanding taxable POBs has been prepaid. As reflected in the Fiscal Year 2009-10 Adopted Operational Plan, the most recent prepayment occurred on July 1, 2009 and retired the \$100 million of outstanding 2008 Series B1-B2 POBs (variable rate demand obligations). This most recent prepayment resulted in lowering the aggregate

annual debt service for the taxable POBs from \$86.0 million to \$81.4 million and a further shortening of the final maturity to Fiscal Year 2026-27. As of June 30, 2012, the County had \$806.8 million of taxable POBs outstanding.


Redevelopment Agency Tax Allocation Bonds (TABs) are limited obligations issued by the Redevelopment Agency of the County of San Diego (Agency) to help pay for improvements related to redevelopment projects. The Agency was formed on October 14, 1974 pursuant to Redevelopment Law, and effective February 1, 2012 has been dissolved by the State legislature. Any outstanding TABs of the Agency are now limited obligations of the County's Successor Agency, which now manages the assets, repays the debts, and fulfills other obligations that were previously attributable to the Agency. An initial series of TABs was issued on September 12, 1995 as limited obligations of the Agency in the amount of \$5.1 million for the construction of public improvements at the Gillespie Field Airport located on the Gillespie Field Redevelopment Project Area, which was one of the Agency's two redevelopment project areas. On December 22, 2005, the Agency issued \$16 million in TABs to refund all of the Agency's outstanding 1995 bonds and to repay loans owed to the County's Airport Enterprise Fund. These loans from the County Airport Enterprise were used by the Agency to finance redevelopment activities in the Gillespie Field Redevelopment Project Area. In connection with the 2005 TABs, the County pledged to make lim-

ited payments to the Agency from the Airport Enterprise Fund. This pledge remains a limited obligation of the County and is not secured by the County's General Fund. This pledge, along with certain tax increment revenues generated in the Gillespie Field Redevelopment Project Area, support annual principal and interest payments of approximately \$1.2 million through Fiscal Year 2032-33; the final maturity of the 2005 TABs is in December 2032.

General Obligation Bonds (GO Bonds) are debt instruments issued by local governments to raise funds for the acquisition or improvement of real property. GO bonds are backed by the full faith and credit of the issuing entity; in California, authorization to issue GO bonds requires supermajority (two-thirds) voter approval. GO bonds are unique in that the bonds are secured by an ad valorem tax that may be levied in whatever amount is necessary to pay debt service. The County has no outstanding General Obligation Bonds.

The chart below shows the County's scheduled long-term obligation payments through Fiscal Year 2041-42, which include Certificates of Participation (COPs), Lease Revenue Bonds (LRBs), Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds (POBs) and Tax Allocation Bonds (TABs). The table following it shows the final maturity date, original principal amount and the outstanding principal amount for each of the County's current long-term financings.

**Long-Term Debt Obligations
Fiscal Years 2011-12 Through 2041-42**



■ ■ ■ Debt Management Policies and Obligations

The following table reflects the County's outstanding financings as of June 30, 2012:


Outstanding County Financings (in thousands)			
	Final Maturity Date	Original Principal Amount	Principal Amount Outstanding
Certificates of Participation & Lease Revenue Bonds			
1993 Master Refunding	2012	\$ 203,400	\$ 3,800
2005 Edgemoor & RCS Refunding	2030	112,395	82,900
2005 North & East County Justice Facility Refunding	2019	28,210	18,065
2006 Edgemoor Completion Project	2030	42,390	38,415
2009 County Operations Center Phase 1A	2036	136,885	133,755
2009 Justice Facilities Refunding	2025	80,940	68,250
2011 MTS Tower Refunding	2019	19,260	17,265
2011 County Administration Center Waterfront Park	2042	32,665	32,665
Total Certificates of Participation and Lease Revenue Bonds		\$ 656,145	\$ 395,115
Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds			
Series 2002	2015	132,215	69,505
Series 2004 ⁽¹⁾	2024	454,113	416,400
Series 2008	2027	343,515	320,940
Total Pension Obligation Bonds		\$ 929,843	\$ 806,845
Redevelopment Agency Tax Allocation Bonds			
2005 Gillespie Field Refunding	2032	\$ 16,000	\$ 13,905
Total Tax Allocations Bonds		\$ 16,000	\$ 13,905
⁽¹⁾ Series 2004 Principal Amount Outstanding is net of unaccreted value of the 2004 Series C Pension Obligation Bonds.			

Short-Term Obligations

During the ordinary course of business, local governments, such as the County, typically experience temporary mismatches in cash flow due to the shortfalls in cash because of the mismatch in timing of the County's payment of expenditures, which is ongoing, and receipt of revenues, which is largely focused on months surrounding tax pay-

ment dates. To mitigate these cash flow imbalances, the County borrows cash through the issuance of Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANS). These notes mature within twelve to thirteen months of the date of issuance and are, therefore, considered short-term obligations. The chart below shows TRANS borrowing since 2001-02.

Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANS) - Cash Borrowing
2001-02 Through 2012-13



Conduit Issuances

Board of Supervisors Policy B-65, *Long-Term Financial Obligation Management Policy*, also provides for the County to assist qualified nonprofit and for-profit entities to access low-cost, tax-exempt financing for projects that provide a tangible public benefit, contribute to social and economic growth and improve the overall quality of life to the residents of the San Diego region. In these financings, the County is a conduit issuer whereby it issues tax-exempt long-term bonds on behalf of the qualifying entity. That entity, the conduit borrower, is responsible for all costs in connection with the issuance and repayment of the financing. Debt issued under the conduit program is secured by the borrower, and is not considered to be a debt of the County.

The Board of Supervisors, as outlined in Board Policy B-65, may consider conduit financing on behalf of nonprofit organizations upon recommendation of the Debt Advisory Com-

mittee. The Board of Supervisors may also consider assessment district and community facilities district financings to provide for public improvements, whether initiated by petition of the property owners, the County or a non-County agency.

All considerations for financing will be directed to the Debt Advisory Committee and, if the Committee decides that the conduit financing is feasible, financially and economically prudent, coincides with the County's objectives, and does not impair the County's creditworthiness, it will then be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors for consideration. All expenses related to the conduit financing will be borne by the applicants.

■ ■ ■ Debt Management Policies and Obligations

The following table reflects the County's outstanding conduit issuances as of June 30, 2012:

Outstanding Conduit Issuances (in thousands)			
	Final Maturity Dates	Original Principal Amount	Principal Amount Outstanding
Conduits			
1998 Sharp Healthcare	2028	\$ 112,020	\$ 79,480
1998 San Diego Natural History Museum	2028	15,000	11,200
2000 San Diego Museum of Art	2030	6,000	5,700
2001 University of San Diego	2041	36,870	0
2002 San Diego Imperial Counties	2027	10,750	8,500
2003 Chabad	2023	11,700	7,900
2003 San Diego Jewish Academy	2023	13,325	10,425
2004 Bishop School	2044	25,000	0
2004 Museum of Contemporary Art	2034	13,000	6,950
2005 Sanford-Burnham Institute for Medical Research*	2031	24,500	19,550
2006 Sanford-Burnham Institute for Medical Research	2034	59,405	53,020
2006 San Diego Foundation	2036	13,500	12,600
2008 The ARC of San Diego	2038	13,250	12,670
2010 Salk Institute for Biological Studies	2040	37,445	37,085
Total Conduits		\$ 391,765	\$ 265,080
Housing			
1999 Laurel Village Apartments	2014	\$ 1,670	\$ 1,331
2001 Village West	2031	4,438	0
2002 Spring Valley	2032	3,250	1,824
Total Housing		\$ 9,358	\$ 3,155
Reassessment Bonds			
1997 4S Ranch Reassessment District Bonds	2012	\$ 21,755	\$ 0
Total Reassessment Bonds		\$ 21,755	\$ 0
* On July 2, 2009 the outstanding County of San Diego Variable Rate Demand Certificates of Participation (COPs) secured by payments received from the Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, dated October 5, 2005, were remarketed as County of San Diego Variable Rate Demand COPs secured by payments received from the Burnham Institute for Medical Research.			

Excellence in Governing

Recognitions of Excellence

Embracing change is the key challenge for area governments. The County of San Diego is rising to that challenge and continually looks to improve by seeking opportunities to streamline operations, utilize technology to provide innovative solutions for services, create space- and energy-efficient workplaces, and expand the services and information to the public through the use of online and social media.

The County of San Diego works hard to maintain its standing as a best practices organization, offering programs that improve the lives of San Diego County residents in ways that are relevant and measurable. The County is proud that its leadership in these areas has been recognized for the following:

- In 2012, the County of San Diego has once again won national recognition by the **National Association of Counties (NACo)**. Thirty-nine programs received the **Achievement Awards** for excellence. San Diego County and Los Angeles County tied for the most awards in the country this year. These awards, selected from 21 categories, recognize innovative programs that modernize county government and increase services to residents.

The award-winning programs operate within all five County business groups and include programs that serve children, youth and seniors, as well as those that promote environmental protection, public safety and efficient, effective county administration.

- The **San Diego County Taxpayer Association** recognized the County of San Diego Capital Program as the winner of the **2012 Grand Golden Watchdog Award**. As a result of cost saving measures over the past decade, the County of San Diego Capital Program will save taxpayers approximately \$1.46 billion over the next 30 years.

By setting aside cash reserves during the years of high revenue growth, the County has been able to pay cash for one-time capital improvement projects rather than financing them with long-term debts.

- San Diego County Library was honored with the prestigious **2012 Library of the Year** award by the educational publishing company **Gale** and **Library Journal** magazine which recognizes outstanding service to the community, creativity and leadership. The County Library's unprecedented growth in recent years, strategic budget allocation and innovative programming all helped it earn the award.

- The **National Recreation and Park Association's Environmental Agency of the Year Barb King Environmental Stewardship Award** went to the Department of Parks and Recreation for its work on land acquisitions, project design, stewardship, preservation and outreach. The award was also based on the County's role as a national leader in conserving open space and natural resources cultivating environmental stewardship in others.

Detail of recognitions of excellence received by San Diego County that highlight the County's progress in meeting its strategic goals include:

Strategic Initiative - Kids

- The Department of Housing and Community Development received a **2012 Award of Merit** from the **National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Officials** for its Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program. Since 2009, the program has provided housing to 77 homeless families and prevented 69 families from becoming homeless.
- The leading national organization for intergenerational programming, **Generations United**, and the **MetLife Foundation** designated San Diego County as one of five **Best Intergenerational Communities** for recognizing the value of promoting strong bonds between the generations.
- The **National Association of Counties (NACo) Achievement Awards - 2012**
 - *"It's Up to Us" Mental Health Awareness and Stigma Reduction* - a five-year mass media campaign designed to empower San Diegans to talk openly about mental illness, recognize symptoms, utilize local resources and seek help.
 - *"Positive Solutions" Depression Busters for Homebound Seniors* - a program to help homebound or socially isolated seniors who are at risk of depression or suicide redirect their lives to be more social and active, and to rediscover pleasure.
 - *Acoustic Showcase Series* - an inexpensive and fun program hosting free concerts outside of local library branches in the County.
 - *Caregiving Clips Webinar Series* - a series of online videos featuring regional experts on topics of interest to family caregivers.

- *Child Support Employer Webinar* - a live, online webinar presentation to more effectively educate employers on their responsibilities when receiving an Income Withholding Order (IWO) for employees' mandated court ordered child support deductions.
- *Health & Human Services Agency Video Interviewing* - Through collaboration with community partners, the County established Video Interviewing as a means to improve customer service and enhance access to health coverage and food assistance.,
- *Healthy WorksSM: Paths to Healthy Living Media and Marketing Campaign* - a comprehensive and innovative program developed and launched as part of a large-scale effort to curb the tide on the obesity epidemic.
- *Passport to Healthy Aging: Elder Multicultural Access and Support Services* - a grassroots approach to assist immigrants and refugees, who often fail to navigate the public services system, with increased access to, and education about, strategies to improve mental and physical health.
- *Parenting Classes* - developed by the County Library to provide educational assistance to parents with raising children.
- *Public-Private Partnership to Improve Access to Nutrition and Health Benefits* - a successful partnership with 2-1-1 San Diego (2-1-1) a local, private nonprofit organization, to increase access to Cal-Fresh and Medi-Cal benefits.
- *Recovery Innovations - Client Operated Peer Supported Mental Health Services* - a program that integrates mental health, rehabilitation and recovery services for adults who have serious mental illnesses, to improve their mental health and quality of life.
- *SmartCare: Integrated Physical and Behavioral Healthcare for Rural Families* - a program to provide integrated physical and behavioral health care to the rural areas that are historically underserved, particularly when it comes to mental health treatment.
- The **California State Association of Counties (CSAC)** awarded **San Diego County, a California Counties Innovation Award** for the reformation of the In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS). The creation of a new program integrity initiative and other measures, including integration of a long-term care system with wrap-around services blending health and social services funding while reducing gaps in care, reduced program cost growth from an average of 8-10% annually to 0.2%. Annual budget expenditures were \$24 million less than projected.
- **California State Association of Counties (CSAC) Challenge Award 2011**
 - *Free Foreclosure Prevention HOME Clinic* - which provides counseling and education for families facing bankruptcy or foreclosure in partnership with the Housing Opportunities Collaborative.
 - *Camp Connect San Diego* - which brings together siblings separated by placement in the foster care system. The program offers approximately 65-80 children opportunities to connect with their siblings through four-day camps and all-day activities.
- **California State Association of Counties (CSAC) Merit Award 2011**
 - *Educating Non-Custodial Parents* - for videos produced in-house by Department of Child Support Services and posted on the department website in an effort to engage parents owing child support to participate in the process.
- For the third time the **California Child Support Director's Association**, honored San Diego County Department of Child Support Services (DCSS) as the state's **Top Performing County (very large)**. DCSS ranked highest for outstanding performance on five federal performance measures and dollars given to families.
- The It's Up to Us campaign received the **Inspiration Media Award** from the **National Association on Mental Illness San Diego**. The campaign reinforces the idea that mental health is just as important to quality of life as physical wellbeing, and also educates people about mental health challenges and the use of local resources.
- The First 5 Commissions' San Diego's Oral Health campaign received the top **Public Service Announcement (PSA) Award** from the **Public Relations Society of America**. The honor recognized efforts to promote good oral health care for pregnant women and children through the age of five.

Strategic Initiative - Environment

- The **National Association of County Park and Recreation Officials' (NACPRO)** recognized the Department of Parks and Recreation with two awards:
 - The **Removing Barriers Initiative Award** for the newly constructed cabins at Dos Picos County Park for their Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) accessibility.
 - The **Park and Recreation Program Award** for the 2011 Movies in the Park series.
- The Department of Parks and Recreation also won the **Recreation Facility/Park Design Achievement Award** for the Collier Park Soccer Arena from the **California**

Park and Recreation Society District. The construction of the soccer arena incorporated ADA design guidelines and "green" technologies.

■ **The National Association of Counties (NACo) Achievement Awards - 2012**

- *Accessory Dwelling Unit Ordinance* - a Zoning Ordinance to streamline the regulations regarding various accessory dwelling types second dwelling units and guest living quarters.
- *Innovative Approach to Promoting Low Impact Design Techniques* - Through a unique partnership with the Water Conservation Garden at Cuyamaca College, the County of San Diego funded installation of two exhibits using Low Impact Design (LID) principles to reduce the contribution of pollutants from urban runoff and stormwater to local water bodies.
- *Tiered Winery Ordinance and Environmental Impact Report* - new winery regulations covering approximately 441,000 acres within the unincorporated County, to establish small boutique wineries on agricultural lands without the need for a discretionary permit.
- The completed Valley Center Road Bridge project received the **2012 Project of the Year Award** from The **American Public Works Association (APWA)** and the **2012 Transportation Project Achievement Award** from the **Construction Management Association of America**.
- A housing reconstruction project at San Pasqual Academy built in the wake of the 2007 Witch Creek Fire received two **Gold Nugget** awards from the **Pacific Coast Builders Conference**. The project won an **Award of Merit** in the Green Sustainable Communities category and a **Judges Special Award of Excellence**.
- The completed Black Canyon Road Bridge project received a **2012 Honor Award** from **APWA** and a **2012 Outstanding Bridge Award** from the **American Society of Civil Engineers**.

standard of the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) National Flood Insurance Program's requirements.

- *Community Law School* - an innovative effort to provide free legal education by bringing lawyers and judges to the public at the trusted venue of the library.
- *District Attorney Collaboration with Public Defender and Private Defense Counsel on eDiscovery* - a system to streamline the dissemination of discovery materials to defense counsel.
- *Drive Like Your Life Depends On It* - The Patrol Speed and Safe Driving Initiative to address high speed on-duty patrol-related collisions developed by the San Diego County Sheriff's Department.
- *eVisit System* - The Sheriff's Department schedules over 114,000 Inmate Social Visits each year. Previously, the scheduling was done exclusively by phone, which caused extended wait times. The eVisit System allows the public (with Internet access) to schedule an Inmate Social Visit via the Internet, resulting in a more efficient operation.
- *Flood Forecasting for the San Luis Rey River Watershed* - To combat damaging floods and forecast potential flood impacts, the County has developed a first of its kind model for forecasting flooding throughout the 562 square mile San Luis Rey watershed.
- *Justice Electronic Library System* - This completely automates the distribution, receipt and off-site use of Probation documents, police reports, and other documents received from outside parties that are all required for Juvenile Court Delinquency hearings.
- *San Diego County Emergency Portal Website* - a new emergency portal website that provides the public with updates on evacuations, shelters, interactive maps, and other critical information delivered to wherever people are - at home, at work, or on a mobile device.
- *San Diego County Probation Tracking Known Offenders* - a collaborative project where the Probation Department provides information and criminal intelligence to assist the Sheriff's Department in solving crimes, and the Sheriff's Department assists the Probation Department in the field supervision of medium and high-risk probationers by enforcing court orders.
- *San Diego County Probation Youthful Offender Unit (YOU)* - a local custodial and community supervision program to address the highest risk youthful offenders who were formerly sent to State institutions.

Strategic Initiative - Safe and Livable Communities

■ **National Association of Counties (NACo) Achievement Awards - 2012**

- *Accessible Hazard Alert System - Accessible Alert-SanDiego* - a regional system to improve the emergency alerts for residents with sensory disabilities (deaf, blind, hard of hearing, or deaf/blind).
- *Community Ratings System Program* - a voluntary program that recognizes and encourages community flood plain management activities that exceed the

- *Veterans Treatment Review Calendar* - a collaborative court approach to the adjudication of veterans with military-related mental health problems.
- The **California Association of Public Information Officials** honored the County with the **Award of Distinction** for its antiterrorism awareness campaign. Part of the campaign included a video, called "Eight Signs of Terrorism" which was promoted to the public and used to train employees at the County, City of San Diego and other local government agencies.
- The County's Juvenile Forensic Assistance for Stabilization and Treatment Program (JFAST) - a collaborative court program for juvenile offenders with mental health needs, was awarded the **2012 Juvenile Justice "At Large" Award** by the **County Juvenile Justice Commission**.
- **California State Association of Counties (CSAC) Merit Award 2011**
 - *Integrated Fire Suppression/Stormwater Compliance System* - this system was developed in collaboration with area industry other stakeholders to enhance safety, ecological stewardship, and efficiency.
 - *Responsible Pet Ownership (RePo)* - this program works like a "traffic school" for individuals cited for minor animal violations, providing resources, rehabilitation and cost savings while reducing recidivism and court time.
 - *Senior Expo: Protect Yourself and Your Wallet* - these events help prevent crimes against older adults by empowering and educating seniors with physical and financial self-defense techniques. The program has educated more than 1,100 seniors at 24 events.
- The Sheriff's Department Helicopter Unit ASTREA received a **Partnership Award CAL FIRE**, State fire protection agency. The award recognizes the cooperation between law enforcement and fire agencies in times of wildfires and other emergencies.

Operational Excellence Awards

The awards listed below pertain to programs or accomplishments that support the County's Required Disciplines as outlined in the General Management System:

- **National Association of Counties (NACo) Achievement Awards - 2012**
 - *Advanced Competencies for the Administrative Professional of the 21st Century* - A decreasing workforce and increasing numbers of San Diego residents needing services spawned the creation of a rigorous seven week training program to empower adminis-

trative support staff at the Health and Human Services Agency to develop the skills needed to meet these heightened demands.

- *Automating Housing Inspections Saves Staff Time, Mileage & Gas* - A computerized route optimization and planning program for inspection of rental assistance housing units that replaces Housing and Community Development's manual process of routing and scheduling inspections.
- *AWM Invoice Bar Coding* - a bar coding program for processing permit and registration payments in the Agriculture, Weights and Measures Department, which has resulted in increased efficiency and reliability.
- *Cloud-Based Contract Administration System* - a cloud-based contract administration software service that has increased oversight of contracting functions by the department, employee or contractor.
- *Deferred Compensation Women & Retirement Program* - as part of a retirement planning and investment educational campaign for all County employees, this focused program presented an opportunity to incorporate education aimed at a clearly defined audience while addressing current objectives and reaching a whole new audience.
- *Deputy Sheriff Cadet Recruitment Redesign* - a substantial redesign by the Department of Human Resources, of the recruitment process for qualified Deputy Sheriff Cadets through more effective screening of applicants at the front end of the process, that has resulted in expedited recruitment of quality candidates.
- *Development of Portfolio Accounting and Portfolio Analytics Interface* - a technology-based data extraction process allowing staff to perform analytics on current and historical portfolios.
- *District Attorney Transcription Process Reengineered* - a comprehensive streamlined approach developed to ensure an accurate reproduction of evidential recordings from law enforcement agencies about an alleged crime by an individual or individuals.
- *E-Audit for Health & Human Services Contractors* - In support of a comprehensive initiative called "Live Well, San Diego!", the Health and Human Services Agency developed a program to automate the manual process of contractor audits.
- *Preventing 1503 MEDS Errors* - an electronic training course designed to help eligibility staff prevent the 1503 Critical Medi-Cal (a.k.a. Medicaid) Eligibility Determination System (MEDS) errors.

- *Public Administrator/Public Guardian E-referral System* - an online system that allows the public, as well as community and County partners, to refer individuals to the Public Administrator or Public Guardian electronically over a secure Internet, thus improving customer service.
 - *San Diego County SDFusion* - a centralized intelligence indexing system in the Sheriff's Department that provides increased data sharing between law enforcement agencies, improve disaster preparedness and deliver actionable data to assist decision making by officers and first-responders in the field.
- For the tenth consecutive year, the **Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA)** recognized the County with the ***Distinguished Budget Presentation Award*** for the Adopted Operational Plan Fiscal Years 2011-2012 & 2012-2013. This award is a significant achievement for the County as it reflects the organization's commitment to maintaining the highest standards of governmental budgeting.
- For the fourth year in a row the **San Diego Society for Human Resource Management** honored the District Attorney's Office with an ***Excellence Award*** for innovative planning that allowed the office to reduce its workforce by 10% while maintaining quality of service to the public.
- The County received the ***Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting*** from the **GFOA** for its Fiscal Year 2010-11 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR). This certificate is the highest form of recognition for governmental accounting and financial reporting.
- **California State Association of Counties (CSAC) Merit Award 2011**
 - *Regional GIS Data Exchange* - this county-sponsored project improved Geographic Information Systems (GIS) data exchanges between local governments and regional governmental agencies, while lowering costs and increasing reliability.
- The **Center for Digital Government** provided national recognition to the County with two awards:
 - The ***Digital Government Achievement Award*** for an innovative program to help rural residents get Medi-Cal and CalFresh (formerly Food Stamps). This video program makes it easier for some 450,000 residents living in the rural North County and North Inland Regions to access needed County services.
 - A second place award was given in the ***Digital Counties Survey 2011*** for counties with a population over 500,000. This award recognizes leading examples of counties using information and communications technology.
- The Department of General Services was honored the fifth year in a row with the ***Award of Excellence 2011*** from the **California Counties Facilities Services Association** for demonstrating exceptional dedication to facilities excellence through best practices, innovation, staff development, automation and customer service.
- The Department of General Services received a ***Qualifications-Based Selection Merit Award 2011*** by the **American Council of Engineering Companies** and **National Society of Professional Engineers**. This national award recognizes those who use qualifications-based methods to procure the services of consulting engineers at the state and local level.
- The Department of Housing and Community Development received the ***Nan McKay Pioneer Award*** by **Nan McKay & Associates, Inc.** for its TourSolver software program, which optimizes inspector travel routes, saving approximately \$30,000 annually.
- The James R. Mills Building was awarded ***Building of the Year 2011 (TOBY)*** in the government category by the **Building Owners and Managers Association**. The award recognizes excellence in building operations, property management, energy and water cost saving upgrades.
- The ***Program Awareness Award 2011*** was presented by the **Child Support Directors Association of California** to the Department of Child Support Services. The honor was in recognition of the department's new Spanish website, which mirrors the information and functionality of its English site.
- The Fallbrook Library was the winner of the ***People's Choice Orchid 2011*** from the **San Diego Architectural Foundation**. The building achieved LEED Silver Certification for sustainable design. The library features include: natural lighting, "living room" area, Poets Patio, homework center, and a portion of the roof landscaped with succulents.
- The **San Diego Chapter of Associated Builders & Contractors** honored the Fallbrook Branch Library with an ***Award of Excellence 2011*** and became a finalist for "Top Project by a General Contractor."



County of San Diego

Public Safety Group

Public Safety Group Summary & Executive Office	115
District Attorney	121
Sheriff	127
Child Support Services	135
Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board	141
Office of Emergency Services	145
Medical Examiner	151
Probation	157
Public Defender	165
San Diego County Fire Authority	171

Public Safety Group Summary & Executive Office

Group Description

The Public Safety Group (PSG) provides leadership throughout the region in public safety, criminal justice administration, emergency preparedness and public accountability. The PSG departments operate both independently and collaboratively to support the region by investigating crime, prosecuting and defending persons accused of crimes, holding offenders in custody and supervising sentenced offenders. PSG departments also provide programs and services promoting opportunities for children and young adults.



PSG Departments

- District Attorney
- Sheriff
- Child Support Services
- Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board
- Office of Emergency Services
- Medical Examiner
- Probation
- Public Defender
- San Diego County Fire Authority

Mission Statement

As a regional coalition of leading and respected public safety and criminal justice partners, the Public Safety Group improves public safety and criminal justice in San Diego County, and communicates and coordinates within our group and the community to deliver quality programs and services.

Vision Statement

A county where residents are safe and protected and have confidence in our criminal justice system, offenders are held accountable through appropriate sentences, proven strategies are implemented to reduce recidivism and successfully transition offenders back into communities, and communities are able to prepare, respond and recover from natural disasters and other emergencies.

2011-12 Accomplishments

In the preceding year, PSG addressed core law enforcement and public safety needs, developed services and approaches to improve outcomes for offenders, continued

to enhance emergency preparedness for the region and pursued regional, reengineering and information technology initiatives.

Continued to provide programs that break the cycle of criminal recidivism, protected the public and focused on the successful reentry of offenders into the community upon leaving incarceration.

- Provided rehabilitative services to youth in custody to prepare them for success in the community.
- Participated in Offender Reentry Court, Behavioral Health Court, Adult and Juvenile Drug Court, Homeless Court and the Veterans Treatment Review Calendar to ensure clients obtained the services they need to become self-sufficient and maintain a crime-free lifestyle.
- Sheriff's deputies assigned to the Sexual Assault Felony Enforcement (SAFE) team conducted over 1,039 compliance audits in the unincorporated area and Sheriff's contract cities to ensure that registered sex offenders remained in compliance with court ordered offender registration requirements.

Continued to strengthen the County's and communities' ability to prepare for, respond to and recover from disasters.

- Developed an advanced post-disaster recovery initiative which provides a comprehensive program that identifies steps the County can take pre-disaster that will accelerate recovery after a catastrophic event.
- Initiated development of the Regional Communications System replacement plan; Federal Urban Area Security Initiative grant funding for replacement of the core was approved in January 2012.
- Continued to work toward 24-hour staffing at all fire stations in coordination with the local fire districts.

Informed and educated the community on public safety issues.

- Provided public outreach notification to residents in the unincorporated area on the Defensible Space Program, the inspection process and procedures. This program is designed to protect homes during wildfires by reducing flammable vegetation around structures.
- Participated in four community outreach events through the Public Defender's Community Outreach Program.
- Established partnerships and implemented child support-related programs with community-based organizations who deal with noncustodial parents.
- Increased teen driving safety through education and awareness by conducting 65 Start Smart classes and five community teen driving fairs.
- Established a public information program on the tenth anniversary of 9/11 to educate and advise the general public how to recognize and report suspicious activity. The program was designed to reach 3.1 million residents as well as visitors to San Diego County by having the program available on the Web, through distributed materials, the local media and community events.
- Increased community awareness of the Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board through quarterly, multidisciplinary outreach.

Continued partnerships with other agencies to address public safety needs.

- Collaborated with criminal justice partners and social service providers and developed protocols for a High-Risk Domestic Violence Team to support improved outcomes for victims of domestic violence.
- Participated in multi-agency operations including gang operations, truancy sweeps, probation and parole sweeps and sobriety checkpoints.
- Continued to contribute to research efforts in childhood death by actively participating in the San Diego County Child Fatality Committee, the Methamphetamine Strike Force, the Medical Examiners and Coroners Alert Project, the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and the California Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) Advisory Council. Participation includes attending meetings, providing statistics and case examples, and lending expertise.
- Completed Step II of the County's Fire and Life Safety Reorganization Report, which included the merging of the five County Service Areas into the San Diego County Fire Authority – County Service Area (CSA) 135.

Promoted regional leadership in criminal justice and public safety.

- Maintained a focus on border security by conducting proactive, intelligence driven operations in conjunction with local and federal law enforcement partners.
- Conducted 18 tabletop exercises with multiple federal and State agencies, county departments, 18 cities, special districts in the unincorporated areas and non-governmental agencies by June 2012 to focus on the Southern California Catastrophic Earthquake Response Plan.
- Enabled timely progress of the justice system by completing 93% of the Medical Examiner's homicide examination reports within 60 days or less.

Maintained and renewed essential infrastructure to support service delivery.

- Continued to provide funding for facility improvements and regional training facilities to partnering fire service agencies as identified in the 2010 County Fire Deployment Study.
- Substantially completed the schematic design for the Women's Detention Facility in conjunction with the Department of General Services and will be finalized in September 2012.

Leveraged new technology innovations to improve services and operational efficiency.

- Installed the new Automated Fingerprint Identification System.
- Developed the County's new Accessible AlertSanDiego mass notification system which provides emergency management capability of alerting and informing residents who are deaf, blind, hard of hearing and deaf/blind before, during and after a disaster.
- Implemented and expanded the use of the Juvenile Electronic Library System (JELS) to improve the case management process. JELS is a project to integrate Probation Reports into the District Attorney's juvenile case management system for use in the courtroom.

2012-14 Objectives

Address the challenges of Assembly Bill (AB) 109, *Public Safety Realignment (2011)* and the transfer of responsibility for certain offenders from the State to the counties, work collaboratively with all criminal justice agencies and achieve the Public Safety Group's vision by:

- Promoting strategies that prevent crime and make neighborhoods safe places to live, work and play.

- Provide early intervention with at-risk youth in the crime-prone years.
- Implement community-oriented policing strategies, stressing prevention, early identification and timely intervention.
- Educate residents through community outreach and employ prevention and safety programs.
- Promoting and implementing strategies that protect residents from crime.
 - Maintain a proactive law enforcement presence in the unincorporated area and cities with whom the County contracts.
 - Monitor offenders subject to community supervision to mitigate new crime offenses.
 - Employ intelligence-led policing strategies to crime problems at the local and regional level.
- Providing for a strong, collaborative criminal justice system that holds offenders accountable.
 - Collaborate with the local courts to ensure offenders are appropriately detained or sanctioned.
 - Employ appropriate punitive measures that are balanced with rehabilitation opportunities, where appropriate.
 - Ensure quality investigation and crime analysis that leads to successful identification and prosecution of offenders.
- Reducing recidivism by implementing treatment strategies that help offenders successfully reenter society.
 - Provide a continuum of treatment and diversion programs while adult offenders are in jail, addressing both health and behavioral health.
 - Employ transitional services for offenders as they exit out of detention facilities.
 - Integrate evidence-based practices and principles in collaboration with community and justice partners.
- Continuing to strengthen the County's and communities' ability to prepare, to respond and to recover from disasters.
 - Collaborate with regional fire service agencies to improve fire protection and emergency response services.
 - Engage residents, private sector and local jurisdictions through community outreach events and emergency readiness planning.
- Leveraging new technology innovations to improve service delivery and operational efficiency.
 - Continue implementation of technologies, including an electronic content management system, that facilitate paperless processes in the courtroom.

- Explore and develop, where appropriate, mobile applications to improve service delivery and enhance the flow of information to the public.

Related Links

For additional information about the Public Safety Group, refer to the website at http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/public_safety/.

Executive Office Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$8.1 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$0.1 million reflects negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.
- Services and Supplies — increase of \$2.0 million due to an increase in operational costs and for amounts budgeted and retained in the Special Revenue Fund for Proposition 172, *the Local Public Safety Protection and Improvement Act of 1993*, the dedicated one-half cent sales tax for public safety to support regionwide services provided by these departments.
- Fund Balance Component Increases — decrease of \$16.3 million due to a one-time contribution toward the replacement of the Regional Communications System in Fiscal Year 2011-12.
- Operating Transfer Out — increase of \$22.3 million reflects a change in transfers to public safety agencies of revenues from Proposition 172, which supports regional law enforcement services.

Revenues

Net increase of \$8.1 million.

- Intergovernmental Revenue — increase of \$24.0 million due to an increase in revenues from Proposition 172 which supports regional law enforcement services.
- Use of Fund Balance — net decrease of \$16.1 million. A total of \$7.1 million is budgeted.
 - \$0.35 million for support of criminal justice information technology and the development of cross-department information technology proposals.

- \$0.3 million for facility major maintenance projects.
- \$6.4 million for regional law enforcement services support and for one-time purchases of information technology equipment, services, replacement of radios used in regional communications and law enforcement equipment.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$1.0 million to offset costs of negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

Executive Office Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net increase of \$1.0 million is primarily due to an increase in the costs of negotiated labor agreements, an increase in retirement contributions and to align anticipated levels of Proposition 172 revenue.

Contributions for Trial Courts

The Contributions for Trial Courts function of the Public Safety Group involves the management and administration of the County's statutory Maintenance of Effort payment. Pursuant to Senate Bill (SB) 1732, *Court Facilities Legisla-*

tion, the Trial Court Facility Act and Assembly Bill (AB) 1491, Court Facilities Transfer Deadline Extension, the transfer of court facilities to the State occurred in Fiscal Year 2008-09. County financial responsibility for facility maintenance costs will continue as a statutorily required County Facility Payment. The detailed changes in the State and County financial and management responsibilities are included in the Joint Occupancy Agreements.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Expenditures

No change.

Revenues

No change.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

No change.

Public Safety Group Summary & Executive Office ■ ■ ■

Group Staffing by Department

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Public Safety Executive Office	11.00	11.00	11.00
District Attorney	1,022.00	978.00	978.00
Sheriff	3,812.00	3,896.00	4,004.00
Child Support Services	472.00	472.00	472.00
Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board	4.00	4.00	4.00
Office of Emergency Services	17.00	17.00	17.00
Medical Examiner	51.00	52.00	52.00
Probation	1,225.00	1,331.00	1,331.00
Public Defender	344.00	346.00	346.00
San Diego County Fire Authority	13.00	13.00	13.00
Total	6,971.00	7,109.00	7,211.00

Group Expenditures by Department

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Public Safety Executive Office	\$ 191,471,656	\$ 225,721,278	\$ 231,520,556	\$ 209,169,818	\$ 233,816,846	\$ 234,840,368
District Attorney	136,569,903	155,180,194	156,651,826	139,179,647	154,680,088	154,713,053
Sheriff	552,711,219	610,568,755	655,462,596	598,419,790	635,192,554	641,632,127
Child Support Services	47,580,640	51,122,040	51,377,669	47,153,883	50,956,406	52,843,995
Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board	503,776	589,485	589,485	533,228	588,111	601,799
Office of Emergency Services	6,536,737	10,471,121	12,842,501	6,690,949	9,180,674	5,392,696
Medical Examiner	8,325,129	8,897,649	9,260,155	8,552,853	8,951,213	9,199,329
Probation	164,341,425	179,673,491	188,294,737	172,714,328	207,109,589	208,786,029
Public Defender	61,789,635	69,460,410	70,541,826	67,292,988	72,757,375	73,342,537
Contribution for Trial Courts	70,262,842	71,538,487	71,684,458	69,847,550	71,538,673	71,538,673
Defense Attorney / Contract Administration	(160)	—	—	—	—	—
San Diego County Fire Authority	18,234,604	26,456,932	34,096,614	21,632,693	24,664,211	17,274,250
Total	\$1,256,312,031	\$1,407,540,017	\$1,479,468,329	\$1,339,025,277	\$1,465,913,843	\$1,466,396,319

Public Safety Group Summary & Executive Office

Executive Office Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Public Safety Executive Office	11.00	11.00	11.00
Total	11.00	11.00	11.00

Executive Office Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Public Safety Executive Office	\$ 5,543,218	\$ 22,615,635	\$ 24,809,351	\$ 6,055,145	\$ 6,930,453	\$ 7,006,227
Juvenile Justice Commission	9,789	—	—	9,031	—	—
Public Safety Proposition 172	185,918,649	203,105,643	206,711,204	203,105,643	226,886,393	227,834,141
Total	\$ 191,471,656	\$ 225,721,278	\$ 231,520,556	\$ 209,169,818	\$ 233,816,846	\$ 234,840,368

Executive Office Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 1,382,457	\$ 1,677,430	\$ 1,617,630	\$ 1,617,628	\$ 1,775,119	\$ 1,827,367
Services & Supplies	3,562,803	4,021,341	6,274,857	3,829,684	6,029,217	6,043,352
Other Charges	607,747	616,864	616,864	616,863	626,117	635,508
Fund Balance Component Increases	—	16,300,000	16,300,000	—	—	—
Operating Transfers Out	185,918,649	203,105,643	206,711,204	203,105,643	225,386,393	226,334,141
Total	\$ 191,471,656	\$ 225,721,278	\$ 231,520,556	\$ 209,169,818	\$ 233,816,846	\$ 234,840,368

Executive Office Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	\$ 3,614,940	\$ 1,876,914	\$ 1,876,914	\$ 3,734,052	\$ 1,876,914	\$ 1,876,914
Intergovernmental Revenues	202,415,549	196,495,643	196,495,643	224,286,416	220,536,681	226,628,783
Charges For Current Services	708,063	708,000	708,000	708,029	708,000	708,000
Miscellaneous Revenues	22,361	24,554	501,392	477,315	24,554	24,554
Use of Fund Balance	(19,058,507)	23,133,257	28,455,697	(23,518,904)	7,084,873	1,531,764
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	3,769,251	3,482,910	3,482,910	3,482,910	3,585,824	4,070,353
Total	\$ 191,471,656	\$ 225,721,278	\$ 231,520,556	\$ 209,169,818	\$ 233,816,846	\$ 234,840,368

District Attorney

Department Description

The Office of the District Attorney serves the citizens of San Diego County through the efficient prosecution of felony crimes countywide and misdemeanor crimes in 18 cities and the unincorporated areas. The District Attorney assists victims and survivors of crime, protects families and children by making communities safer and protects the taxpayer by investigating and prosecuting consumer and public assistance fraud.

Mission Statement

The San Diego District Attorney, in partnership with the community we serve, is dedicated to the pursuit of truth, justice, the protection of the innocent, and the prevention of crime through the vigorous and professional prosecution of those who violate the law.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Developed and provided training to hundreds of first responder law enforcement officers with the goal of standardizing processes and improving outcomes for victims of sex trafficking crimes.
- Implemented and expanded the use of the Juvenile Electronic Library System (JELS) to improve the case management process. JELS is used to integrate Probation Reports into the District Attorney's juvenile case management system for use in the courtroom.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Collaborated with criminal justice partners and social service providers and developed protocols for a High Risk Domestic Violence Team in the central region of San Diego County to support improved outcomes for victims of domestic violence. The team now meets regularly, reviewing cases and providing resources to individuals and families.

Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Reduced fuel consumption by decreasing the District Attorney's fleet by 9%.
- Increased the use of digital exhibits in courtroom presentations to minimize the use of foam core and other nonrecyclable materials.



Required Discipline – Information Technology

- Expanded the electronic work environment using SharePoint, a file sharing, collaboration application to maximize efficient use of information within the District Attorney's Administrative Division.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Continue to work collaboratively with local law enforcement on the implementation of Assembly Bill (AB) 109, *Public Safety Realignment (2011)*, and the reintegration of offenders into the community.
- Expand services for domestic violence victims in the northern region of San Diego County through the implementation of a High Risk Domestic Violence Team in partnership with local providers and law enforcement.

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Develop a strategy to implement Electronic Content Management to address document workflow, management and retention, which will improve the efficiency of document sharing, retrieval and use.
- Participate as a member of the multidisciplinary advisory committee comprised of the Superior Court, Probation and local law enforcement in developing alternative placements for first-time juvenile offenders who have committed violence against family members.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

- Complete implementation of the eDiscovery system to streamline the dissemination of discovery materials to defense counsel.

- Implement secure wireless network capabilities in all District Attorney offices allowing staff to access District Attorney’s applications using electronic mobile devices. This will increase staff efficiency, productivity and workforce collaboration.
- Develop a strategy to further automate the travel request process for District Attorney business travelers creating a more efficient method for reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses.

Related Links

For additional information about the Office of the District Attorney, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcda.org/index.php>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Achieve a conviction on felony cases prosecuted ¹	94% of 16,670	94%	94% of 15,714	94%	94%
Achieve a conviction on misdemeanor cases prosecuted	87.5% of 25,707	90%	88% of 25,048	90%	90%
Resolve adult felony cases prior to the preliminary hearing ²	76 % of 16,459	70%	77% of 15,720	70%	70%

Table Notes

¹ Cases refer to the number of people prosecuted.

² The total number of cases for Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals (16,459) and Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals (15,720) for resolution prior to the preliminary hearing may differ from the total number (16,670 and 15,714 respectively) used in the felony conviction rate due to cases that are issued as a felony or a misdemeanor but are later charged at a different level.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

The Fiscal Year 2012-14 CAO Proposed Operational Plan includes 978.00 authorized staff years, which is a reduction of 44.00 staff years from the Fiscal Year 2011-13 Operational Plan, and continues \$3.6 million in funding reductions which is being mitigated by attrition. The reduction of staff years in Fiscal Year 2012-13, along with anticipated attrition represents 8% fewer staff years than the total staff years authorized in Fiscal Year 2011-12.

To support business needs with reduced staffing, the Department has implemented technology efficiencies and has also included in this plan \$1.0 million in one-time funding to upgrade and enhance information technology to create additional efficiencies and eliminate and/or improve current business processes.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$0.5 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net increase of \$2.6 million reflects negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions partially offset by a reduction of 44.00 staff years as described above.
- Services and Supplies — net decrease of \$0.2 million.
 - Decrease of \$0.6 million in Public Liability Insurance Premium due to reductions in actuarial cost projections; decrease of \$0.5 million in transcription costs due to realigning appropriations with actual costs.
 - Increase of \$0.4 million in the Information Technology Internal Service Fund due to additional technical support related to enhancements and upgrade projects, an increase of \$0.3 million in Maintenance of Equipment due to the maintenance of the security system, an increase of \$0.1 million in District Attorney Special appropriations due to additional witness

relocations, and an increase of \$0.1 million in Automotive Fuel due to increases in automotive fuel costs.

- Other Charges — net increase of \$0.5 million due to increased costs for contracted services in various grant programs.
- Capital Assets Equipment — decrease of \$1.0 million due to the one-time information technology equipment purchases completed in Fiscal Year 2011-12.
- Expenditures Transfers and Reimbursements — increase of \$0.3 million associated with the reimbursement for services provided by the Public Assistance Fraud Unit. Since the amount to be reimbursed comes from another County department, Health and Human Services Agency, the \$0.3 million increase shows as a decrease in expenditures.
- Operating Transfers Out — decrease of \$0.1 million due to the reduction in the use of Federal Asset Forfeiture Funds for overtime costs.
- Management Reserves — decrease of \$2.0 million due to the completion of one-time information technology projects in Fiscal Year 2011-12.

Revenue

Net decrease of \$0.5 million.

- Intergovernmental Revenues — net increase of \$0.5 million.
 - Net decrease of \$0.5 million in funding from the California Emergency Management Agency due to the elimination of the Vertical Prosecution Block Grant, partially offset by an increase for the Underserved Populations Grant.
 - Decrease of \$0.2 million in the Child Abduction and Recovery Program.
 - Increase of \$0.5 million in State revenue allocated to the District Attorney/Public Defender Account as a result of Public Safety Realignment.
 - Increase of \$0.3 million in the Southwest Border Prosecution Initiative Program.

- Increase of \$0.2 million in the State of California, Department of Insurance grant programs.
- Increase of \$0.2 million in the United States Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women, Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement of Protection Orders Program.
- Charges for Current Services— increase of \$0.2 million in the Real Estate Fraud Prosecution fund due to an increase in real estate transactions.
- Other Financing Sources — net increase of \$4.5 million due to projected receipts and use of Special Revenue Fund fund balance from Proposition 172, *the Local Public Safety Protection and Improvement Act of 1993*, which supports regional law enforcement services, partially offset by a decrease in the use of Federal Asset Forfeiture Funds for overtime costs.
- Use of Fund Balance — net decrease of \$7.5 million. A total of \$5.2 million is budgeted and allocated for support of reentry services for offenders, information technology refresh and upgrades, and one-time negotiated salary adjustments and Federal Asset Forfeiture Funds to support law enforcement purposes.
- Proposition 172 revenue growth and the use of Proposition 172 Special Revenue Fund prior year fund balance are applied, in part, to a multi-year strategy to mitigate previous reductions in Proposition 172 revenues.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$1.9 million to offset the costs of negotiated labor agreements and increases in County retirement contributions.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

No significant change.

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
General Criminal Prosecution		577.00			553.00	553.00
Specialized Criminal Prosecution		275.00			263.00	263.00
Juvenile Court		44.00			42.00	42.00
Public Assistance Fraud		72.00			69.00	69.00
District Attorney Administration		54.00			51.00	51.00
Total		1,022.00			978.00	978.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
General Criminal Prosecution	\$ 81,008,756	\$ 95,053,646	\$ 95,162,629	\$ 81,414,675	\$ 94,953,886	\$ 92,753,245
Specialized Criminal Prosecution	43,678,924	46,184,713	47,608,154	45,875,188	46,256,101	47,695,451
Child Support Enforcement	—	—	—	169	—	—
Juvenile Court	5,732,099	5,885,296	5,895,496	5,593,678	5,896,983	6,345,678
Public Assistance Fraud	(1,321,564)	(1,143,823)	(1,136,696)	(1,166,857)	(1,159,565)	(907,621)
District Attorney Administration	7,184,060	8,483,362	8,405,243	7,149,895	8,117,683	8,211,300
District Attorney Asset Forfeiture Program	287,629	717,000	717,000	312,899	615,000	615,000
Total	\$ 136,569,903	\$ 155,180,194	\$ 156,651,826	\$ 139,179,647	\$ 154,680,088	\$ 154,713,053

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 121,347,387	\$ 132,973,095	\$ 132,973,095	\$ 125,109,654	\$ 135,573,564	\$ 140,106,889
Services & Supplies	19,527,790	20,346,314	20,799,683	17,433,048	20,106,423	20,106,063
Other Charges	2,828,300	2,700,620	4,067,255	3,576,514	3,194,069	3,194,069
Capital Assets Equipment	621,123	2,360,200	2,011,828	159,299	1,382,200	382,200
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(7,854,697)	(8,900,035)	(8,900,035)	(7,098,868)	(9,176,168)	(9,176,168)
Operating Transfers Out	100,000	200,000	200,000	—	100,000	100,000
Management Reserves	—	5,500,000	5,500,000	—	3,500,000	—
Total	\$ 136,569,903	\$ 155,180,194	\$ 156,651,826	\$ 139,179,647	\$ 154,680,088	\$ 154,713,053

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	\$ 329,655	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 168,693	\$ —	\$ —
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	11,879	—	—	8,459	—	—
Intergovernmental Revenues	32,789,511	18,693,207	19,221,714	28,291,751	19,171,158	19,171,158
Charges For Current Services	1,070,511	910,000	910,000	1,058,065	1,060,000	1,060,000
Miscellaneous Revenues	2,496,898	2,616,297	2,616,297	2,222,823	2,616,297	2,616,297
Other Financing Sources	37,625,355	41,436,277	41,436,277	41,256,934	45,944,485	45,762,905
Use of Fund Balance	(14,519,661)	12,775,540	13,718,665	(12,575,951)	5,242,927	615,000
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	76,765,754	78,748,873	78,748,873	78,748,873	80,645,221	85,487,693
Total	\$ 136,569,903	\$ 155,180,194	\$ 156,651,826	\$ 139,179,647	\$ 154,680,088	\$ 154,713,053



Sheriff

Department Description

The Sheriff's Department is the chief law enforcement agency in the County of San Diego, covering 4,200 square miles. The department's approximately 4,000 employees provide general law enforcement, detention, and court services, as well as regional investigative support and tactical emergency response. Law enforcement services are provided to 894,000 county residents, including those in nine contract cities. The department is responsible for booking and releasing inmates, ensuring court appearances, and providing necessary daily care for about 5,500 inmates per day. The Sheriff's detention facilities conduct approximately 135,000 inmate bookings annually. Services provided to the San Diego Superior Courts include weapons screening and courtroom security. The department also serves as the County's levying and enforcement agency for execution, service and return of all writs, warrants and temporary restraining orders.

Mission Statement

We provide the highest quality public safety service in an effort to make San Diego the safest urban county in the nation.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Increased teen driving safety through education and awareness by conducting 65 Start Smart classes and five community teen driving fairs.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Conducted 1,039 compliance audits in the unincorporated area and contract cities to ensure that registered sex offenders remained in compliance with court ordered offender registration requirements, exceeding the goal of 525. The audits were conducted by the Sexual Assault Felony Enforcement (SAFE) team.
- Maintained a focus on Border Security by continuing the Border Crime Suppression Team (BCST), originally funded with American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds that expired in December 2011. BCST maintained proactive, intelligence driven missions targeting those who violate local and/or State criminal statutes and exceeded most of its goals.



- Conducted over 30 southbound directed patrol-interdiction operations to stop the flow of guns and bulk cash flowing into Mexico at one of three ports of entry in coordination with federal law enforcement partners, in addition to conducting 12 coordinated highway drug interdiction operations between the U.S.-Mexico border and the Riverside and Orange County lines.
- Conducted 12 high visibility criminal interdiction operations in conjunction with local and federal law enforcement partners along the San Diego County coastline.
- Coordinated and/or participated in two countywide street gang suppression efforts, targeting those who support Mexican cartels. The department was unable to achieve the goal of four suppression efforts due to a focus on smaller targeted operations.
- Increased the availability of actionable intelligence to patrol deputies by completing the installation of electronic briefing boards in all patrol stations in January 2012.
- Improved the communication interoperability of the seven Sheriff's helicopters by upgrading radios to Project 25 (P25) compliance standards set for public safety agencies (in December 2011). Funding was provided by the Federal Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) grant.
- A consultant report including options for the Regional Communications System (RCS) replacement plan was completed in January 2012. A group of RCS stakeholders are reviewing the recommendations to formulate a proposed replacement plan to present to the RCS Partners. The revised objective is to complete the proposed replacement plan with funding provided by the UASI by the end of calendar year 2012.

- Completed the replacement of the radio systems in December 2011 at the East Mesa Detention Complex, which will improve and simplify interoperable communications at the George Bailey Detention Facility, Facility 8, East Mesa Detention Facility and the East Mesa Juvenile Detention Facility. The project was funded by the 2009 Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) Technology Grant.
- Completed the installation and successful migration to the new Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) in December 2011.
- Substantially completed the schematic design for the Women's Detention Facility in conjunction with the Department of General Services and will be finalized in September 2012.
- Awarded a contract with a Design-Build entity for construction of the new Rancho San Diego Station in conjunction with the Department of General Services. The design phase is underway and grading began in January 2012. Submittal of building permit plans occurred in March 2012. Start of the building construction is delayed until mid-November 2012.
- Installed a new touch screen monitoring system and upgraded the security camera system at San Diego Central Jail.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- The department was unable to achieve a 15% reduction in chargeable traffic collisions involving department vehicles driven by Sheriff's employees; however, a reduction of 7% (99 to 92) was attained through awareness, training and accountability.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Implement the Alternate Custody Unit to mitigate overcrowding in the County Detention Facilities by December 2012 and monitor an average of 300 offenders out of custody.
- Deploy the San Diego Regional Sex Offender Management System to all local law enforcement agencies by February 2013.
- Deploy the San Diego Regional Sex Offender notification system to the public by December 2012. This system will allow the public to subscribe to receive alerts on changes to the status of sex offenders as reported in the Megan's Law database.

- Develop an annual program and conduct five enforcement operations to coincide with significant annual youth-oriented events (i.e. graduations, prom, winter break, spring break, etc.), that will utilize various social host ordinances throughout the County to reduce opportunities for teenage alcohol and drug abuse.
- Increase cooperation with the Probation Department by expanding the Tracking Known Offenders (TKO) program to additional Sheriff stations by May 2013.
- Develop strategies to manage the shortage of detention facility beds, projected to begin in November 2012 and grow to between 600 and 1,000 beds, due to the implementation of Assembly Bill (AB) 109, *Public Safety Realignment (2011)*.
- Implement the Reentry Services division in Detention Services Bureau, including the expansion of the East Mesa Detention Facility to house a Reentry program by June 2014. As part of the reentry services, develop and implement programming for inmates sentenced to custody for longer than one year.
- Upgrade the RCS Core by March 2013 with funding awarded from 2009 and 2011 UASI grants. Upgrading the core will help extend the useful life of the existing system until a new system can be funded, procured and installed.
- Continue planning efforts for the RCS replacement system to include obtaining commitment from the RCS Participating Agencies to participate in the new system.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Essential Infrastructure

- Complete construction of the Rancho San Diego Station in conjunction with the Department of General Services by fall 2013.
- Continue planning efforts related to the new Women's Detention Facility with groundbreaking for roads and infrastructure by July 2012, and construction beginning in March 2013.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Conduct seven academies with at least 100 Detention/Court Services and 107 Law Enforcement cadets completing training in order to maintain adequate detention facility staffing at 90% of authorized positions by June 2013.

Related Links

For additional information about the Sheriff's Department, refer to the website at <http://www.sdsheriff.net/>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Priority 1 & 2 Response Times:					
— Incorporated Response Times (Min.)	9.8	10.2	9.5	9.5	9.4
— Unincorporated Response Times (Min.)	13.0	13.8	12.9	13.1	13.2
— Rural Response Times (Min.) ¹	22.5	22.0	21.9	22.6	22.9
Public Calls for Service	212,614	230,000	211,282	196,504	188,184
Deputy Initiated Actions (DIA)	322,753	305,000	300,774	302,367	294,318
Daily Average – Number of Inmates	4,622	5,000	4,846	5,500	5,900 ²
— Number of Inmates serving one year or more ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	400	790
Number of Jail Bookings	136,451	145,000	130,044 ⁴	135,000	138,000
Bank Garnishment Civil Unit Processing	7.5 Days	7.5 Days	8 Days	8.5 Days	7.5 Days
Warrants Cleared ⁵	4,747	4,386	4,213	3,000	3,000

Table Notes

¹ A slight increase is projected for Rural Response times in Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14. These projections reflect an emphasis on safe driving practices while continuing to respond appropriately to all calls aided by intelligence led policing strategies.

² Fiscal Year 2013-14 Adopted Daily Average number of inmates includes the availability of 400 reentry additional beds to be constructed at the East Mesa Detention Facility.

³ Number of Inmates serving one year or more is a new Performance Measure identified for Fiscal Year 2012-13. The number is expected to increase in Fiscal Year 2013-14 due to the implementation of Public Safety Realignment.

⁴ The actual number of jail bookings in Fiscal Year 2011-12 were fewer than the projected number in Fiscal Year 2011-12. The projection was based on anticipated increased bookings due to flash incarcerations as a result of Public Safety Realignment. Flash Incarceration is a sanctioning tool the Probation Department has been given by the State that allows the Probation Officer to place an offender in custody for up to 10 days without a court hearing.

⁵ The number of warrants cleared is expected to decrease in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and 2013-14 as detention facility capacity is exceeded.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Net increase of 84.00 staff years.

- Net increase of 55.00 staff years in the Detention Services Bureau. Increase of 1.00 staff year to direct the implementation of Public Safety Realignment within the department as approved by the Board of Supervisors in September 2011, 14.00 staff years to operate the

Alternate Custody Unit approved by the Board of Supervisors in December 2011, and 20.00 staff years for the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility funded by State funds allocated to the County Local Revenue Fund 2011, Local Community Corrections Account as a result of Public Safety Realignment. Increase of 3.00 staff years to the Inmate Services Division funded by the Inmate Welfare Fund. Increase of 18.00 staff years to form the transition team to prepare for the staffing and operation of the Women's Detention Facility expected to open in the summer of 2014. Decrease of 1.00 staff year is a result of a transfer to the Human Resource Services Bureau based on operational needs.

- Net increase of 8.00 staff years in the Law Enforcement Services Bureau. The increase of 8.00 staff years is required to provide additional sworn supervisory positions at patrol stations. The increase of 3.00 staff years in the Crime Lab and Cal-ID will increase the capacity for fingerprints examinations and administer the Cal-ID program. These positions will be supported by revenue from Cal-ID through vehicle registration fees. The increase of 2.00 staff years is due to an increase in law enforcement services requested by the North County Transit District. The increase of 1.00 staff year in Emergency Planning is required to assist in the department's efforts in emergency preparedness and disaster response. The decrease of 4.00 staff years is due to a reduction in the law enforcement services requested by the contract cities. The decrease of 2.00 staff years is due to the expiration of two American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) grants; the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant through the City of Vista and the Firearms Trafficking Task Force Grant.
- Increase of 15.00 staff years in the Human Resource Services Bureau. The increase of 14.00 staff years is to address the increasing hiring and training needs of the department. The increase of 1.00 staff year is the result of a transfer from the Detention Services Bureau based on operational needs.
- Increase of 6.00 staff years in the Management Services Bureau to provide support to operational divisions. Increase of 1.00 staff year in the Grants Unit to assist in the administrative management and oversight of the department's grants. Increase of 3.00 staff years in the Wireless Services division; this includes 2.00 staff years to perform radio installation, programming and repair in Regional Communication System (RCS) partner agencies and 1.00 staff year to provide technical support for the regional public safety communica-

tion systems operated by the County, partially funded by the RCS revenue. Increase of 1.00 staff year in the Data Services Division to support regional criminal justice applications. Increase of 1.00 staff year in the Records Unit for the Cal-ID program; this position will be supported by revenue from Cal-ID through vehicle registration fees.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$24.0 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net increase of \$14.9 million.
 - Increase of \$12.1 million reflects negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.
 - Increase of \$10.1 million due to the addition of 84.00 staff years as described above.
 - Net increase of \$1.6 million in temporary help and overtime due to expenditures related to trial court security services, partially offset by a decrease due to the expiration of grant programs.
 - Decrease of \$6.2 million due to the elimination of a one-time negotiated salary adjustment from Fiscal Year 2011-12.
 - Decrease of \$2.7 million from salary adjustments to reflect staff turnover and unfilled positions throughout the fiscal year.
- Services and Supplies — net increase of \$7.7 million.
 - Increase of \$5.9 million for the replacement of the conventional radio system which supports critical public fire-safety voice communications for local and Statewide mutual-aid response to wildfires and regional emergencies throughout San Diego County.
 - Increase of \$3.2 million to fund costs including increased tuition in the San Diego Community College District, the replacement of safety equipment, increased costs related to fuel and vehicle depreciation for the new model of patrol vehicles to replace the Crown Victoria model which is no longer available, Rancho San Diego Station equipment, operations and maintenance, and the regional law enforcement records management systems (NetRMS) maintenance agreement.
 - Net increase of \$3.1 million to support food costs associated with the increase of inmates, to support the newly formed Alternate Custody Unit, and the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility associated with Public Safety Realignment.
 - Increase of \$1.0 million in Sheriff's Jail Stores Internal Service Fund for the increased demand for e-commerce sales, commissary purchases and telephone time sales.

- Net increase of \$0.3 million due to cost increases in various Internal Service Funds.
 - Increase of \$0.2 million due to the start-up costs associated with the Women's Detention Facility transition team.
 - Decrease of \$4.4 million in grant funds that support State and federal homeland security initiatives.
 - Decrease of \$2.0 million due to the purchase of bulletproof vests completed in Fiscal Year 2011-12.
 - Other Charges — net increase of \$1.4 million.
 - Increase of \$1.5 million for medical costs related to the increased number of inmates associated with Public Safety Realignment.
 - Decrease of \$0.1 million due to the lack of State funding for the Senate Bill 618 *San Diego Prisoner Reentry Program*.
 - Capital Assets and Equipment — net increase of \$1.4 million.
 - Increase of \$1.4 million for the purchase of transportation equipment and modular trailers supporting the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility.
 - Increase of \$1.4 million in grant funds that support State and federal homeland security initiatives.
 - Decrease of \$1.4 million due to one-time projects and purchases completed in Fiscal Year 2011-12
 - Expenditure Transfers and Reimbursements — increase of \$0.1 million in cost applied expenditure transfers (reimbursement) for services provided to the Probation Department for food services. Since this is a reimbursement, it has the effect of a \$0.1 million increase in expenditures.
 - Fund Balance Component Increases — decrease of \$2.0 million due to a transfer of fund balance in Fiscal Year 2011-12 to the Sheriff Capital Project Commitment account for future helicopter replacement.
 - Operating Transfers Out — increase of \$0.7 million due to transfers among Inmate Welfare, Asset Forfeiture and General Funds.
- Revenues**
- Net increase of \$24.0 million.
- Fines, Forfeitures and Penalties — net decrease of \$0.3 million due to a decrease in Cal-ID revenue (\$0.4 million) based on a reduction in planned expenditures for the Cal-ID program, partially offset by an increase in Writ Disbursement Trust Fund revenue (\$0.1 million) associated with increased vehicle maintenance costs.
 - Revenue From Use of Money & Property — increase of \$0.3 million due to increased revenue based on the agreement with the Corrections Corporation of America (CCA) for the lease of the Otay Mesa Detention Facility.
 - Intergovernmental Revenues — net increase of \$5.9 million.
 - Increase of \$12.1 million in State revenue allocated to the County Local Revenue Fund 2011, Local Community Corrections Account as a result of Public Safety Realignment due to the creation of the Alternate Custody Unit, additional staff at the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility, the replacement of eliminated Institutional Care State revenue for the cost of housing certain offenders with revenue from the Local Community Corrections Account and increased costs for food and medical services due to an increased number of offenders and longer lengths of stay in custody for offenders.
 - Increase of \$0.4 million for the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (CalMMET) program funded through the Local Law Enforcement Services Account.
 - Decrease of \$1.5 million due to the expiration of programs supported by ARRA grant funds; an equal reduction in expenditures was made.
 - Net decrease of \$1.5 million due to a decrease in the Operation Stonegarden Grant Program offset by increases in the State Homeland Security Grant Program, Urban Areas Security Initiative, Port Security Grant and Anti-Terrorism Funds.
 - Decrease of \$0.9 million for the Federal State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP), which provides federal payments to states and localities that incur correctional salary costs for incarcerating undocumented criminal aliens.
 - Decrease of \$0.9 million due to the expiration of various grants; an equal reduction in expenditures was made.
 - Decrease of \$0.7 million in State funds allocated to the Trial Court Security Account.
 - Decrease of \$0.6 million due to the COPS Technology 2009 and 2010 grants.
 - Decrease of \$0.3 million in various revenue accounts related to grant funds that will not be rebudgeted.
 - Decrease of \$0.2 million for Driving Under the Influence (DUI) and various traffic enforcement programs funded by the California Office of Traffic Safety due to a reduction in funding.

- Charges for Current Services — net decrease of \$2.2 million.
 - Net increase of \$1.0 million for negotiated salaries and benefits adjustments for the contracted law enforcement services provided to the nine contract cities and for the increased law enforcement services requested by the North County Transit District.
 - Decrease of \$2.2 million in Institutional Care State revenue due to the implementation of Public Safety Realignment and the shift of responsibility of low level offenders from the State to counties, the cost of housing certain parole violators is now a county responsibility.
 - Decrease of \$0.7 million in Jail Bed Leasing due to decreased misdemeanor jail bookings by the City of San Diego.
 - Decrease of \$0.3 million in various revenues including Miramar and East Mesa Range user fees and Civil Automation Trust Fund revenue.
- Miscellaneous Revenues — net increase of \$7.3 million.
 - Increase of \$5.9 million due to a settlement by the County of San Diego with San Diego Gas & Electric related to the Southern California Wildfires of 2007. Funds were deposited to the Fire Safety Trust Fund and will be used to replace the conventional radio system.
 - Increase of \$1.1 million primarily due to an increase from sales of commissary goods to inmates, pre-paid phone card sales and projected inmate print-shop sales.
 - Increase of \$0.3 million due to an increase of planned expenditures to be reimbursed from the RCS Trust Fund revenue.
- Other Financing Sources — net increase of \$17.6 million.
 - Increase of \$16.8 million due to use of fund balance in the Proposition 172 Special Revenue Fund and an increase in Proposition 172, *the Local Public Safety Protection and Improvement Act of 1993* sales tax, which supports regional law enforcement and detention services.
 - Increase of \$0.7 million due to an increase in the transfer from the Inmate Welfare Fund to the General Fund.
 - Increase of \$0.1 million based on Asset Forfeiture funds in Law Enforcement Services for overtime.
- Use of Fund Balance — net decrease of \$16.5 million. A total of \$1.4 million is budgeted.
 - \$0.6 million is included to offset costs related to equipment replacement (\$0.3 million) and equipment to outfit the new Rancho San Diego station (\$0.3 million).
 - \$0.4 million in Inmate Welfare Fund fund balance is included to offset costs related to an offsite legal research contract (\$0.1 million) and in Operating Transfers Out due to a transfer from the Inmate Welfare Fund in support of positions for the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility (\$0.4 million).
 - \$0.4 million is budgeted to offset a one-time negotiated salary adjustment.
- The Proposition 172 revenue growth is applied, in part, to a multi-year strategy to mitigate the previous reduction in Proposition 172 revenues.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$11.9 million to offset the costs of negotiated labor agreements and increases in County retirement contributions, to support the transition team to prepare for the staffing and operation of the Women’s Detention Facility and to address the increased hiring and training needs of the department.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Increase of 107.00 staff years for the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility due to the implementation of Public Safety Realignment and 1.00 staff year in Emergency Planning required to assist in the department's efforts in emergency preparedness and disaster response. A net increase of \$6.4 million primarily due to increases for the costs of negotiated labor agreements, County retirement contributions and the staffing for the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility as described above, partially offset by reductions in services and supplies and fixed assets due to the completion of one-time projects.

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Detention Services		1,737.00			1,792.00	1,898.00
Law Enforcement Services		1,320.00			1,328.00	1,329.00
Sheriff's Court Services		373.00			373.00	373.00
Human Resource Services		114.00			129.00	129.00
Management Services		235.00			241.00	242.00
Sheriff's ISF / IT		12.00			12.00	12.00
Office of the Sheriff		21.00			21.00	21.00
Total		3,812.00			3,896.00	4,004.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Detention Services	\$ 196,157,176	\$ 217,213,175	\$ 219,698,668	\$ 207,888,587	\$ 225,761,171	\$ 246,960,769
Law Enforcement Services	190,383,751	209,589,956	233,215,495	206,613,571	207,346,614	198,074,297
Sheriff's Court Services	45,202,591	46,977,172	47,566,238	48,155,426	48,510,270	50,750,717
Human Resource Services	16,829,036	17,725,827	19,343,083	20,192,301	22,054,872	22,701,823
Management Services	28,358,751	35,456,850	35,486,288	29,160,283	40,103,298	33,686,833
Sheriff's ISF / IT	61,536,352	67,491,882	77,716,298	69,878,690	72,900,855	70,815,629
Office of the Sheriff	3,294,563	4,008,220	4,572,615	4,303,296	4,304,570	4,014,279
Sheriff's Asset Forfeiture Program	716,116	1,100,000	4,239,316	676,008	1,100,000	1,100,000
Sheriff's Jail Stores ISF	5,770,780	5,800,000	6,346,423	6,310,797	6,815,423	6,815,423
Sheriff's Inmate Welfare Fund	4,185,792	4,978,968	6,424,625	5,451,239	5,421,624	5,838,500
Countywide 800 MHZ CSA's	872,756	873,857	853,546	439,707	873,857	873,857
Total	\$ 553,307,664	\$ 611,215,907	\$ 655,462,596	\$ 599,069,905	\$ 635,192,554	\$ 641,632,127

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 414,093,272	\$ 446,137,644	\$ 448,003,301	\$ 439,873,112	\$ 461,093,571	\$ 491,007,074
Services & Supplies	103,472,066	124,414,459	151,589,638	117,548,299	131,001,080	111,227,289
Other Charges	17,288,971	17,906,302	19,451,987	16,951,315	19,289,166	19,726,491
Capital Assets Equipment	3,892,603	4,551,249	11,463,719	6,049,401	6,326,414	1,397,791
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(3,691,036)	(3,678,226)	(3,666,116)	(3,690,336)	(3,678,226)	(3,678,226)
Fund Balance Component Increases	—	2,000,000	2,000,000	—	—	—
Operating Transfers Out	4,043,020	4,372,375	9,416,470	5,912,962	5,137,751	5,395,627
Total	\$ 539,098,897	\$ 595,703,803	\$ 638,258,999	\$ 599,069,905	\$ 635,192,554	\$ 641,632,127

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Licenses Permits & Franchises	\$ 466,431	\$ 463,000	\$ 463,000	\$ 485,156	\$ 463,000	\$ 463,000
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	10,895,264	12,320,918	16,656,626	12,631,743	11,994,629	9,608,857
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	8,189,798	7,619,698	7,619,698	7,876,153	7,892,842	8,031,550
Intergovernmental Revenues	67,431,246	74,141,845	99,436,149	75,584,191	80,048,521	72,282,582
Charges For Current Services	86,611,672	89,851,675	89,942,564	86,600,596	87,627,008	91,097,451
Miscellaneous Revenues	8,623,169	6,957,594	7,619,128	9,662,051	14,327,253	8,383,141
Other Financing Sources	138,092,860	150,746,233	151,481,338	151,059,274	168,280,047	147,061,096
Use of Fund Balance	(7,023,269)	17,851,813	29,768,741	2,568,369	584,837	(1,284,128)
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	240,020,492	251,263,131	251,263,131	251,263,131	263,167,290	281,953,241
Total	\$ 532,321,066	\$ 587,136,218	\$ 632,107,845	\$ 576,480,065	\$ 611,365,898	\$ 615,996,790

Child Support Services

Department Description

The Department of Child Support Services (DCSS) is the local agency responsible for administering the Federal and State Title IV-D child support program. Federal and State law governs the department with oversight by the California Department of Child Support Services. DCSS provides County residents with services such as establishing paternity, establishing and enforcing financial and medical support orders, and facilitating the collection and disbursement of child and spousal support payments through the State Disbursement Unit.



Mission Statement

Enhance the lives and well-being of children and promote family self-sufficiency by establishing and enforcing support orders.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Initiated legal action to determine parentage and obtained fair and appropriate child support and/or medical support in a timely manner.
 - Increased the percentage of open cases with an enforceable order to 86% (70,900 of 82,000), exceeding the goal of 80%.
 - Ensured parentage in 87% (61,700 of 70,700) of cases, falling short of the goal of 90%. An increase in new cases requiring a parentage determination and the subsequent workload processes delayed the establishment of parentage.
- Maximized compliance with support orders by promoting, enabling and ensuring payment for families. Collected reimbursement for public assistance programs.
 - Increased the percentage of current support collected to current support owed to 64% (\$84 million of \$132 million), exceeding the goal of 60%.
 - Increased the percentage of arrears cases with a collection to 63% (39,900 of 63,400), exceeding the goal of 60%.
 - Collected \$183 million for child support in Fiscal Year 2011-12, exceeding the goal of \$178 million.
- Informed and educated the community about child support services through proactive media relations and community outreach.

- Developed and conducted two webinars to educate employers on child support issues. Increased attendance by 60% (235) compared to the previous year's offsite workshops.
- Participated in outreach efforts with two community-based organizations to assist 160 noncustodial parents to address their child support issues.

Required Discipline – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Exceeded the federal performance measure goal, which measures program cost effectiveness, and collected \$3.51 for every \$1.00 spent on operations.

Required Discipline – Regional Leadership

- Partnered with the Superior Court, Thomas Jefferson School of Law's Veterans Clinic and the Family Law Facilitator to hold 46 court hearings at the annual Veterans Village of San Diego Stand Down event. Collected \$35,000 for the Fiscal Year on these cases.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Initiate legal action to determine parentage and obtain fair and appropriate child support and/or medical support in a timely manner.
 - Maintain the percentage of open cases with an enforceable order at or above 85% (69,700 of 82,000), consistent with the statewide goal.
 - Ensure the percentage of cases with parentage established is at or above 90% (63,900 of 70,700), consistent with the statewide goal.

- Maximize compliance with support orders by promoting, enabling and ensuring payment for families. Collect reimbursement for public assistance programs.
 - Increase the percentage of current support collected to current support owed at or above 63% (\$83 million of \$132 million).
 - Increase the percentage of arrears cases with a collection at or above 64% (40,100 of 62,500).
 - Maintain collections for child support at \$179 million.
- Inform and educate the community about child support services through proactive media relations and community outreach.
 - Conduct at least three video meetings for custodial parents, noncustodial parents and employers.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Meet or exceed the federal performance measure goal, which measures program cost effectiveness, and collect at least \$3.50 for every \$1.00 spent on operations.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Develop and implement a paperless litigation file for use in the court to reduce paper usage and increase case processing efficiencies.
- Collaborate with the Law Library to provide remote customer service on Saturdays at Law Library locations in the County.
- Collaborate with the Probation Department to develop and implement a process to assist probationers with child support issues.

For additional information about the Department of Child Support Services, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dcscs/>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Current support collected (Federal performance measure #3)	59% of \$140M	60%	64% of \$132M	63%	65%
Cases with an enforceable order (Federal performance measure #2)	82% of 91,200	80%	86% of 82,000	85%	87%
Arrears cases with a collection (Federal performance measure #4)	59% of 67,300	60%	63% of 63,400	64%	66%
Total Collections (in millions)	\$178	\$178	\$183	\$179	\$180

Table Notes

The five federal performance measures are nationally defined measures subject to incentives for the State if certain goals are met at the statewide level and include:

- 1) Establishment of Paternity
- 2) Cases with an Enforceable Child Support Order
- 3) Collections on Current Support
- 4) Cases with Collections on Arrears
- 5) Cost Effectiveness of the Program

**Budget Changes and Operational Impact:
2011-12 to 2012-13**

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$0.2 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net decrease of \$0.2 million due to the elimination of a one-time salary adjustment from the prior year, partially offset by negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$0.2 million.

- Intergovernmental Revenues — decrease of \$0.2 million due to a decrease in claimable expenditures.
- Use of Fund Balance — a total of \$0.6 million is budgeted as the State has redirected Recovered Costs Revenues to the State General Fund for one year. The Use of Fund Balance is budgeted for Fiscal Year 2012-13 to allow the program to continue to use County revenues to match available federal funds.

**Budget Changes and Operational Impact:
2012-13 to 2013-14**

Increase of \$1.9 million due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

Child Support Services

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Community Outreach		2.00			—	—
Production Operations		435.00			354.00	354.00
Staff Development Division		12.00			47.00	47.00
Quality Assurance		1.00			20.00	20.00
Administrative Services		18.00			46.00	46.00
Recurring Maintenance and Operations		3.00			5.00	5.00
Help Desk Support		1.00			—	—
Total		472.00			472.00	472.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Community Outreach	\$ 182,965	\$ 161,251	\$ 161,251	\$ 1,066	\$ 316	\$ 316
Production Operations	43,385,943	47,010,636	47,266,265	34,528,791	38,177,253	39,646,099
Staff Development Division	1,013,687	1,038,198	1,038,198	5,717,998	5,872,828	6,082,992
Research and Publication Division	367	—	—	244	—	—
Quality Assurance	112,945	128,488	128,488	1,793,079	1,831,001	1,890,895
Administrative Services	2,175,215	2,121,968	2,121,968	4,302,965	4,326,182	4,446,958
Recurring Maintenance and Operations	537,275	526,495	526,495	761,936	748,532	776,441
Maintenance and Operations	1,019	—	—	674	—	—
Special Projects	315	—	—	315	—	—
Help Desk Support	170,910	135,004	135,004	46,816	294	294
Total	\$ 47,580,640	\$ 51,122,040	\$ 51,377,669	\$ 47,153,883	\$ 50,956,406	\$ 52,843,995

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 39,787,456	\$ 42,521,783	\$ 42,521,783	\$ 39,820,881	\$ 42,387,597	\$ 44,275,186
Services & Supplies	7,793,184	8,600,257	8,855,886	7,333,002	8,568,809	8,568,809
Total	\$ 47,580,640	\$ 51,122,040	\$ 51,377,669	\$ 47,153,883	\$ 50,956,406	\$ 52,843,995

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Intergovernmental Revenues	\$ 49,543,031	\$ 50,482,545	\$ 50,606,455	\$ 49,418,453	\$ 50,308,738	\$ 51,537,245
Charges For Current Services	1,897,096	46,500	46,500	56,492	46,500	46,500
Miscellaneous Revenues	20,077	4,000	4,000	842	4,000	4,000
Other Financing Sources	13,983	—	—	2,900	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	(3,893,547)	588,995	720,714	(2,324,804)	597,168	1,256,250
Total	\$ 47,580,640	\$ 51,122,040	\$ 51,377,669	\$ 47,153,883	\$ 50,956,406	\$ 52,843,995



Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board

Department Description

The Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board (CLERB) receives and investigates complaints of misconduct concerning sworn Sheriff's Deputies and Probation Officers. The Review Board also investigates, without a complaint, the death of any person arising out of, or in connection with, the activities of these sworn officers. The Review Board issues an annual report, monthly workload reports and summaries of decisions in completed investigations, which are available on the Review Board's website (see link below).



Mission Statement

To increase public confidence in government and the accountability of law enforcement by conducting impartial and independent investigations of citizen complaints of misconduct concerning Sheriff's Deputies and Probation Officers employed by the County of San Diego.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Required Discipline – Accountability/Transparency

- Maintained public accountability of Sheriff and Probation peace officers, to the extent allowed by law, by conducting timely investigation and board review of citizens' complaints of misconduct.
- Issued and publicly distributed 12 monthly workload reports to the Review Board and the Sheriff's and Probation Departments. Completed a comprehensive annual report with a thorough accounting of new complaints and case closures, including an analysis of citizen complaint trends and recommendations for policy change.
- Ensured complaint investigations were completed within one year of receipt, unless delayed due to lengthy investigations required in some complex cases. In 2011, completed 85% (105 of 124 cases filed in 2010) compared to 2010, when 62% were completed (82 of 132 filed in 2009).
- Provided 12 monthly "early warning" reports to the Sheriff's and Probation Departments regarding the nature of complaints filed and the identity and assignment of the employees, when known, to enable corrective action when necessary.

- Maintained a transparent and independent citizen complaint process, to the extent allowed by law, that provided relevant feedback and recommendations to the Sheriff and the Chief Probation Officer.
- Provided redacted case synopses that gave more information to the public while respecting peace officer confidentiality rights.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Increased community awareness of the Review Board through quarterly, multidisciplinary outreach.

2012-14 Objectives

Required Discipline for Excellence – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Maintain public accountability of Sheriff and Probation peace officers, to the extent allowed by law, by conducting timely investigation and board review of citizens' complaints of misconduct.
- Issue and publicly distribute 12 monthly workload reports to the Review Board and Sheriff's and Probation Departments. Complete a comprehensive annual report with a thorough accounting of new complaints and case closures, including an analysis of citizen complaint trends and recommendations for policy change.
- Ensure 90% of complaint investigations are completed within one year of receipt, unless delayed due to lengthy investigations because of the complexity of the case.
- Provide 12 monthly "early warning" reports to the Sheriff's and Probation Departments regarding the nature of complaints filed and the identity and assignment of the employees, when known, to enable corrective action when necessary.

- Maintain a transparent and independent citizen complaint process, to the extent allowed by law, that provides relevant feedback and recommendations to the Sheriff and the Chief Probation Officer.
- Provide redacted case synopses that give more information to the public while respecting peace officer confidentiality rights.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Increase community awareness of the Review Board through quarterly, multidisciplinary outreach.

- Implement Business Process Reengineering measures to define a standard, measurable process and reduce the amount of effort and lead time required to complete high quality investigations.

Related Links

For additional information about the Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/clerb>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Mail out complaint documents for complainant signature within two working days of initial contact ^{1, 2}	100% of 128	100%	100% of 144	100%	100%
Complete case investigations within one year ¹	62% ³ of 132	75%	85% ⁴ of 124	90%	95%
Provide 12 early warning reports annually to the Sheriff's and Probation Departments	100% of 12	100% of 12	100% of 12	100% of 12	100% of 12
Hold or attend at least four community-based meetings annually (one meeting per quarter)	100% of 4	100% of 4	100% of 4	100% of 4	100% of 4
Present training on law enforcement issues once per quarter (4 meetings annually)	100% of 4	100% of 4	100% of 4	100% of 4	100% of 4

Table Notes

¹ Data on number of complaints is gathered by calendar year (January – December) versus fiscal year (July – June).

² CLERB has no control over the number of complaints received and cases to investigate but sets targets for the percentage of complaints and investigations processed as a measure of internal department performance standards. The estimated annual number of complaints received is 131 based on a five-year average.

³ Prolonged Special Investigator staffing shortages in calendar years 2009-2010 (shown in Fiscal Year 2010-11) severely affected CLERB's ability to conduct and complete investigations within the 12 months allowed by legislation. CLERB had only one of two Special Investigators positions filled during that period and there was no reduction in caseload. County Counsel advised a Finding of Summary Dismissal for investigations not completed within 12 months.

⁴ The increase of 85% in completed case investigations in Fiscal Year 2011-12 Estimated Actuals, is a result of full staffing, on-the-job training, improved experience of investigators and prioritization of investigator caseloads.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$1,374.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$13,108 reflects salary adjustments, negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.
- Services and Supplies — decrease of \$14,482 reflects a reduction in anticipated contracted services and increases to other operating costs.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$1,374.

- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$8,254 is due to the elimination of a prior year one-time salary adjustment.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$6,880 to offset the costs of negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Increase of \$13,688 primarily due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

■ ■ ■ Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Law Enforcement Review Board		4.00			4.00	4.00
Total		4.00			4.00	4.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Law Enforcement Review Board	\$ 503,776	\$ 589,485	\$ 589,485	\$ 533,228	\$ 588,111	\$ 601,799
Total	\$ 503,776	\$ 589,485	\$ 589,485	\$ 533,228	\$ 588,111	\$ 601,799

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 430,509	\$ 461,514	\$ 461,514	\$ 459,333	\$ 474,622	\$ 488,310
Services & Supplies	73,268	127,971	127,971	73,895	113,489	113,489
Total	\$ 503,776	\$ 589,485	\$ 589,485	\$ 533,228	\$ 588,111	\$ 601,799

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Charges For Current Services	\$ 358	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 263	\$ —	\$ —
Use of Fund Balance	(35,974)	8,254	8,254	(48,266)	—	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	539,392	581,231	581,231	581,231	588,111	601,799
Total	\$ 503,776	\$ 589,485	\$ 589,485	\$ 533,228	\$ 588,111	\$ 601,799

Emergency Services

Department Description

The Office of Emergency Services (OES) coordinates the overall county response to disasters. OES is responsible for alerting and notifying appropriate agencies when disaster strikes; coordinating all responding agencies; ensuring resources are available and mobilized; developing response and recovery plans and procedures; and developing and providing preparedness materials for the public. OES staffs the Operational Area Emergency Operations Center (EOC), which is a central facility providing regional coordinated emergency response, and acts as staff to the Unified Disaster Council (UDC). The UDC is a joint powers agreement between all 18 incorporated cities and the County of San Diego that provides for the coordination of plans and programs countywide to ensure protection of life and property.

Mission Statement

Coordinate the County's planning for, response to, and recovery from disasters to ensure safe and livable communities.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Provided “OES for Kids” presentations at local educational institutions to 621 fourth-grade students. These presentations were created to increase student awareness of the importance of preparing for disasters and to help their families either start, or augment, their home emergency plan. The program positively displays the message of preparedness in a fun and interactive environment with hands-on activities.
- Ensured that the Access and Functional Needs population is considered in emergency management plans and procedures, with particular focus on those supporting evacuation and mass care. The Access and Functional Needs population includes the visually impaired, hearing impaired and mobility impaired, single working parents, nonEnglish speaking persons, people with medical conditions and intellectual disabilities, people without vehicles and others.

Community-based organizations were invited to participate in monthly ongoing meetings related to the County's operational emergency plan to identify the needs of people with disabilities before, during and after a disaster, and to integrate the needs and



resources into all aspects of the emergency management system.

- Established a public information program on the tenth anniversary of 9/11 to educate and advise the general public how to recognize and report suspicious activity. The program was designed to reach 3.1 million residents, as well as visitors to San Diego County, by airing public service announcements on television, the Web, through distributed materials, the local media and community events. The County is proactively leading the federal and State governments in further promoting personal responsibility during times of crises.
- Developed the County's new Accessible SanDiego mass notification system. The system is specifically designed for residents in the county with sensory disabilities; those who are deaf, blind, hard of hearing or deaf/blind residents. The system directs these residents to register on the ReadySanDiego website. Two community meetings were held to inform the residents about the program and encourage their enrollment into the program. The public awareness campaign will be designed to increase the number of registrants to Accessible AlertSanDiego.

Required Discipline – Regional Leadership

- Conducted two comprehensive exercises in the county that incorporated all aspects of the Community Emergency Response Team (CERT) training. CERT trains citizens in basic disaster response skills to assist others in their neighborhood/workplace following an event when professional responders are not immediately available, and encourages citizens to take a more active role in emergency preparedness projects in their communities. There are currently 33 CERT Programs in the county with close to 4,000 members.

- Conducted 18 tabletop exercises with federal and State agencies, County departments, 18 cities, special districts in the unincorporated areas and nongovernmental agencies by June 2012. The original anticipated completion date for the tabletop exercises was extended due to a countywide power outage and a San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station event that activated the EOC.

The exercises focused on the Southern California Catastrophic Earthquake Response Plan, which was developed by the California Emergency Management Agency (Cal EMA) to provide response procedures for the nine Southern California counties in the event of an earthquake along the San Andreas Fault. A tabletop exercise is a group discussion guided by a simulated disaster. Emphasis was placed upon a low stress, yet thorough, group problem-solving process that covers the response and recovery from a disaster.

- Participated in a statewide disaster response exercise in May 2012. This was a two-day exercise for County departments as well as 18 agencies, including cities, special districts in the unincorporated areas, nongovernmental agencies and various other stakeholders in the region, and tested the ability of all the participants to coordinate resources, and respond to and recover from an earthquake that could impact Southern California.
- Provided regional leadership in the implementation of the following UDC Homeland Security strategies:
 - Developed the region's homeland security risk management framework to enable the region to develop, sustain and fund programs, plans and operations based on risk and capabilities assessment data. The risk management framework encompasses virtually all of the region's activities from prevention to protection to response. The framework aligns with the national and State Homeland Security Priorities by enhancing locally relevant capabilities.
 - Developed a Community Lifelines committee comprised of businesses such as SDG&E, municipal water districts and others to discuss and develop plans to stabilize critical infrastructure and systems during seismic or other incident activities to ensure rapid recovery.
- Continued to manage and administer Homeland Security Grant program funds. OES is the manager of pass-through funds distributed throughout the San Diego

region for 18 cities, 58 special districts, and other agencies to improve preparedness, response and recovery from terrorist and catastrophic events.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Engage residents, private sector and local jurisdictions to help communities prepare, respond and recover from natural and manmade disasters.
 - Continue to increase student awareness of the importance of personal preparedness by providing “OES for Kids” presentations to fourth graders at five elementary schools in the county.
 - Continue to promote AlertSanDiego, the County's Reverse 911 mass notification system, throughout the county to increase the number of registrants. This includes Accessible AlertSanDiego, which targets individuals with access and functional needs.
 - Assist in the planning and coordination of three disaster trainings or exercises that incorporate community-based organizations.
 - Continue to increase the private sector's ability to prepare for, and recover from, a disaster by holding summits and providing quarterly information, such as bulletins or other communications, through the ReadySanDiego Partner Connection website by June 2014.
 - Continue to provide community emergency preparedness information through a combination of attending safety events and conducting presentations, to reach a goal of 40 total activities.
 - Ensure readiness in the region by participating in one nuclear power plant exercise, which will examine the region's ability to respond to an event at SONGS. Participants will include federal, State, local jurisdictions and private sector partners.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Regional Leadership

- Aggressively represent the needs and interests of residents, businesses and local jurisdictions by leveraging resources and creating innovative opportunities and best practices to prepare for, respond to and recover from any type of disaster.
 - Continue to design and create preparedness materials in various formats including print, video and audio.
 - Continue to coordinate and expand the region's Access and Functional Needs Working Group by increasing their membership to include additional

representatives from the disabled community. The group will conduct one tabletop exercise to evaluate the capabilities throughout the region to respond to disasters impacting residents in San Diego County with access and functional needs.

- Continue to implement and train regional partners on the proper use of the AlertSanDiego mass notification system.
- Continue to promote the WebEOC automated management system training and implementation to regional partners.
- Facilitate the development of Advance Recovery Pre-Approved Vendor Classifications to address needs and gaps that impact timely stabilization of the County’s response to a disaster.

- Continue to manage and administer Homeland Security Grant program funds. OES is the manager of pass-through funds distributed throughout the San Diego region for 18 cities, 58 special districts, and other agencies to improve preparedness, response and recovery from terrorist and catastrophic events.
- Develop a mobile application that will provide interactive access to disaster information on smart phones.

Related Links

For additional information about the Office of Emergency Services, refer to the following websites:

- www.sdcounty.ca.gov/oes
- <http://www.readysandiego.org/>

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Number of exercises (tabletop or functional) conducted to continue the San Diego County region’s emergency management readiness	18	18	18	9 ¹	9
Number of full-scale countywide exercises and/or Nuclear Power Plant (NPP) graded exercises conducted ²	1	1	1	1	1
Number of quarterly drills conducted to test Emergency Operations Center Activation procedures ³	4	4	4	4	4
Number of exercises (tabletop or full-scale) conducted to test the County’s Interoperable Communications plan ⁴	1	1	1	1	1

Table Notes

¹ The multi-agency tabletop exercise program will continue with drills once every two years per agency, which will test the region’s ability to respond in the event of an emergency.

² A full-scale exercise is a comprehensive test and evaluation of a plan utilizing written objectives that highlight the practice of various emergency functions and includes deployment of personnel and resources in the field. In Fiscal Year 2011-12 the full-scale statewide exercise tested the region’s ability to respond to a major earthquake.

³ A quarterly drill is conducted to provide the training and readiness of designated OES staff to be able to activate the San Diego County Operational Area Emergency Operations Center (EOC) or alternate EOC within 30 minutes from the time of authorization.

⁴ The Tactical Interoperable Communications Plan (TICP) is a requirement of the Department of Homeland Security to document coordination of interoperable communications within a region.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

- No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$1.3 million.

- Services and Supplies — increase of \$0.2 million due to an increase in contracted services.
- Other Charges — decrease of \$1.5 million due to decreases in the State Homeland Security Grant Program and Buffer Zone Protection Program grants, which are pass-through funds to other agencies.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$1.3 million.

- Intergovernmental Revenues — decrease of \$1.3 million due to reductions in the State Homeland Security Grant Program, Buffer Zone Protection Program and Disaster Corp Grant Program funds.

- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$0.02 million. A total of \$0.45 million is budgeted to support the Fire Protection and Emergency Medical Services program and the “Call When Needed” program to access fire suppression aircraft.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.1 million reflects negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net decrease of \$3.8 million is primarily due to the State Homeland Security Grant Program funds. The Office of Emergency Services will be applying for future State Homeland Security and Emergency Management Grants.

Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Office of Emergency Services	17.00	17.00	17.00
Total	17.00	17.00	17.00

Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Office of Emergency Services	\$ 6,536,737	\$ 10,471,121	\$ 12,842,501	\$ 6,690,949	\$ 9,180,674	\$ 5,392,696
Total	\$ 6,536,737	\$ 10,471,121	\$ 12,842,501	\$ 6,690,949	\$ 9,180,674	\$ 5,392,696

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 2,016,164	\$ 2,170,447	\$ 2,424,044	\$ 1,774,781	\$ 2,166,274	\$ 2,166,274
Services & Supplies	1,570,345	1,614,373	2,300,910	1,329,814	1,856,199	1,507,827
Other Charges	2,949,017	6,686,301	8,069,488	3,538,296	5,158,201	1,718,595
Capital Assets Equipment	—	—	25,966	25,966	—	—
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	1,211	—	—	—	—	—
Operating Transfers Out	—	—	22,093	22,093	—	—
Total	\$ 6,536,737	\$ 10,471,121	\$ 12,842,501	\$ 6,690,949	\$ 9,180,674	\$ 5,392,696

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Intergovernmental Revenues	\$ 5,477,416	\$ 9,114,122	\$ 11,448,182	\$ 5,509,845	\$ 7,771,004	\$ 3,953,705
Charges For Current Services	2,876	—	—	566	—	—
Miscellaneous Revenues	1,600	—	—	160	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	214,210	466,365	503,685	289,744	450,000	450,000
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	840,634	890,634	890,634	890,634	959,670	988,991
Total	\$ 6,536,737	\$ 10,471,121	\$ 12,842,501	\$ 6,690,949	\$ 9,180,674	\$ 5,392,696



Medical Examiner

Department Description

The Department of the Medical Examiner provides forensic death investigation services for the citizens of San Diego County, as mandated by State law. The department has initial jurisdiction over about 49% of deaths in the County, and ultimately transports approximately 14% of decedents to the department facility to determine the cause and manner of death. The department performs such tasks as scene investigations, autopsies and external examinations, toxicology, histology, and administrative support. In addition, the department hosts educational tours of the Medical Examiner & Forensic Center facility on a regular basis.

Mission Statement

Promote safe and livable communities by certifying the cause and manner of death for all homicides, suicides, accidents and sudden/unexpected natural deaths in San Diego County. In addition, provide related forensic services, assistance and education to families of the deceased, as well as to public and private agencies, in a professional and timely manner.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Continued to contribute to research efforts in childhood death by actively participating in the San Diego County Child Fatality Committee, the Methamphetamine Strike Force, Medical Examiners and Coroners Alert Project (MECAP), National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and the California Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) Advisory Council. Participation included attending meetings, providing statistics and case examples, and lending expertise.
- Continued to provide insight into forensic careers and correctional training for youthful offenders by fulfilling 100% (9) of appropriate requests for Medical Examiner facility tours and/or educational seminars for youth groups within four months of request.

Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Helped protect the environment by reducing the amount of paper generated in the production and distribution of the Annual Report through the creation of a Web-based document. The new Annual Report is elec-



tronically accessible and is easily searchable with a variety of links guiding the reader to specific areas of interest.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Enabled timely sharing of detailed information with customers (families, law enforcement agencies, hospitals, insurance companies, media, etc.) regarding the cause and manner of death.
 - Completed 83% of investigative reports within 60 days or less. An increase in the complexity of the cases was a primary contributor in not reaching the original goal of 90%.
 - Completed 99% of toxicology reports within 60 days or less, exceeding the goal of 95%.
 - Completed 88% of examination reports within 60 days or less, exceeding the goal of 80%.
 - Completed 96% of case reports within seven days or less.
- Enabled timely progress of the justice system by completing 93% of homicide examination reports within 60 days or less. A 13% increase in homicide cases, coupled with the increased complexity of each case, were the primary contributors in not reaching the original goal of 95%.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Began the healing process of those who have lost a loved one by providing timely and compassionate service and accurate information about Medical Examiner procedures.
 - Notified 90% of next-of-kin for identified Medical Examiner cases within 12 hours or less.
 - Enabled timely funeral services for families by making 99% of bodies ready for release within seven days or less, exceeding the goal of 97%.

- Provided educational opportunities by fulfilling 100% (80) of appropriate requests to provide training, lectures and demonstrations for approved educational purposes within four months of request.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Continue enabling timely sharing of detailed information with customers (families, law enforcement agencies, hospitals, insurance companies, media, etc.) regarding the cause and manner of death.
 - Complete 85% of investigative reports within 60 days or less.
 - Complete 95% of toxicology reports within 60 days or less.
 - Complete 80% of examination reports within 60 days or less.
 - Complete 95% of case reports within seven days or less.
- Enable timely progress of the justice system by completing 95% of homicide examination reports within 60 days or less.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Continue to contribute to research efforts in childhood death by providing statistics and case examples, and lending expertise through active participation in groups

such as the San Diego County Child Fatality Committee, the Methamphetamine Strike Force, MECAP, NICHHD and the SIDS Advisory Council.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Continue to assist in the healing process of those who have lost a loved one by providing timely and compassionate service and accurate information about Medical Examiner procedures.
 - Notify 90% of next-of-kin for identified Medical Examiner cases within 12 hours or less.
 - Enable timely funeral services for families by making 97% of bodies ready for release within seven days or less.
- Provide educational opportunities by fulfilling 100% (est. 50) of appropriate requests to provide training, lectures and demonstrations for approved educational purposes within four months of request.

Related Links

For additional information about the Department of the Medical Examiner, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/me/>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Bodies made ready for release on time ¹	99% of 2,403	97%	99% of 2,519	97%	97%
Investigative Reports completed on time ²	97% of 2,706	90%	83% of 2,853	85%	85%
Toxicology Reports completed on time ³	99% of 1,932	95%	99% of 1,993	95%	95%
Examination Reports completed on time ⁴	88% of 2,624	80%	88% of 2,749	80%	80%
Homicide Examination Reports completed on time ⁵	86% of 89	95%	93% of 101	95%	95%
Next-of-kin notification completed on time ⁶	89% of 2,488	90%	90% of 2,634	90%	90%
Case Reports provided on time ⁷	86% of 4,616	95%	96% of 4,700	95%	95%

Table Notes

Note: Data on number of deaths is gathered by calendar year (January - December) versus fiscal year (July – June).

¹ Performance measure target is 97% in seven days or less.

² Performance measure target is 90% in 60 days or less. The Fiscal Year 2012-13 and 2013-14 target has decreased due to the complexity of cases.

³ Performance measure target is 95% in 60 days or less.

⁴ Performance measure target is 80% in 60 days or less.

⁵ Performance measure target is 95% in 60 days or less.

⁶ Performance measure target is 90% in 12 hours or less.

⁷ Performance measure target is 95% in seven days or less.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Increase of 1.00 staff year in the Toxicology Division, supported by the contract for Toxicology Services with the County of San Bernardino, approved by the Board of Supervisors in June 2011.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$0.1 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net decrease of \$0.1 million due to a salary adjustment required by a funding reduction, the addition of one staff year as described above, the costs of negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.
- Services and Supplies — increase of \$0.1 million due to the increase in operational costs associated with forensic death investigation services.
- Capital Assets Equipment — increase of \$0.05 million due to planned one-time purchases of needed equipment.

Revenues

Net increase of \$0.1 million.

- Intergovernmental Revenues — decrease of \$0.1 million due to the elimination of a federal grant to fund a Forensic Pathology Fellow.
- Charges for Current Services — increase of \$0.2 million due to an increase in fees approved in June 2011 and became effective as of July 2011.
- Use of Fund Balance — net decrease of \$0.04 million. A total of \$0.1 million is budgeted to support one-time costs for equipment replacement.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.04 million reflects negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net increase of \$0.2 million primarily due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Decedent Investigations		51.00			52.00	52.00
Total		51.00			52.00	52.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Decedent Investigations	\$ 8,325,129	\$ 8,897,649	\$ 9,260,155	\$ 8,552,853	\$ 8,951,213	\$ 9,199,329
Total	\$ 8,325,129	\$ 8,897,649	\$ 9,260,155	\$ 8,552,853	\$ 8,951,213	\$ 9,199,329

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 6,168,479	\$ 6,740,852	\$ 6,832,352	\$ 6,209,848	\$ 6,676,323	\$ 7,007,026
Services & Supplies	2,185,365	2,256,797	2,514,345	2,429,671	2,324,890	2,292,303
Capital Assets Equipment	48,705	—	13,458	13,334	50,000	—
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(77,420)	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)
Total	\$ 8,325,129	\$ 8,897,649	\$ 9,260,155	\$ 8,552,853	\$ 8,951,213	\$ 9,199,329

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Intergovernmental Revenues	\$ 42,919	\$ 111,477	\$ 111,477	\$ 114,569	\$ —	\$ 111,477
Charges For Current Services	739,796	622,074	898,827	1,043,663	775,903	775,903
Miscellaneous Revenues	92,853	44,220	44,220	103,672	53,460	53,460
Other Financing Sources	—	—	—	3,664	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	(426,758)	103,560	189,313	(729,033)	62,500	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	7,876,318	8,016,318	8,016,318	8,016,318	8,059,350	8,258,489
Total	\$ 8,325,129	\$ 8,897,649	\$ 9,260,155	\$ 8,552,853	\$ 8,951,213	\$ 9,199,329



Probation

Department Description

The Probation Department, established in 1907, has been providing effective community corrections solutions to San Diego County residents for over 100 years. Services provided include detention for delinquent juveniles in two Juvenile Halls, treatment and custody for juvenile wards in three minimum-security facilities, investigation and supervision services for juvenile and adult offenders as ordered by the San Diego Superior Court, as well as victim assistance through notification and restitution. The department has developed a wide variety of community outreach prevention programs to strengthen families, suppress gang activity, and address alcohol and drug abuse as these behaviors contribute to criminal activity. These programs, created in collaboration with courts, law enforcement, health agencies, schools, social service agencies, and other community-based organizations, are located throughout San Diego County. With the enactment of Assembly Bill (AB) 109, Public Safety Realignment (2011), the Probation Department now also provides reentry services to adult inmates returning to the community from prison.



Mission Statement

Protect community safety, reduce crime and assist victims through offender accountability and rehabilitation.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Increased public safety and reduced crime by providing supervision and services so that 71% (1,582 of 2,218) of juvenile probationers completed their probation without a new sustained law violation.
- Provided rehabilitative services to youth in custody to assist in preparing them for success in the community.
 - Increased percentage of youth in custody over the age of 16 who received employment readiness services from 75 % to 87% (525 of 601), exceeding the goal of 80%.
 - Provided services to reduce delinquency to 95% (746 of 783) of youth in custody who are at high-risk of delinquency.
 - Increased the percentage of youth assessed to have substance abuse needs who received substance abuse services from 80% to 94% (633 of 675), exceeding the goal of 85%.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Increased public safety and reduced crime by providing supervision and services so that 66% (4,445 of 6,736) of adult probationers completed their probation without being convicted of a new crime.
 - Did not achieve the objective of increasing percentage of probationers' homes searched from 30% to 35%. The purpose of the searches is to ensure that Probationers are not in possession of illegal contraband such as weapons, drugs, child pornography and/or gang-related paraphernalia. The percentage declined to 29% (3,025 of 10,331). The reason for the decline is that staff resources (field-trained Deputy Probation Officers) were temporarily redirected to manage the implementation of Public Safety Realignment. As positions are filled departmentwide, resources in this area will be restored to support achieving this objective. Partnered with local, State and federal law enforcement agencies to monitor high-risk offenders.
 - Participated in 343 multi-agency operations including gang operations, truancy sweeps, probation and parole sweeps and sobriety checkpoints, exceeding the goal of 150.
- Provided rehabilitative opportunities to adult probationers in order to reduce future criminal offenses.
 - Did not achieve the objective to provide linkages to employment services to 30% of adult offenders on high-risk caseloads. A total of 27% (611 of 2,283) of adult offenders were linked to employment services. This was an indirect impact due to the shift of resources (high-risk Deputy Probation Officers) as a result of the implementation of Public Safety Realignment. As positions are filled departmentwide, resources in this area will be restored to support achieving this objective.

- Educated crime victims of their constitutional rights and provided victim input to the Court regarding sentencing, restitution and other conditions of probation.
 - Contacted 99% (12,276 of 12,304) of available victims and informed them of their right to restitution and a victim impact statement, exceeding the goal of 95%.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Increase public safety and reduce crime by providing supervision and services so that at least 70% of 2,400 of juvenile probationers complete their probation without a new sustained law violation.
- Provide rehabilitative services to youth in custody to prepare them for success in the community.
 - Provide employment readiness services to at least 85% of 650 of youth in custody over the age of 16.
 - Provide services to reduce delinquency to at least 95% of 900 of youth in custody who are at high-risk of delinquency.
 - Provide services to at least 90% of 750 of youth assessed to have substance abuse needs.
- Connect clients with appropriate community resources
 - Link 85% of probationers to appropriate intervention services to address factors that lead to criminal behavior.

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Increase public safety and reduce crime by providing supervision and services so that at least 65% of 7,500 of adult probationers complete their probation without being convicted of a new crime.
 - Increase percentage of probationers' homes searched from 29% to 30% of 10,000 to ensure that they are not in possession of illegal contraband such as weapons, drugs, child pornography and/or gang-related paraphernalia.
- Partner with local, State and federal law enforcement agencies to monitor high-risk offenders.
 - Participate in at least 250 multi-agency operations including gang operations, truancy sweeps, probation and parole sweeps, and sobriety checkpoints.
- Provide rehabilitative opportunities to adult probationers in order to reduce future criminal offenses.

- Encourage offender behavior change by providing linkages to employment services to at least 30% of 3,000 of adult offenders on high-risk caseloads.
- Educate crime victims of their constitutional rights and provide victim input to the Court regarding sentencing, restitution and other conditions of probation.
 - Contact at least 99% of 13,000 of available victims and inform them of their right to restitution and a victim impact statement.
 - Maximize the amount of adult restitution to increase services for crime victims.
- Maximize the number of successful early terminations of probation cases in order to incentivize probationers, increase successful outcomes and manage department workload.
- Maximize the number of low-risk cases for which the department recommends Probation to the Court (informal probation) in order to reduce department workload.
- Maximize the number of cases for which the department recommends alternative custody (Work Furlough, Work Projects, Electronic Monitoring or any combination of custody with these) to efficiently use jail capacity, and ensure an appropriate level of accountability on offenders.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Skilled Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Support initiatives that enhance a skilled workforce in expanding the use of evidence-based practices and techniques.
 - Train 80% of the workforce in motivational interviewing and cognitive behavioral interventions (also known as “Integrated Behavioral Intervention Strategies”) and case planning techniques to increase their effectiveness with probationers.
 - Provide educational training to prevent sexual misconduct to 100% of available Probation staff in juvenile institutions and day treatment centers in order to comply with requirements of the Prison Rape Elimination Act.

Related Links

For additional information about the Probation Department, refer to the website at

<http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/probation/>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Adult offenders who complete their probation without being convicted of a new crime	66% of 6,505	60%	66% of 6,736	65%	65%
Juvenile offenders who complete their probation without a new law violation	70% of 2,372	70%	71% of 2,218	70%	70%
Adult and juvenile probationers who have been searched to ensure that they are not in possession of illegal contraband such as weapons, drugs, child pornography and/or gang-related paraphernalia ¹	N/A	35%	29% of 10,331	30%	30%
Available victims contacted to inform them of their rights to restitution and a victim impact statement/total available victims	99% of 13,284	95%	99% of 12,304	99%	99%
Provide services to reduce delinquency of youth in custody who are at high-risk of delinquency	98% of 885	95%	95% of 783	95%	95%

¹ Key staff resources (field-trained Deputy Probation Officers) were temporarily redirected to the implementation of Public Safety Realignment. As positions are filled departmentwide, resources in this area will be restored to support achieving this objective.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Net increase of 106.00 staff years.

- Adult Field Services net increase of 99.00 staff years.
 - The requirements of Public Safety Realignment resulted in an addition of 96.00 staff years approved by the Board of Supervisors in September 2011 to perform offender supervision responsibilities transferred to counties.
 - Decrease of 4.00 staff years due to the elimination of State funding for programs created by Senate Bill (SB) 618, *San Diego Prisoner Reentry Program*. This program prepared nonviolent felony offenders for successful reintegration into the community.
 - Net increase of 7.00 staff years due to the transfer of staff from Department Administration, Institutional Services and Juvenile Field Services to realign services.
- Institutional Services net increase of 6.00 staff years.
- Increase of 7.00 staff years to continue to provide required staffing ratios in the juvenile institutions previously achieved through the use of staff overtime.
- Net decrease of 1.00 staff year due to the transfer of staff to Adult Field Services and Department Administration and from Juvenile Field Services to realign services.
- Juvenile Field Services net decrease of 5.00 staff years.
 - The elimination of 1.00 staff year due to the expiration of funding under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009, Jurisdictions Unified for Drug / Gang Enforcement (JUDGE), which provided support to a collaborative countywide effort to reduce drug and gang-related crimes.
 - Increase of 1.00 staff year supported by the Juvenile Accountability Block Grant will provide juvenile accountability and reentry services for youthful offenders.
 - Decrease of 5.00 staff years due to the transfer of staff to Adult Field Services and Institutional Services to realign services.

- Increase of 2.00 staff years supported by the Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act funding to provide additional contacts and case management services to high-risk youth along with the capability to serve additional youth.
- Decrease of 2.00 staff years due to the reduction of school district funding for the Truancy Intervention Program, which provides attendance intervention services to Welfare and Institution Code 601 wards.
- Department Administration net increase of 6.00 staff years.
 - The requirements of Public Safety Realignment resulted in an addition of 7.00 staff years approved by the Board of Supervisors in September 2011. These staff years will provide financial and operational support for the implementation of Public Safety Realignment.
 - Increase of 1.00 staff year to provide financial and operational support for general Public Safety Realignment administration.
 - The elimination of State funding for programs created by the Prisoner Reentry Program reduces 1.00 staff year, which provided support to the program that prepared nonviolent felony offenders for successful reintegration into the community.
 - The net decrease of 1.00 staff year due to the transfer of staff to Adult Field Services, and from Juvenile Field Services and Institutional Services to realign services.
- Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties — increase of \$0.5 million by allocating available revenues to support facility maintenance and operation costs associated with juvenile institutional facilities.
- Intergovernmental Revenues — net increase of \$28.2 million.
 - Increase of \$29.2 million in State revenue allocated to the Local Community Corrections Account as a result of Public Safety Realignment.
 - Increase of \$0.4 million in State revenue due to the California Corrections Performance Incentive Act, which focuses on improving public safety through implementation of sentencing and adult probation practices that more effectively reduce recidivism and hold offenders accountable.
 - Decrease of \$2.5 million due to the elimination of State funding for programs created by the Prisoner Reentry Program.
 - Decrease of \$0.2 million due to the expiration of ARRA funding and reductions to funding provided by the Office of Traffic Safety.
 - Increase of \$1.3 million in Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act revenue due to State revenue allocated as a result of Public Safety Realignment.
- Charges for Current Services — decrease of \$0.7 million due to overall reduction in collections for the cost of supervision.
- Other Financing Sources — increase of \$0.9 million due to additional funding from Proposition 172, *the Local Public Safety Protection and Improvement Act of 1993*, which supports regional law enforcement services, due to increases in sales tax receipts.
- Use of Fund Balance — net decrease of \$2.7 million. A total of \$2.1 million is budgeted.
 - \$1.6 million is included for a case management system enhancement.
 - \$0.3 million is included for the second year of funding for 2.00 staff years associated with the two-year Reentry Court Program.
 - \$0.1 million is included for the replacement of 800 MHz radios.
 - \$0.1 million is included for a one-time negotiated salary adjustment.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$1.2 million to offset the costs of negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$27.4 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net increase of \$10.6 million.
 - Increase of \$1.0 million reflects negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.
 - Increase of \$11.4 million due to the addition of 106.00 staff years as described above.
 - Decrease of \$1.8 million due to the elimination of a one-time negotiated salary adjustment from Fiscal Year 2011-12.
- Services and Supplies — net increase of \$16.9 million primarily due to the increased need for services to support offenders returning to local community supervision under Public Safety Realignment. Those services include mental health treatment, substance abuse treatment, vocational and behavioral services.

Revenues

Net increase of \$27.4 million.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

A net increase of \$1.7 million. The increase of \$4.9 million in Salaries and Benefits is due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in retirement contributions partially offset by a decrease of \$3.1 million due to the completion of one-time projects.



Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Adult Field Services		322.00			421.00	421.00
Institutional Services		514.00			520.00	520.00
Juvenile Field Services		323.00			318.00	318.00
Department Administration		66.00			72.00	72.00
Total		1,225.00			1,331.00	1,331.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Adult Field Services	\$ 36,092,778	\$ 38,610,571	\$ 46,670,067	\$ 40,465,004	\$ 65,890,725	\$ 65,970,686
Institutional Services	67,859,720	70,510,519	70,567,697	69,464,300	70,493,875	72,673,236
Juvenile Field Services	49,967,313	53,294,751	53,524,930	50,856,363	53,990,977	55,045,164
Department Administration	10,289,308	17,112,650	17,385,899	11,854,683	16,589,012	14,951,943
Probation Asset Forfeiture Program	72,215	50,000	50,741	18,999	50,000	50,000
Probation Inmate Welfare Fund	60,092	95,000	95,403	54,978	95,000	95,000
Total	\$ 164,341,425	\$ 179,673,491	\$ 188,294,737	\$ 172,714,328	\$ 207,109,589	\$ 208,786,029

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 117,327,633	\$ 125,508,581	\$ 129,961,012	\$ 122,766,455	\$ 136,062,634	\$ 141,065,157
Services & Supplies	39,208,436	47,139,650	51,303,566	43,003,747	64,019,695	60,843,612
Other Charges	9,882,894	8,952,736	8,952,736	8,853,749	8,954,736	8,954,736
Capital Assets Equipment	115,559	—	4,898	—	—	—
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(2,193,098)	(1,927,476)	(1,927,476)	(1,909,624)	(1,927,476)	(2,077,476)
Total	\$ 164,341,425	\$ 179,673,491	\$ 188,294,737	\$ 172,714,328	\$ 207,109,589	\$ 208,786,029



Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	\$ 52,897	\$ 568,500	\$ 568,500	\$ 576,270	\$ 1,068,500	\$ 568,500
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	166,438	95,000	95,000	166,137	95,000	95,000
Intergovernmental Revenues	48,264,822	51,589,710	60,130,884	56,588,871	79,763,121	79,763,121
Charges For Current Services	7,830,575	8,660,387	8,660,387	7,714,678	7,968,504	8,218,504
Miscellaneous Revenues	12,825	7,132	7,132	3,811	7,132	7,132
Other Financing Sources	14,439,562	15,495,508	15,495,508	15,497,168	16,399,612	17,008,822
Use of Fund Balance	(1,840,738)	4,763,383	4,843,455	(6,326,477)	2,092,134	50,000
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	95,415,045	98,493,871	98,493,871	98,493,871	99,715,586	103,074,950
Total	\$ 164,341,425	\$ 179,673,491	\$ 188,294,737	\$ 172,714,328	\$ 207,109,589	\$ 208,786,029



Public Defender

Department Description

The Department of the Public Defender consists of four separate divisions, all ethically walled to avoid conflicts, including the Primary Public Defender, the Alternate Public Defender, the Multiple Conflicts Office and the Office of Assigned Counsel. The Public Defender is responsible for providing legal representation to indigent persons accused of crimes, including adults and juveniles charged with felonies such as murder, robbery, rape, assaults, drug offenses, or harm to property. The department also represents indigent adults and juveniles who are charged with misdemeanor offenses and provides legal advice to all persons at arraignment unless retained counsel represents them. The Public Defender provides representation in some civil cases such as mental health matters and sexually violent predator cases.

Mission Statement

To protect the rights, liberties and dignity of all persons in San Diego County and maintain the integrity and fairness of the American justice system by providing the finest legal representation in the cases entrusted to us.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Improved opportunities for children and families by assisting juvenile delinquency clients to be successful in their rehabilitation programs and on probation.
 - Used juvenile record sealing statutes to assist juvenile clients in clearing their records to gain employment, participate in training and/or education programs for 90% (379 of 421) of requests.
 - Maintained the number of elapsed days between admission and sentencing in juvenile cases at an average of 28 days to accelerate rehabilitation.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Established a professional rapport and bond of trust with clients and worked with criminal justice partners to ensure a reasonable and efficient criminal justice system and obtain the best possible outcome for the client.
 - Resolved 75% (46,924 of 62,565) of misdemeanor and probation revocation cases at first appearance.



- Resolved 61% (6,770 of 11,015) of felony cases within 60 days of arraignment when doing so benefits the client more than litigation.
- Encouraged clients to take advantage of programs that will allow them to thrive by successfully completing probation and reduce the likelihood of reoffending.
 - Filed 459 misdemeanor expungement requests in order to help clients obtain meaningful employment.
 - Filed 485 felony expungement requests in order to help clients obtain meaningful employment.
- Promoted collaborative justice by participating in specialty courts aimed at linking services to clients with specialized needs.
 - Participated in Offender Reentry Court, Behavioral Health Court, Adult and Juvenile Drug Court, Homeless Court and the Veterans Treatment Review Calendar to help ensure clients obtain the services they need to become self-sufficient and maintain a crime-free lifestyle.

Required Discipline – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Ensured a qualified, well-trained and diverse workforce to effectively represent all cases appointed to the department.
 - Achieved 15 hours of annual continuing legal education for 236 attorneys.
 - Achieved eight hours of annual investigation-related training for 46 investigators.

Required Discipline – Regional Leadership

- Developed and maintained partnerships with educational and community organizations to leverage resources and address common needs.
 - Maintained at least 90,000 hours provided by volunteers.

- Participated in four community outreach events through the Public Defender's Community Outreach Program.
- Trained 770 nonstaff attorneys concurrently with staff attorneys to build relationships and strengthen the criminal justice system.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Improve opportunities for children and families by assisting juvenile delinquency clients to be successful in their rehabilitation programs and on probation.
 - Use juvenile record sealing statutes to assist juvenile clients in clearing their records to gain employment, participate in training and/or education programs for 80% (360 of 450) of requests.
 - Maintain the number of elapsed days between admission and sentencing in juvenile cases at an average of 28 days to accelerate rehabilitation.

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Establish a professional rapport and bond of trust with clients and work with criminal justice partners to ensure a reasonable and efficient criminal justice system and obtain the best possible outcome for the client.
 - Resolve 70% (35,000 of 50,000) of misdemeanor cases prior to trial when doing so benefits the client more than litigation.
 - Resolve 62% (7,750 of 12,500) of felony cases within 60 days of arraignment when doing so benefits the client more than litigation.
- Encourage clients to take advantage of programs that will allow them to thrive by successfully completing probation and reduce the likelihood of reoffending.
 - File approximately 250 misdemeanor expungement requests in order to help clients obtain meaningful employment.
 - File approximately 400 felony expungement requests in order to help clients obtain meaningful employment.

- Promote collaborative justice by participating in specialty courts aimed at linking services to clients with specialized needs.
 - Participate in Offender Reentry Court, Adult and Juvenile Drug Court, and Homeless Court to help ensure clients obtain the services they need to become self-sufficient and maintain a crime-free lifestyle.
 - Maintain 90% (27 of 30) of caseload capacity in the Behavioral Health Court Calendar.
 - Maintain 90% (18 of 20) of caseload capacity in the Veterans Treatment Review Calendar.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Ensure a qualified, well-trained and diverse workforce to effectively represent all cases appointed to the department.
 - Achieve 15 hours of annual continuing legal education for all attorneys (approximately 220).
 - Achieve eight hours of annual investigation-related training for all investigators (approximately 44).

Required Discipline for Excellence – Regional Leadership

- Develop and maintain partnerships with educational and community organizations to leverage resources and address common needs.
 - Maintain at least 90,000 hours provided by volunteers.
 - Participate in four community outreach events through the Public Defender's Community Outreach Program.
 - Train approximately 800 nonstaff attorneys concurrently with staff attorneys to build relationships and strengthen the criminal justice system.
 - Provide 25 streaming video trainings to branch offices and other indigent defense agencies.

Related Links

For additional information about the Public Defender, refer to the website at

http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/public_defender/.

Performance Measures	2010-11* Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Number of juvenile record requests sealed ¹	94% of 467 requests	95% of 440 requests	90% of 421 requests	80% of 450 requests	80% of 450 requests
Number of elapsed days between admission and sentencing of juvenile cases to accelerate rehabilitation and help reduce length of stay in Juvenile Hall	28 days	28 days	28 days	28 days	28 days
Misdemeanor & probation revocation cases resolved at first appearance ²	75% of 52,717 cases	75% of 60,000 cases	75% of 62,565 cases	N/A	N/A
Misdemeanor cases resolved prior to trial ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	70% of 50,000 cases	70% of 50,000 cases
Felony cases resolved at pre-preliminary hearing	69% of 17,116 cases	62% of 12,500 cases	61% of 11,015 cases	62% of 12,500 cases	62% of 12,500 cases
Number of misdemeanor expungement requests filed ⁴	340	200	459	250	250
Number of felony expungement requests filed	500	400	485	400	400
Caseload capacity in Behavioral Health Court Calendar ⁵	N/A	N/A	N/A	90% of 30	90% of 50
Caseload capacity in Veterans' Treatment Review Calendar ⁵	N/A	N/A	N/A	90% of 20	90% of 40
Number of hours of continuing legal education per attorney	15	15	15	15	15
Number of hours of training per investigator	N/A	8	8	8	8
Total volunteer hours	32% ⁴ (94,742 hours)	90,000 hours	101,686 hours	90,000 hours	90,000 hours
Number of outreach events attended	N/A	4	4	4	4
Number of nonstaff attorneys trained ⁶	N/A	450	770	800	800
Number of streaming video trainings provided ⁷	N/A	N/A	N/A	25	25

Table Notes

*In the Fiscal Year 2012-14 CAO Proposed Operational Plan, the table incorrectly included data from Fiscal Year 2009-10 in the column “2010-11 Actuals.” The correct 2010-11 Actuals are presented in this document.

¹ The Fiscal Year 2011-12 accomplishment of 90% falls below the goal due to the realignment of juvenile justice programs resulting in more serious and violent offenders kept at the local level requesting to have their records sealed. However, existing statutes preclude requests related to serious and violent offenses from being granted. Consequently, effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, the baseline will be reduced to 80% to reflect the trend of more serious and violent offenders being kept at the local level.

² This measure is being discontinued effective Fiscal Year 2012-13 and replaced by a measure that better represents the office’s ability to obtain the best outcome for the client.

³ This is a new measure effective Fiscal Year 2012-13. This measure focuses only on misdemeanor cases that settle before trial. The measure focusing on misdemeanor and probation revocation cases is being discontinued effective Fiscal Year 2012-13. This new measure will better represent the office’s ability to obtain the best possible outcome for the client.

⁴ The Fiscal Year 2011-12 accomplishment of 459 misdemeanor expungements exceeds the goal due to an unanticipated increase in expungement requests from clients. A new baseline of 250 misdemeanor expungements will be implemented in Fiscal Year 2012-13.

Toward the end of Fiscal Year 2011-12 the department started offering expungement clinics which attributed to the rise from the proposed actual of 374 to the realized actual of 485.

⁵ This is a new measure effective Fiscal Year 2012-13. Due to Assembly Bill (AB) 109, *Public Safety Realignment (2011)*, and a focus on rehabilitation, the capacity for this program is expected to grow in subsequent years.

⁶ The Fiscal Year 2011-12 accomplishment of 770 nonstaff attorneys trained exceeds the goal. This was a new measure effective Fiscal Year 2011-12 and as a result a conservative baseline was developed for the introduction of this measure. A new baseline of 800 nonstaff attorneys will be implemented for Fiscal Years 2012-14.

⁷ This is a new measure effective Fiscal Year 2012-13. This measure tracks the extensiveness of the department’s streaming video training program.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Increase of 2.00 staff years.

- Increase of 2.00 staff years in the Primary Public Defender to address new criminal case defense responsibilities as a result of Public Safety Realignment, supported by State revenue allocated to the District Attorney/Public Defender Account.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$3.3 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net increase of \$2.6 million.
 - Increase of \$1.5 million due to a salary adjustment to allow for positions to be filled at the authorized levels required by the volume and complexity of the cases received.

- Increase of \$1.0 million due to costs associated with a paid internship pilot program to support Graduate Law Clerks and student workers.
- Increase of \$0.3 million due to the addition of 2.00 staff years as described above.
- Net decrease of \$0.2 million due to the elimination of a one-time negotiated salary adjustment from Fiscal Year 2011-12 that is partially offset by an increase due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.
- Services and Supplies — increase of \$0.7 million due to information technology costs associated with a project to make the discovery process paperless.

Revenues

Net increase of \$3.3 million.

- Intergovernmental Revenues — net increase of \$1.9 million.

- Increase of \$1.0 million in federal revenue to support costs associated with the Southwest Border Prosecution Initiative program. Revenues from this program have been received by the County in previous years based on countywide costs for prosecution, defense and incarceration. A portion of these annual countywide revenues will be realized in the Department of the Public Defender.
- Increase of \$0.5 million in State revenue allocated to the District Attorney/Public Defender Account as a result of Public Safety Realignment.
- Increase of \$0.4 million to recognize currently received State funding for reimbursement of the mandated Sexually Violent Predator program.
- Charges for Current Services — increase of \$0.1 million to align revenue with expected court appointed attorney fee collections.
- Miscellaneous Revenues — increase of \$0.1 million to align revenue with expected registration fee collections.
- Use of Fund Balance — net increase of \$0.5 million. A total of \$2.7 million is budgeted.
- \$1.5 million is included to support departmentwide costs associated with temporary staff and a pilot program to offer paid internships to graduate law clerks and student workers.
- \$1.0 million is rebudgeted to support costs associated with the defense of special circumstances cases.
- \$0.2 million is included for the remaining term of certain lease costs associated with the discontinued Family Dependency Services program.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.7 million to offset costs of negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Increase of \$0.6 million due to an increase in County retirement contributions and a negotiated benefit increase, offset by a reduction in one-time expenditures and resources for temporary help and for the pilot internship program pending program results and identified funding.



Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Primary Public Defender		270.00			274.00	274.00
Office of Assigned Counsel		7.00			6.00	6.00
Alternate Public Defender		44.00			44.00	44.00
Multiple Conflicts Office		9.00			8.00	8.00
Administration		14.00			14.00	14.00
Total		344.00			346.00	346.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Indigent Defense	\$ 6,895	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2,757	\$ —	\$ —
Primary Public Defender	43,034,002	42,512,584	42,576,932	45,038,929	44,551,819	46,287,153
Office of Assigned Counsel	5,601,964	7,626,626	7,626,626	5,517,004	7,436,174	7,466,230
Alternate Public Defender	8,283,408	7,401,666	7,432,343	8,192,201	7,543,123	7,846,478
Multiple Conflicts Office	1,553,335	1,615,133	1,615,158	1,475,134	1,395,955	1,444,965
Dependency	659,403	—	201	157,518	—	—
Administration	2,650,629	10,304,401	11,290,566	6,909,445	11,830,304	10,297,711
Total	\$ 61,789,635	\$ 69,460,410	\$ 70,541,826	\$ 67,292,988	\$ 72,757,375	\$ 73,342,537

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 50,865,191	\$ 53,265,942	\$ 53,265,942	\$ 52,643,486	\$ 55,878,919	\$ 56,525,465
Services & Supplies	10,924,445	16,194,468	17,275,884	14,649,502	16,878,456	16,817,072
Total	\$ 61,789,635	\$ 69,460,410	\$ 70,541,826	\$ 67,292,988	\$ 72,757,375	\$ 73,342,537

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	\$ 51,347	\$ 51,347	\$ 51,347	\$ 51,347	\$ 51,347	\$ 51,347
Intergovernmental Revenues	1,469,947	72,239	130,299	708,142	1,962,239	1,962,239
Charges For Current Services	1,018,541	750,000	750,000	892,503	850,000	850,000
Miscellaneous Revenues	308,292	100,300	100,300	282,431	200,000	200,000
Use of Fund Balance	(5,829,628)	2,175,469	3,198,825	(952,489)	2,685,209	1,105,000
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	64,771,137	66,311,055	66,311,055	66,311,055	67,008,580	69,173,951
Total	\$ 61,789,635	\$ 69,460,410	\$ 70,541,826	\$ 67,292,988	\$ 72,757,375	\$ 73,342,537

San Diego County Fire Authority

Department Description

The San Diego County Fire Authority was created by the Board of Supervisors in June 2008 to improve fire protection and emergency medical services in the region. The Fire Authority provides support to unify the administration, communications and training of 15 rural fire agencies and to extend "around the clock" protection to 1.5 million acres of the unincorporated county that previously had either limited, or part-time "on-call" protection.

Mission Statement

Coordinate, regionalize and improve fire protection and emergency response services provided by State, local career and local volunteer fire agencies in the unincorporated areas of the County.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Continued collaboration with fire service agencies to improve fire protection and emergency response services.
 - Executed three additional Memorandum of Understandings and/or Joint Powers Agreements with fire protection agencies to eliminate duplication of services, reduce costs, share resources and improve operational efficiencies.
 - Completed Step II of the County's Fire and Life Safety Reorganization Report on March 12, 2012, which includes the merging of the five County Service Areas (CSAs) into the San Diego County Fire Authority – CSA 135.
 - Continued to work with the affected Fire Districts to implement Step III of the County's Fire and Life Safety Reorganization Report or develop a Joint Powers Authority.
- Protected residents from wildfire and other natural disasters.
 - Completed the Environmental Impact Report to allow implementation of the \$7.0 million U.S. Forest Service Fire Safety and Fuels Reduction grant program.
- Increased staffing levels and response capabilities at volunteer fire stations.
 - Recruited 204 volunteer firefighters to provide services.
 - Continued to work toward 24-hour staffing at all fire stations in coordination with the local fire districts.



Required Discipline – Essential Infrastructure

- Continued to provide funding for facility improvements and regional training facilities to partnering fire service agencies as identified in the 2010 County Fire Deployment Study.

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Evaluated the development of the Community Facilities District for CSA 135 to provide additional funding resources to mitigate the impact of new development on fire and emergency response services.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Implemented the 2011 Consolidated Fire Code, which consolidated the fire codes of the 16 individual fire districts and the CSA 135.
- Provided public outreach notification to residents in the unincorporated area on the Defensible Space Program and the inspection process and procedures.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Provide regional leadership with the full implementation of the County's Fire and Life Safety Reorganization Report establishing CSA 135 and continue collaboration with other fire agencies to improve overall efficiencies with consolidation and sharing of resources.
 - Continue to build relationships to improve regional fire protection and emergency response services, including collaboration with Tribal Governments.
 - Execute additional Memorandum of Understandings with fire protection agencies to eliminate duplication of services, reduce costs, share resources and improve operational efficiencies.

- Collaborate with other fire agencies to review and explore options to improve the alternate energy fire standards.
- Implement programs that will help protect residents from wildfire and other natural disasters.
 - Oversee the U.S. Forest Services Fire Safety and Fuels Reduction grant program to remove large dead, dying and diseased trees from private property within the Greater Julian area.
 - Provide vegetation management/defensible space outreach and education by distributing flyers to property owners within County Service Area 135. Evaluate the feasibility of expanding the Vegetation Management Program to all fire agencies in the unincorporated area.
 - Negotiate new contracts with CAL FIRE and Fire Protection Districts for five-year term contracts.

- Establish and implement programs to enhance volunteer firefighter emergency readiness.
 - Improve volunteer firefighter retention by collaborating with partnering agencies to provide courses for advanced volunteer firefighter training.
 - Evaluate the volunteer fire stations' existing connectivity network to leverage resources to improve communications with volunteer firefighters.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Fiscal Stability

- Pursue grant funding opportunities to support the partnering fire services agencies.
- Develop a proposal for the creation of a Community Facilities District, to offset the financial impact on fire operations and facilities caused by new development within CSA 135, by July 2013.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Perform contract compliance site visits ¹	N/A	11 sites	11 sites	11 sites	11 sites
Recruit new volunteer firefighters	N/A	150	204	50 ²	50
Public outreach – provide information by mail to residents regarding Defensible Space inspections in the community	N/A	2,500	3,045	2,500	2,500
Number of advanced training class opportunities ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	25	25

Table Notes

¹ Compliance site visits include review of inventory and/or financial recordkeeping within CSA 135.

² The volunteer firefighter recruitment measurement will be reduced due to efforts to increase volunteer firefighter retention in Fiscal Year 2012-13.

³ This is a new performance measure for Fiscal Year 2012-13 which will provide an opportunity for the volunteer firefighters to participate in additional training courses in order to gain more experience.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$1.8 million.

- Services and Supplies — net decrease of \$4.8 million primarily due to the revised projection of expenditures of the Dead, Dying and Diseased Tree Grant Program, reductions to contracted services and to minor equipment.
- Capital Assets Equipment — increase of \$0.5 million due to the planned purchase of fire apparatus.
- Fund Balance Component Increases — increase of \$1.9 million to provide an initial amount toward the replacement/upgrade of fire apparatus and equipment for regional support.
- Operating Transfers Out — increase of \$0.5 million as a result of the transfer of funds to CSA 135 for contracted fire and emergency medical services, and an increase in Worker Compensation costs.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$1.8 million.

- Intergovernmental Revenues — decrease of \$3.0 million due to the revised projection of revenue for the Dead, Dying and Diseased Tree Grant Program.
- Other Financing Sources — increase of \$0.5 million as a result of the transfer of funds to CSA 135 for contracted fire and emergency medical services.
- Use of Fund Balance — net increase of \$0.7 million. A total of \$3.0 million is budgeted.
 - \$0.5 million for the purchase of fire apparatus.
 - \$0.6 million for safety clothing, contracted services, the volunteer stipend program and fixed assets equipment.
 - \$1.9 million for the initial funding applied to the replacement/upgrades of fire apparatus and equipment for regional support.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Decrease of \$7.4 million is due to a decrease of \$4.6 million in Services and Supplies associated with the completion of the Dead, Dying and Diseased tree grant program, a decrease of \$2.5 million primarily due to the completion of one-time projects and a decrease of \$0.3 million associated with the transfer of funds to CSA 135 for contracted fire and emergency medical services.



Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
San Diego County Fire Authority		13.00			13.00	13.00
Total		13.00			13.00	13.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
San Diego County Fire Authority	\$ 16,904,645	\$ 25,191,550	\$ 32,802,421	\$ 20,590,756	\$ 22,830,958	\$ 15,746,453
County Service Areas - Fire Prevention	1,329,960	1,265,382	1,294,193	1,041,937	1,833,253	1,527,797
Total	\$ 18,234,604	\$ 26,456,932	\$ 34,096,614	\$ 21,632,693	\$ 24,664,211	\$ 17,274,250

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 1,026,564	\$ 1,521,993	\$ 1,521,993	\$ 1,508,476	\$ 1,526,737	\$ 1,526,365
Services & Supplies	15,195,096	24,425,793	31,334,508	18,932,361	19,684,103	15,051,730
Other Charges	—	—	384,000	365,752	—	—
Capital Assets Equipment	1,627,799	250,000	596,967	596,958	768,760	200,000
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(499)	—	—	—	—	—
Fund Balance Component Increases	—	—	—	—	1,883,000	—
Operating Transfers Out	385,645	259,146	259,146	229,146	801,611	496,155
Total	\$ 18,234,604	\$ 26,456,932	\$ 34,096,614	\$ 21,632,693	\$ 24,664,211	\$ 17,274,250

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Current Property	\$ 485,555	\$ 580,615	\$ 580,615	\$ 485,318	\$ 580,615	\$ 580,615
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	3,464	—	—	3,971	—	—
Licenses Permits & Franchises	—	—	—	(10,799)	—	—
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	61,484	27,500	27,500	62,141	43,710	43,710
Intergovernmental Revenues	1,830,221	7,167,936	8,254,925	1,819,216	4,139,652	—
Charges For Current Services	478,743	517,503	517,503	481,283	543,472	543,472
Miscellaneous Revenues	26,410	—	556,524	35,913	—	—
Other Financing Sources	179,721	150,000	1,355,171	1,325,171	665,456	360,000
Use of Fund Balance	15,169,006	2,343,378	7,134,376	1,760,479	2,997,868	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	—	15,670,000	15,670,000	15,670,000	15,693,438	15,746,453
Total	\$ 18,234,604	\$ 26,456,932	\$ 34,096,614	\$ 21,632,693	\$ 24,664,211	\$ 17,274,250



County of San Diego

Health and Human Services Agency

Health and Human Services Agency Summary	179
Regional Operations	185
Strategic Planning & Operational Support	195
Aging and Independence Services	227
Behavioral Health Services	207
Child Welfare Services	215
Public Health Services	221
Public Administrator / Public Guardian	227
Administrative Support	231

Health and Human Services Agency Summary

Agency Description

The Health and Human Services Agency (HHS) is committed to building better health, fostering living safely, and promoting thriving families through its Live Well, San Diego! initiative. Its services include preventive health care, access to publicly funded health care coverage and self-sufficiency services, and mental health and substance abuse programs. Additionally, protective services are provided to abused and neglected children and vulnerable adults, including seniors, the disabled and indigent adults. The Agency also works to reduce the burden of chronic diseases and contributing factors, such as childhood obesity, and helps the community prepare to respond to health emergencies and disasters.

Through six geographic regions, the Agency provides services through a public-private partnership of County staff and more than 900 contracts representing over 470 community-based providers. Although the six regions are geographically and socially diverse, business continuity is assured through the administrative support divisions.



HHS Departments

- Regional Operations
- Strategic Planning and Operational Support
- Aging and Independence Services
- Behavioral Health Services
- Child Welfare Services
- Public Health Services
- Public Administrator / Public Guardian
- Administrative Support

Mission Statement

To make people's lives healthier, safer and self-sufficient by delivering essential services in San Diego County.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Implemented second year of *Live Well, San Diego!* to achieve the Agency's vision of healthy, safe and thriving communities by:

- Building a better service delivery system that recognizes the importance of safety in achieving healthy people, healthy communities, and thriving families.

- Revised the phone number for the Access and Crisis Line to reflect the seven days a week, twenty-four hours a day service to (888) 724-7240, making it easier for people seeking help on issues such as mental health, alcohol or drug abuse, and suicide prevention.
- Received the Community Transformation Grant (CTG), a multi-year grant from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services to support public health efforts to reduce chronic diseases, promote healthier lifestyles, reduce health disparities, and decrease health care costs. This grant will continue the work begun with the Communities Putting Prevention to Work (CPPW) grant.
- Received funding from the Beacon Community Project to develop and administer evidence-based Care Transition services in three hospitals in the San Diego County region (Scripps Mercy, Sharp Memorial and UCSD Medical Center), building upon the successful AIS Care Transitions pilot program at Sharp Memorial. This program will target patients with complex needs and chronic health conditions who are at high risk for readmissions and will provide them with assistance in improving their ability to manage their own medications, learn how to recognize "red flags" associated with their condition and arrange follow-up care with primary care providers and specialists. This program is expected to continue through March 2013.
- Implemented the Low Income Health Program (LIHP) that funds medical care for uninsured adult county residents. LIHP uses a network of community health centers along with hospitals, community physicians, and mental health providers throughout San Diego County to provide health care services.

- After the passage and implementation of Assembly Bill 12, the *California Fostering Connections to Success Act*, which extends foster care to the age of 21, created a separate team within Child Welfare Services to case manage all youth over the age of 17 that remain active to CWS. The team will ensure that these youth have the tools and resources they need to successfully exit from foster care at the appropriate time.
- Supporting positive choices that integrate healthy and safe living and encourage financial stability.
 - Received a Community Nutrition Education Program (CNEP) multi-year State grant to increase access and nutrition education for CalFresh eligible or potentially eligible clients.
 - Continued to promote self-sufficiency by serving eligible recipients on public assistance programs; more than 76,000 people per month in CalWORKs, more than 251,000 per month in CalFresh, and more than 354,000 per month in Medi-Cal. CalWORKs is a State program designed to transition people from welfare to work by providing temporary cash assistance. CalFresh is the State program for the federal Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP, formerly known as Food Stamps) which helps eligible families supplement their food budget. Medi-Cal is the State program for the federal Medicaid program which provides health insurance for eligible families at little or no cost.
- Pursuing policy and environmental changes that make it easier to be healthy, enhance safety, and support communities that thrive.
 - Completed year two of the two-year CPPW grant. The grant worked closely with residents, businesses and community leaders to develop and implement policies, systems and environmental approaches that make healthy living easy, safe and affordable. Efforts included partnering local farmers with local schools to bring fresh fruits and vegetables to students, creating a 'safe routes to school' countywide coalition, and completing draft framework for integrating public health goals into the 2050 Regional Transportation Plan and Regional Comprehensive Plan.
 - Improving the culture from within by introducing Agency staff to recognize that traumatic events impact individual health, community safety, and financial stability.
- Rolled out an employee training based on Behavioral Health Services' "It's Up to Us" campaign to increase understanding of mental illness, to reduce stigma, and to encourage people to help others or seek help for themselves.
- Advancing operational excellence by ensuring fiscal stability, customer service, leadership, accountability and transparency, continuous improvement, and workforce excellence.
 - Received a Supplemental Nutrition Assistant Program Participation (SNAP) multi-year federal grant to implement a system for electronic documents conversion and tracking, and to help reduce processing time and minimize lost documents.
 - Completed phase one of the Knowledge Integration Project (KIP). This is a multi-year project to develop the foundation for information exchange across multiple disciplines to improve the information available and coordinate services for shared clients. Completed the readiness assessment in fall 2011 and system requirements in spring 2012.

2012-14 Objectives

Continue implementation of *Live Well, San Diego!* strategies to provide the right services, to the right people, at the right time by:

- Building a better service delivery system that is innovative and outcome-driven.
 - Implement year 1 of the Community Transformation Grant (CTG) support public health efforts to reduce chronic diseases, promote healthier lifestyles, reduce health disparities, and decrease health care costs.
 - Implement the Community Based Care Transition program to reduce readmissions to hospitals by Medicare recipients.
 - Evaluate data from the two pilot programs integrating physical and behavioral health services.
 - Establish Extended Foster Care program, an outcome from Assembly Bill 12, the *California Fostering Connections to Success Act*, to extend foster care to 21 years of age.
- Supporting positive choices that empower residents to take responsibility for their own health and well-being.
 - Implement year 1 of the Community Nutrition Education Program (CNEP) grant to increase access and nutrition education for CalFresh eligible or potentially eligible clients.

- Pursuing policy and environmental changes that make it easier for people to engage in healthy and safe behaviors.
 - Complete and document community input to the six regional *Live Well, San Diego!* plans by using the Mobilizing for Action through Planning and Partnership (MAPP) process from the National Association of County and City Health Officials.
- Improving the culture from within by increasing employees' knowledge on how to incorporate health and safety.
 - Implement second phase of the succession plan to advance *Live Well, San Diego!*
- Advancing operational excellence by ensuring fiscal stability, customer service, leadership, accountability and transparency, continuous improvement, and work-force excellence.
 - Begin improvements to Family Resource Centers and ACCESS (a public benefits transaction call center), including increasing accuracy of payment, and technological enhancements to improve customer service.
 - Implement year 1 of SNAP grant to implement a system for electronic documents conversion and tracking, and to help reduce processing time and minimize lost documents.
 - Begin phase 2 of KIP to develop the foundation for information exchange across multiple disciplines to improve the information available and coordinate services for shared clients.
 - Complete co-location of Public Administrator/Public Guardian with Mental Health Conservatorship to improve service coordination.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Overview

The Health and Human Services Agency's Fiscal Year 2012-13 plan includes appropriations of \$1.9 billion, an increase of \$9.0 million.

Significant changes to the Operational Plan include the implementation of Assembly Bill (AB) 118, *Local Revenue Fund 2011*, which shifts State financial responsibility of various services to counties, funded with a dedicated portion of sales tax. Over \$200 million of State funding in HHSA was re-categorized to 2011 Realignment, and the State Administration has indicated the potential realignment of additional programs in the future. Last fiscal year also saw the implementation of AB 109, *Public Safety Realignment*

(2011), which fundamentally changed the State and County responsibilities for the management of criminal offenders. To best serve and assist in reducing the recidivism rate of this population, the Agency is partnering with Probation and the community in the provision of mental health and substance abuse services. A total of \$9.0 million in appropriations was added as recommended by the Executive Committee of the Community Corrections Partnership.

The State has shifted the administrative and financial responsibility for the provision of Educationally Related Mental Health Services (ERMHS) from the counties to the school districts. HHSA decreased appropriations in Mental Health Services contracts, and in Child Welfare Services, for room and board care, due to the San Diego County Office of Education (SDCOE) assuming these responsibilities.

HHSA increased appropriations for In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) associated with the sunset of a State imposed 3.6% hour reduction of monthly services to IHSS recipients, pursuant to AB 1612, *Human Services*. Additional appropriations are included for Aid to Adoptive Children payments, due to projected case increases, and for the Transitional Housing Program, to expand services to emancipated youth.

HHSA decreased appropriations in Public Health Services due to the completion of the Communities Putting Prevention to Work (CPPW) initiative, as well as decreased CalWORKs Assistance payments, and Foster Care payments to align with projected caseload costs.

HHSA includes an increase of 176.00 staff years. These positions are added to support the Family Resource Centers, ACCESS (a public benefits transaction call center), and quality control in eligibility services, as well as the management and coordination of data and information analysis. In addition, there were transfers between divisions based on operational needs including increased recruitment and training needs, especially in frontline positions, and to support child welfare and public health programs.

A major goal in the development of the Agency's operational plan is to advance the *Live Well, San Diego!* initiative. In that endeavor, HHSA has pursued and acquired grants that will help improve the health and well-being of San Diego's communities and citizens. Some of the grants include the multi-year Community Transformation Grant, the Community Nutrition Education Program (CNEP) grant and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Participation (SNAP) grant. Appropriations are added for the Low Income Health Program (LIHP) which provides health care for low-income individuals. In addition, San Diego County



has been selected as one of four initial dual eligible (Medicare/Medi-Cal) demonstration sites. This new program seeks to integrate health and social services benefits and services (including IHSS, MSSP and skilled nursing care) into a seamless system.

As a County, we are required to administer the State's programs. However, the State continues to look at cuts and program changes to deal with the instability and uncertainty in their financial situation. The full impact of any changes is dependent on additional State action and on the ongoing economic situation. Moving forward, HHSA will return to the Board and adjust its operational plan as needed to align ongoing funding with core, essential services. HHSA will continue to work with advisory boards and other key stakeholders in these efforts.

Tobacco Settlement Funds

Tobacco settlement payments were first securitized in Fiscal Year 2001-02 to allow a stable funding stream for health and human services programs. The Special Revenue fund reflects \$27.5 million for Fiscal Year 2012-13. There is no change from Fiscal Year 2011-12.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net decrease of \$7.9 million due to a \$22.8 million decrease in Services and Supplies due primarily to the elimination of one-time projects from the prior year, offset by an increase of \$12.8 million in Salaries and Benefits due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in retirement contributions and an increase of \$2.1 million in Other Charges for Aid to Adopted Children.

Group Staffing by Department

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Regional Operations	2,277.00	2,432.00	2,432.00
Strategic Planning & Operational Support	202.00	211.00	211.00
Aging and Independence Services	292.50	291.50	291.50
Behavioral Health Services	837.50	829.50	829.50
Child Welfare Services	703.50	717.50	717.50
Public Health Services	480.75	483.75	483.75
Public Administrator / Public Guardian	34.00	34.00	34.00
Administrative Support	303.00	307.00	307.00
Total	5,130.25	5,306.25	5,306.25

Group Expenditures by Department

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Regional Operations	\$ 449,184,601	\$ 464,314,986	\$ 464,729,090	\$ 425,717,725	\$ 467,713,267	\$ 471,967,479
Strategic Planning & Operational Support	188,609,481	225,162,212	247,426,248	247,444,685	235,222,731	234,408,045
Aging and Independence Services	304,611,832	309,757,401	307,349,227	300,959,318	312,514,970	313,187,286
Behavioral Health Services	373,690,616	427,734,706	432,364,165	381,396,291	431,072,490	433,581,666
Child Welfare Services	226,655,143	258,626,410	253,782,611	222,813,488	248,498,925	252,210,994
Public Health Services	102,988,758	103,380,590	107,889,758	101,791,485	101,441,269	104,549,881
Public Administrator / Public Guardian	4,498,351	4,591,551	4,591,551	4,513,622	4,457,052	4,052,887
Administrative Support	56,517,718	98,816,209	94,945,939	59,335,561	100,483,108	79,946,593
Tobacco Settlement Funds	24,371,225	27,500,000	27,500,000	24,329,478	27,500,000	27,500,000
Total	\$1,731,127,722	\$1,919,884,065	\$1,940,578,590	\$1,768,301,653	\$1,928,903,812	\$1,921,404,831





Regional Operations

Department Description

The Health and Human Services Agency's service delivery system is organized into six geographic service regions, using a public-private partnership model to meet the needs of families and individuals in San Diego County. As outlined in Appendix D, core regional operations include: Public Health Services, Child Welfare Services and Family Resource Centers/Public Assistance Payments.

Mission Statement

To make people's lives healthier, safer and self-sufficient by delivering essential services in San Diego County.

2011-12 Accomplishments – All Regions

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Improved birth outcomes by ensuring 96% (168 of 175) of pregnant women served by public health nurses received the recommended number of prenatal care visits, below target of 98%.
- Reduced the onset of preventable illness or disease by immunizing 99% (2,262 of 2,263) of children age 0-4 years, above target of 98%.
- Reduced the onset of preventable illness or disease by immunizing 99% (1,488 of 1,493) of children and adolescents age 11-18 years, above target of 98%.
- Enrollment decreased by 0.03% (from 278,478 to 278,402) for children in Medi-Cal and Healthy Families programs, below target of 1% increase. The decrease is in alignment with State numbers which reflect a strong decrease in enrollment.
- Improved outcomes for children as measured by having 41% (2,329 of 5,675) of families participate in joint case planning and meetings, above target of 33%.
- Supported stability of foster youth by placing 45% (1,397 of 3,079) with a relative or nonrelated extended family member, above target of 44%.
- Supported stability of children in foster care (8 days or more, but less than 12 months) by ensuring 81% (1,710 of 2,101) had fewer than three placements, meeting target.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Improved access to nutritional services by enrolling an additional 9,720 (from 136,184 to 145,904) children and seniors in CalFresh, the State of California's name for the federal Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Pro-



gram, formerly known as Food Stamps, below target of 25,000. Program staff is working with community partners to identify how to enroll the hard-to-reach children and seniors.

- Promoted collaboration and transparency by developing region-specific community engagement plans that seek input and action for *Live Well, San Diego!* The Agency's six regions are using the *Mobilizing for Action through Planning and Partnerships (MAPP)* as the model of business improvement to formalize and document community engagement. These plans will include priority strategies, action plans and performance measures. Currently, the six regions are at various stages in the MAPP process. *Live Well, San Diego!* is the Agency's roadmap to making people's lives healthier, safer and self-sufficient by delivering the right services, to the right people, at the right time. For more information about MAPP, go to http://www.naccho.org/topics/infrastructure/mapp/upload/MAPP_Handbook_fnl.pdf.

2012-14 Objectives – All Regions

Ensure integration and achievement of *Live Well, San Diego!* strategies for the right services, to the right people, at the right time.

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Build a better service delivery system by providing protection, permanency and stability to children who are at risk or have been abused and neglected.
 - Improve outcomes for children by having 34% (3,842 of 11,300) of families participate in joint case planning and meetings.
 - Support stability of foster youth by placing 44% (1,320 of 3,000) with a relative or nonrelated extended family member.



- Support stability of children in foster care (8 days or more, but less than 12 months) by ensuring 81% (1,544 of 1,907) have fewer than three placements.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Build a better service delivery system to maximize the health and well-being of at-risk children and families.
 - Promote healthy outcomes by ensuring 40% (220 of 550) of women in the Maternal Child Health and Nurse Family Partnership programs continue to breastfeed their infant at 6 months of age.
 - Reduce the onset of preventable illness or disease by immunizing 98% (3,920 of 4,000) of children age 0-4 years and 98% (3,920 of 4,000) of children and adolescents age 11-18 years served at Public Health Centers and clinics.
 - Enroll an additional 1% (from 278,402 to 281,186) of children in Medi-Cal and Healthy Families programs.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Advance operational excellence in customer service through technology, timely processing and improved accuracy.
 - Implement technological enhancements to improve customer experience at the Family Resource Centers.
 - Execute a plan to reduce wait times at ACCESS call center, a public benefits transaction center.
 - Ensure clients eligible for CalFresh receive and maintain their benefits through 90% timely processing of CalFresh applications (approximately 10,800 of 12,000 per month) at the Family Resource Centers and ACCESS.
 - Implement plan to improve accuracy of payment and valid decisions of CalFresh benefits.

Central Region

The Central Region encompasses City of San Diego's urban core consisting of 50 neighborhoods with a multitude of diverse culture and population. Central Region is bordered to the North by Interstate 8, extending eastward to the cities of Lemon Grove and La Mesa, continuing south to National City, and westward to the San Diego Bay. Agency staff from the Central Region provides regional services and lead countywide efforts such as a pilot on eliminating disparities in Child Welfare and key initiatives on improving access and outcomes for the homeless population.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Delayed implementation to develop a communitywide framework and established a Child Protection Team to address over representation of African-American foster children in Central Region, due to Central Child Welfare Services planning for countywide practice consideration of differential response.
- Strengthened culturally appropriate service delivery in the Child Welfare System by providing 136 Central Region families with prevention services through the Cultural Broker Services pilot, a program that provides services that are responsive to cultural diversity.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Enhanced care management for homeless individuals and reduced costs by decreasing hospital inpatient readmissions and frequent users by 19% (from 74 to 60), more than the target of a 10% decrease.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Support positive choices by ensuring pregnant and parenting foster youth are receiving proper care vital to their safety and well-being, including their children, by establishing a referral process and tracking system.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Build a better service delivery system by supporting the health of the children who seek routine immunizations at the 'Vaccines are Important for Preschoolers' clinic, by promoting access to routine health care.

East Region

The East Region is a mixture of urban, suburban and rural communities, including several Native American reservations and a large Iraqi refugee population. East Region was the first region to administer Nurse Family Partnership (NFP), an evidence-based program helping first-time, low-income mothers succeed. East Region also administers Neighborhoods for Kids, an initiative that strives to keep abused and neglected children in safe, familiar environments and in their same schools.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Supported stability and educational growth of children coming into protective custody by placing 52% (193 of 371) in a home where they can attend their school of origin, below target of 65%. An educational liaison has been assigned to work with the schools and the social worker to facilitate same school placement at the time of removal when appropriate. In an effort to maintain placement in a familiar environment, 71% (230 of 326) of children in out-of-home care were placed with relatives.
- Promoted family stability by ensuring that 74% (140 of 190) of children who were reunified with their parents did so within 12 months, below target of 75%.
- Improved health outcomes for children by ensuring that 94% (614 of 652) of NFP participants initiated breast feeding, above target of 91%.
- Promoted healthy living by ensuring that 28% (29 of 40) of NFP parents decreased smoking, below target of 32%.
- Promoted self-sufficiency by linking culturally appropriate service providers to refugee families. Collaborated with internal and external stakeholders to minimize gaps in services, build language capacity and address service demands.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Build a better service delivery system that promotes stability and permanency of at-risk children through the Neighborhood for Kids initiative.
 - 75% (242 of 322) of children will be placed in a familiar environment, such as protective custody with a relative or family friend, or a school.
 - 75% (129 of 172) of children will be placed with their parents within 12 months.

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Support positive choices by linking culturally appropriate service providers to refugee families to promote self-sufficiency.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Support positive choices for healthy living of NFP participants and their children.
 - 91% (531 of 583) of NFP participants will initiate breast feeding.

- 32% (11 of 35) of NFP parents will decrease smoking.

North Central Region

The North Central Region comprises the central-western portions of the County, 38 diverse communities that extend from coastal to inland, stretching from Del Mar in the north, to Point Loma in the south, and east to Scripps Ranch and Mira Mesa. Interstate 8 marks the regions southern border. Also within the region are three military installations and two major universities. Agency staff support the large military population found in North Central Region by participating in efforts through multiple venues and access points, such as engaging in efforts through the Healthy Start Military Cluster and San Diego Military Family Collaborative including the North Central *Live Well, San Diego!* initiative.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Enrolled 56 children during the first year of the NFP program. Data is collected after the participants have received services for 24 months. Therefore, a baseline has not been established for the number of children immunized by 24 months of age. NFP staff educated clients during home visits on the importance of timely immunizations to reduce onset of illness and disease.
- Enrolled 87 NFP participants to improve health outcomes for their infants. 96% (86 of 89) of participants have successfully initiated breast feeding.
- Ensured timely service to clients by maintaining an average wait time of 11 days or less for County Medical Services eligibility appointments, well within the target of 30 days or less.
- Supported military families by building effective community relationships that promote safe and healthy living. Worked with the Military Family Collaborative and the annual Military Services Providers Conference to promote *Live Well, San Diego!*

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Build a better service delivery system through NFP, resulting in healthy outcomes for children of NFP participants.
 - 90% (30 of 77) of NFP participants will initiate breast feeding.



- 90% (37 of 41) of children enrolled in the NFP program will receive their required immunizations by 6 months of age.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Advance operational excellence through customer service.
- Ensure timely service to clients by maintaining wait times of 30 days or less for County Medical Services eligibility appointments.
- Promote safe and healthy living by supporting military families through effective community relationships. Representatives for military families will be involved with North Central Region's upcoming strategic planning efforts.

North Coastal Region

The North Coastal Region consists of six cities, Camp Pendleton and more than a dozen communities. The region's northern border is the Orange County line. In addition to providing core regional services, Agency staff from the North Coastal Region facilitates HealthLink North County and North County Cares. HealthLink North County is a school health-focused, public-private partnership to improve health and education outcomes for North County's schoolchildren. North County Cares is a collaboration of the Agency, community partners, resource families, and child welfare services working together to improve outcomes for North County children.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Supported health and well-being of military families by providing 887 public health nurse contacts, above the target of 576 contacts. The 54% increase is attributed to the implementation of the Nurse Family Partnership program.
- Improved health outcomes for vulnerable children by establishing immunization baselines and performance outcomes of NFP graduates' children by 24 months of age.
 - 96% (22 of 23) NFP children were fully immunized.
 - 95% (81 of 85) of NFP mothers initiated breastfeeding.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Build a better service delivery system by providing public health nurse (PHN) services and implementing Neighborhood for Kids.
 - Providing at least 600 monthly PHN service contacts to military families, building effective community relationships for the safety and protection of children and military families.
 - Implement Neighborhood for Kids which will support stability and educational growth of children coming into protective custody by placing children in a home where they can attend their school of origin.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Build a better service delivery system through NFP, improving health outcomes for NFP children.
 - 91% (21 of 23) of NFP graduates' children will be immunized by 24 months of age.
 - 91% (77 of 85) of NFP participants will initiate breast feeding.

North Inland Region

The North Inland Region includes four cities, remote desert communities, historic mountain towns, rural homes and farms, and numerous Indian reservations. The region's eastern border is the Imperial County line. In addition to providing core regional services, North Inland Region facilitates the Rural Health Network and North County Cares. The Rural Health Network is a forum that brings together government and community service providers to collaborate and leverage health resources for rural San Diego County communities. North County Cares is a collaboration of the Agency, community partners, resource families, and child welfare services working together to improve outcomes for North County children.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Improved health outcomes for vulnerable children by establishing immunization baselines and performance outcomes of NFP graduates' children by 24 months of age.
 - 90% (47 of 52) NFP children were fully immunized.
 - 96% (88 of 92) NFP mothers initiated breastfeeding.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Improved access to public assistance programs through video interviewing by increasing the number of clients served by 42% (from 446 to 635), above the target of 15%, due to successful expansion to two additional sites and expansion of services.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Build a better service delivery system for vulnerable children through implementation of Neighborhoods for Kids which will support stability and educational growth of children coming into protective custody by placing children in a home where they can attend their school of origin.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Build a better service delivery system through NFP, improving health outcomes for NFP children.
 - 91% (47 of 52) of NFP graduates' children will be immunized by 24 months of age.
 - 91% (84 of 92) of NFP participants will initiate breast feeding.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Advance operational excellence in customer service by improving access to public assistance programs by increasing the number of video interview clients by 5% (from 635 to 667).

South Region

The South Region has four cities and seven communities and is bordered in the south by Mexico. Agency staff from the South Region provides regional services, lead the Healthy Eating Active Communities (HEAC) project, and manage the South Region Nurse Family Partnership Program (NFP), and Families as Partners (FAP). HEAC aims to prevent childhood obesity and ensure healthy adulthood by reducing health risks associated with obesity. NFP is an evidence-based program that helps first-time, high-risk, low-income mothers. FAP is a public-private partnership that will provide a community safety net for South Region's children and youth who are at risk of entering foster care or who are currently in the system.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Strengthened the family and promoted stability for children by increasing the number of FAP children who were diverted from protective custody to prevention services by 43% (from 550 to 789), exceeding the target of 20%. Target exceeded due to the inclusion of the Emergency Response children for FAP services.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Improved nutrition and access to CalFresh benefits by establishing a video interview process in collaboration with community partners.
- Enhanced care coordination and access for pregnant and post-partum women through deployment of a referral pathway tool to improve home visitation services with 30 partners (internal and external), above target of 10 partners. Tool was introduced to the community partners at the Healthy Community South Region forums.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Build a better service delivery system by improving care coordination and communication.
 - Deploy a referral tool to the Info Line 2-1-1 San Diego Web directory for pregnant and post partum women.
 - Create a referral and communication process for families served through South Region Child Welfare System, Public Health Maternal Child Health Program and Nurses Family Partnership Program.
 - Strengthen the community safety net to support stability for children at risk of entering protective custody by increasing service providers participating in FAP from 30 to 42.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Advance operational excellence in customer service by improving access to public assistance programs by increasing the number of video interview clients by 5% (from 789 to 828).



Related Links

For detailed information about the health and characteristics of the people living in each Region, go to <http://www.sdhealthstatistics.com/>.

For additional information on the programs offered by the Health and Human Services Agency, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/hhsa/>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Family participation in joint case planning and meetings	30.8% of 6,265	33% of 6,265	41% of 5,675	34% of 11,300	34% of 11,300
Children in foster care that are in kin placements	42.5% of 3,249	44% of 3,249	45% of 3,079	44% of 3,000	44% of 3,000
Children in foster care for less than 12 months have fewer than 3 placements during that period	80.8% of 1,834	81% of 1,942	81% of 2,101	81% of 1,907	81% of 1,907
Mothers who continue to breastfeed their infant at 6 months of age ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A	40% of 550	40% of 550
Children age 0-4 years receive age-appropriate vaccines	99% of 9,834	98% of 5,000	99% of 2,263 ²	98% of 4,000	98% of 4,000
Children age 11-18 years receive age-appropriate vaccines	97% of 9,662	98% of 4,000	99% of 1,493 ²	98% of 4,000	98% of 4,000
Children enrolled in Medi-Cal and Healthy Families health care coverage ³	6% (enrolled 15,301 for a total of 278,478)	1% (enroll 2,784 for a total of 281,262)	-0.3% (decrease from 278,478 to 278,402)	1% (enroll 2,784 for a total of 281,186)	1% (enroll 2,811 for a total of 283,997)
Timely processing of CalFresh applications per month ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A	90% of 12,000	90% of 12,000
Eligible children and seniors enrolled in CalFresh ⁴	21,863 (from 114,321 to 136,184)	25,000 (from 136,184 to 161,184)	9,720 (from 136,184 to 145,904)	N/A ⁵	N/A
Expectant mothers visited by Public Health Nurses through delivery that complete recommended number of prenatal care visits	99% of 286	98% of 250	96% of 175	N/A ⁵	N/A

Table Notes

¹ New measure effective Fiscal Year 2012-13 to reflect Agency's priorities.

² The numbers for the immunization measures are lower than projected due to staff efforts encouraging clients to use their insurance if they have it.

³ In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the increased enrollment in Medi-Cal and Healthy Families was attributed to the economic environment, resulting in an additional 15,301 enrolled instead of the projected 2,632. For Fiscal Year 2011-12, the target remained as a 1% increase over Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals; however, the resulting decrease is in alignment with State numbers which reflected a strong decrease in enrollment also. This measure is based on a continuous growth in enrollment, not a static number.

⁴ CalFresh is California's name for the federal Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), formerly called Food Stamps. In March 2009, the Board of Supervisors adopted a 3-year goal to enroll 50,000 children and seniors by 2012. In Fiscal Year 2009-10, the program enrolled 30,470 children and seniors. The original numbers reported for Fiscal Year 2009-10 were revised to reflect the baseline of 83,851 for March 2009. In Fiscal Year 2010-11, the program enrolled 21,863 children and seniors. The total for the two fiscal years was 52,333. The goal was achieved one-year ahead of schedule.

⁵ Effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, this measure will no longer be reported in the Operational Plan, but will continue to be monitored internally by program staff.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13 – All Regions

Staffing

Net increase of 155.00 staff years and transfers between divisions based on operational needs.

- Increase of 166.00 staff years due to the addition of staff in Regional Self Sufficiency Eligibility to support Family Resource Center and ACCESS operations.
- Increase of 4.00 staff years due the transfer of 3.00 staff years from Behavioral Health Services and 1.00 staff year from Aging and Independence Services to support Health Promotion operations.
- Increase of 1.00 staff year due to the transfer of staff from Strategic Planning & Operational Support to support Family Resource Center centralized imaging operations.
- Decrease of 15.00 staff years due to the transfer of staff to County Child Welfare Services primarily to support Extended Foster Care Program.
- Decrease of 1.00 staff year due to the transfer of staff to Human Resources to support increased recruitment and training needs.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$3.4 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$9.5 million due to negotiated labor agreements, an increase in retirement contributions, and an increase of 155.00 staff years.
- Services and Supplies — increase of \$2.4 million primarily for rents and leases, facility management and basic supplies for the additional 155.00 staff years, including computers, phones and other basic office supplies.
- Other Charges — decrease of \$8.6 million for CalWORKs assistance payments to align with caseload trend due to changes in State law.

Revenues

Net increase of \$3.4 million.

- Intergovernmental Revenues — net increase of \$6.0 million.
 - Due to the passage of Assembly Bill (AB) 118, *Local Revenue Funds 2011*, the State's realignment of various programs to counties, \$101.8 million of State revenue was recategorized to 2011 Realignment, with no net variance and no impact to client services.
 - Increase of \$11.5 million in Social Services administrative revenue for increased costs.
 - Increase of \$2.4 million in 1991 Realignment for various social service programs.
 - Increase of \$0.4 million in grants to support regional health activities.
 - Decrease of \$8.3 million of CalWORKs assistance payments revenue to align with caseload trend due to changes in State law.
- Charges for Current Services — decrease of \$3.0 million associated with the ending of First Five funding for the Early Childhood Program which will be funded with Realignment revenue.
- Miscellaneous Revenues — decrease of \$0.4 million in General Relief overpayments to align with current collection levels.
- Use of Fund Balance — increase of \$0.4 million. Total of \$2.4 million budgeted for:
 - \$1.2 million to fund one-time negotiated salary adjustments.
 - \$1.2 million of one-time funding for the Juvenile Diversion program.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.4 million associated with increased costs noted above.



Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14 – All Regions

Net increase of \$4.3 million is the result of an increase of \$5.5 million in Salaries and Benefits due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in retirement contributions, offset by a decrease of \$1.2 million in Services and Supplies due to the elimination of one-time projects.

Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Regional Self Suffic Elig	1,089.00	1,272.00	1,272.00
Regional Child Welfare Svcs	637.00	621.00	621.00
Central Region	148.00	147.00	147.00
East Region	93.50	94.50	94.50
North Central Region	108.00	94.00	94.00
North Coastal Region	62.00	61.00	61.00
North Inland Region	64.00	66.00	66.00
South Region	75.50	76.50	76.50
Total	2,277.00	2,432.00	2,432.00

Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Regional Self Suffic Elig	\$ 324,915,894	\$ 334,344,484	\$ 334,363,984	\$ 304,851,702	\$ 337,995,218	\$ 341,028,992
Regional Child Welfare Svcs	51,219,847	58,246,726	58,246,726	52,697,721	56,948,047	58,501,682
Central Region	25,710,178	21,648,759	21,892,458	20,580,765	21,911,769	21,031,625
East Region	11,525,410	11,837,916	11,845,525	11,989,140	12,423,214	12,646,649
North Central Region	10,017,661	10,535,081	10,581,591	9,339,359	9,686,781	9,896,314
North Coastal Region	7,999,561	8,654,872	8,679,056	8,044,471	8,844,055	8,870,359
North Inland Region	7,410,340	8,131,653	8,151,336	7,793,269	8,714,183	8,639,269
South Region	10,385,710	10,915,495	10,968,412	10,421,299	11,190,000	11,352,589
Total	\$ 449,184,601	\$ 464,314,986	\$ 464,729,090	\$ 425,717,725	\$ 467,713,267	\$ 471,967,479

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 167,877,697	\$ 180,982,823	\$ 180,982,823	\$ 175,928,586	\$ 190,512,587	\$ 196,009,926
Services & Supplies	33,873,108	30,238,310	30,652,414	29,487,227	32,679,533	31,436,406
Other Charges	247,434,757	253,093,853	253,093,853	220,305,284	244,521,147	244,521,147
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(961)	—	—	(3,372)	—	—
Total	\$ 449,184,601	\$ 464,314,986	\$ 464,729,090	\$ 425,717,725	\$ 467,713,267	\$ 471,967,479



Regional Operations

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Licenses Permits & Franchises	\$ 1,174,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 4,000	\$ —	\$ —
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	40,000	50,000	50,000	36,655	50,000	50,000
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	33,496	—	—	—	—	—
Intergovernmental Revenues	434,976,932	436,792,077	436,938,213	409,629,403	442,765,258	442,172,021
Charges For Current Services	3,339,692	4,897,111	4,897,111	5,169,690	1,901,051	1,901,051
Miscellaneous Revenues	387,812	1,159,365	1,159,365	565,443	771,235	771,235
Other Financing Sources	—	—	—	153	—	—
Fund Balance Component Decreases	—	—	—	—	—	5,675,935
Use of Fund Balance	(9,318,603)	1,982,904	2,250,872	(9,121,149)	2,371,157	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	18,551,273	19,433,529	19,433,529	19,433,529	19,854,566	21,397,237
Total	\$ 449,184,601	\$ 464,314,986	\$ 464,729,090	\$ 425,717,725	\$ 467,713,267	\$ 471,967,479

Strategic Planning and Operational Support

Department Description

Strategic Planning and Operational Support (SPOS) supports implementation of self-sufficiency programs, ensures program integrity, and in collaboration with the community, delivers essential services including eligibility, enrollment and indigent health care in order to advance Live Well, San Diego! Additionally, SPOS provides strategic planning and support for continuous improvement throughout the Agency.

Mission Statement

To make people's lives healthier, safer and self-sufficient by delivering essential services in San Diego County.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Promoted self-sufficiency by issuing 99% (57,819 of 57,929) of child care payments within 10 calendar days, meeting target.
- Moved Welfare to Work participants closer to self-sufficiency by ensuring 20% (2,242 of 11,102 individuals per month) had paid employment, below target of 30%, which is attributed to the high unemployment across the nation. California's Welfare to Work is a program designed to assist welfare recipients to obtain or prepare for employment.
- Encouraged families to achieve self-sufficiency by ensuring 41% (3,558 of 8,693 cases per month) of Welfare to Work cases had participants in State approved work activities, below the target of 45%.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Secured financial and medical support through Supplemental Security Income (SSI) for 94% (114 of 121) of indigent adults assisted by the Advocacy Program, below target of 96%.
- Secured financial and medical support for indigent adults by serving an additional 27% (from 109 to 138) of indigent health program beneficiaries who are diabetic and on insulin who fill three prescriptions to reduce cardiovascular issues, below target of 33%.
- Strengthen care and treatment by providing case management services to an additional 83% (from 1,020 to 1,868) of indigent adults, above target of 10% due to



active case management to assist clients in their health care needs and to connect them to appropriate resources.

- Enhanced quality and coordination of care to Medicaid Coverage Expansion (MCE) patients by ensuring 97% (19,891 of 20,485) received treatment from their assigned medical home, above target of 91%.
- Delayed enrollment of eligible CalFresh participants into the CalFresh Restaurant Meals Program. Unforeseen issues have pushed implementation into Fiscal Year 2012-13.

Required Discipline – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Supported program integrity and ensured services were accurately authorized by conducting 2,166 unannounced In-Home Supportive Services home visits, above target of 1,920.
- Identified community level outcomes to monitor child, adult and older adult well-being by developing the *Live Well, San Diego!* initiative evaluation framework. The outcome categories include health, knowledge, standard of living, social and community.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Assisted with timely and accurate benefit issuance by responding to 97% (97,330 of 100,125) of urgent CalWIN help desk calls within 48 hours, above target of 95%.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Mitigated risks and advanced strategic priorities by completing four Agency-level continuous improvement projects related to health, technology and operational efficiency.

- Established performance standards and targets for ACCESS, a public benefits transaction call center, to increase efficiencies and optimize resources. Implementation of standards is scheduled to begin in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and will be reported in the Regional Operations section.

2012-14 Objectives

Ensure integration and achievement of *Live Well, San Diego!* strategies to provide the right services, to the right people, at the right time.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Build a better service delivery system to support self-sufficiency among clients eligible for public assistance programs.
 - 30% (3,300 of 11,000 individuals per month) of Welfare to Work participants will have paid employment, moving them closer to self-sufficiency.
 - 85% (1,105 of 1,300) of enrollees referred for case management will participate in case management services, strengthening care and treatment for the indigent health program enrollees.
 - 70% (1,470 of 2,100) of Low Income Health Program (LIHP) enrollees, who have entered the program through a LIHP Mental Health Clinic, will access physical health care services through a LIHP community clinic.

- Support positive choices among eligible participants in CalFresh, a public assistance nutrition program, by enrolling 90% (18,900 of 21,000) of eligible participants in the CalFresh Restaurant Meals Program.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Advance operational excellence through accountability by supporting program integrity and ensuring services are accurately authorized by conducting 2,000 unannounced In-Home Supportive Services home visits.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Advance operational excellence by enhancing Agency capability to measure, analyze, review and improve processes and performance, while ensuring these improvements are aligned with priorities.

Related Links

For additional information on the programs offered by the Health and Human Services Agency, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/hhsa>.

Performance Measures ¹	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Welfare to Work participants have paid employment	16% of 13,657 ²	30% of 11,000	20% of 11,102	30% of 11,000	30% of 11,000
Enrollees referred for case management participate in case management services ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	85% of 1,300	85% of 1,300
Low Income Health Program enrollees access physical health care services ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	70% of 2,100	70% of 2,100
Unannounced home visits in the In-Home Supportive Services program ³	N/A	1,920	2,166	2,000	2,000
Child care payments issued within 10 days	99% of 50,055	99% of 42,000	99% of 57,929	N/A ⁵	N/A
Welfare to Work participants participating in work activities	24% of 10,803 ¹	45% of 9,000	41% of 8,693	N/A ⁵	N/A
SSI applicants who complete the application process through the SSI Advocacy program and obtain SSI	96 % of 150	96% of 150	94% of 121	N/A ⁵	N/A
Indigent health care enrollees who are diabetic and on insulin, 50+ years old who fill 3 prescriptions to reduce cardiac events and strokes	N/A	33% (from 109 to 144)	27% (from 109 to 138)	N/A ⁵	N/A
Indigent health care enrollees receiving management services ⁴	N/A	10% (from 1,020 to 1,122)	83% (from 1,020 to 1,868)	N/A ⁵	N/A
Hospital in-patient readmissions of the top 50 homeless frequent users in Central Region, 30 days or less after discharge ⁴	N/A	10% decrease (from 74 to 67)	19% decrease (from 74 to 60)	N/A ⁵	N/A
MCE patients who received treatment from assigned medical home ⁴	N/A	91% of 7,016	97% of 20,485	N/A ⁵	N/A
Urgent help desk calls responded to within 48 hours	93% of 13,944	95% of 17,000	97% of 100,125 ⁶	N/A ⁵	N/A

Table Notes

¹ For Strategic Planning and Operational Support measures that are cross threaded measures with the Regions, go to the HHSA Regional Operations section.

² Effective Fiscal Year 2011-12, the Welfare to Work performance measures will be reported as a monthly average to remove the variation in caseload that occurs throughout the year and the duplicative counting that occurs when some individuals rotate in and out of the program due to circumstances.

³ Effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, measure was added to better reflect strategic priorities.

⁴ Effective Fiscal Year 2011-12, measure was added to better reflect strategic priorities and cross threaded with Central Region.

⁵ Effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, measure will no longer be reported in the Operational Plan; however, it will continue to be monitored by program managers.



⁶ The increase in the total number of calls responded to was due to the return of the Automation staff to their core functions. These staff had been previously redeployed to assist with the high volume of ACCESS transaction calls as a result of the economy.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Net increase of 9.00 staff years and transfers between programs based on operational needs.

- Increase of 6.00 staff years in Administration to the Office of Business Intelligence (OBI) to advance operational excellence in program services through data and information analysis and coordination.
- Increase of 4.00 staff years in Self Sufficiency and Support Services to enhance quality control in eligibility services (Medi-Cal, CalFresh, CalWORKs).
- Increase of 1.00 staff year in Administration due to a transfer in of 1.00 staff year from Behavioral Health Services to SPOS Administration to support program improvement efforts.
- Decrease of 2.00 staff years in Self Sufficiency and Support Services due to a transfer of 1.00 staff year to Regional Operations to assist in the Family Resource Centers centralized imaging section and a transfer of 1.00 staff year to Administrative Support to support recruitment and training efforts.

Expenditures

Increase of \$10.1 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$1.1 million due to negotiated labor agreements, an increase in retirement contributions, and the increase of 9.00 staff years.
- Services and Supplies — net increase of \$9.0 million.
 - Increase of \$7.5 million in contracts primarily in Health Care Administration for hospital payments, an increase in the pharmaceutical contract and Primary Care Services (PCS).
 - Increase of \$1.6 million in information technology and postage costs to support the CalWIN automation system.
 - Increase of \$0.3 million due to the implementation of Supplemental Assistance Nutrition Program (SNAP) grants.
 - Increase of \$0.2 million for a cost applied associated with Public Assistance Fraud Division.

- Decrease of \$0.6 million in various other services and supplies including rents and leases.

Revenues

Net increase of \$10.1 million.

- Intergovernmental Revenues — increase of \$7.8 million.
 - Due to the passage of Assembly Bill (AB) 118, *Local Revenue Funds 2011*, the State's realignment of various programs to the counties, \$0.9 million of State revenue was recategorized to 2011 Realignment, with no net variance and no impact to client services.
 - Increase of \$4.7 million of Low Income Health Program (LIHP) federal revenue.
 - Increase of \$1.7 million in Medi-Cal and CalFresh Administrative revenue to align with the allocations.
 - Increase of \$0.8 million in CalWIN revenues to align with the allocation.
 - Increase of \$0.6 million in 1991 Realignment revenue to fund increased costs.
- Charges for Current Services — decrease of \$0.5 million in Third Party Reimbursement and Child Abuse prevention fees.
- Miscellaneous Revenues — decrease of \$0.1 million due to lower projected interest earnings.
- Other Financing Sources — increase of \$3.0 million of Tobacco Securitization revenue related to the increase in hospital payments and the pharmaceutical contract.
- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$0.1 million. A total of \$0.05 million is budgeted to fund one-time negotiated salary adjustments.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Decrease of \$0.8 million is the result of a decrease of \$1.3 million primarily related to reduced refugee funding, offset by an increase of \$0.5 million due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in retirement contributions.

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Administration		81.00			89.00	89.00
Health Care Administration		37.00			36.00	36.00
Self Sufficiency Services and Support		84.00			86.00	86.00
Total		202.00			211.00	211.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Administration	\$ 24,892,059	\$ 27,023,353	\$ 27,515,904	\$ 24,837,020	\$ 30,511,519	\$ 30,732,277
Health Care Administration	122,832,989	154,895,654	171,011,654	176,194,301	162,175,130	162,025,832
Child Care Planning Council	796,758	1,123,783	1,191,566	719,482	725,326	725,326
Self Sufficiency Services and Support	40,087,674	42,119,422	47,707,124	45,693,881	41,810,756	40,924,610
Total	\$ 188,609,481	\$ 225,162,212	\$ 247,426,248	\$ 247,444,685	\$ 235,222,731	\$ 234,408,045

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 16,976,141	\$ 18,084,933	\$ 18,084,933	\$ 17,326,137	\$ 19,188,107	\$ 19,666,920
Services & Supplies	171,633,340	207,077,279	229,341,315	229,987,934	216,034,624	214,741,125
Other Charges	—	—	—	130,614	—	—
Total	\$ 188,609,481	\$ 225,162,212	\$ 247,426,248	\$ 247,444,685	\$ 235,222,731	\$ 234,408,045



Strategic Planning and Operational Support

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	\$ 3,168,573	\$ 3,349,216	\$ 3,349,216	\$ 3,261,052	\$ 3,349,216	\$ 3,349,216
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	1,072,182	1,019,083	1,019,083	1,110,661	1,019,083	1,019,083
Intergovernmental Revenues	104,917,104	180,234,894	181,055,001	158,990,422	187,993,662	186,319,421
Charges For Current Services	14,717,029	11,657,982	11,657,982	15,074,799	11,201,118	11,209,014
Miscellaneous Revenues	350,672	100,000	100,000	271,531	5,000	5,000
Other Financing Sources	17,600,000	17,600,000	17,600,000	17,600,000	20,600,000	20,600,000
Fund Balance Component Decreases	—	—	—	—	—	906,311
Use of Fund Balance	35,783,920	201,037	21,644,966	40,136,220	54,652	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	11,000,000	11,000,000	11,000,000	11,000,000	11,000,000	11,000,000
Total	\$ 188,609,481	\$ 225,162,212	\$ 247,426,248	\$ 247,444,685	\$ 235,222,731	\$ 234,408,045

Aging & Independence Services

Department Description

Aging & Independence Services (AIS) provides services to older adults, people with disabilities and their family members, help keep clients safely in their homes, promote healthy and vital living, and publicize positive contributions made by older adults and persons with disabilities.

Mission Statement

To make people's lives healthier, safer and self-sufficient by delivering essential services in San Diego County.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Protected seniors and dependent adults from abuse and neglect by conducting 96% (7,238 of 7,537) of face-to-face contacts within 10 days of receiving referral, below target of 98%.
- Ensured safety and well-being of Adult Protective Services (APS) adults by ensuring that 91% (6,844 of 7,537) were not re-referred within six months, below target of 95%.
- Assisted veterans and their families to utilize financial education opportunities by notifying 100% (7,241) within 14 days of the result of their college tuition waiver application, above target of 98%.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Fostered independent living and maximized revenue by maintaining 99% (549 of 550) participation in the Multi-purpose Senior Services Program (MSSP) case management program, above target of 98%.
- Promoted *Live Well, San Diego!* through active living by connecting 1,962 older adults with volunteer opportunities, below target of 2,295.
- Ensured In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) clients received the appropriate level of care to remain safely in their own home by recertifying 93% (18,815 of 20,242) of reassessments timely, below target of 98%.

Required Discipline – Information Technology

- Managed recipient and provider data by beginning the implementation of the IHSS statewide case management computer system, Case Management Information and Payrolling System (CMIPS II), which is scheduled for completion in Fiscal Year 2012-13.



2012-14 Objectives

Ensure integration and achievement of *Live Well, San Diego!* strategies to provide the right services, to the right people, at the right time.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Build a better service delivery system to protect seniors and dependent adults from abuse and neglect, and improve quality and efficient care.
 - 95% (7,125 of 7,500) of face-to-face contacts will be conducted within 10 days of receiving an APS referral.
 - 91% (6,825 of 7,500) of APS cases will not be re-referred within six months of case closing.
 - Implement the Community Based Care Transitions Program to reduce readmissions to hospitals for Medicare recipients. Public Health Nurses in AIS will receive referrals from hospital staff for individuals who will benefit from short-term assistance to proactively manage their chronic medical conditions.
- Support positive choices that foster independence among seniors and educational opportunities for veterans and their families.
 - Connect 2,295 older adults with volunteer opportunities, promoting *Live Well, San Diego!* through active living.
 - Maintain 95% (523 of 550) participation in the MSSP case management program helping to avoid, delay or remedy inappropriate placement in nursing facilities.
 - Notify 98% (4,900 of 5,000) of customers assisted by Veteran's Services within 14 calendar days of the result of their college tuition waiver application.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Advance operational excellence in customer service by ensuring 96% (15,840 of 16,500) of annual IHSS reassessments are recertified timely so that clients receive the appropriate level of care to remain safely in their own home.

Related Links

A resource guide for seniors, adults with disabilities, veterans and professionals is available through Network of Care at <http://sandiego.networkofcare.org/>.

For additional information on the programs offered by Aging & Independence Services, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/hhsa/programs/ais>.

For additional information on the programs offered by the Health and Human Services Agency, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/hhsa/>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Face-to-face APS investigations conducted within 10 days of referral	96% of 7,175	98% of 7,500	96% of 7,537	95% ¹ of 7,500	95% of 7,500
APS cases not re-referred within 6 months of closing	92% of 7,175	95% of 7,500	91% of 7,537	91% ¹ of 7,500	91% of 7,500
Number of older adults linked with RSVP and Intergenerational volunteer opportunities ²	1% increase (from 2,207 to 2,222)	2,295	1,962	2,295	2,295
Average monthly number of MSSP case management slots filled	95% of 618	98% of 618	99% of 550	95% ³ of 550	95% of 550
Notification response rate within 14 days for customers applying for the college fee waiver	99% of 5,954	98% of 5,000	100% of 7,241	98% of 5,000	98% of 5,000
IHSS reassessments recertified timely	96% of 22,494	98% of 16,500	93% of 20,242	96% ⁴ of 16,500	96% of 16,500

Table Notes

¹ Effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, the target has been revised to better accurately reflect staffing capacity and efforts. In the past year, the number of reports to APS have increased by over 10% despite a reduction in staff due to budget constraints. There has been an overall workload increase for APS investigators of 13% since staffing was reduced. All efficiencies have been explored and staff are working at the highest level possible without placing the County and clients at risk.

² Effective Fiscal Year 2011-12, this measure has been revised to “maintain” the number of older adults linked with the Retired Senior Volunteer Program (RSVP) and Intergenerational volunteer opportunities.

³ Effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, the target for monthly number of MSSP case management slots has been revised to reflect the State contract obligation of 95%.

⁴ Effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, the target has been revised to better accurately reflect staffing capacity and efforts. The State mandated target for IHSS reassessments recertified timely is 90%.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

A decrease of 1.00 staff year and transfers between programs based on operational needs.

- A decrease of 1.00 staff year due to the transfer of staff from In-Home Support Services (IHSS) to Regional Operations to support health promotion activities.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$2.8 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$0.03 million due to negotiated labor agreements, an increase in the County retirement contributions, offset by the decrease of 1.00 staff year.
- Services and Supplies — increase of \$3.3 million.
 - Increase of \$3.8 million in IHSS Individual Provider (IP) payments related to an anticipated increase resulting from the reinstatement of 3.6% hour reduction (approximately \$9.0 million) pursuant to Assembly Bill 1612, *Human Services* (sunset June 30, 2012), offset by savings associated with IHSS reform efforts.
 - Decrease of \$0.4 million in one-time costs for the senior nutrition program associated with one-time funding.
 - Decrease of \$0.1 million in various services and supplies.
- Operating Transfer Out – decrease of \$0.6 million related to a technical adjustment in how expenditures are classified for the Public Authority with no impact to services.

Revenues

- Net increase of \$2.8 million.

- Intergovernmental Revenues — increase of \$3.1 million.
 - Due to the passage of Assembly Bill (AB) 118, *Local Revenue Funds 2011*, the State's realignment of various programs to counties, \$4.3 million of State revenue was recategorized to 2011 Realignment revenue, with no net variance and no impact to client services.
 - Increase of \$3.6 million in State and federal revenue associated with a projected increase in the IHSS program.
 - Increase of \$0.5 million in 1991 Realignment to support IHSS.
 - Decrease of \$0.6 million in various revenues to align with allocations.
 - Decrease of \$0.4 million in one-time funding for the senior nutrition program.
- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$0.2 million. A total of \$0.1 million budgeted to fund one-time negotiated salary adjustments.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — decrease of \$0.1 million associated with the increased use of Realignment and the transfer of general purpose revenue to other divisions within the Health and Human Services Agency.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Increase of \$0.7 million is the result of an increase of \$0.8 million in Salaries and Benefits due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in retirement contributions, offset by a decrease of \$0.1 million in Services and Supplies due to completion of one-time contracted services.



■ ■ ■ Aging & Independence Services

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
In-Home Supportive Services		151.00			150.00	150.00
Veterans Services		8.00			8.00	8.00
Senior Health and Social Services		42.00			44.00	44.00
Protective Services		69.00			66.50	66.50
Administrative and Other Services		22.50			23.00	23.00
Total		292.50			291.50	291.50

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
In-Home Supportive Services	\$ 279,623,985	\$ 281,600,550	\$ 277,820,565	\$ 274,650,062	\$ 284,713,146	\$ 285,054,488
Veterans Services	861,223	948,368	948,368	949,908	947,256	946,734
Senior Health and Social Services	13,259,349	13,952,326	14,450,302	13,274,426	14,405,024	14,542,222
Protective Services	7,007,680	8,785,070	9,349,616	7,634,926	8,353,562	8,561,883
Administrative and Other Services	3,859,595	4,471,087	4,780,377	4,449,997	4,095,982	4,081,959
Total	\$ 304,611,832	\$ 309,757,401	\$ 307,349,227	\$ 300,959,318	\$ 312,514,970	\$ 313,187,286

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 24,223,298	\$ 26,326,593	\$ 26,651,325	\$ 25,351,277	\$ 26,355,581	\$ 27,120,768
Services & Supplies	268,038,838	269,626,628	266,893,722	263,141,959	272,957,956	272,865,085
Other Charges	18,373	5,000	5,000	44,206	5,000	5,000
Operating Transfers Out	12,331,323	13,799,180	13,799,180	12,421,876	13,196,433	13,196,433
Total	\$ 304,611,832	\$ 309,757,401	\$ 307,349,227	\$ 300,959,318	\$ 312,514,970	\$ 313,187,286

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	\$ 176,472	\$ 185,660	\$ 185,660	\$ 286,629	\$ 185,660	\$ 185,660
Intergovernmental Revenues	295,114,278	300,478,458	300,830,096	291,890,620	303,535,138	303,303,688
Miscellaneous Revenues	5,162,094	112,199	112,199	514,951	111,333	86,333
Other Financing Sources	111,716	100,000	100,000	123,360	100,000	100,000
Fund Balance Component Decreases	—	—	—	—	—	1,015,264
Use of Fund Balance	(4,623,508)	288,560	(2,471,252)	(448,766)	86,498	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	8,670,780	8,592,524	8,592,524	8,592,524	8,496,341	8,496,341
Total	\$ 304,611,832	\$ 309,757,401	\$ 307,349,227	\$ 300,959,318	\$ 312,514,970	\$ 313,187,286





Behavioral Health Services

Department Description

Behavioral Health Services (BHS) provides a range of mental health, alcohol and other drug programs, promoting recovery and well-being through prevention, treatment and interventions. Services are integrated for clients with co-occurring mental illness and alcohol and drug issues. BHS works in partnership with the community to provide evidence-based services to achieve effective outcomes. Inpatient Health Services are offered at the San Diego County Psychiatric Hospital (SDCPH) and at the Edgemoor Distinct Part Skilled Nursing Facility (DPSNF), which operates under the licensure of the SDCPH.

Mission Statement

To make people's lives healthier, safer and self-sufficient by delivering essential services in San Diego County.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Supported educational growth and overall well-being of adolescents who completed alcohol and drug treatment by ensuring 97% (766 of 790) either completed high school (or the equivalent) or were enrolled in an educational setting, above target of 90%.
- Provided timely intervention to children who have complex behavioral/emotional needs through the KidSTART program by serving 384 children ages 0-5 years, above target of 100 children. The projected number of children assessed was exceeded because the program continues to work toward an optimal assessment and treatment procedure to fit the network of services offered.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Improved quality and efficient care by integrating physical and behavioral health services with two modified service delivery system pilot programs. A pilot was initiated at the Family Health Centers of San Diego focusing on integration of care resources for Medi-Cal and uninsured patients. The second pilot was initiated at Vista Hill focusing on the effectiveness of embedding behavioral health specialists within the primary care team at three clinics in rural San Diego County. Pilot data were reviewed quarterly to ensure that Primary Care Initiative outcomes were met.



- Supported healthy and safe living by ensuring that 43% (5,146 of 11,891) of participants completed alcohol and drug treatment, above target of 35%.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Ensured access to outpatient mental health assessments for at-risk children and youth by maintaining an average wait time of 5 days, meeting the target of 5 average days.
- Ensured access and care and treatment into nonresidential alcohol and drug treatment for adolescents by admitting 92% (1,195 of 1,296) within 14 days, above target of 85%.
- Improved access to outpatient mental health services to older adults by serving an additional 9% (from 5,398 to 5,899), above target of 5% increase.
- The 10% reduction (from 20,152 to 18,137) in adults who cancelled or failed to show was not met because the no-show rate at outpatient mental health clinics remained constant. The combination of increased BHS staff education efforts and the introduction of an automatic client phone reminder system are expected to result in lower no-show rates in Fiscal Year 2012-13.

Required Discipline – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Demonstrated accountability and commitment to outstanding patient care by:
 - Maintaining full accreditation with the Joint Commission Standards Compliance for SDCPH.
 - Maintaining State rating of substantial compliance for Edgemoor DPSNF.
 - Meeting the national benchmark (1.78 occurrences or less per 1,000 patient days) for the use of mechanical or manual restraints at SDCPH.



Required Discipline – Information Technology

- Improved operational effectiveness and efficiency by completing implementation of the Electronic Health Record for BHS providers and clients.

2012-14 Objectives

Ensure integration and achievement of *Live Well, San Diego!* strategies to provide the right services, to the right people, at the right time.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Build a better service delivery system for children and adults with behavioral and physical health needs.
 - Provide timely intervention to children who have complex behavioral/emotional needs through the KidSTART program by serving 100 children ages 0-5 years.
 - Improve quality and efficient care by integrating physical and behavioral health services with two modified service delivery system pilot programs. Pilot data will be reviewed quarterly to ensure that Primary Care Initiative outcomes are being met.
- Support positive choices among participants in alcohol and drug treatment programs.
 - Support educational growth and overall well-being of adolescents who complete alcohol and drug treatment by ensuring 90% (833 of 925) either complete high school (or the equivalent) or are enrolled in an educational setting.
 - Support healthy and safe living by ensuring that 35% (4,550 of 13,000) of participants complete alcohol and drug treatment.
- Pursue policy and environmental changes by increasing utilization and efficiency at outpatient mental health clinics by reducing the number of adults who cancel or fail to show by 10% (from 18,137 to 16,323).

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Advance operational excellence in customer service through timely and improved access to services.
 - Ensure access to outpatient mental health assessments for at-risk children and youth by maintaining an average wait time of 5 days.
 - Ensure access and care and treatment into nonresidential alcohol and drug treatment for adolescents by admitting 85% (1,224 of 1,440) within 14 days.
 - Improve access to outpatient mental health services to older adults by serving an additional 5% (from 5,662 to 5,945).

Required Discipline for Excellence – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Advance operational excellence by demonstrating accountability and commitment to outstanding patient care.
 - Maintain full accreditation with the Joint Commission Standards Compliance for SDCPH.
 - Maintain State rating of substantial compliance for Edgemoor DPSNF.
 - Meet the national benchmark (1.78 occurrences or less per 1,000 patient days) for the use of mechanical or manual restraints at SDCPH.

Related Links

For information about the Network of Care for Behavioral Health, go to <http://sandiego.networkofcare.org>.

For additional information on the programs offered by the Health and Human Services Agency, refer to the website <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/hhsa/>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Children 0-5 years served in KidSTART ¹ program	214	100	384 ²	100 ²	100
Adolescents discharged from alcohol and drug treatment who complete high school or the equivalent, or are enrolled in an educational setting	96% of 715	90% of 925	97% of 790	90% of 925	90% of 925
Participants in alcohol and drug treatment who complete treatment	45% of 11,046	35% of 13,000	43% of 11,891	35% of 13,000	35% of 13,000
Decrease the number of adult clients who cancel or fail to show for outpatient mental health appointment ³	N/A	10%	0% (the rate remained constant)	10% (from 20,152 to 18,137)	10% (from 18,137 to 16,323)
Wait time for children's mental health outpatient treatment	5 days	5 days	5 days	5 days	5 days
Adolescents admitted timely (within 14 calendar days) to nonresidential alcohol and drug treatment	88% of 1,369	85% of 1,440	92% of 1,296	85% of 1,440	85% of 1,440
Increase in number of older adults receiving mental health services	5% (from 5,137 to 5,398)	5% (from 5,398 to 5,668)	9% (from 5,398 to 5,899)	5% (from 5,899 to 6,193)	5% (from 6,193 to 6,502)
Compliance rating of Edgemoor DPSNF ⁴	D	D	D	D	D

Table Notes

¹ KidSTART is a multidisciplinary program with Child Welfare Services and funded by the First 5 Commission. The program provides screening, triage, assessment, referral and treatment.

² The target of 100 was exceeded in Fiscal Year 2011-12 due to conservative projections on how many children would be assessed and provided mental health treatment. However, the target was not increased for Fiscal Year 2012-13 due to funding concerns.

³ Effective Fiscal Year 2011-12, this measure has been included to reflect strategic priorities and is calculated on a quarterly basis. The rate remained constant for Fiscal Year 2011-12. However, the rate is expected to decline due to increased staff education efforts and the introduction of an automatic phone reminder system.

⁴ The rating of "D" is the highest possible rating. The rating comes from an annual survey, in adherence to Title 22 statute, and looks at over 2,000 requirements. It indicates substantial compliance as defined by the California Department of Public Health Services Licensing and Certification Program, which means there are no wide-spread deficiencies and minimal physical, mental and/or psychological discomfort to the residents. There are no facilities (out of 91) with a zero deficiency in San Diego County.



Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Decrease of 8.00 staff years in BHS and transfers between programs based on operational needs.

- Decrease of 5.00 staff years in Mental Health Services (MHS) due to the transfer of 3.00 staff years to Public Health Services, 1.00 staff year to Strategic Planning and Operational Support and 1.00 staff year to Administrative Support. These 5.00 staff years are no longer needed in MHS due to the discontinuance of the agreement with the San Diego County Office of Education (SDCOE) for Educationally Related Mental Health Services (ERMHS). Effective July 1, 2011, the Special Education Students program (Assembly Bill 3632, *Mental Health Services for Special Education Pupils*) was permanently transferred from the counties to the local schools. SDCOE has assumed responsibility for this program with a small amount being contracted with MHS.
- Decrease of 3.00 staff years in Inpatient Health Services due to transfer of staff years to Regional Operations to support health promotion activities.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$3.3 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$0.8 million due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in retirement contributions, offset by a decrease due to a reduction of 8.00 staff years.
- Services and Supplies — increase of \$11.3 million.
 - Increase of \$7.9 million in Mental Health Community Services and Supports (CSS) and Prevention and Early Intervention (PEI) contracts to maximize use of one-time Proposition 63, *Mental Health Services Act* (MHSA), funding.
 - Increase of \$3.0 million in Alcohol and Other Drug Services contracts for the Narcotics Treatment Program.
 - Increase of \$9.0 million in services and supplies, contracted services, as recommended by the Executive Committee of the Community Corrections partnership. This program will provide contracted mental health and substance abuse treatment services to offenders referred per Assembly Bill (AB) 109, *Public Safety Realignment (2011)*.
 - Decrease of \$8.4 million in Mental Health Services in contracted services due to the discontinuance of the agreement with SDCOE for ERMHS.

- Decrease of \$0.2 million in various services and supplies.
- Expenditure Transfer and Reimbursements — increase of \$9.0 million associated with the reimbursement for services under AB 109 through the Probation Department. Since this is a reimbursement for services, it has the effect of decreasing expenditures by \$9.0 million.
- Capital Assets Equipment — increase of \$0.2 million in Inpatient Health Services to purchase patient lifts.

Revenues

Net increase of \$3.3 million.

- Intergovernmental Revenue — increase of \$8.8 million.
 - Due to the passage of Assembly Bill (AB) 118, *Local Revenue Fund 2011*, the State's realignment of various programs to counties, \$7.9 million of State revenue was recategorized to 2011 Realignment revenue, with no net variance and no impact to client services.
 - Increase of \$7.9 million in MHSA revenue due to planned enhancements of CSS and PEI contracts to use prior year unexpended funds.
 - Increase of \$4.9 million of 2011 Realignment in Mental Health for increased costs and due to the reduction in Securitized Tobacco Settlement funding.
 - Increase of \$1.5 million of 2011 Realignment in Alcohol and Drug Services associated with the Narcotics Treatment Program.
 - Increase of \$1.5 million in Alcohol and Drug Services federal revenue associated with the Narcotics Treatment Program.
 - Increase of \$1.0 million in Managed Care to offset increased costs.
 - Increase of \$0.8 million in various state and federal revenues.
 - Decrease of \$8.4 million in ERMHS revenues due to the discontinuance of the agreement with SDCOE.
 - Decrease of \$0.4 million in American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funding in Edgemoor Distinct Part Skilled Nursing Facility due to discontinuance of funding.
- Charges for Current Services — increase of \$1.0 million for Inpatient Health Services.
- Miscellaneous Revenues — decrease of \$2.7 million.
 - Decrease of \$4.4 million in Recovered Expenditures from prior year one-time funding.
 - Increase of \$1.7 million in Mental Health Services for contracts with the school districts.
- Other Financing Sources — decrease of \$3.0 million in Securitized Tobacco Settlement funding.

- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$0.8 million. A total of \$0.2 million budgeted to fund one-time negotiated salary adjustments.

well as an increase of \$0.3 million in Services and Supplies, offset by a decrease of \$0.1 million in Other Charges and Capital Assets Equipment.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net increase of \$2.5 million is the result of an increase of \$2.3 million in Salaries and Benefits due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in retirement contributions as

Behavioral Health Services

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Alcohol and Other Drug Services		25.00			25.00	25.00
Mental Health Services		296.50			284.25	284.25
Inpatient Health Services		462.25			459.25	459.25
Behavioral Health Svcs Administration		53.75			61.00	61.00
Total		837.50			829.50	829.50

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Alcohol and Other Drug Services	\$ 38,201,365	\$ 54,346,304	\$ 57,638,152	\$ 50,240,303	\$ 59,483,556	\$ 59,569,476
Adult/Older Adult Mental Health Services	—	—	3	—	—	—
Children's Mental Health Services	(5)	—	—	—	—	—
Mental Health Services	267,128,528	302,969,599	304,122,658	263,969,309	299,301,560	300,939,189
Inpatient Health Services	60,489,177	62,167,691	62,352,240	59,718,022	63,488,189	64,061,916
Behavioral Health Svcs Administration	7,871,551	8,251,112	8,251,112	7,468,657	8,799,185	9,011,085
Total	\$ 373,690,616	\$ 427,734,706	\$ 432,364,165	\$ 381,396,291	\$ 431,072,490	\$ 433,581,666

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 77,988,949	\$ 84,607,218	\$ 84,607,218	\$ 78,249,753	\$ 85,452,776	\$ 87,757,452
Services & Supplies	292,900,166	340,190,406	347,804,865	302,440,756	351,462,632	351,772,132
Other Charges	2,830,882	2,980,506	2,980,506	2,461,578	3,030,506	3,005,506
Capital Assets Equipment	—	—	15,000	13,371	170,000	90,000
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(29,381)	(43,424)	(3,043,424)	(1,769,168)	(9,043,424)	(9,043,424)
Total	\$ 373,690,616	\$ 427,734,706	\$ 432,364,165	\$ 381,396,291	\$ 431,072,490	\$ 433,581,666

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Intergovernmental Revenues	\$ 319,196,409	\$ 374,365,812	\$ 377,503,831	\$ 329,856,738	\$ 383,194,071	\$ 385,603,805
Charges For Current Services	35,671,909	33,670,855	33,670,855	36,298,807	34,624,144	34,925,146
Miscellaneous Revenues	7,084,733	5,240,614	5,240,614	6,625,387	2,554,573	2,554,573
Other Financing Sources	6,014,310	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,007,305	3,000,000	3,000,000
Use of Fund Balance	(1,774,888)	959,283	2,450,723	(4,890,088)	201,560	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	7,498,142	7,498,142	7,498,142	7,498,142	7,498,142	7,498,142
Total	\$ 373,690,616	\$ 427,734,706	\$ 432,364,165	\$ 381,396,291	\$ 431,072,490	\$ 433,581,666





Child Welfare Services

Department Description

Child Welfare Services (CWS) delivers culturally competent, family-centered and child-focused protective services. CWS investigates reports of suspected child abuse and neglect and intervenes with families who do not meet the minimum community standards of health and safety as required by law. In addition to these services, CWS administers the Polinsky Children's Center (PCC), a 24-hour temporary emergency shelter for children; and San Pasqual Academy, a first-in-the-nation residential education campus for adolescent foster youth.

Mission Statement

To make people's lives healthier, safer and self-sufficient by delivering essential services in San Diego County.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Promoted stability for children by placing them with family, relative or other foster care setting by diverting 64% (98 of 152) of children entering PCC in less than 24 hours, below target of 65%. The number of children projected to enter PCC was less than the estimated 600 due to the efforts of regional staff.
- Promoted stability for youth enrolled in the intensive, wraparound program by placing 73% (162 of 223) into a family-like setting, above target of 65%.
- Strengthened families by ensuring that 24% (108 of 447) of children were adopted within 24 months of entering child welfare services, below target of 30%.
- Supported educational achievement of foster youth in the 12th grade, including children in San Pasqual and other residential settings, by ensuring 79% (157 of 199) earned a high school diploma or equivalent, below target of 85%.
- Improved response for children exposed to domestic violence by training 661 staff, thereby building a trauma informed system of care.
- PCC hosted its 2nd annual health fair with over 400 guests in attendance. This year's fair "Six Dimensions of Health" supports the Agency's *Live Well, San Diego!* initiative by promoting programs aimed at creating a healthy, safe and thriving community.



Strategic Initiative- Safe and Livable Communities

- PCC implemented a food waste composting program to reduce its carbon footprint and help prolong the life of local landfills saving approximately 130 pounds per day of food waste.

2012-14 Objectives

Ensure integration and achievement of *Live Well, San Diego!* strategies to provide the right services, to the right people, at the right time.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Build a better service delivery system for vulnerable children and youth by promoting stability, strengthening families, and supporting activities for a successful transition to adulthood.
 - Place 65% (390 of 600) of children entering Polinsky Children's Center in less than 24 hours with a family, relative or other foster care setting.
 - Strengthen families by ensuring that 30% (193 of 644) of children are adopted within 24 months of entering child welfare services.
 - Place 65% (90 of 139) of youth in an intensive, wrap-around program that provides a family-like setting.
 - Support educational achievement of foster youth in the 12th grade, including children in San Pasqual and other residential settings, by ensuring that 85% (161 of 190) earn a high school diploma or equivalent.
 - Establish the Extended Foster Care program to promote successful transition of former foster youth, ages 18 through 21 years.

Related Links

For information about San Diego County Adoptions, go to <http://www.iadoptu.org> and for San Pasqual Academy, go to <http://www.sanpasqualacademy.org>.

For additional information on the programs offered by the Health and Human Services Agency, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/hhsa/>.

Performance Measures ¹	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Polinsky Children’s Center assessment center entries placed in family, relative or other foster care settings in less than 24 hours	60% of 513	65% of 600	64% of 152	65% of 300	65% of 300
Children who were adopted from the child welfare system were adopted within 24 months	26% of 460	30% of 644	24% of 447	30% of 644	30% of 644
Youth in intensive, wraparound program in a family-like setting	65% of 171	65% of 139	73% of 223	65% of 139	65% of 139
Foster children in 12 th grade who achieve high school completion (diploma, certificate, or equivalent)	82% of 191	85% of 190	79% of 199	85% of 190	85% of 190

Table Notes

¹ For more Child Welfare Services performance measures, go to the HHSA Regional Operations section.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Net increase of 14.00 staff years and transfers between divisions based on operational needs.

- Increase of 14.00 staff years due to the move of the Court Unit staff from Regional Child Welfare Services.
- Increase of 1.00 staff year due to transfer of staff from Regional Child Welfare Services for programmatic needs.
- Decrease of 1.00 staff year due to transfer of staff to Human Resources to support increased recruitment and training needs.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$10.1 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$1.8 million due to negotiated labor agreements, increase in retirement contributions and the increase of 14.00 staff years.
- Services and Supplies — net increase of \$1.4 million.

- Increase of \$1.7 million for the expansion of the Transitional Housing Program to serve emancipated youth, ages 18 to 24 years.
- Increase of \$0.9 million in various services and supplies, including rents and leases and internal service funds charges, and due to the move of appropriations from Other Charges.
- Decrease of \$0.6 million in domestic violence services contracts due to declining revenue. CWS will be working with stakeholders to reengineer provision of services.
- Decrease of \$0.4 million in Family Integrated Treatment (FIT) contracts due to the expiration of a grant.
- Decrease of \$0.2 million in the KidSTART and Developmental Screening and Enhancement Program (DSEP) contracts to align to projected spending.
- Other Charges — net decrease of \$13.3 million.
 - Decrease of \$10.8 million in Seriously Emotionally Disturbed (SED) due to the State shifting responsibility to the San Diego County Office of Education.
 - Decrease of \$5.5 million in Foster Care to align with caseload trend.

- Decrease of \$0.4 million in Other Charges due to moving the appropriations under Services and Supplies.
- Increase of \$3.4 million in Aid for Adopted Children to align with caseload trend.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$10.1 million.

- Licenses, Permits and Franchises — decrease of \$0.6 million to align to projected available revenue from the Domestic Violence Trust Fund.
- Intergovernmental Revenues — net decrease of \$6.8 million.
 - Due to the passage of Assembly Bill (AB) 118, *Local Revenue Funds 2011*, the State's realignment of various programs to counties, \$76.9 million of State revenue was recategorized to 2011 Realignment, with no net variance and no impact to client services.
 - Increase of \$2.2 million in federal funding for Aid for Adopted Children to align with caseload trend.
 - Increase of \$4.5 million in federal Child Welfare services revenue to align with the allocations.
 - Decrease of \$10.8 million associated with reductions in SED.
 - Decrease of \$2.3 million associated with the reduction in Foster Care payments.
 - Decrease of \$0.4 million in FIT grant due to a decrease in funding.

- Charges for Current Services — decrease of \$0.2 million in funding from the First Five Commission due to the reduction of the KidSTART and DSEP contracts.
- Miscellaneous Revenues — net increase of \$1.4 million. Increase of \$1.7 million of CWS Wrap Trust Fund for the planned expansion of Transitional Housing Program, offset by a decrease of \$0.3 million in various miscellaneous revenues.
- Fund Balance Component Decreases — decrease of \$4.0 million used as one-time funding in Fiscal Year 2011-12.
- Use of Fund Balance — increase of \$0.1 million. A total of \$0.4 million is budgeted to fund a one-time negotiated salary adjustment.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — decrease of \$0.1 million.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013 -14

Increase of \$3.7 million is the result of an increase of \$1.6 million in Salaries and Benefits due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in retirement contributions, and an increase of \$2.1 million in Other Charges for Aid for Adopted Children to align with caseload.



Child Welfare Services

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Child Welfare Services		471.50			485.50	485.50
Foster Care		93.00			94.00	94.00
Adoptions		139.00			138.00	138.00
Total		703.50			717.50	717.50

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Child Welfare Services	\$ 66,906,681	\$ 69,297,401	\$ 69,453,602	\$ 70,130,711	\$ 72,092,486	\$ 73,198,804
Foster Care	145,386,992	174,714,167	169,714,167	138,514,770	161,814,273	164,086,468
Adoptions	14,361,470	14,614,842	14,614,842	14,168,007	14,592,166	14,925,722
Total	\$ 226,655,143	\$ 258,626,410	\$ 253,782,611	\$ 222,813,488	\$ 248,498,925	\$ 252,210,994

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 57,043,197	\$ 59,235,850	\$ 59,235,850	\$ 57,295,068	\$ 60,988,821	\$ 62,605,822
Services & Supplies	31,992,292	31,514,166	31,670,367	31,868,200	32,878,758	32,878,758
Other Charges	137,619,654	167,876,394	162,876,394	133,650,220	154,631,346	156,726,414
Total	\$ 226,655,143	\$ 258,626,410	\$ 253,782,611	\$ 222,813,488	\$ 248,498,925	\$ 252,210,994

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Licenses Permits & Franchises	\$ —	\$ 1,254,000	\$ 1,254,000	\$ 1,146,281	\$ 654,000	\$ 654,000
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	621,052	681,211	681,211	638,240	681,211	681,211
Intergovernmental Revenues	263,875,241	237,698,399	237,698,399	262,107,525	230,913,784	232,850,534
Charges For Current Services	5,309,547	4,796,684	4,796,684	4,010,301	4,615,622	4,615,622
Miscellaneous Revenues	507,784	366,450	366,450	4,758,652	1,791,450	1,791,450
Other Financing Sources	—	—	—	3,565	—	—
Fund Balance Component Decreases	4,431,710	4,000,000	4,000,000	—	—	2,163,314
Use of Fund Balance	(57,629,393)	290,464	(4,553,335)	(59,390,279)	387,995	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	9,539,202	9,539,202	9,539,202	9,539,202	9,454,863	9,454,863
Total	\$ 226,655,143	\$ 258,626,410	\$ 253,782,611	\$ 222,813,488	\$ 248,498,925	\$ 252,210,994





Public Health Services

Department Description

Public Health Services (PHS) promotes wellness, healthy behaviors and access to quality care; prevents injuries, disease, disabilities and epidemics; and protects against environmental hazards, disasters, and other public health threats. PHS seeks to identify and address root causes of priority health issues to achieve health equity among all San Diegans. Providing public health protection for County residents and visitors is a multidisciplinary and collaborative effort, involving other County Groups, as well as the private health care provider network, schools, businesses, communities and individuals.



Mission Statement

To make people's lives healthier, safer and self-sufficient by delivering essential services in San Diego County.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Ensured preventive health examinations were performed to identify and correct health issues for 87% (2,553 of 2,932) of children in out-of-home placement, above target of 85%.
- Expedited California Children Services (CCS) referrals and improved accuracy by receiving 47% (30,732 of 65,379) of referrals electronically, below target of 60% due to unforeseen challenges of bringing on smaller-size providers.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Reduced the spread of disease by investigating 99% (101 of 102) of reported selected communicable disease cases within 24 hours, below target of 100%.
- Prevented transmission of tuberculosis (TB) by ensuring 97% (251 of 259) of cases was reported within one working day from start of treatment, below target of 98%.
- Increased access to health care by connecting 96% (1,276 of 1,333) of new case management clients with an HIV primary care provider within 90 days, below target of 98%.
- Ensured preparedness for response during a disaster or public health threat by activating the public health emergency response system six times during the fiscal year, above target of five.

- Postal Plan Tabletop with federal participation (June 2012)
- Three-day, three-part Golden Guardian 2012 full-scale exercise involving operational areas including Office of Emergency Services and Medical Operations Center activation (May 2012)
- Statewide Medical Health Full Scale Exercise (November 2011)
- Statewide Medical Health Tabletop (October 2011)
- Mass Care Health Tabletop (September 2011)
- Power outage event (September 2011)

2012-14 Objectives

Ensure integration and achievement of *Live Well, San Diego!* strategies to provide the right services, to the right people, at the right time.

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Build a better service delivery system through implementation of programs to protect the health of the public.
 - Reduce spread of disease by investigating 100% (estimate 80) of reported selected communicable disease cases within 24 hours.
 - Prevent transmission of tuberculosis (TB) by ensuring 95% (estimate 260) of cases are reported within one working day from start of treatment.
 - Increase access to health care by connecting 98% (980 of 1,000) of new case management clients with an HIV primary care provider within 90 days.
 - Ensure preparedness for response during a disaster or public health threat by activating the public health emergency response system a minimum of five times.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Build a better service delivery system for vulnerable children.
 - Ensure preventive health examinations are performed to identify and correct health issues for 85% (2,550 of 3,000) of children in out-of-home placement.
 - Expedite California Children Services (CCS) referrals and improve accuracy by receiving 55% (35,750 of 65,000) of referrals electronically.
- Promote positive choices by changing at least one procurement practice within one unified school district to increase fruits and vegetables or decrease sodium content in school meals, part of the Community Transformation Grant activities.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Advance operational excellence by conducting a minimum of six quality improvement projects.

Related Links

For health statistics that describe health behaviors, diseases and injuries for specific populations, health trends and comparison to national targets, go to <http://www.sdhealthstatistics.com/>.

For additional information about the programs offered by the Health and Human Services Agency, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/hhsa/>.

Performance Measures ¹	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Selected communicable diseases cases contacted/investigations initiated within 24 hours ²	100% of 72	100% of 80	99% of 102	100% of 80	100% of 80
TB cases reported to PHS within one working day from start of treatment ²	96% of 216	98% of 200	97% of 259	95% of 260	95% of 260
New clients enrolled with an HIV primary care provider within 90 days ²	98% of 1,046	98% of 1,000	96% of 1,333	98% of 1,000	98% of 1,000
Activation of public health emergency response system for drills, exercises and actual responses	7	5	6	5	5
Children in out-of-home placements who receive preventive health examinations in accordance with CHDP ³ guidelines	87% of 2,976	85% of 3,000	87% of 2,932	85% of 3,000	85% of 3,000
Number of eQuest referrals to CCS	46% of 65,223	60% of 35,000	47% of 65,379	55% ⁴ of 65,000	55% of 65,000

Table Notes

¹ For more Public Health Services performance measures, go to the HHSA Regional Operations section.

² The number of cases listed in the Adopted columns are estimates and are based on an average; numbers may vary from year to year since these measures are based on incidents that have not yet happened and cannot be predicted.

³ CHDP stands for Child Health and Disability Prevention program.

⁴ Effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, the target has been lowered to reflect the challenges of bringing on smaller-sized community partners who face technology hurdles.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Increase of 3.00 staff years.

- Increase of 3.00 staff years due to the transfer of staff from Behavioral Health Services to support frontline activities in Epidemiology, Emergency Medical Services, and Maternal, Child and Family Health Services to promote wellness, healthy behaviors and access to quality care.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$1.9 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$0.7 million due to negotiated labor agreements, an increase in County retirement contributions, and the increase of 3.00 staff years.
- Services and Supplies — decrease of \$2.6 million.
 - Decrease of \$7.3 million in contracts related to the completion of the Communities Putting Prevention to Work (CPPW) Initiative.
 - Decrease of \$0.3 million in contracts funded by federal bioterrorism revenue.
 - Increase of \$1.8 million in contracts related to HIV Prevention and Care services and Minority AIDS Initiative.
 - Increase of \$1.5 million in contracts related to the Community Transformation Grant (CTG).
 - Increase of \$1.3 million in services and professional and specialized services in County Service Areas (CSA) 17 and 69.
 - Increase of \$0.4 million for information technology costs, additional lab services provided to the Sheriff's Department and various other services and supplies.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$1.9 million.

- Intergovernmental Revenues — decrease of \$1.9 million.

- Decrease of \$8.8 million in federal revenue for the CPPW Initiative.
- Decrease of \$0.7 million in federal bioterrorism revenue.
- Decrease of \$0.2 million in State Proposition 99, *Tobacco Tax and Health Protection Act of 1988*, revenue for the Tobacco Control Resource Program.
- Increase of \$2.6 million in federal funding for the Community Transformation Grant (CTG).
- Increase of \$2.5 million in federal HIV Prevention and Care funding and Ryan White revenue for the Minority AIDS Initiative.
- Increase of \$1.3 million in 1991 Realignment revenue.
- Increase of \$0.9 million due to additional revenue for ambulance transport fees (CSAs 17 and 69).
- Increase of \$0.5 million in federal revenue for the Pandemic Flu grant, the Public Health Infrastructure project and for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Education (SNAP-Ed) project.
- Charges for Current Services — increase of \$0.4 million due to additional revenue for nonresident transport fees for CSA 17.
- Miscellaneous Revenues — increase of \$0.2 million in revenues for lab services provided for the Sheriff's Department.
- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$0.6 million. A total of \$0.1 million budgeted to fund one-time negotiated salary adjustments and the Childhood Obesity Initiative.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net increase of \$3.1 million as a result of an increase of \$1.6 million in Salaries and Benefits due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in retirement contributions and an increase of \$1.5 million in Services and Supplies primarily associated with contract in the CSAs, Maternal Child and Family Health and HIV, STD and Hepatitis Branch.



Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Administration and Other Services		26.00			27.00	27.00
Bioterrorism / EMS		50.00			48.00	48.00
Infectious Disease Control		108.25			108.25	108.25
Surveillance		83.00			84.00	84.00
Prevention Services		72.50			75.50	75.50
California Childrens Services		141.00			141.00	141.00
Total		480.75			483.75	483.75

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Administration and Other Services	\$ 4,679,502	\$ 5,025,879	\$ 5,044,402	\$ 4,296,897	\$ 5,476,625	\$ 5,569,773
Bioterrorism / EMS	13,709,548	12,363,187	14,165,237	11,335,469	11,822,371	11,647,852
Infectious Disease Control	26,760,002	26,558,430	27,828,859	27,612,505	28,943,895	29,821,742
Surveillance	11,000,610	11,098,908	11,100,626	10,857,719	11,415,770	12,005,482
Prevention Services	18,707,984	19,665,831	20,041,779	19,248,138	13,837,019	14,682,064
California Childrens Services	20,108,305	19,954,422	19,958,117	19,654,708	19,896,224	20,352,612
Ambulance CSA's - Health & Human Services	8,022,807	8,713,933	9,750,738	8,786,049	10,049,365	10,470,356
Total	\$ 102,988,758	\$ 103,380,590	\$ 107,889,758	\$ 101,791,485	\$ 101,441,269	\$ 104,549,881

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 47,627,685	\$ 49,645,234	\$ 49,645,234	\$ 48,057,486	\$ 50,335,517	\$ 51,960,382
Services & Supplies	48,019,780	49,169,586	53,367,168	48,747,639	46,570,982	48,054,729
Other Charges	5,659,242	4,585,000	4,585,000	5,109,271	4,585,000	4,585,000
Capital Assets Equipment	1,878,328	176,070	487,656	74,808	145,070	145,070
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(196,277)	(195,300)	(195,300)	(197,720)	(195,300)	(195,300)
Total	\$ 102,988,758	\$ 103,380,590	\$ 107,889,758	\$ 101,791,485	\$ 101,441,269	\$ 104,549,881

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Current Property	\$ 1,422,253	\$ 1,626,585	\$ 1,626,585	\$ 1,436,386	\$ 1,602,726	\$ 1,642,726
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	9,820	43,949	43,949	11,248	26,784	26,784
Licenses Permits & Franchises	175,457	157,039	157,039	183,009	179,039	179,039
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	2,166,765	2,263,805	2,263,805	1,914,347	2,263,805	2,263,805
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	38,159	104,000	104,000	29,128	79,000	79,000
Intergovernmental Revenues	85,583,700	85,897,311	87,438,504	87,402,519	84,000,021	84,298,933
Charges For Current Services	6,488,560	7,087,264	8,015,171	6,795,431	7,480,303	8,074,761
Miscellaneous Revenues	1,985,394	870,107	870,107	2,055,989	1,096,303	1,097,520
Other Financing Sources	500,835	500,000	500,000	503,107	500,000	500,000
Fund Balance Component Decreases	—	—	—	—	—	2,251,375
Use of Fund Balance	531,876	744,592	2,784,660	(2,625,617)	127,350	50,000
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	4,085,938	4,085,938	4,085,938	4,085,938	4,085,938	4,085,938
Total	\$ 102,988,758	\$ 103,380,590	\$ 107,889,758	\$ 101,791,485	\$ 101,441,269	\$ 104,549,881





Public Administrator / Public Guardian

Department Description

Public Administrator/Public Guardian provides services to protect and manage the estates of decedents and at-risk individuals who are unable to make decisions for themselves. The Public Administrator (PA) administers estates of persons who die with no will or without an appropriate person to act as an administrator; protects the decedent's property from waste, loss or theft and ensures the estate is administered according to the decedent's wishes. The Public Guardian (PG) serves as the legally appointed guardian or conservator for persons found by the Courts to be unable to take care of themselves or their assets—generally older, frail and vulnerable adults who are at risk or have been a victim of abuse or neglect.

Mission Statement

To make people's lives healthier, safer and self-sufficient by delivering essential services in San Diego County.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Achieved 100% (192) accuracy in mapping of all clients and real property under the purview of PA/PG for emergency planning, response and recovery services, meeting target.
- Ensured 100% (754) of all bank inquiries began within 2 business days to safeguard and protect the assets and resources of PA/PG clients, meeting target.
- Initiated 99% (225 of 226) of conservatorship investigations began within two business days of receiving a referral for conservatorship, as mandated by the Omnibus Conservatorship and Guardianship Act, below target of 100%.

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Completed procurement of Personal Property Auction and Warehouse services which will result in potential future cost savings from no longer needing a warehouse.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Submitted 100% (10) of Inventory and Appraisal of all estate cases in the PG Program to the Court within 90 days of receiving letters of Conservatorship, meeting target.



- Submitted 100% (21) of Inventory and Appraisal of all formal probate cases in the PA Program within 120 days of receiving letters of Administration, meeting target. A formal probate case is an estate valued over \$150,000 and is under the supervision of the court.

Required Discipline – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Certified 100% (19) of Deputy PA/PG staff and supervisors in accordance with the mandated California State PA/PG certification program, meeting target.

2012-14 Objectives

Ensure integration and achievement of *Live Well, San Diego!* strategies to provide the right services, to the right people, at the right time.

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Build a better service delivery system by safeguarding and protecting vulnerable adults from financial, physical and emotional abuse.
 - Ensure that 100% (180) of conservatorship investigations are begun within two business days of referral being assigned to an investigator as mandated by the Omnibus Conservatorship and Guardianship Reform Act.
 - Complete 85% (107 of 127) of face-to-face visits with conservatees living in San Diego County within 30 days of previous date of face-to-face visit.
 - Begin 95% (466 of 491) of all bank inquiries within two business days to safeguard and protect the assets and resources of clients.
 - Submit 100% (10) of Inventory and Appraisal reports of all estate cases in the PG Program within 90 days of receiving letters of conservatorship to the Court.

- Ensure 80% (844 of 1,056) of PA investigations are begun within two business days of a referral being assigned for investigation.
- Submit 100% (20) Inventory and Appraisal reports of all formal probate cases in the PA Program within 120 days of receiving letters of Administration to the Court. A formal probate case is an estate valued over \$150,000 and is under court supervision.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Advance operational excellence of a skilled workforce by maintaining 100% (19) mandated California State PA/PG certification for applicable PA/PG staff in order to improve services to conservatees and families of decedents.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Advance operational excellence through service coordination by completing co-location with the Mental Health Conservator Office to improve coordination of services with shared clients.

Related Links

For additional information on the programs offered by the Health and Human Services Agency, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/hhsa/programs/papg>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Conservatorship investigations begin within 2 business days of referral being assigned	100% of 162	100% of 135	99% of 226	100% of 180	100% of 180
Face to face visits with conservatees completed within 30 days of previous visit ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A	85% of 127	85% of 127
All bank inquiries begin within 2 business days ²	N/A	90% of 491	100% of 754	95% of 491	95% of 491
PG Estate cases submit I&A reports to Probate Court within 90 days of receipt of Letters of Conservatorship	100% of 8	100% of 20	100% of 10	100% of 10	100% of 10
PA investigations begin within 2 days of referral	N/A	N/A	N/A	80% of 1,056	80% of 1,056
PA formal probate cases submit I&A reports to Probate Court within 120 days of receiving Letters of Administration	100% of 17	100% of 20	100% of 21	100% of 20	100% of 20
Deputy PA/PGs certified by California State PA/PG Association	100% of 19	100% of 19	100% of 19	100% of 19	100% of 19
Client and real property accurately mapped	100% of 176	100% of 210	100% of 192	N/A ³	N/A

Table Notes

- ¹ Effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, this is a new measure to reflect strategic priorities.
- ² Effective Fiscal Year 2011-12, this measure has been included to reflect strategic priorities.
- ³ Effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, this measure will no longer be reported in the Operational Plan.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

- Salaries and Benefits: net decrease of \$0.1 million due to savings associated with anticipated vacant positions, partially offset by an increase due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

Revenues

- Revenue From Use of Money & Property — decrease of \$0.06 million due to lower projected interest earnings.
- Intergovernmental Revenue — decrease of \$0.04 million due to a decrease in federal revenue associated with Targeted Case Management.

- Charges for Current Services — decrease of \$0.4 million due to declining estate values.
- Use of Fund Balance — Total budget of \$0.4 million for one-time use to mitigate the projected decline in revenues and the continued reorganization of warehouse functions.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.4 million to mitigate the projected decline in revenues stated previously.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

A net decrease of \$0.4 million is the result of the anticipated reorganization of PA/PG warehouse functions partially offset by an increase in Salaries and Benefits due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in retirement contributions.



Public Administrator / Public Guardian

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Public Administrator/ Guardian		34.00			34.00	34.00
Total		34.00			34.00	34.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Public Administrator/ Guardian	\$ 4,498,351	\$ 4,591,551	\$ 4,591,551	\$ 4,513,622	\$ 4,457,052	\$ 4,052,887
Total	\$ 4,498,351	\$ 4,591,551	\$ 4,591,551	\$ 4,513,622	\$ 4,457,052	\$ 4,052,887

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 3,496,954	\$ 3,538,664	\$ 3,538,664	\$ 3,410,913	\$ 3,404,165	\$ 3,000,000
Services & Supplies	828,014	802,887	802,887	896,438	802,887	802,887
Other Charges	173,382	250,000	250,000	206,272	250,000	250,000
Total	\$ 4,498,351	\$ 4,591,551	\$ 4,591,551	\$ 4,513,622	\$ 4,457,052	\$ 4,052,887

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Licenses Permits & Franchises	\$ 48,966	\$ 46,000	\$ 46,000	\$ 50,566	\$ 46,000	\$ 46,000
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	90,000	100,000	100,000	50,000	40,000	40,000
Intergovernmental Revenues	73,021	35,500	35,500	69,734	—	—
Charges For Current Services	1,100,823	1,272,000	1,272,000	1,098,249	893,838	893,838
Miscellaneous Revenues	30,842	30,000	30,000	39,225	30,000	30,000
Use of Fund Balance	453,034	406,386	406,386	504,184	404,165	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	2,701,665	2,701,665	2,701,665	2,701,665	3,043,049	3,043,049
Total	\$ 4,498,351	\$ 4,591,551	\$ 4,591,551	\$ 4,513,622	\$ 4,457,052	\$ 4,052,887

Administrative Support

Department Description

The Health and Human Services Agency's (HHS) support divisions provide financial, administrative, planning and policy direction to the Agency's regions and divisions. Support divisions include: Financial Services and Support, Human Resources, Management Support, Agency Contract Support, and Agency Executive Office. The activities for compliance, legislation, media and strategy integration can be found within the Agency Executive Office.

Mission Statement

To make people's lives healthier, safer and self-sufficient by delivering essential services in San Diego County.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

Ensure integration and achievement of *Live Well, San Diego!* strategies.

- Building a better service delivery system:
 - Supported successful implementation of *Building Better Health* strategy by identifying areas for integration and innovation by facilitating the development of region-specific community health improvement plans.
 - Improved access to services through increased Web-based referrals from 31% (44,758 of 145,894) to 35% (41,917 of 118,208) of referrals received annually, below target of 40%.
 - Increased efficiency and improved records management through the reduction of records storage space by 9% (from 20,751 to 18,865 boxes), below the target of 10%.
- Promoting positive choices:
 - Educated the public to make positive health choices through numerous press releases, media advisories, and press conferences such as *Tips for Dealing with Holiday Loneliness, Anxiety and Depression* in November 2011 and the *Love Your Heart* campaign in February 2012.
- Pursuing policy and environmental changes that support healthy, safe and thriving communities:



- Proactively pursued a legislative agenda that supported *Live Well, San Diego!* by recommending support positions on bills in alignment with *Live Well, San Diego!*, including bills to expand medical coverage and increase protections for children.
- Improving the culture from within:
 - Engaged employees to embrace and apply the role they play in supporting healthy, safe and thriving residents through participation on the County Wellness Committee which initiated the *10,000 Steps* program and other programs such as “Lunch and Learn” programs on smoking cessation. Monthly email blasts were also sent to Agency employees that covered various health and wellness themes such as depression and mental health, cholesterol awareness, diabetes, and the Great American Smoke Out.
 - Completed a gap analysis during the first phase of an Agency employee succession plan that incorporates advancement of *Live Well, San Diego!*
 - Supported knowledge workers by completing the training of the remaining divisions on how to develop electronic training. The application of knowledge has resulted in activities such as the recent “It’s Up to Us” training to help increase staff understanding of mental illness.
 - Ensured that knowledge of regulations remains current and relevant by providing job specific compliance training to five Agency divisions, meeting target.

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Ensured accountability of public funds by completing 20 comprehensive financially focused compliance reviews of contractors, meeting target.

- The 10% decrease in returned/denied Medicare claims not met due to the temporary loss of subject matter expertise.

Required Discipline – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Tracked, monitored and reported progress in implementing the County Health Strategy: *Building Better Health*. Issued the *2010-2011 Live Well, San Diego! Building Better Health Annual Report* on November 8, 2011, and a status update memo to the Board of Supervisors in spring 2012.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Advanced operational excellence by making data-driven decisions that focus on outcomes for programs such as public assistance and customer service in Family Resource Centers.
- Completed transition of Background Unit to the County Department of Human Resources, streamlining operations.

2012-14 Objectives

Ensure integration and achievement of *Live Well, San Diego!* strategies to provide the right services, to the right people, at the right time.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Build a better service delivery system.
 - Support successful implementation of *Building Better Health* strategy by identifying areas for integration.
 - Increase efficiency and improving records management through the reduction of records storage space by 5% (from 18,865 to 17,921 boxes).
- Promote positive choices by educating the public to make positive choices in physical and mental health.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Regional Leadership

- Advance operational excellence by pursuing policy and environmental changes that support healthy, safe and thriving communities by identifying appropriate legislation that supports *Live Well, San Diego!*

Required Discipline for Excellence - Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Advance operational excellence by monitoring how public funds are spent and the results achieved.
 - Complete 20 comprehensive financially focused compliance review of contractors. A comprehensive financial focused compliance review consists of testing financial material, review of contractor financial systems and controls, and observation of contractor systems, activities and processes. A review can take from one month to nine months to complete.
 - Complete 28 quality assurance reviews of Region/ Divisions to ensure adherence to contracting policies and procedures.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

- Advance operational excellence through technology by supporting improvements in the Agency's technological framework to help the Agency build a better service delivery system.
 - Begin the process of enhancing or replacing legacy information technology (IT) systems so they will be capable of interfacing with the Enterprise Information Exchange (EIE) technology. EIE will allow various IT systems that could not interact to be able to exchange information.
 - Ensure any new IT systems meet criteria for interface with the Enterprise Information Exchange.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Advance operational excellence through a skilled workforce by implementing the second phase of the succession plan to advance the *Live Well, San Diego!* initiative.

Related Links

For additional information on the programs offered by the Health and Human Services Agency, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/hhsa/>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Completed comprehensive fiscal-compliance reviews ¹	22	20	20	20	20
Completed quality assurance reviews ²	N/A	N/A	N/A	28	28
Reduction in records storage space	20% (from 23,893 to 19,114 boxes)	10% ³ (from 19,114 to 17,202 boxes)	9% ³ (from 20,751 to 18,865 boxes)	5% (from 18,865 to 17,921 boxes)	5% (from 18,865 to 17,921 boxes)
Decrease in returned/denied Medicare claims ³	28% (from 11% [158 of 1,417] to 7.9% [36 of 456])	10% ⁴ over Fiscal Year 2010-11	See table note ⁴	N/A ⁶	N/A
Learning Management System (LMS) training capacity among Agency regions and divisions	16 ⁵	5 ⁵	N/A ⁵	N/A ⁶	N/A
Job specific compliance training, via LMS, among Agency regions and divisions	4	5	5	N/A ⁶	N/A
Increase average use of Web-based referral systems	31% of 145,894	40% of 156,000	35% of 118,208	N/A ⁶	N/A

Table Notes

¹ A comprehensive financial focused compliance review consists of testing financial material, review of contractor financial systems and controls, and observation of contractor systems, activities and processes. A review can range from one month to nine months to complete.

² New measure effective Fiscal Year 2012-13.

³ The target for this measure is aligned to required record retention periods.

⁴ The total number of Medicare claims is not known at the beginning of the fiscal year since it is based on events yet to occur. The target decrease of 10% unknown due to the temporary loss of subject matter expertise.

⁵ The increase in capacity among regions and divisions for developing their own electronic training from 4 to 16 was due to the focused efforts of the centralized training staff. This objective was met during the last quarter of Fiscal Year 2010-11. Therefore, the target of increasing capacity is not applicable for Fiscal Year 2011-12.

⁶ Effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, this measure will no longer be reported in the Operational Plan, however, it will be monitored internally.



Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Increase of 4.00 staff years and transfers between divisions based on operational needs.

- Increase of 4.00 staff years in Human Resources to meet increased recruitment and training needs, especially in frontline positions such as eligibility and child welfare services. These 4.00 staff years are the result of 1.00 staff year transferred from Child Welfare Services, 1.00 staff year from Regional Operations, 1.00 staff year from Strategic Planning and Operational Support, and 1.00 staff year from Behavioral Health Services.
- The Agency Executive Office (AEO) has assumed the responsibilities previously assigned to the Office of Health Systems Innovation (OHSI).

Expenditures

Net increase of \$1.7 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$0.3 million due to negotiated labor agreements, an increase in retirement contributions, and the increase of 4.00 staff years.
- Services and Supplies — increase of \$1.3 million.
 - Increase of \$1.0 million in major maintenance to support planned facility projects.
 - Increase of \$0.5 million in information technology associated with increased rates and one-time projects.
 - Increase of \$0.1 million in public liability insurance.
 - Decrease of \$0.3 million in various services and supplies.
- Fund Balance Component Increases – increase of \$0.1 million to commit interest received from sale of Grand Avenue clinic.

Revenues

Net increase of \$1.7 million.

- Revenue From Use of Money & Property – increase of \$0.1 million for interest on sale proceeds of Grand Avenue clinic.
- Intergovernmental Revenues — increase of \$1.5 million in 1991 Realignment revenue and Social Services Administrative revenue.
- Charges for Current Services — increase of \$0.1 million for support services for the First Five Commission.
- Use of Fund Balance — Total budget of \$38.6 million is budgeted for:
 - \$20.0 million in management reserves due to the uncertainty of the economy and the State budget issues.
 - \$5.0 million to transition from any future State enacted cuts.
 - \$5.0 million for technological advancements which support the *Live Well, San Diego!* initiative.
 - \$5.0 million for major maintenance projects.
 - \$2.5 million for the relocations related to the County Operations Center capital project.
 - \$1.0 million to fund one-time information technology costs.
 - \$0.1 million to commit Grand Avenue clinic sale proceeds and to fund one-time negotiated salary adjustments.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net decrease of \$20.5 million is the result of a reduction of \$21.5 million in Services and Supplies due to the elimination of one-time projects from prior year, partially offset by an increase of \$1.0 million in Salaries and Benefits due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in retirement contributions.

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Agency Executive Office		23.00			27.00	27.00
Agency Contract Support		18.00			18.00	18.00
Office of Health Systems Innovation		4.00			—	—
Financial Services Division		155.00			155.00	155.00
Human Resources		72.00			76.00	76.00
Management Support		10.00			10.00	10.00
Proposition 10		21.00			21.00	21.00
Total		303.00			307.00	307.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Agency Executive Office	\$ 5,391,998	\$ 46,151,264	\$ 34,889,271	\$ 6,446,845	\$ 45,881,394	\$ 25,487,622
Agency Contract Support	3,393,719	3,633,770	3,655,354	3,644,001	3,729,099	3,795,385
Office of Health Systems Innovation	225,094	771,829	775,736	840,959	—	—
Financial Services Division	24,749,014	26,559,583	33,627,631	27,056,833	27,833,283	28,277,851
Human Resources	7,685,129	8,074,997	8,131,720	7,784,975	8,851,359	9,075,829
Management Support	13,106,290	11,393,411	11,634,873	11,307,610	11,854,399	10,889,876
Proposition 10	1,966,468	2,231,355	2,231,355	2,254,338	2,333,574	2,420,030
Strategy and Planning Division	6	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$ 56,517,718	\$ 98,816,209	\$ 94,945,939	\$ 59,335,561	\$ 100,483,108	\$ 79,946,593

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 26,867,863	\$ 29,036,624	\$ 29,036,624	\$ 27,948,386	\$ 29,325,970	\$ 30,289,454
Services & Supplies	29,014,814	49,759,985	45,889,715	31,300,704	51,078,738	29,578,739
Capital Assets Equipment	639,726	—	—	86,472	—	—
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(4,685)	—	—	—	—	—
Fund Balance Component Increases	—	19,600	19,600	—	78,400	78,400
Management Reserves	—	20,000,000	20,000,000	—	20,000,000	20,000,000
Total	\$ 56,517,718	\$ 98,816,209	\$ 94,945,939	\$ 59,335,561	\$ 100,483,108	\$ 79,946,593



Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	\$ 19,600	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 78,400	\$ 78,400	\$ 78,400
Intergovernmental Revenues	18,239,840	57,588,671	57,678,671	21,787,831	59,079,725	56,031,376
Charges For Current Services	2,232,258	2,620,568	2,620,568	2,397,101	2,690,353	2,779,278
Miscellaneous Revenues	565,803	26,000	26,000	26,898	26,000	26,000
Other Financing Sources	255,385	—	—	99,332	—	—
Fund Balance Component Decreases	—	—	—	—	—	953,139
Use of Fund Balance	35,204,833	38,580,970	34,620,700	34,945,998	38,608,630	20,078,400
Total	\$ 56,517,718	\$ 98,816,209	\$ 94,945,939	\$ 59,335,561	\$ 100,483,108	\$ 79,946,593

County of San Diego

Land Use and Environment Group

Land Use and Environment Group Summary & Executive Office	239
Agriculture, Weights and Measures	245
Air Pollution Control District	253
Environmental Health	259
Farm and Home Advisor	267
Parks and Recreation	273
Planning and Land Use	281
Public Works	289

Land Use and Environment Group & Executive Office

Group Description

Public health, safety and environmental protection are the goals of the Land Use and Environment Group (LUEG). LUEG encourages sustainable development, preserves and enhances the environment, provides recreational opportunities, constructs and maintains critical roadway infrastructure, improves air and water quality and enforces local, state and federal laws that protect the public's health, safety and quality of life.

LUEG Departments

- Agriculture, Weights & Measures
- Air Pollution Control District
- Environmental Health
- Farm and Home Advisor
- Parks and Recreation
- Planning and Land Use
- Public Works


Mission Statement

The Land Use and Environment Group protects the health and safety of residents and the environment in which they live by unifying the County's efforts in land use, environmental protection and preservation, recreation and infrastructure development and maintenance.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Protect the health of residents.

- Responded to 100% (13) of reports from the County Department of Public Health Services of elevated blood lead levels in children within 24 hours to two weeks, depending on the blood lead level reported.
- Conducted three outreach presentations related to safe food management and permit requirements to food facility operators with the goal of improving food management practices and decreasing the number of unpermitted businesses.
- Reduced schoolchildren's exposure to both cancer-causing and smog-forming air pollution by expending the State's Lower-Emission School Bus Program funding to retrofit or replace 200 older, higher emitting buses operating in the County.



- Helped prevent vector-borne disease by providing education to over 10,000 children on mosquito diseases and control awareness, trapping rodents at port of entry sites, sampling for the presence of Hantavirus for which 44 instances were positive, and sampling over 90 locations to detect bacteria that pose a risk to human health.
- Promoted fresh and healthy dietary options for county residents through farmers' market certification (47 issued/amended), inspections (97) and outreach (2).

Protect public safety.

- Met the Hazardous Incident Response Team goal of being on the scene of hazardous incident they are dispatched to within 60 minutes over 90% of the time. The team averaged a 98.4% on time arrival rate.
- Increased volunteer patrol hours by 130% (from 2,962 to 6,808 hours) to assist in creating safer parks and preserves by providing extra security for park users.
- Prepared four road reviews to evaluate County roads with higher than statewide average collision rates and recommended implementation measures to help reduce the number of collisions.
- Reduced risks to lives by ensuring buildings and improvements were designed and constructed in accordance with building safety codes – over 23,000 building inspections were conducted.

Protect the environment.

- Continued to partner with prosecutors and other regulatory agencies to enforce compliance with hazardous waste, hazardous materials and underground storage tanks laws when cooperative and educational efforts fail. As part of this effort, two inspectors attended the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center's advanced environmental crimes multi-jurisdictional training.



- Acquired 474 acres within the Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) areas, exceeding the goal of 375 acres. The MSCP streamlines the development process and facilitates the creation of viable permanent open space preserves.
- Performed over 11,000 stormwater inspections (goal was 8,000) during the construction phase on private development projects to ensure compliance with the State's requirements, reduce erosion and minimize downstream pollutants.
- Completed 11 oil collection events - recycling 97,779 gallons of used oil and 30,742 used oil filters (goal was 90,000 gallons and 13,000 used oil filters) and completed five tire collection events (goal was four) – recycling 5,211 tires.
- Protected the health and safety of the region's \$1.65 billion agricultural industry and its customers from damaging exotic insects, diseases and noxious non-native weeds.

Encourage sustainable development.

- Promoted green building, including sustainable building practices, renewable energy and energy efficiency through economic incentives such as reduced fees and fee waivers.
- Improved energy and water efficiency in 12 (goal was 8) department facilities by native landscaping, retrofitting or installing new water- and energy-efficient equipment, acquiring electric vehicles and, replacing older vehicles with energy-efficient vehicles, to reduce water and energy usage.
- Acquired, preserved and enhanced significant natural and historical/cultural resources and designed, developed and operated park facilities in an environmentally responsible and efficient manner that promoted resource sustainability.

Protect consumers.

- Conducted 30 spot inspections to verify that produce advertised as "organic" was registered and certified as organic to ensure food quality, safety and integrity.
- Ensured that consumers are charged the correct price by conducting three outreach presentations to educate local merchants and retailers about price accuracy compliance.
- Increased the number of undercover residential fumigation inspections from 6 to 47 to increase enforcement presence where violations affect public health and worker safety.

Protect and prepare residents for natural disasters.

- Ensured proposed development meets wildfire setback requirements and conforms to fire safe codes approved by fire agencies. This will protect new homes as well as existing communities.
- Mitigated fire fuel hazards and maintained fire safe zones in 44 (goal was 24) park facilities through continued implementation of fuel management practices.
- Reduced risks of wildfire by collaborating with the San Diego County Fire Authority in the application of land use policies that promote development that mitigates wildfire impacts.
- Implemented the 2011 Consolidated Fire Code, which consolidated the fire codes of the 16 individual fire districts and the San Diego County Fire Authority - County Service Area 135.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Continued to implement process improvements to streamline the land development process to reduce processing costs and time for customers as well as the County.

Required Discipline – Information Technology

- Developed new online tools that will streamline business processes and improve customer service.
- Continued implementation of the Business Case Management System – Accela (BCMS) automation program. BCMS facilitates a collaborative environment that empowers the residents and the County by increasing accessibility and transparency of information and enables the Land Use and Environment Group's business processes to deliver high value quality services in the most efficient and timely manner.
- Used data collected through BCMS to pinpoint problem areas causing increased health and safety risks and to maximize resources and focus on solutions and methods of prevention. One example is using the data from nursery inspections to determine import and export trends and to analyze common violations and tailor outreach efforts to prevent future violations.

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Managed budget and staffing levels while balancing economic fluctuations with fiscal and customer service needs through cross-training staff, reengineering assessments and using overflow contracts for peak work periods.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Ensured customer satisfaction with the core services provided by the various staff as key indicators of the department operational performance. Indicators include customer wait time and development project processing cycle times. Customer service will be solution oriented, responsive and promote clear communication.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Provide safe and accessible parks and preserves, foster innovative programs and initiatives that promote government agency partnerships and community involvement and enhance emergency communications and preparedness.
- Complete a new GIS application for damage assessment reporting during disasters. The new application will increase reporting frequency and thus reduce the time the County must wait for information on disaster impacts.
- Complete an environmental analysis of the Proposed Eye Gnat Program and revised County Ordinance to support an Eye Gnat Program for Board of Supervisors approval and adoption.
- Ensure food quality safety and integrity, and verify that produce advertised as “organic” is registered and certified as organic by increasing organic spot inspections by 33% to 40 inspections.
- Inspect 20 miles of targeted sewer mains and clean 390 miles of sanitary sewer collector mains to protect public health and the environment by minimizing the risk of sanitary sewer overflows.

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Promote green building, including sustainable building practices, renewable energy and energy efficiency through economic incentives such as reduced fees and fee waivers.
- Work on the Property Specific Requests for a General Plan Amendment.
- Protect a sustainable watershed via outreach, education, inspections and the development of plans to meet bacteria levels in accordance with the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board.
- Protect water quality and promote water conservation via workshops and the deployment of water kiosks throughout the agricultural community and at various annual events.

- Acquire, develop and maintain facilities that support and promote park stewardship and environmental sustainability and efficiency.
- Design and initiate construction on at least seven road and road-related infrastructure improvement projects that enhance the transportation network’s long-term sustainability.
- Protect residential gardens and commercial produce from insidious pest infestations and avoid the widespread use of pesticides against new pests by placing and maintaining traps designed for early pest detection.
- Create new permit requirements by June 2013 to incorporate the recently adopted Rule 66.1, *Miscellaneous Surface Coating Operations*, for coating operations thereby reducing volatile organic compound emissions.
- Develop a database and methodology to tabulate probable causative occurrences leading to both total and fecal coliform water sample failures for small drinking water systems. This information can be provided to system owners and operators as guidance for ways to reduce water quality violations.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Develop and enhance park patron experience and promote healthy lifestyles by increasing recreational opportunities and educational programs.
- Provide nutrition education to low-income families emphasizing healthy nutrition practices and food safety.
- Complete an implementation plan and draft changes to the County Ordinance to institute a letter grading system similar to the one used in restaurants for mobile food facilities that prepare food.
- Repaint and re-mark crosswalks and roadway legends adjacent to all 121 public schools and 12 private school locations throughout the unincorporated area of the County.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Implement Board of Supervisors directed recommendations to improve the land development process for customers. This includes streamlining the land development process to reduce processing costs and time for customers as well as the County.



- Develop procedures and implement a program to scan and enter emission inventory summaries into BCMS at the facility level by June 2013 to increase staff efficiency in determining New Source Review requirements and facility compliance status.
- Increase operational efficiency by transitioning the Pesticide Regulation Program enforcement response determination document into BCMS. This document is used to track the action taken when a violation is found.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Promote and make customer service a top priority by developing and providing customer service training, emphasizing customer focus in all management and section meetings and instructing staff on good communication skills with the public and regulated community.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

- Enhance customer service by providing Web access to allow customers to perform file reviews without coming to County offices.
- Expand the mobile workforce capabilities for building inspectors and code enforcement through new systems that enable real-time access to permit data from mobile devices used by field inspectors.
- Reduce payment processing time and cost by implementing bar coding of registration invoices sent to customers who have point of sale systems to facilitate faster processing of the payments.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Regional Leadership

- Administer and participate in meetings of the federal San Diego/Tijuana Air Quality Task Force to identify and reduce air pollution problems in the border region in order to better protect public health and the environment.
- Develop standard operating procedures and fact sheets to provide guidance on how to collaborate with other agencies to collect and sample illegally disposed hazardous waste from businesses with multiple loca-

tions across the State. These procedures will be available for use by the other Unified Program Agency's throughout the State.

Related Links

For additional information about the Land Use and Environment Group, refer to the website at <http://www.co.sandiego.ca.us/lueg/index.html>.

Executive Office Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$0.6 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net decrease of \$0.7 million due to a reduction in salary adjustments for temporary help.
- Services and Supplies — net increase of \$1.2 million due to the rebudgeting of various information technology projects as a result of delays in implementation.

Revenues

Net increase of \$0.6 million.

- Charges for Current Services — increase of \$0.2 million to align the budget with anticipated revenues.
- Use of Fund Balance — net increase of \$0.3 million. A total of \$2.4 million is budgeted for various information technology projects and consulting services.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — net increase of \$0.1 million for increases in retirement contributions and cost of living increases in Salaries and Benefits.

Executive Office Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

A net decrease of \$2.2 million is primarily due to the anticipated completion of one-time funded projects.

Group Staffing by Department

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Land Use and Environment Executive Office	10.00	10.00	10.00
Agriculture, Weights and Measures	150.00	153.00	153.00
Air Pollution Control District	146.00	146.00	146.00
Environmental Health	282.00	281.00	281.00
Farm and Home Advisor	3.00	2.00	2.00
Parks and Recreation	175.00	175.00	175.00
Planning and Land Use	164.00	160.00	160.00
Public Works	526.00	524.00	524.00
Total	1,456.00	1,451.00	1,451.00

Group Expenditures by Department

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Land Use and Environment Executive Office	\$ 3,855,874	\$ 6,248,612	\$ 6,515,604	\$ 5,048,144	\$ 6,840,090	\$ 4,641,447
Agriculture, Weights and Measures	17,549,309	19,311,073	19,649,876	18,078,494	19,019,994	18,700,640
Air Pollution Control District	35,997,811	45,088,021	60,722,070	39,956,096	44,274,271	45,179,512
Environmental Health	37,629,562	47,848,861	48,519,018	37,738,207	46,123,629	42,983,376
Farm and Home Advisor	967,857	853,058	855,140	847,845	853,058	853,058
Parks and Recreation	35,909,825	33,807,366	44,283,173	36,349,659	33,750,950	32,545,326
Planning and Land Use	27,588,064	33,503,037	35,342,654	26,500,639	29,450,365	22,570,525
Public Works	176,827,605	232,300,012	384,134,164	262,355,161	212,570,652	191,751,886
Total	\$ 336,325,909	\$ 418,960,040	\$ 600,021,699	\$ 426,874,245	\$ 392,883,009	\$ 359,225,770



Land Use and Environment Group & Executive Office

Executive Office Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Land Use and Environment Executive Office	10.00	10.00	10.00
Total	10.00	10.00	10.00

Executive Office Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Land Use and Environment Executive Office	\$ 3,855,874	\$ 6,248,612	\$ 6,515,604	\$ 5,048,144	\$ 6,840,090	\$ 4,641,447
Total	\$ 3,855,874	\$ 6,248,612	\$ 6,515,604	\$ 5,048,144	\$ 6,840,090	\$ 4,641,447

Executive Office Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 1,571,827	\$ 2,887,497	\$ 2,637,497	\$ 1,459,532	\$ 2,234,626	\$ 2,267,232
Services & Supplies	2,284,047	3,361,115	3,878,107	3,588,612	4,605,464	2,374,215
Total	\$ 3,855,874	\$ 6,248,612	\$ 6,515,604	\$ 5,048,144	\$ 6,840,090	\$ 4,641,447

Executive Office Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Charges For Current Services	\$ 564,021	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 793,081	\$ 800,000	\$ 600,000
Use of Fund Balance	(144,959)	2,080,000	2,346,992	686,451	2,355,000	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	3,436,812	3,568,612	3,568,612	3,568,612	3,685,090	4,041,447
Total	\$ 3,855,874	\$ 6,248,612	\$ 6,515,604	\$ 5,048,144	\$ 6,840,090	\$ 4,641,447

Agriculture, Weights & Measures

Department Description

The Department of Agriculture, Weights and Measures (AWM) protects public health, the environment and local agriculture by enforcing laws and regulations, educating the public, and partnership with industry.

AWM:

- Ensures the safe use of pesticides and investigates illnesses.
- Inspects eggs for defects to prevent food-borne illnesses.
- Prevents the establishment of pests that require pesticide controls, sting or severely injure people, and inhibit growing fresh, nutritious fruits, vegetables and other plants.
- Promotes the use of effective biocontrol measures.
- Regulates organic growers, certified producers and certified farmers' markets to allow local marketing of fresh commodities.
- Ensures accurate net quantity of packaged goods and accuracy of commercial weighing, measuring and scanning devices.
- Protects people from injury and disease caused by wildlife.

Mission Statement

Ensure the health and safety of all residents by promoting the sustainability of agriculture and protecting the environment. Protect consumers and ensure a fair marketplace by verifying products are sold by accurate weights, measures and prices.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Protected the health and safety of the region's \$1.65 billion agricultural industry and its customers from damaging exotic insects, diseases and noxious non-native weeds.
 - Used American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) grant funds for the treatment and removal of invasive weeds at each of the 55 known sites.
 - Protected the environment by ensuring early detection of European Grapevine Moth, a devastating pest of grapevines. Staff placed and maintained 100% of



traps in commercial vineyards adjacent to current trap sites during the high activity season (April – October).

- Coordinated with industry, State and federal agencies in conducting regulatory activities required by the Light Brown Apple Moth quarantine, ensuring that shipments of local agricultural commodities continued without disruption. A voluntary compliance program was offered to industry to prevent shipping delays in the event of a future quarantine.
- Protected residential gardens and commercial produce from insidious pest infestations and avoided the widespread use of pesticides against new pests by placing and maintaining traps designed for early pest detection.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Conducted 30 Organic Spot Inspections to verify that produce advertised as “organic” was registered and certified as organic to ensure food quality, safety and integrity.
- Created an informational flyer on Standards Enforcement requirements for businesses using commercial scales, meters and/or point-of-sale systems. The flyer was sent to all city licensing departments within the county, improving awareness among retailers of the laws that regulate these types of businesses.
- Promoted fresh and healthy dietary options for county residents through farmers' market certification (47 issued/amended), inspections (97) and outreach (2).
- Conducted three outreach presentations to educate local merchants about price accuracy compliance to ensure consumers are charged the correct price at retail businesses.



- Enhanced health and safety through increased enforcement presence where violations affect public health and worker safety by increasing of undercover residential fumigation inspections from 6 to 47.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Improved efficiency by conducting Business Process Reengineering in the Plant Health and Pest Prevention program and implementing a new business process that eliminated 90% (18) of redundancies, exceeding the goal of 77%. The goal to cut steps by 33% and delays by 50%, is still in process; the department hired a consultant who will complete the required IT modifications during Fiscal Year 2012-13.
- Developed and implemented a plan to functionally thread clerks between programs to maximize staffing resources and meet each program's seasonal needs.
- Conducted personalized training for County Integrated Pest Management Coordinators on the least toxic methods of pest control. The training built upon last year's training using information stations and surveyed participants to determine individual instruction needs prior to providing the training.

Required Discipline – Essential Infrastructure

- Improved customer service by consolidating programs to one central location at the remodeled Hazard Way Topaz buildings within the County Operations Center.

Required Discipline – Information Technology

- Implemented bar coding of device registration invoices for faster payment processing.
- Increased efficiency and reduced data entry time for County staff by providing three outreach presentations and one-on-one training to industry promoting the new statewide online pesticide use reporting system.
- Used data collected departmentwide through the Business Case Management System – Accela (BCMS), to pinpoint problem areas causing increased health and safety risks and to deploy resources that focused on solutions and methods of prevention.
 - Plant Health and Pest Prevention managers used data collected from nursery inspections to determine export activities and import trending.
 - Standards Enforcement staff analyzed common violations to tailor outreach efforts and recommend solutions to businesses to prevent future violations.
- Leveraged the Phytosanitary Certificate Issuance and Tracking (PCIT) system, a Web-based system used to issue export certificates for agricultural commodities and collect certificate fees, to allow customers to pay

these fees electronically and access shipment records any time day or night. The percentage of plant certification payments collected electronically using PCIT increased from 35% to 90%, resulting in streamlined fiscal process for both the department and customers.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Protect residential gardens and commercial produce from insidious pest infestations and avoid the widespread use of pesticides against new pests by placing and maintaining traps designed for early pest detection.
- Increase return on investment and leverage funds available for invasive weed infestations by focusing on locations where maximum effectiveness can be achieved.
- Ensure consumer confidence and equity in the marketplace by performing 60 undercover test sales of California Redemption Value (CRV) beverage containers.
- Improve efficiency by implementing changes to the Snail Master Permit Export Program, which allows qualified nurseries to ship “at will” to states with snail restrictions without additional inspections or fees, reducing total shipping fees paid by growers for domestic export certificates by 30%.
- Ensure agricultural commodities meet international shipping requirements by continuing cropland trapping for Light Brown Apple Moths until current restrictions are lifted.

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Protect public safety on 50% of County roads (or roads in the unincorporated areas of the county) with weed control which will increase visibility and decrease fire hazards.
- Ensure food quality, safety and integrity, and verify that produce advertised as “organic” is registered and certified as organic by increasing organic spot inspections by 33% to 40 inspections.
- Increase agricultural worker knowledge and safety when working in pesticide treated fields by providing three educational outreach presentations.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Improve efficiency and accountability while streamlining the investigation processing function in the Pesticide Regulation Program by conducting Business Process Reengineering.

- Increase operational efficiency by transitioning the Pesticide Regulation Program enforcement response determination document into the BCMS. This document is used to track the action taken when a violation is found.
- Increase efficiency during quarantines and ability to locate high priority host plants by migrating current pest detection mapping grid to the new statewide mapping grid by June 2013.
- Improve efficiency and field staff access to information by electronically digitizing 50% of all host fruit locations for urban pest detection trapping by June 2013.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

- Reduce payment processing time and cost by implementing bar coding of registration invoices sent to customers who have point of sale systems to facilitate faster processing of the payments.

Related Links

For additional information about Agriculture, Weights and Measures, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/awm/>.



Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Plant and insect samples diagnosed within two weeks of submission	100% of 31,811	100% of 20,000	100% of 30,985 ¹	100% of 31,000 ¹	100% of 31,000 ¹
Protect San Diego agriculture by:					
— Plant shipments certified by Pierce’s Disease Control Program that arrive at destination with no viable life stages of the Glassy-Winged Sharpshooter ²	100% of 2,356	100% of 2,700	100% of 2,744	100% of 2,700	100% of 2,700
Pesticide illness investigations completed within State guidelines of 120 days	79% of 61	100% of 85	77% of 66 ³	100% of 85	100% of 85
Annual fumigation inspections	100% of 260	100% of 260	100% of 260	100% of 312	100% of 312
Number of initial and new installations annual inspections for these registered retail devices:					
— Fuel meters inspected/installed	100% of 20,471	100% of 19,453	100% of 18,909	100% of 18,909	100% of 18,909
—Taximeters inspected	100% of 1,363	100% of 1,382	100% of 1,410	100% of 1,427	100% of 1,427
— Water dispensers inspected	100% of 1,651	90% of 1,458	100% of 1,467	90% of 1,477	90% of 1,477
— Computing scales inspected	100% of 6,572	90% of 5,881	100% of 5,988	90% of 5,987	90% of 5,987
— Counter scales inspected	100% of 592	80% of 743	90% of 663	80% of 663	80% of 663

Table Notes

¹ Increase from estimated 20,000 to 31,000 is due to continued Light Brown Apple Moth and Asian Citrus Pysllid pest monitoring at quarantine compliance levels still in effect.

² Glassy-Winged Sharpshooter is a vector Pierce’s Disease, which is fatal to grapevines.

³ Fifteen investigations required more than 120 days to complete due to greater complexity, lack of cooperation and/or delays by external parties, and new program personnel learning curves. There were a total of 131 investigations in Fiscal Year 2011-12 including “Priority” and “Other” categories that were not required to be completed in 120 days.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

An increase of 3.00 staff years in the Agricultural Standards program for increased standardization and quality control inspections and for coordination with various agencies including the Sheriff on agricultural theft.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$0.3 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$0.26 million is primarily due to the increase of 3.00 staff years as described above.
- Services and Supplies — decrease of \$0.55 million is primary due to a reduction of information technology equipment purchases, the completion of several report scripts related to the BCMS, and one-time expenses related to routine maintenance of facilities.
- Other Charges — net decrease of \$0.02 million is due to reduced expenditures associated with the Fish and Wildlife Advisory Fund as a result of declining fund balance and revenue from fines.
- Capital Assets Equipment — net increase of \$0.01 million due to the procurement and installation of spray equipment for a recently acquired Polaris all-terrain vehicle that allows pest management technicians off-road access to spray weeds.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$0.3 million.

- Licenses, Permits & Franchises — increase of \$0.16 million in plant export certification fees.

- Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties — increase of \$0.07 million to align with actual levels of revenue received.
- Intergovernmental Revenues — net decrease of \$0.15 million includes a decrease in the following grant revenue: invasive weed grant revenue of \$0.05 million, federal pest prevention grant revenue of \$0.15 million, and the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act grant revenue for removal of invasive weeds of \$0.08 million partially offset by an increase of \$0.1 million in Unclaimed Gas Tax and \$0.03 million in pesticide use report revenue.
- Charges for Current Services — net increase of \$0.03 million due to services provided for the treatment of invasive weeds under the Environmental Mitigation Program and in flood control areas in special districts.
- Use of Fund Balance — net decrease of \$0.45 million. A total of \$0.4 million of Land Use and Environment Group fund balance is rebudgeted for one-time funding related to the BCMS.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — net increase of \$0.04 million due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net decrease of \$0.3 million is primarily due to the anticipated completion of one-time information technology projects of \$0.8 million, which is partially offset by an increase of \$0.5 million in negotiated labor agreements and County retirement contributions.



■ ■ ■ Agriculture, Weights & Measures

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Agriculture, Weights and Measures		150.00			153.00	153.00
Total		150.00			153.00	153.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Agriculture, Weights and Measures	\$ 17,539,100	\$ 19,274,073	\$ 19,612,876	\$ 18,064,796	\$ 19,001,994	\$ 18,682,640
Fish and Wildlife Fund	10,209	37,000	37,000	13,699	18,000	18,000
Total	\$ 17,549,309	\$ 19,311,073	\$ 19,649,876	\$ 18,078,494	\$ 19,019,994	\$ 18,700,640

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 13,678,554	\$ 14,766,967	\$ 14,766,967	\$ 14,265,700	\$ 15,031,498	\$ 15,547,676
Services & Supplies	3,816,517	4,504,606	4,717,391	3,689,631	3,955,671	3,130,139
Other Charges	17,865	39,500	88,219	66,928	22,000	22,000
Capital Assets Equipment	53,063	—	77,299	76,158	10,825	825
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(16,691)	—	—	(19,923)	—	—
Total	\$ 17,549,309	\$ 19,311,073	\$ 19,649,876	\$ 18,078,494	\$ 19,019,994	\$ 18,700,640

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Licenses Permits & Franchises	\$ 3,945,572	\$ 3,522,500	\$ 3,522,500	\$ 3,814,301	\$ 3,687,000	\$ 3,687,000
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	166,023	16,250	16,250	198,259	91,000	91,000
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	52	—	—	37	—	—
Intergovernmental Revenues	9,477,960	9,295,223	9,295,223	9,513,623	9,145,715	9,145,715
Charges For Current Services	411,014	372,258	372,258	445,629	399,258	399,258
Miscellaneous Revenues	120,394	49,560	49,560	27,403	47,850	47,850
Other Financing Sources	4,415	—	—	—	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	(1,603,742)	857,523	1,196,326	(1,118,518)	410,000	2,000
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	5,027,619	5,197,759	5,197,759	5,197,759	5,239,171	5,327,817
Total	\$ 17,549,309	\$ 19,311,073	\$ 19,649,876	\$ 18,078,494	\$ 19,019,994	\$ 18,700,640



Air Pollution Control District

Department Description

The Air Pollution Control District (APCD) protects people and the environment from the harmful effects of air pollution. Air quality is continuously monitored throughout the San Diego Air Basin and programs are developed to achieve clean air through reduced air pollutant emissions. The APCD issues permits that limit air pollution, adopts regulations, ensures adherence to air pollution control laws and administers grants and funds used to reduce regional mobile source air pollutant emissions through incentive programs.



Mission Statement

To protect the public from the harmful effects of air pollution, achieve and maintain air quality standards, foster community involvement, and develop and implement cost effective programs meeting state and federal mandates, while considering environmental and economic impacts.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Reduced schoolchildren's exposure to both cancer-causing and smog-forming air pollution by expending State Lower-Emission School Bus Program funding. Over the life of the program 240 older, higher emitting buses operating in the region have been replaced or retrofitted.

Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Proposed a new rule and proposed amendments to four existing rules for consideration by the Air Pollution Control Board for compliance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Rule, which applies Clean Air Act permitting programs to major stationary sources of greenhouse gas emissions.
- Attained the 1997 EPA 8-hour ozone standard, eliminating the requirement for an updated Ozone State Implementation Plan (SIP).
- Implemented "through-the-probe," which is a more current and updated mechanism to audit the Air Quality Monitoring Network. This measurement was recommended by the EPA to increase the accuracy of all pollutant measurements.

- In order to simplify the interpretation of air quality data for the public, the currently available air quality concentration levels posted in the District's website will be replaced by corresponding Air Quality Index (AQI) values, a more familiar measurement, by June 2013. This will give the public a better understanding of the effect of air quality on one's health.
- Reduced air pollution emissions and health risk from freight movement by utilizing State Goods Movement Emission Reduction Program funding. This will reduce emissions by awarding grants to equipment owners to retrofit, repower, or replace 300 older, higher emitting heavy-duty diesel trucks.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Created new permit requirements for over 900 impacted permits to incorporate National Emission Standards for Hazardous Pollutants for coating and stripping operations thereby reducing emissions toxic air contaminants.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Updated 70% of the District's application forms to reflect data needs of current rules and regulations, standardized formats and incorporated new data requirements needed for new regulations and rule changes.
- Prepared engineering evaluation templates for seven equipment types for incorporation into the Business Case Management System – Accela (BCMS), an IT system which centralizes records management and application processes. The templates will streamline the engineering evaluation process by standardizing data presentation, emission estimation techniques and rule analyses.

- Streamlined the District's internal contracting process for award of mobile source incentive grant funds. Implementation of this streamlined process allows faster disbursement of grant awards resulting in quicker project completion and realization of emission benefits.

Required Discipline – Regional Leadership

- Administered and participated in meetings of the federal San Diego/Tijuana Air Quality Task Force, which was formed to help identify and reduce air pollution problems in the border region in order to better protect public health and the environment.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- By June 30, 2014, implement a “near-road” air quality monitoring station that meets EPA requirements to assess the impact of mobile sources of emissions.
- Request a redesignation from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for the County of San Diego's attainment of the 1997 federal 8-hour ozone standard and submit an Ozone Maintenance Plan that demonstrates how the region will maintain compliance with this standard for the next 10 years.
- Create new permit requirements for all affected existing permits by June 2013, to incorporate recently adopted Rule 66.1 for coating operations thereby reducing volatile organic compound emissions.
- In order to simplify the interpretation of air quality data for the public, the currently available air quality concentration levels posted on the District's website will be

replaced by corresponding Air Quality Index (AQI) values, a more familiar measurement, by June 2013. This multi-year program will give the public a better understanding of air quality's health effects.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Implement a gaseous air quality monitoring precision checking system that replaces the current labor-intensive system with an automated calibration system, thereby improving District efficiency and lowering staff costs.
- Develop procedures and implement a program to scan and enter emissions inventory summaries into the BCMS at the facility level by June 2013 to increase staff efficiency in determining New Source Review requirements and facility compliance status.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Regional Leadership

- Administer and participate in meetings of the federal San Diego/Tijuana Air Quality Task Force to identify and reduce air pollution problems in the border region in order to better protect public health and the environment.

Related Links

For additional information about the Air Pollution Control District, refer to the website at <http://www.sdapcd.org/index.html>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Scheduled equipment /facilities inspections completed ¹	100% of 8,462	100% of 7,400	100% of 7,400	100% of 7,400	100% of 7,400
All citizen complaints investigated and contact made within two business days of reported complaint. ²	100% of 555	100% of 515	100% of 545	100% of 545	100% of 545
Annual number of low-polluting vehicle incentive projects completed under the mobile source incentive programs.	292 ³	200	314	300	200
Valid ozone data collection per year ⁴	93% of data	90% of data	90% of data	90% of data	90% of data

Table Notes

¹ Indicates the completion levels for inspections of air contaminant emitting equipment/facilities. Target based on commitments established with the State Air Resources Board.

² The majority of complaints from the public are due to dust-related pollution from construction and visible emissions and 100% of public complaints are investigated. APCD responds to complaints the same day if a complaint is received during the business day and will respond to all complaints received within two business days (previously referenced as 24 hours).

APCD cannot control the number of complaints about the environment received from the public and the resulting cases to investigate but sets targets for the percentage of complaints that are responded to in a timely manner and thoroughly investigated as a measure of internal department performance standards. The average number of complaints per year over the past three years is 545.

³ Indicates the number of projects completed under the mobile source emission reduction programs in a specific fiscal year. Funded projects may take up to three years to complete. The State suspended funding of the Goods Movement Emission Reduction and School Bus programs effective December 2008. The State reinstated funding for these programs in 2009 and 2010. As a result, completed projects increased in Fiscal Years 2010-11 and 2011-12. The anticipated decrease in total completed projects shown for Fiscal Year 2013-14 is a result of the Lower-Emission School Bus program ending and anticipated completion of prior year projects.

⁴ The EPA requires 75% data capture to designate valid data. APCD exceeded this goal by more than 20% in Fiscal Year 2010-11 and has a target goal of 90% of data in Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14.



Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$0.8 million

- Salaries and Benefits — net decrease of \$0.3 million due to salary adjustments to reflect vacant and under-filled positions partially offset by negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.
- Services and Supplies — net decrease of \$0.3 million associated with the decrease in purchase of IT equipment, fleet maintenance and interdepartmental costs offset by increases in costs for IT services and discretionary facility maintenance.
- Other Charges — net decrease of \$0.8 million due to completion of mobile source emission incentive programs.
- Capital Assets Equipment — net increase of \$0.1 million for replacement of older vehicles.
- Fund Balance Component Increases — net increase of \$0.3 million due to increased reserves for building maintenance and replacement.
- Operating Transfers Out — net increase of \$0.2 million due to an increase in anticipated administrative costs for incentive projects and to support operations.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$0.8 million

- Licenses, Permits & Franchises — net decrease of \$0.3 million due to aligning the budget with prior year actuals and recategorization of emissions fees, offset by an increase due to approved increases in fees.
- Fines, Forfeitures and Penalties — increase of \$0.1 million to align with anticipated revenues.
- Intergovernmental Revenues — decrease of \$1.2 million due to completion of mobile source emissions incentives programs
- Charges For Current Services — increase of \$0.3 million due to the recategorization of emissions fees and increased revenues from monitoring portable equipment.
- Miscellaneous Revenues — decrease of \$0.2 million due to the elimination of IT-related fees.
- Other Financing Sources — increase of \$0.2 million due to an increase in anticipated administrative costs for incentive projects and to support operations.
- Use of Fund Balance — increase of \$0.3 million. A total of \$1.5 million is primarily budgeted for increased reserves for building maintenance and replacement

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

A net increase of \$0.9 million due to the anticipated reclassification of staff, staffing associated with Greenhouse Gas regulations, negotiated labor agreements and as increase in County retirement contributions. This increase is offset by anticipated revenues from permits and regulatory activities.

Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Air Pollution Control District Programs	146.00	146.00	146.00
Total	146.00	146.00	146.00

Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Air Pollution Control District Programs	\$ 35,997,811	\$ 45,088,021	\$ 60,722,070	\$ 39,956,096	\$ 44,274,271	\$ 45,179,512
Total	\$ 35,997,811	\$ 45,088,021	\$ 60,722,070	\$ 39,956,096	\$ 44,274,271	\$ 45,179,512

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 14,395,649	\$ 16,029,775	\$ 16,072,210	\$ 15,097,199	\$ 15,752,978	\$ 16,658,219
Services & Supplies	3,999,585	4,506,095	4,374,171	3,997,194	4,170,053	4,170,053
Other Charges	10,213,405	16,321,543	31,769,080	13,418,540	15,525,013	15,172,578
Capital Assets Equipment	199,652	310,000	543,566	338,082	390,000	390,000
Fund Balance Component Increases	—	—	—	—	300,000	300,000
Operating Transfers Out	7,189,520	7,920,608	7,963,043	7,105,082	8,136,227	8,488,662
Total	\$ 35,997,811	\$ 45,088,021	\$ 60,722,070	\$ 39,956,096	\$ 44,274,271	\$ 45,179,512

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Licenses Permits & Franchises	\$ 6,800,780	\$ 7,547,662	\$ 7,547,662	\$ 7,669,207	\$ 7,208,605	\$ 7,753,723
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	1,109,965	900,000	900,000	1,791,714	980,000	980,000
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	129,557	30,000	30,000	128,975	30,000	30,000
Intergovernmental Revenues	17,993,083	26,975,972	42,425,881	26,501,155	25,794,480	25,794,480
Charges For Current Services	682,448	331,278	331,278	864,009	581,278	588,966
Miscellaneous Revenues	148,511	167,322	167,322	180,402	—	—
Other Financing Sources	7,192,699	7,920,608	7,963,043	7,111,913	8,136,227	8,488,662
Use of Fund Balance	1,940,769	1,215,179	1,356,884	(4,291,279)	1,543,681	1,543,681
Total	\$ 35,997,811	\$ 45,088,021	\$ 60,722,070	\$ 39,956,096	\$ 44,274,271	\$ 45,179,512





Environmental Health

Department Description

The Department of Environmental Health (DEH) enhances San Diegans' quality of life by protecting public health and safeguarding environmental quality, educating the public to increase environmental awareness, and implementing and enforcing local, state, and federal environmental laws. DEH regulates the following: retail food safety; public housing; public swimming pools; small drinking water systems; mobile home parks; onsite wastewater systems; recreational water; aboveground and underground storage tanks and cleanup oversight; and medical and hazardous materials and waste. In addition, DEH serves as the Solid Waste Local Enforcement Agency, prevents disease carried by rats and mosquitoes and helps to ensure safe workplaces for County employees.

Mission Statement

Protecting the environment and enhancing public health by preventing disease, promoting environmental responsibility and, when necessary, enforcing environmental and public health laws. Our goal is "Healthy People in Healthy Communities Free from Disease due to the Environment."

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Protected public health and helped prevent vector-borne disease.
 - Trapped rodents at port of entry sites where freight from foreign origin is received by boat, airplane or truck twice this fiscal year (October 2011 and April 2012). Rodents were tested for plague and verified flea species. No plague was detected and no new vectors were found.
 - Trapped at over 90 locations and tested captured mice/voles by taking blood samples for the presence of Hantavirus, a rare pulmonary syndrome caused by the virus. Of the hundreds of mice/voles captured, 44 instances of Hantavirus were found and the public was notified via press release.
 - Sampled over 90 locations for the presence of tick-borne illness during tick season (November - May) in order to detect disease that could be passed to humans and animals. In November 2011, one tick tested positive for Tularemia.



- Worked with environmental groups, local municipalities, other coastal counties, lawmakers and the State Water Resources Control Board on funding for beach water quality monitoring. Senate Bill (SB) 482, *Public Beach Contamination: Standards: Testing: Closing*, signed into law in October 2011, will provide sustainable funding for the beach water quality monitoring through the use of permit fees.
- Partnered with the California Department of Public Health to conduct training for a local study that evaluates the effect of operator training on overall compliance with public swimming pool regulatory requirements.
- Improved the housing inspection program by developing a Healthy Housing Program Initiative to improve staff's knowledge about safe and healthy behaviors.
- Through an enforcement process which involved inspection and abatement warrants, a significant rat harborage in Spring Valley was successfully resolved, with the demolition of a house.
- At the direction of the Board of Supervisors, DEH assembled an Eye Gnat Intervention Working Group to develop a strategy to lessen the adverse community impact of eye gnats caused by local organic farming operations. The strategy was formalized in a *Department of Environmental Health, Report to the Board of Supervisors and the Community on Eye Gnat Intervention Options and a Recommended Program*. Proposed amendments to the County Code of Regulatory Ordinances and Administrative Ordinances were drafted. An Initial Study and Negative Declaration was prepared and circulated for public review. Public comment period closed on June 20, 2012 and staff are in the pro-

cess of responding to comments. Adoption of the Negative Declaration and enactment of ordinance amendments will require action by the Board of Supervisors.

- Partnered with the Health and Human Services Agency and the 19 area hospitals to provide training on hazardous materials recognition and decontamination. Training has been provided to the Scripps and Palomar Hospital systems, with over 100 individuals in attendance. Additional hospitals are being scheduled for the training.
- Worked with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), the California Emergency Management Agency and the County Office of Emergency Services to develop an effective Tsunami Debris response and testing protocol/plan. These protocols are the basis for a consistent approach to be followed by all beach locales along the western United States and Canada. Training on this protocol is currently being delivered to all the coastal communities.

Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Achieved full implementation of the Aboveground Petroleum Storage Act (APSA) to protect the region's residents and natural resources from aboveground petroleum storage tank releases by conducting inspections of 570 facilities over the last three years.
- Performed 117% (253 of 215) of the projected waste tire generator inspections to achieve compliance through oversight and education to reduce the illegal handling, hauling and disposal of waste tires.
- Developed an Environmental Corrective Action Program which will allow local oversight of contaminated groundwater remediation and provide stakeholders with a new resource to assist them with cleanup efforts, further protecting groundwater. This proposed program has been completed and resubmitted to the California Department of Toxic Substances Control for approval.

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Responded to 100% (13) of reports from the County Department of Public Health Services of elevated blood lead levels in children within 24 hours to two weeks, depending on the blood lead level reported.
- Educated over 10,000 children on: awareness and protection from mosquito-borne diseases and other vector-related diseases; proper disposal of household hazardous, electronic and universal wastes; awareness of hazardous materials; increased awareness of the restaurant grade card system and careers in environmen-

tal health by conducting outreach presentations to primary and/or secondary schoolchildren at schools or other outreach events.

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Obtained a General Permit from the federal and State resource agencies to facilitate the implementation of the Vector Habitat Remediation Program in September 2011. The program provides funding for public and private sector projects to implement long-term solutions for controlling mosquito breeding in an environmentally friendly manner. A workshop was held for city managers and habitat conservancy groups to explain the process of submitting a project.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Responded to complaints about mosquitoes, rats and flies by contacting 98% of complainants within three days.
- Completed 99% of mosquito control requests for service within 21 days or fewer after initial contact.
- Investigated and treated 93% of aerial identified green pools within 21 days of identification. Green pools are neglected swimming pools that can become breeding grounds for mosquitoes.
- Conducted three outreach presentations related to safe food management and permit requirements to food facility operators with the goal of improving food safety practices and decreasing the number of unpermitted businesses.
- Met the Hazardous Incident Response Team response goals of being on scene of a hazardous incident they are dispatched to, within 60 minutes over 90% of the time. The team averaged a 98.4% on time arrival rate.

Required Discipline – Regional Leadership

- Continued to partner with prosecutors and other regulatory agencies to enforce compliance with hazardous waste, hazardous materials and underground storage tank laws when cooperative educational efforts fail. As part of this effort two inspectors attended the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center's advanced environmental crimes multi-jurisdictional taskforce training.
- Continued to coordinate with other local jurisdictional household hazardous waste programs by jointly hosting a Southern California Household Hazardous Waste Information Exchange meeting in partnership with the Cities of San Diego and Chula Vista to discuss common issues including paint product stewardship.

- In concert with the efforts of the USEPA, transferred hazardous material response equipment valued at \$53,000 to Baja California Regional Officials as disaster supplies. This groundbreaking effort between the U.S. and Mexican governments was accomplished under an innovative Border 2012 bi-national collaboration.
- Under a grant from the USEPA and the Border Environment Cooperation Commission, a series of Mercury awareness and response classes were offered to civilians and First Responders (hazmat) in Mexico.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Explored establishing a partnership with the University of California San Diego to complement the Vector Control Program. Determined that each agency offered different resources such as vector disease diagnostic tools and control plans that would benefit each other and attended a course together for first responders in high containment laboratory safety.
- Established a novel surveillance program for West Nile Virus using raccoons to establish baseline data on wild animals living in close proximity to human residences for West Nile Virus (WNV) presence and consequent risk to public health.
- Drafted local design guidelines for alternative on-site wastewater treatment systems, based on upcoming State Water Resources Control Board regulations, were developed. The guidelines will not be finalized until March 2013. Stakeholder meetings and posting of guidelines on the website will be conducted at that time.

Required Discipline – Information Technology

- Imaging of records associated with septic system designs and installations (four-year goal of 63,000 records) will not be completed by the initial deadline because of staffing reallocations to other priorities. The deadline will be extended to June 2013.
- Provided an online reporting form on the Vector Control Program website for residents to request service for rat-related nuisances.
- Created an online food borne illness reporting form on the Food and Housing Division website. Work to post the form on the DEH website is ongoing.
- Worked on the development of a Business Case Management System - Accela (BCMS), which will replace the existing information technology system for capturing permitting and inspection information and allow the implementation of a mobile hardware technology system for field inspections.

- Worked on enhancing customer service by providing Web access to allow customers to perform file reviews without coming into the office was not met due to a delay in implementation of the development of the BCMS. The objective has been moved to Fiscal Year 2012-13.
 - Site Assessment and Mitigation records.
 - Septic system designs and installation records.
- Development of an online Unified Program Facility Permit application was not met due to a delay in implementation of the BCMS. The objective has been moved to Fiscal Year 2012-13. The [Unified Program](#) is the consolidation of six State environmental programs into one that conducts inspections of businesses that handle hazardous materials.
- Continuing to phase the implementation of electronic reporting requirements on facilities that are required to report hazardous materials and hazardous waste inventory under Assembly Bill (AB) 2286, *Unified hazardous waste and hazardous materials* was not met due to a delay in implementation of the BCMS. The objective has been moved to Fiscal Year 2012-13.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Complete an environmental analysis of the Proposed Eye Gnat Program and revised County Ordinance to support an Eye Gnat Program for Board of Supervisors approval and adoption.
- Trap rodents at port of entry sites where freight from foreign origin is received by boat, airplane or truck. Conduct tests twice a year for plague from rodents trapped in these areas.
- Trap and test captured mice/voles, by taking blood samples, for the presence of Hantavirus, a rare pulmonary syndrome caused by the virus.
- Establish an Asian Tiger mosquito surveillance program to assess whether these mosquitoes have migrated into San Diego from Los Angeles County. The Asian Tiger mosquito is an invasive species that is a competent vector of diseases including yellow fever and dengue fever.
- Inspect at least 90% of the identified waste tire sites in the Tire Enforcement Agency Inspection Work Plan (194 inspections) in order to ensure compliance and reduce illegal handling and disposal of waste tires.



Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Continue to partner with the California Department of Public Health to implement Phase Two of a local study to evaluate the effect of public swimming pool operator training on overall regulatory compliance.
- Incorporate Assembly Bill (AB) 300, *the Safe Body Art Act*, into the local body art inspection program.
- Conduct training and develop a report for small drinking water system operators to assist with the development of their annual Consumer Confidence Reports.
- Review and respond to reports and work plans submitted to the Local Oversight Program for remediation of underground storage tank fuel releases within 60 days.
- Develop a database and methodology to tabulate probable causative occurrences leading to both total and fecal coliform water sample failures for small drinking water systems. This information can be provided to system owners and operators as guidance for ways to reduce water quality violations.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Respond to 100% of reports from the County Department of Public Health Services of elevated blood lead levels in children within 24 hours to two weeks, depending on the blood lead level reported.
- Educate children on awareness and protection from mosquito-borne diseases and other vector-related diseases; proper disposal of household hazardous; electronic and universal wastes; hazardous materials, pollution prevention and risk mitigation; increase awareness of the restaurant grade card system and careers in environmental health by conducting outreach presentations to primary and/or secondary schoolchildren at schools or other outreach events each year.
- Complete an implementation plan and draft changes to the County Ordinance to institute a letter grading system for mobile food facilities.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Develop a customer service program that instructs staff on good communication skills with the regulated community.
- Respond to complaints about mosquitoes, rats and flies by contacting of complainants within three days.
- Investigate and treat aerial identified green pools within 21 days of identification. These unfiltered pools have proven to be a breeding ground for mosquitoes.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Regional Leadership

- Develop standard operating procedures and fact sheets to provide guidance on how to collaborate with other agencies to collect and sample illegally disposed hazardous waste from businesses with multiple locations across the State.
- Continue to coordinate with other local jurisdictional household hazardous waste programs to explore options for regional cooperation, cost sharing and joint public education opportunities. Participate and assist in facilitating regional committee meetings to identify program elements that will improve service delivery and reduce program costs through regional collaboration.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Develop an online Unified Program Facility Permit application and have 10% of new permit applications use an online form to apply for their permit.
- Preliminary studies in 2011 by the Vector Control Program (VCP) Diagnostic Laboratory demonstrated up to 20% of rabbit ticks tested positive for the agent of Lyme disease. In order to assess the risk to public health, the VCP will initiate a study to determine the extent of Lyme disease in the county.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

- Enhance customer service by providing Web access to conduct file reviews for records associated with Site Assessment and Mitigation projects and septic system installations.
- Image the remainder of records associated with mobile home park inspections and septic system installations (year four of the four-year goal of 63,000 records).
- Expand the use of social media tools, such as Facebook and Twitter to provide real time beach water quality status to the public.
- Implement the BCMS and use of mobile hardware technology for field inspections.
- Develop an online Unified Program Facility Permit application and have 10% of new permit applications (100 of 1,000) use the online form to apply for permits.
- Continue to phase the implementation of electronic reporting requirements on facilities that are required to report hazardous materials and hazardous waste inventory under Assembly Bill (AB) 2286, *Unified haz-*

ardous waste and hazardous materials for an estimated 100 facilities, as part of the Hazardous Materials Division transition into the BCMS.

Related Links

For additional information about the Department of Environmental Health, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/deh/> and the [Department of Environmental Health Facebook](#) page.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Respond to complaints about mosquitoes, rats and flies by contacting complainants within three days	94% of 2,468	95%	98% of 1,964	95%	95%
Average number of days to complete review of septic system layouts	8	10	10	10	10
Percentage of all plans and permits for installation, repair, and removal of Underground Storage Tank (UST) reviewed and approved within 10 working days of receiving a complete application ¹	95% of 400	95%	91% of 291	95%	95%
Reduce (from the previous year's total) the incident rate (# violations per 1,000 inspections) of major chlorine violations found at public swimming pools by 5% per year ²	227	216	216	N/A	N/A
Review and respond to all Local Oversight Program (LOP) reports and work plans within 60 days ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
All monitoring well permit applications to be processed, reviewed, and approved within an average of 8 working days	100% of 673	95%	100% of 663	95%	95%
All mosquito control requests for service closed within 21 days or less after initial contact	99% of 684	95%	99% of 574	95%	95%

Table Notes

¹ Due to the implementation of APSA and the development of the BCMS, additional duties were assigned to the plan check lead, resulting in a slight decrease in response time.

² The department changed from a colorimetric to a digital field testing kit. The new kits more accurately identify borderline chlorine violations that would have been missed, increasing the number of violations cited. Because the new testing kit is more accurate, the Department will be setting a new baseline, based on Fiscal Year 2012-13 testing. The measure will be tracked beginning in Fiscal Year 2013-14 using that baseline.

³ The Local Oversight Program (LOP) is under contract with the State Water Resources Control Board to oversee corrective action and perform regulatory and administrative activities to implement the oversight of corrective action of unauthorized fuel releases from Underground Storage Tanks.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Decrease of 1.00 staff year due to a reduction in workload in the Land and Water Quality Division related to fewer project submittals for septic, water well permits and land use projects as a result of the slow economic regrowth.

Expenditures

Net decrease of 1.7 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net decrease of \$0.3 million. Increase of \$0.6 million reflects negotiated labor agreements and increases in County retirement contributions offset by decreases of \$0.4 million due to the elimination of a negotiated one-time salary adjustment from Fiscal Year 2011-12 and \$0.5 million for modified or vacant positions that are not anticipated to be filled in Fiscal Year 2012-13.
- Services and Supplies — net decrease of \$1.1 million due to a decrease of \$1.1 million in consultant contract services related to the completion of projects in the Urban Area and the Homeland Security Initiatives, reductions in the Vector Control Media Outreach Campaign, the Household Hazardous Waste Program and in equipment and supplies in the Vector Disease and Diagnostic Laboratory; a net decrease of \$0.3 million in IT costs which reflects a reduction in one-time costs associated with the BCMS and an increase for mobile computing — a Government Without Walls Initiative; a net decrease of \$0.2 million spread over various accounts to more accurately reflect projected costs; and an increase of \$0.4 million as a budgetary correction in Internal Service Funds (ISF) Contract Services and Utilities costs.
- Capital Assets Equipment — decrease of \$0.3 million for equipment purchased for the Homeland Security, Radiological Health and Occupational Health programs.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$1.7 million.

- Licenses, Permits & Franchises — increase of \$0.2 million in Hazardous Materials permit revenue related to implementation of the Above Ground Petroleum Storage Act.
- Intergovernmental Revenues — decrease of \$0.3 million in grant funding for the Urban Area and Homeland Security Initiatives for emergency response training and equipment.

- Charges for Current Services — decrease of \$0.5 million in Vector Control Program Benefit Assessment funds due to completion of one-time IT projects associated with the implementation of the BCMS.
- Miscellaneous Revenues — net increase of \$0.3 million. An increase of \$0.6 million for development of reporting tools offset by a decrease of \$0.3 million for the completion of the BCMS implementation and related one-time IT projects.
- Fund Balance Component Resources — decrease of \$0.05 million for the completion of the BCMS implementation and related one-time IT projects
- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$1.4 million. A total of \$0.8 million budgeted includes \$0.5 million for development of the BCMS reporting tools; \$0.1 million for data imaging projects; \$0.1 million to offset Tribal Liaison costs and fire victim permit fee waivers; and \$0.1 million for beach water quality monitoring.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

- Decrease of \$3.1 million. A net decrease of \$0.6 million in Salaries and Benefits which reflects an increase of \$1.0 million in Salaries and Benefits for negotiated labor agreements and increases in County retirement contributions; a decrease of \$0.3 million in temporary help and overtime due to the completion of the BCMS and a decrease of \$1.3 million in salary adjustments for modified positions, vacancies, vacant positions that are not anticipated to be filled in Fiscal Year 2013-14, and adjustments due to completion of the Land and Water Quality Division data imaging projects. A decrease of \$2.5 million in Services and Supplies is related to the completion of the BCMS reporting tools, one-time IT projects and data imaging projects and \$0.03 million in Capital Equipment for Urban Area and Homeland Security Initiative purchases made in Fiscal Year 2012-13.
- Charges for Current Services assumes the adoption of a rate adjustment to the Vector Benefit Assessment prepared in accordance with Board of Supervisors Policy B-29, “Fees, Grants, Revenue contracts – Department Responsibility for Cost Recovery” for the purpose of establishing a benefit assessment rate for vector services.
- Permit and Fee Revenue assumes no adjustment and that costs will be contained within Fiscal Year 2013-14 projected revenue levels.



Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Environmental Health	282.00	281.00	281.00
Total	282.00	281.00	281.00

Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Environmental Health	\$ 37,629,562	\$ 47,848,861	\$ 48,519,018	\$ 37,738,207	\$ 46,123,629	\$ 42,983,376
Total	\$ 37,629,562	\$ 47,848,861	\$ 48,519,018	\$ 37,738,207	\$ 46,123,629	\$ 42,983,376

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 26,992,429	\$ 30,386,215	\$ 30,386,215	\$ 27,647,787	\$ 30,052,000	\$ 29,446,344
Services & Supplies	10,672,449	17,154,646	17,853,803	10,102,095	16,020,321	13,517,032
Capital Assets Equipment	160,965	308,000	279,000	159,288	51,308	20,000
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(196,280)	—	—	(170,963)	—	—
Total	\$ 37,629,562	\$ 47,848,861	\$ 48,519,018	\$ 37,738,207	\$ 46,123,629	\$ 42,983,376

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Licenses Permits & Franchises	\$ 20,868,515	\$ 19,883,002	\$ 19,883,002	\$ 21,301,403	\$ 20,127,024	\$ 20,131,117
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	264,034	313,094	313,094	236,124	318,094	318,094
Intergovernmental Revenues	3,442,903	4,477,106	4,434,906	3,674,703	4,177,535	3,828,356
Charges For Current Services	12,526,300	17,876,336	17,876,336	12,606,090	17,405,164	17,661,208
Miscellaneous Revenues	1,724,695	2,450,940	2,450,940	1,368,850	2,709,946	1,044,601
Other Financing Sources	—	—	—	163	—	—
Fund Balance Component Decreases	—	591,920	591,920	—	544,380	—
Use of Fund Balance	(1,196,885)	2,256,463	2,968,820	(1,449,126)	841,486	—
Total	\$ 37,629,562	\$ 47,848,861	\$ 48,519,018	\$ 37,738,207	\$ 46,123,629	\$ 42,983,376





Farm and Home Advisor

Department Description

The Farm and Home Advisor (FHA) conducts educational programs and applied research through a partnership with the County of San Diego, the University of California and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. This brings together the resources of these entities to address local issues, and to empower individuals and organizations with research-based information to improve themselves and their communities. The FHA advisors are academic professionals with expertise in the areas of Agriculture, Natural Resources, Youth Development, Nutrition and Family and Consumer Science.

Mission Statement

The Farm and Home Advisor/University of California Cooperative Extension brings together education and research resources of the University of California, the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the County in order to help individuals, families, businesses and communities address agricultural, environmental, horticultural, and public health issues.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Improved youth development, school readiness and nutrition in the region.
 - Provided administrative and instructional material support for the 455 4-H volunteer youth and adult leaders and conducted community-based educational programs to 25 4-H clubs and 7 after-school sites.
 - ◆ Science, Engineering & Technology kits were given to all clubs to spark early interest and leadership in science.
 - ◆ The Military 4-H program provided support and resources to 993 military youth at eight youth centers located in military housing and assisted them with 156 San Diego County Fair entries. This was the first time youth from this program entered exhibits in the Fair.
 - ◆ Provided parent education in the topics of early literacy and school readiness to 1,319 parents of preschoolers throughout the county. County Office of Education funding for this program will not be available next fiscal year.



- ◆ A total of 621 pre- and post-tests were collected and are being analyzed for knowledge gains and behavior changes. Parents attended from 6 to 24 hours of instruction.
- Provided nutrition education for 684 low-income families with children, emphasizing healthy nutrition practices, food resource management and food safety.
 - ◆ A total of 522 families graduated from the program with six or more hours of nutrition education.

Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Protected water quality and promoted water conservation.
 - Completed 15 agricultural water workshops, either online or site specific, and educated agricultural and other water users about stormwater regulations and requirements, best management practices, record keeping and employee training.
 - ◆ Over 800 people participated and 250 were issued “Spill Kits” (kit containing materials and instructions for cleaning spills of hazardous or potentially hazardous materials).
 - ◆ Provided outreach to 36 large landscape areas (cemeteries, parks, golf courses) and included distribution of “Spill Kits” to those locations.
 - Deployed four updated informational water quality kiosks throughout the agricultural community teaching the importance of preventing excess water from leaving agricultural properties and entering into the storm drainage system.

- Developed an informational brochure on pesticide application for businesses, agencies and individuals in the agricultural industry that covered regulations, practices and requirements needed to help reduce pesticide violations and protect water quality.
 - ◆ Over 1,000 “Pest Applicator Brochures” were created and printed for distribution by the Department of Agriculture, Weights and Measures.
- Provided annual displays at the Flower Fields at Carlsbad Ranch and the San Diego County Fair on examples of water quality and runoff control best management practices for growers and the general public.
 - ◆ A “Water-Wise Farming” display was exhibited at both locations with more than 20 best management practices demonstrated. The public was given tips on how to incorporate these practices in their own yards and gardens.
 - ◆ Significantly more visitors viewed the exhibit at the Flower Fields at Carlsbad Ranch than the San Diego County Fair. The Flower Fields draw over 100,000 visitors annually.
- Provided outreach information and developed materials on controlling invasive and other species that foul the bottoms of recreational boats in order to protect water quality and prevent costly consumer boat repairs.
 - Twenty meetings on controlling invasive species were held reaching over 800 people.
 - Over 21,000 people received information via Web versions of technical reports, English and Spanish fact sheets, blog posts and radio Public Service Announcements.
- Expanded the number and size of demonstration pilot sites from 30 acres in Fiscal Year 2010-11 to 100 acres in Fiscal Year 2011-12 using habitat restoration techniques learned from research in collaboration with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, City and County Parks and Recreation Departments, Barnett Ranch Open Space Park, University of California, Irvine and Irvine Ranch Conservancy.
 - Currently, there are 16 demonstration pilot sites in place with good control of invasive weeds utilizing this research. An additional four field trials/demonstrations will be implemented in Fiscal Year 2012-13. Managers of natural habitats are being trained utilizing these methods of invasive weed control.
- Conducted research projects on various crop types to evaluate water use levels and newer varieties or alternate crops for the region, including blueberries, black-

berries, avocados, guava, mandarins, pomegranate and carambola (star fruit). As water prices continue to rise, the new crop types are expected to consume less water, thereby increasing and bolstering economic growth.

- The blueberry plots have proved to be a great alternative for both conventional and organic small-scale producers in Southern California, however, providing the proper variety and production system are crucial for profitability. Research will continue in Fiscal Year 2012-13 to find the proper variety and production system.
- Blackberries and raspberries do not look as promising as none of the varieties evaluated produced a consistent quality of marketable fruit. Further research is necessary with newer varieties along with more intensive production systems to assess their potential. Research will continue as funding permits in Fiscal Year 2012-13.
- As funding allows, research on guava, mandarins, pomegranate and carambola will continue in Fiscal Year 2012-13.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Continued to work collaboratively with County departments, fire authorities, government agencies, University staff and community groups and enhanced wild-fire-related research, education and outreach by conducting 20 meetings and two webinars to facilitate interagency collaboration for wildfire preparedness and safety for residents.
 - One webcast, “Fire Adapted Communities” provided viewers with information on developing an integrated and collaborative approach in fire management and community fire safety.
- Continued collaborative work on the development of Goldspotted Oak Borer (GSOB) education and outreach programming with GSOB and forest health interagency workgroups. Cooperatively pursued opportunities for further GSOB research, education and outreach.
 - Completed 6-month follow-up survey from 46 GSOB workshop attendees who requested further information to assess retained knowledge, actions taken, expanded outreach and further resource needs. Of the 17 returned surveys, 69% reported significantly improving their knowledge of GSOB and 33% have shared learned GSOB research information with others.

- In conjunction with County Parks and Recreation, agency partners and an independent contractor, a survey was distributed to an estimated 410 campers to measure their knowledge and attitudes about invasive pests in firewood and firewood use practices. The results are being analyzed.
- Promoted and supported the Master Gardener volunteer program and continued to provide a phone hotline, educational exhibits and other activities on home horticulture for county residents.
 - Over 11,600 Master Gardener volunteer hours contributed to hosting 36 educational exhibits with over 8,500 contacts made throughout the County.
 - An “Autumn in the Garden” event was attended by 1,300 county residents.
- Provide annual display at the Flower Fields at Carlsbad Ranch on examples of water quality and runoff control best management practices for growers and the general public to view and use the self-assessment materials on their own properties.
- Continue conducting research projects on various crop types to evaluate water use levels and newer varieties or alternate crops for the region, including blueberries, avocados, guava, mandarins, pomegranate, and carambola (starfruit). As water prices continue to rise, the new crop types would be anticipated to consume less water thereby increasing and bolstering economic growth.
- Protect water quality and prevent costly consumer salt-water boat repairs by providing outreach information for agency staff, boating organizations, associated industry groups and boaters on controlling invasive species that foul the bottoms of recreational boats.
- Provide outreach information for agency staff, associated industry groups and other interested stakeholders on controlling invasive, freshwater mussels that foul freshwater delivery systems and outcompete native life.
- Expand the number and size of demonstration pilot sites of habitat restoration techniques learned from research in collaboration with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, City and County Parks and Recreation Departments, Barnett Ranch Open Space Park, University of California, Irvine and Irvine Ranch Conservancy to 200 acres.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Improve youth development, school readiness and nutrition in the region.
 - Provide administrative and instructional material support for 350 4-H volunteer leaders in order to conduct community-based educational programs to 25 4-H clubs, seven after-school sites and eight Military 4-H sites.
 - Provide nutrition education for 400 low-income families with children, emphasizing healthful nutrition practices, food resource management and food safety.

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Protect water quality and promote water conservation.
 - Complete eight agricultural water workshops to educate agricultural and other water users about storm-water regulations and requirements, best management practices, recordkeeping and employee training.
 - Deploy two additional informational water quality kiosks throughout the agricultural community to teach the importance of preventing excess water from leaving agricultural properties and entering the storm drainage system.

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- As wildfires continue to pose a threat to San Diego residents, investigate stakeholder needs and resource opportunities to further develop wildfire education and outreach programming.
- As the Goldspotted Oak Borer continues to decimate oak trees, research the capacity to expand and enhance the existing program established as a foundation for Goldspotted Oak Borer program education and outreach.

Related Links

For additional information about the Farm and Home Advisor Office, refer to the website at www.sdcounty.ca.gov/fha.



Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Staff-provided administrative assistance for projects, grants, and contracts (# projects/ total \$ value of projects, grants, and contracts)	48 projects/ \$2,798,857	50 projects/ \$ 4,000,000	48 projects/ \$2,750,000	48 projects/ \$2,750,000	45 projects/ 2,500,000 ²
Staff-provided coordination, and assistance, and training for 4-H, Master Gardener, and other related volunteer programs (# volunteers/ volunteer hours)	1,140 vol/ 226,011 hrs	1,100 vol/ 200,000 hrs	1,000 vol/ 210,000 hrs	1,100 vol/ 200,000 hrs	1,000 vol/ 205,000 hrs
Research new specialty crops and varieties such as dragon fruit, specialty vegetables, and blueberries to determine commercial viability	2 projects	2 projects	2 projects	2 projects	2 projects
Provide nutrition education for low-income families with children, emphasizing healthful nutrition practices, food resource management, and food safety ¹	484 ^{1, 3} families	200 families	684 families	400 families	400 families

Table Notes

¹ The figures for the Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals have been revised due to more current information.

² The Fiscal Year 2013-14 proposed projects and funding figures reflect a decrease due to a temporary reduction in advisor staff and decreasing pool of grant funds.

³ Adopted participant numbers for performance measures in nutrition education are set by State funding contract requirements; Actual participant numbers are routinely higher.

**Budget Changes and Operational Impact:
2011-12 to 2012-13**

Staffing

Decrease of 1.00 staff year due to operational changes and consolidation of duties.

Expenditures

No net change.

- Salaries and Benefits — Net decrease of \$0.06 million due to the reduction of 1.00 staff year as described above.

- Services and Supplies — Net increase of \$0.06 million due to costs associated with contracted services in Special Department Expense.

Revenues

No change.

**Budget Changes and Operational Impact:
2012-13 to 2013-14**

No significant change.

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Farm and Home Advisor		3.00			2.00	2.00
Total		3.00			2.00	2.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Farm and Home Advisor	\$ 967,857	\$ 853,058	\$ 855,140	\$ 847,845	\$ 853,058	\$ 853,058
Total	\$ 967,857	\$ 853,058	\$ 855,140	\$ 847,845	\$ 853,058	\$ 853,058

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 239,853	\$ 181,549	\$ 181,549	\$ 87,195	\$ 119,460	\$ 122,020
Services & Supplies	728,005	671,509	673,591	760,650	733,598	731,038
Total	\$ 967,857	\$ 853,058	\$ 855,140	\$ 847,845	\$ 853,058	\$ 853,058

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Use of Fund Balance	\$ 114,799	\$ —	\$ 2,082	\$ (5,213)	\$ —	\$ —
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	853,058	853,058	853,058	853,058	853,058	853,058
Total	\$ 967,857	\$ 853,058	\$ 855,140	\$ 847,845	\$ 853,058	\$ 853,058





Parks and Recreation

Department Description

The Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) enhances the quality of life for county residents and visitors of all ages. DPR promotes health and wellness, safe communities, community ownership, and civic pride through more than 150 programs, events and activities at five community centers, two recreation centers and state-of-the-art sports complexes. Our award-winning park system includes 35 local and six regional parks, eight camping parks, over 300 miles of trails, fishing lakes, ecological preserves, and open space preserves. The Department operates and manages more than 45,000 acres of parklands and eight historic park sites that foster an appreciation of nature and history. Park facilities are open year round and departmental programs enrich the lives of all patrons with a special focus for families, seniors, people with disabilities and at-risk youth.

Mission Statement

The Department of Parks and Recreation enhances the quality of life in San Diego County by providing opportunities for high quality parks and recreation experiences and preserving regionally significant natural and cultural resources.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Promoted healthy and active lifestyles and fostered positive development for youth through programs and services that created rewarding recreational experiences and promoted community involvement and kept communities safe.
 - Hosted two Teens on Trails group events that promoted healthy lifestyles and civic responsibility, while providing a valuable service to the community.
 - Fostered positive development of 7,001 kids through 203 recreational programs and services that increased physical, intellectual, social and/or emotional abilities.
 - Promoted environmental awareness and responsibility through environmental education programs at 22 school facilities, exceeding the goal of 10.
 - Increased recreational opportunities for youth with school-sponsored sports activities at five park facilities.



Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Acquired, preserved, and enhanced significant natural and historical/cultural resources and designed, developed, and operated park facilities in an environmentally responsible and efficient manner that promoted resource sustainability.
 - Increased environmental stewardship by educating 5,891 youth about the importance of natural resources, conservation and sustainability through the Energy Saving Adventures and Outdoor Adventures Program, exceeding the goal of 2,000 youth.
 - Promoted energy efficiency and use of renewable resources by participating in 76 outreach events that focused on public education of conservation and sustainability practices.
 - Utilized the Mitigation Land Policy, adopted by the Board of Supervisors, by generating \$80,000 of revenue for acquisitions and operations and maintenance for Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) lands, exceeding goal of \$75,000.
 - Acquired 474 acres within the MSCP plan areas, exceeding goal of 375.
 - Improved energy and water efficiency in 12 department facilities by retrofitting and installing new water- and energy-efficient equipment, acquiring electric vehicles, replacing older vehicles with energy-efficient ones and reducing water and energy usage, exceeding the goal of 8 park facilities.
 - Installed seven new solar trash compacting receptacles at parks to maintain service levels and reduce maintenance costs and staff time for trash disposal.
 - Replaced trees affected by the Goldspotted Oak Borer at eight park locations to protect the current Live Oak tree population and replaced diseased and dying trees with varieties of pest resistant oak trees.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Acquired, developed and maintained facilities that support community needs, provided safe and accessible opportunities to gather, promoted park stewardship and celebrated diversity while connecting communities.
 - Received \$2.0 million in grant awards and \$1.6 million in donations to help ensure stability and operations at the various park facilities.
 - Provided extra security for park users by increasing volunteer patrol hours by 130% (from 2,962 to 6,808 hours) to assist in creating safer parks and preserves, exceeding goal of 10%.
 - Promoted healthy lifestyles for 2,566 adults and seniors through participation in 89 recreation programs, exceeding goal of 2,000 adults and 60 recreation programs.
 - Identified two program sponsors for the County Adopt- A-Trail Program to foster community ownership in the maintenance and security of the County's trail systems.
 - Provided inviting and accessible places for recreation by designing new facilities and retrofitted four existing park facilities to be ADA accessible, exceeding goal of three.
 - Provided added safety and security measures at six existing park facilities through installation of security cameras, shade structures and sidewalks.
 - Enhanced parks and increased recreational opportunities for the public by completing seven park development projects in five communities.
 - Mitigated fire fuel hazards and maintained fire safe zones at 44 park facilities, exceeding goal of 24 park facilities.
 - Certified three department employees through the Department of Environmental Health for pool operation and maintenance so they can provide on-site maintenance and reduce operating costs.
 - Established six mutual aid agreements between departments and law enforcement/fire agencies to increase park safety and enforcement response times by identifying agency roles and responsibilities.
 - Added three community gardens, to build better health, foster community ownership and enhance civic pride.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Regional Leadership

- Received a 2011 National Recreation and Park Association's Commission for Accreditation of Park and Recreation Agencies certifying the department as a national accredited parks and recreation system for its excellence in operation and service. The department met all 144 Fundamental Standards and is the first accredited county in California.
- Developed the Cost Recovery, Resource Allocation and Revenue Enhancement Plan. The plan focused on short and long-term implementation strategies that will ensure financial stability and decrease the department's reliance on General Purpose Revenue. It identified core services and any duplication of services, provided a blueprint for resource allocation, and recommended pricing strategies and revenue enhancement opportunities.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Provide safe and accessible parks and preserves, foster innovative programs and initiatives that promote government agency partnerships and community involvement, and enhance emergency communication and preparedness.
 - Reduce the incidence of crime by incorporating the principles of Crime Prevention through Environmental Design at two park facilities and based on the experience develop guidelines that incorporate these principles into future park projects. This can be achieved with practical access control (doors, fences), surveillance (lighting, windows, landscaping), territorial reinforcement (signs, sidewalks), and maintenance (code enforcement, community clean-ups) in park facilities.
 - Enhance parks image and safety through public partnerships whereby 1,040 hours of service contributions are provided for removal of graffiti, homeless encampments, weed abatement, debris and exotic or nonnative plant species.
 - Increase communications and public safety by updating the department's park-to-park radio system throughout the park system by restructuring the radio communication/emergency system in collaboration with the Sheriff's Department and provide three service radio communication trainings.
 - Evaluate and post signage of evacuation routes for 100% of all DPR campgrounds.

- Complete Playground Safety Inspections on 30 playgrounds and provide three trainings on safety inspection guidelines for Development and Operations staff.
- Create safer parks and preserves by providing extra security through volunteer patrol, contributing 6,000 volunteer patrol hours annually.

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Acquire, develop and maintain facilities that support and promote park stewardship and environmental sustainability and efficiency.
 - Utilize the Mitigation Land Policy to generate \$80,000 of revenue for acquisitions and operations and maintenance for MSCP lands.
 - Acquire 700 acres within the MSCP plan areas. Complete two Resource Management Plans that include passive recreational opportunities.
 - Upgrade two park facilities with artificial turf to conserve water resources, reduce overall maintenance and provide state-of-the-art playing fields for year-round sports programs.
 - Install two photovoltaic systems (solar panels) at existing facilities to reduce County reliance on fossil fuels and reduce annual operating costs.
 - Increase environmental stewardship by educating 4,500 youth about the importance of natural resources, including conservation and sustainability strategies through Energy Saving Adventures and Outdoor Adventure Programs.
 - Enhance the existing Discovery Kit environmental education program material and expand the program to two additional sites.
 - Replace trees affected by the Goldspotted Oak Borer at eight park locations to protect the current Coast Live Oak tree population and replace diseased or dying trees with varieties of pest resistant oak trees.
 - Mitigate fire fuel hazards and maintain fire safe zones in 30 park facilities through continued implementation of fuel management practices.
 - Install eight new solar trash compacting receptacles at parks to maintain service levels and reduce maintenance costs and staffing time for trash disposal.

- Improve energy and water efficiency in eight department facilities by various means, such as native landscaping retrofitting, installing new water- and energy-efficient equipment, replacing older vehicles with energy-efficient vehicles, and reducing water and energy usage.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Develop and enhance park patron experience and promote healthy lifestyles by increasing recreational opportunities and educational programs
 - Perform comprehensive Active Living assessments at three parks using DPR's new Healthy Edge Park Design Guidelines. Use the assessment to develop a "health report card" and improvement strategy for the facilities.
 - Promote healthy lifestyles for 2,000 adults and seniors through participation in 60 recreation programs.
 - Foster positive development of 6,000 youth through 180 recreation programs and services that increase physical, intellectual, social and/or emotional abilities.
 - Develop and enhance two County park trails to promote health and fitness.
 - In collaboration with six school-sponsored sports activities, increase recreational opportunities for youth at park facilities.
 - Incorporate the County's health initiative "*Live Well, San Diego!*" and other health-related messages into two environmental educational programs and three promotional publications.

Related Links

For additional information about the Department of Parks and Recreation, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/parks/>. Follow us on Facebook and Twitter at <http://www.facebook.com/CountyofSanDiegoParksandRecreation> and <http://twitter.com/sandiegoparks>.



Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Number of parkland acres owned and managed	45,187	45,275	45,661	46,361	46,736
Number of volunteers/number of volunteer hours ¹	3,021/ 94,890	3,000/ 94,500	3,125/ 97,014	3,000/ 96,035	3,000/ 96,035
Number of unduplicated Youth Diversion participants ²	5,778	5,500	7,001	6,500	6,500
Number of park facilities improved or developed	8	7	7	7	7
Number of miles of trails managed in the County Trails Program	326	328	329	330	330
Percentage /Number of camping reservations placed online	72%/ 16,000	65%/ 15,200	75% 18,636	75% 17,000	75% 17,000
Number of water gallons saved at smart irrigation controller converted facilities ³	N/A	17,000,000	27,000,000 ⁴	27,000,000	27,000,000

Table Notes

¹ The term “Volunteers” in this performance measure refers to the total number of unregistered, one-day volunteers. It includes registered volunteers such as park host volunteers, volunteer patrol and docents.

² Youth diversion programs consist of Department recreation facilities, sports programs; teen programs and special events. An unduplicated youth is counted once regardless of being registered in multiple programs.

³ This was a new measure as of Fiscal Year 2011-12. Due to declining water resources, in December 2009, DPR began implementing conservation measures and tracking water usage through smart irrigation controllers which tailor watering schedules and run times automatically to meet specific landscape needs at various park sites. As of June 2011, the Department is projected to install smart irrigation controllers at 19 park facilities. By achieving this goal, the Department will meet the objective of improving energy and water efficiency.

⁴ Installation of smart irrigation controllers at 19 park facilities was accomplished by June 2011. As of March 2012, 17 of the facilities are being monitored now that budgeting information was provided by outside agencies. Total water gallons saved was greater than anticipated. Fiscal Year 2012-13 projections are based on all 19 facilities being monitored.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$0.07 million. Net increase in Salaries and Benefits as a result of negotiated labor agreements and County retirement contributions. Net decrease in operational costs due primarily to a decrease in costs from Public Liability Insurance, the completed purchase of Capital Asset Equipment and a reduction of temporary staff supporting County Service Areas.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$0.07 million.

- Licenses Permits & Franchises — increase of \$0.2 million is due to additional development in Park Land Dedication areas.
- Intergovernmental Revenues — increase of \$0.3 million is due to the reclassification of grant funds from Charges for Current Services and increased grant funding from the Coastal Impact Assistance Program.
- Charges for Current Services — net decrease of \$0.2 million is due to the reclassification of grant funds to Intergovernmental Revenues and the reduction revenue due to recent approval by the Board of Supervisors to amend the fee schedule to allow military veterans who qualify for the State Distinguished Veterans Pass to access all County operated campsites and regional parks free of charge. These decreases are partially offset by additional revenue generated through implementation of the department's new business plan

which consists of alternative funding such as donations and sponsorships and the review of current fee schedules.

- Miscellaneous Revenues — increase of \$0.07 million is due to the revenue contract agreement with the San Elijo Lagoon Conservancy.
- Other Financing Source — decrease of \$0.1 million is due to a reduction in staff support to the County Service Areas.
- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$0.9 million. A total of \$2.0 million is budgeted for major maintenance projects identified through a facility condition assessment and other priority maintenance needs. Projects include the renovation and repair of park recreation facilities.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.7 million in ongoing revenue to restore park hours, thereby eliminating the risk of closures due to increased operational costs such as salaries and benefits. These hours were previously funded with one-time resources; and, for the annual maintenance of Smugglers Gulch which borders the Tijuana River Valley Open Space Preserve.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net decrease of \$1.2 million is primarily due to completed major maintenance projects offset by an increase for negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.



■ ■ ■ Parks and Recreation

Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Parks and Recreation	175.00	175.00	175.00
Total	175.00	175.00	175.00

Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Parks and Recreation	\$ 31,520,527	\$ 29,520,207	\$ 36,261,896	\$ 31,929,584	\$ 29,499,373	\$ 28,280,195
Park Land Dedication	829,407	568,900	1,920,114	594,604	714,728	713,728
Park Special Districts	3,559,891	3,718,259	6,101,164	3,825,471	3,536,849	3,551,403
Total	\$ 35,909,825	\$ 33,807,366	\$ 44,283,173	\$ 36,349,659	\$ 33,750,950	\$ 32,545,326

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 16,925,961	\$ 18,122,623	\$ 17,981,535	\$ 17,981,535	\$ 18,198,297	\$ 18,748,746
Services & Supplies	15,981,604	13,433,736	20,972,164	15,603,275	13,412,408	11,612,319
Other Charges	585,743	60,000	206,026	204,926	80,000	80,000
Capital Assets Equipment	59,051	181,000	122,608	115,181	145,000	145,000
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(5,465)	—	—	(821)	—	—
Operating Transfers Out	2,362,931	2,010,007	5,000,840	2,445,563	1,915,245	1,959,261
Total	\$ 35,909,825	\$ 33,807,366	\$ 44,283,173	\$ 36,349,659	\$ 33,750,950	\$ 32,545,326

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Current Property	\$ 1,741,396	\$ 1,777,123	\$ 1,777,123	\$ 1,753,070	\$ 1,762,720	\$ 1,762,720
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	6,815	11,506	11,506	7,699	10,479	10,479
Licenses Permits & Franchises	1,301,283	483,950	483,950	1,481,480	657,978	657,978
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	2,982	—	—	2,773	—	—
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	954,586	944,622	944,622	867,996	930,217	930,217
Intergovernmental Revenues	482,408	312,439	761,488	698,557	602,388	554,499
Charges For Current Services	5,171,103	5,318,866	5,144,866	5,223,797	5,110,890	5,168,510
Miscellaneous Revenues	328,042	70,000	70,000	197,077	139,000	120,000
Other Financing Sources	1,972,628	2,010,007	1,998,042	1,929,924	1,915,245	1,959,261
Use of Fund Balance	4,875,402	3,113,182	13,325,905	4,421,615	2,191,165	147,663
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	19,073,181	19,765,671	19,765,671	19,765,671	20,430,868	21,233,999
Total	\$ 35,909,825	\$ 33,807,366	\$ 44,283,173	\$ 36,349,659	\$ 33,750,950	\$ 32,545,326



Planning and Land Use

Department Description

The Department of Planning and Land Use (DPLU) ensures that new and existing development promotes safe and livable communities by applying land use policies. Typical land use policies include fire resistant construction standards and setbacks, building codes and other planning tools to preserve and protect San Diego County and its residents. DPLU works with property owners to process privately initiated land development projects including residential, commercial and industrial development. Since land development projects are a collaborative effort involving the public and local government, DPLU has an ongoing commitment to improve the land development process through efficiencies that result in reduced costs and processing times for the County and project applicants.

Mission Statement

To enhance the safety and livability of communities through the efficient application of land use programs that balance growth and conservation.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Reduced risks to lives by ensuring buildings and improvements are designed and constructed in accordance with building safety codes.
 - Reviewed all proposed building plans to ensure homes are properly designed.
 - Explained building code requirements and developed educational tools such as online tools to simplify the explanation of codes to the public.
 - Conducted over 23,000 building inspections during construction to ensure homes are built in accordance with approved building plans.
 - Worked with the Department of General Services to provide efficient, cost-effective plan check and inspection for County facilities including the Ramona and Fallbrook libraries, park facilities, and the San Pasqual Academy.
- Protected livable communities through the consistent application and reasonable implementation of County land use and zoning standards.
 - Completed the General Plan Update, resulting in significant improvement to community design and development.



- Ensured proposed development meets community guidelines and sustains community character.
- Ensured proposed development occurs in areas safe for growth, away from hazards such as unsafe slopes, geologic fault lines, flood or other natural hazards.
- Reduced risks of wildfire by collaborating with the San Diego County Fire Authority in the application of land use policies that promote development that mitigates wildfire impacts.
 - Ensured proposed development meets wildfire setback requirements and conforms to fire safe codes approved by fire agencies. This protects new homes as well as existing communities.
 - Developed a Community Evacuation Route Study for the communities of Jamul/Dulzura and Valley Center. These pilot-studies assess the need for additional roads for evacuation in times of emergencies such as wildfires.
 - Implemented the 2011 Consolidated Fire Code, which consolidated the fire codes of the 16 individual fire districts and the County Service Area 135.
- Improved community health and safety conditions through removal of vacant dilapidated buildings and elimination of substandard housing.
 - Responded to the public within 24 hours of receipt of 1,200 new code complaints and closed over 1,600 cases.
 - Improved quality of life through the abatement of hazardous living conditions in communities within the unincorporated county.
- Improved the efficiency of land use programs that guide the physical development of the County's land through a balance of growth and conservation while collaborating with communities.

- Presented four proposed amendments to the County's land development ordinances that improve business process, simplify regulations and reduce processing times for customers, including the General Plan Update, Board Policy I-63, *General Plan Amendment Initial Review*, a Zoning Ordinance Clean Up, and Groundwater Ordinance Amendment.

Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Completed the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) Energy Stimulus programs, including the Energy Code Update, Solar Photovoltaic Expedite Program and Climate Action Plan. ARRA grant projects allow the County to implement key energy programs at a reduced cost to customers and the County. More than 1,400 photovoltaic permits were issued this year, over a 400% increase from 2008-09 levels.
- Promoted green building, including sustainable building practices, renewable energy and energy efficiency through economic incentives such as reduced fees and fee waivers.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Reengineered the Code Enforcement program process for Zoning and Building violations to improve coordination between County departments and the customer. The resulting changes have improved self-compliance by the customers and reduced County costs to oversee the program.
- Continued to implement process improvement to streamline the land development process to reduce processing costs and times for customers as well as the County.
 - Developed outreach and education materials for customers regarding the land development process. The land development process can be complicated and challenging based on the State and federal requirements that local agencies must enforce. The development of outreach materials to explain the process simplifies efforts for customers.
 - Initiated a process improvement to convert discretionary Site Plan permits to over-the-counter ministerial permits. Discretionary permits often take several months to process, while ministerial permits can be issued in a much shorter time frame for customers. The shorter processing time will reduce customer costs. This project will be fully implemented in Fiscal Year 2012-13.

- Conducted two reengineering projects, including Minor Deviations and Code Enforcement.
- Developed a Building Inspection Self-Certification program. This program is a private/public collaboration between the County and builders to improve the efficiency of the building inspection process. It allows builders the opportunity to self-certify certain building inspections, thus reducing the time for a County building inspector to visit the project site.

Required Discipline – Information Technology

- Continued implementation of the Business Case Management System – Accela (BCMS) in Fiscal Year 2011-12. This land development permitting system integrates permits, accounting and timekeeping; facilitates a collaborative environment that empowers the residents and the County by increasing accessibility and transparency of information; and ensures high value services are delivered in the most efficient and timely manner. Full implementation will be complete in Fiscal Year 2012-13.

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Managed budget and staffing levels by balancing housing market fluctuations with fiscal and customer service stability through cross-training staff, reengineering assessment and utilizing overflow contracts for peak work periods.
 - Building permit workload remained flat Fiscal Year 2011-12. Some permit categories increased while other categories decreased, but overall workload remained flat.
 - Discretionary permit workload remained flat for Fiscal Year 2011-12.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Revamped the Code Enforcement website to allow for online submittal of code complaints. The new site explains the code enforcement process, necessary information to file a complaint, and online forms. The resulting changes reduce overhead costs for the County and improve transparency and access for the public.
- Ensured customer satisfaction with the core services provided by department staff as key indicators of the Department's operational performance. Customer service will be solution oriented, responsive and promote clear communication.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Reduce risks to lives by ensuring buildings and improvements are designed and constructed in accordance with building safety codes.
 - Review proposed building plans to ensure homes are properly and safely designed.
 - Explain building code requirements and develop educational tools to simplify codes for the public.
 - Conduct building inspections during construction to ensure homes are built in accordance with approved building plans.
- Improve community health and safety conditions through elimination of dilapidated buildings and removal of substandard housing.
 - Respond to the public within 24 hours of receipt of code complaints.
 - Improve public safety through the abatement of hazardous living conditions such as abandoned homes and dilapidated structures within the unincorporated areas of the county.
- Complete a new GIS application for damage assessment reporting during disasters. The new application will increase reporting frequency and thus reduce the time the County must wait for information on disaster impacts.

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Improve the efficiency of land use programs that guide the physical development of the County's land through a balance of growth and conservation while collaborating with communities.
 - Present to the Board of Supervisors by March 2013 the Forest Conservation Initiative General Plan Amendment which will enhance economic opportunities in the East County.
 - Complete and report by June 2013 on the Purchase of Agriculture Conservation Easement Pilot Program which supports the local agriculture industry and the preservation of community character.
 - Initiate by June 2013 public review of the Equine Ordinance Environmental Impact Report (EIR) which will streamline regulations related to commercial horse operations.
 - Present to the Board of Supervisors by June 2013 a new Housing Element Amendment to maintain a General Plan that is in compliance with State law.
 - Initiate work on the Property Specific Request General Plan Amendment.

- Promote green building, including sustainable building practices, renewable energy and energy efficiency through economic incentives such as reduced fees and fee waivers.

Required Discipline For Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Implement Board of Supervisors directed recommendations to improve the land development process for customers. This includes a shift in culture and role to find project solutions and help customers navigate the land development process.
- Continue to identify and implement performance improvements to streamline the land development process to reduce processing costs and time for customers as well as the County.
- Complete the Site Plan conversion of discretionary permit requirements to ministerial permits by December 2012.
- Initiate a new discretionary to ministerial permit conversion to substantially reduce processing time and cost for applicants and the County.
- Expand online permit opportunities for building permits so that customers can minimize actual visits to County facilities, thus reducing wait times and saving customers' money.

Required Discipline For Excellence – Information Technology Services

- Expand the mobile workforce capabilities for building inspectors and code enforcement through new systems that enable real-time access to land development permit systems from mobile devices used by field inspectors.
- Convert Code Enforcement forms from hard copy to electronic format to improve turnaround times and reduce overhead costs.
- Upgrade the customer routing/flow management system (Q-Matic), for the permit center to make the permit process more responsive to customer needs and thus reduce customer time and cost.

Required Discipline For Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Develop and implement a staff training and mentoring program that is centered around customer service and incorporates values such as project ownership and accountability, organizational acumen, effective communication and outcome focus.



- Complete implementation of the BCMS, which will integrate permit activity, timekeeping and financial management for land development projects.

Required Discipline For Excellence – Regional Leadership

- Create and implement a program that allows applicants for privately-initiated development projects to rely on the General Plan Update Environmental Impact Report for certain environmental impact assessments. As a result, applicants will no longer need to assess, analyze

and/or mitigate cumulative project impacts that are required under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This will save applicants money and several months in the permit process.

Related Links

For additional information about the Department of Planning and Land Use, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Building and Zoning Counter Wait Time (in minutes)	20	20	21 ¹	20	20
Achieve 15 day turnaround for Residential Plan Checks (% goal met)	95%	80%	94% ²	95%	95%
Percentage of Building Inspections completed next day	100% of 23,597	100% of 25,000	100% ³ of 22,747	100% of 23,000	100% of 25,000
Project Planning average turnaround times (average days variance - early or late variance / amount of tasks closed on time)	3 days early for 8,117 tasks	2 days early for 8,300 tasks	3 days early for 6,486 tasks ⁴	2 days early for 6,500 tasks	2 days early for 6,500 tasks
Project Planning percentage of on time performance / amount of closed tasks	85% of 8,117 tasks	80% of 8,300 tasks	85% of 6,486 tasks	85% of 6,500 tasks	85% of 6,500 tasks
Project Planning average backlog in weeks (average weeks backlog = total open hours / total scheduled productive hours)	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.0
Project Planning number of discretionary projects to reach final decision and resolution	372	350	411	350 ⁵	350
New GIS layers added to the Enterprise Data Maintenance Environment	50	12	14 ⁶	10	10

Table Notes

¹ Ongoing staffing reductions have been implemented over the past 5 years. Several reengineering activities have enabled the Counter Services to maintain reasonable customer wait times, however wait times are trending upward due to increased customer demand and associated permit activity for Counter Services.

² The 94% outcome surpassed the target goal; however, this was due to several reengineering and process improvements that have been implemented in recent years. It is also due to the long term tenure of many of the staff currently working counter operations. Low turnover, in addition to high quality service, has contributed to high efficiency and performance.

³ Although the Department is achieving 100% of next day inspections, several of the outlying areas of the County only offer inspections a few times a week. Next day inspection is defined by completing the inspection the next day an inspector is scheduled to be in such an outlying community.

⁴ Estimated tasks have decreased due to a decline in workload tied to the economic slowdown and building industry trends. Tasks have traditionally been used to manage and track workload within the land development process. DPLU is shifting from a task management approach to an empowered project manager approach. As such, the assignment and use of tasks may decrease even though the amount of work remains. This may result in a decrease of tasks reported through this measurement.

⁵ The number of discretionary permits to reach final decision is expected to decrease in future years based on a decline in new permit applications related to the economic slowdown. Further, the permit mix can vary year to year based on market conditions. In Fiscal Year 2011-12, there was an increased number of small projects that reached decision, causing the increase. However, with declining new applications submitted in Fiscal Year 2011-12, the estimated number of projects to reach decision in future years is expected to decline.



⁶ Estimated number of new layers of information has decreased due to the complexity of new layers and overall business need. Fewer new layers are needed after a significant increase of informational layers was added by County departments in Fiscal Year 2010-11.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Decrease of 4.00 staff years.

- Decrease of 1.00 staff year in Regulatory Planning due to reduced workload based on current economic conditions.
- Decrease of 2.00 staff year in Code Enforcement as a result of reengineering, cross-training and automation of services.
- Decrease of 1.00 staff year in LUEG GIS Support as a result of reorganization of duties within the division.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$4.1 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net decrease of \$1.5 million due to the staffing reductions listed above as well as salary adjustments for underfilled and vacant positions offset by an increase for negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.
- Services and Supplies — net decrease of \$2.5 million.
 - Increase of \$0.1 million in anticipated facility and utility costs related to the relocation to the County Operations Center in August 2012.
 - Decrease of \$2.5 million in services and supplies accounts in consultant contracts related to completion of grant funded consultant contracts and completion of one-time funded Business Process Reengineering (BPR) initiatives as well as IT accounts for implementation and software costs for the BCMS. This is offset by new one-time funded IT initiatives for mobile office implementation, Q-Matic upgrade and electronic document submittal as well as one-time funding for the property specific requests for a General Plan Amendment.

Revenues

Revenue reflected in the Fiscal Year 2012-14 Operational Plan assumes the adoption of an annual fee revision prepared in accordance with Board of Supervisors Policy B-29

Fees, Grants, Revenue Contracts - Department Responsibility for Cost Recovery, for the purpose of establishing fees for services provided by this department.

Net decrease of \$4.1 million.

- License Permits & Franchises — decrease of \$0.4 million related to the downturn in the overall economy.
- Intergovernmental Revenues — decrease of \$4.0 million primarily related to completion of grant projects for the Energy Efficiency Conservation Block Grants.
- Charges for Current Services — decrease of \$0.4 million related to the downturn in the overall economy.
- Use of Fund Balance — a net increase of \$0.7 million. A total of \$10.1 million is budgeted for use as follows: \$3.1 million of General Fund fund balance for the Homeowner Relief and Green Building Permit Fee Waivers (\$1.0 million); Continuous Improvement Program (\$0.5 million); and Property Specific Request for a General Plan Amendment (\$1.6 million); \$7.0 million of Land Use and Environment Group Fund Balance for the BCMS mobile workforce tools (\$0.1 million); Q-Matic customer flow management system upgrade (\$0.1 million); Electronic Document Submittal (\$0.3 million); the BCMS implementation cost in the Land Development program (\$0.4 million); as well as rebudgets for one-time funding related to the Purchase of Agriculture Conservation Easements (\$2.0 million), Zoning Ordinance Update (\$1.4 million), Greenhouse Gas Guidelines (\$0.3 million), BCMS training (\$0.4 million), building permit fee waivers related to Firestorm 2007 (\$0.6 million), waste abatements (\$0.2 million) and implementation costs for the BCMS (\$1.2 million).
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — net increase of \$0.2 million for cost of living increases in Salaries and Benefits.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net decrease of \$6.9 million due primarily to the anticipated completion of one-time projects offset by negotiated labor agreements and an increase in retirement contributions.

Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Support Services	13.00	13.00	13.00
Advance Planning	11.00	11.00	11.00
Regulatory Planning	65.00	64.00	64.00
Building	40.00	40.00	40.00
Codes Enforcement	21.00	19.00	19.00
LUEG GIS Support	10.00	9.00	9.00
SanGIS	4.00	4.00	4.00
Total	164.00	160.00	160.00

Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Support Services	\$ 4,017,501	\$ 4,567,434	\$ 5,047,434	\$ 3,865,699	\$ 4,200,498	\$ 2,595,280
Advance Planning	2,312,954	8,699,873	9,717,099	5,137,450	6,478,080	1,334,742
Regulatory Planning	8,434,649	9,068,937	9,177,036	7,709,481	8,240,259	8,474,039
Multi-Species Conservation	550,422	—	49,747	—	—	—
Building	5,087,573	6,043,798	6,112,641	5,462,382	5,693,702	5,344,399
Fire Prevention	2,950,817	—	49,056	—	—	—
Codes Enforcement	2,247,706	2,814,497	2,814,497	2,209,597	2,602,202	2,509,465
LUEG GIS Support	1,216,946	1,437,717	1,504,364	1,365,244	1,406,307	1,466,908
SanGIS	769,496	870,781	870,781	750,785	829,317	845,692
Total	\$ 27,588,064	\$ 33,503,037	\$ 35,342,654	\$ 26,500,639	\$ 29,450,365	\$ 22,570,525

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 17,855,610	\$ 19,004,418	\$ 19,004,418	\$ 16,853,817	\$ 17,487,966	\$ 17,642,622
Services & Supplies	9,684,901	14,640,335	16,384,952	9,819,169	12,145,390	5,084,417
Capital Assets Equipment	30,174	—	95,000	93,138	—	—
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(237,458)	(141,716)	(141,716)	(265,485)	(182,991)	(156,514)
Operating Transfers Out	254,838	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$ 27,588,064	\$ 33,503,037	\$ 35,342,654	\$ 26,500,639	\$ 29,450,365	\$ 22,570,525



Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Licenses Permits & Franchises	\$ 2,823,040	\$ 2,799,962	\$ 2,799,962	\$ 2,471,939	\$ 2,342,647	\$ 4,077,473
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	500,937	570,000	570,000	524,189	570,000	500,000
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	1,181	1,000	1,000	647	1,000	1,000
Intergovernmental Revenues	3,365,253	4,598,967	4,598,967	4,074,893	542,767	559,142
Charges For Current Services	8,621,792	8,998,043	8,998,043	8,026,122	8,623,091	9,612,742
Miscellaneous Revenues	236,588	—	—	6,354	—	—
Other Financing Sources	358,059	—	—	—	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	(8,628,388)	9,423,803	11,263,420	4,285,234	10,063,887	179,887
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	20,309,602	7,111,262	7,111,262	7,111,262	7,306,973	7,640,281
Total	\$ 27,588,064	\$ 33,503,037	\$ 35,342,654	\$ 26,500,639	\$ 29,450,365	\$ 22,570,525

Public Works

Department Description

The Department of Public Works (DPW) enhances the health and safety of residents through a variety of critical activities. DPW is responsible for: County-maintained roads; traffic engineering; private land development civil engineering review and construction inspection; design engineering and construction management; land surveying and map processing; cartographic services; watershed quality and flood protection; County Airports; solid waste planning and diversion; inactive landfill management; wastewater systems management; environmental review services and special districts services.

Mission Statement

Preserve and enhance public safety and quality of life through reliable, cost-effective infrastructure.

Foster partnerships that strengthen relationships with communities and industry.

Provide quality and responsive service through highly motivated, professional and knowledgeable staff in a safe work environment.

Continually improve quality of service through optimal resource management.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Completed the environmental review process for the South Santa Fe Avenue – South Segment Reconstruction Project in coordination with Caltrans in order to position the project for federal funding.
- Completed construction of Jamacha Boulevard Phase 2 to improve the roadway to an acceptable level of service, and improve safety by providing pedestrian and bicycle accommodations.
- Prepared four road reviews (goal was four) to evaluate County roads with higher than statewide average collision rates and recommend implementation measures to help reduce the number of collisions.
- Cleaned 454 miles of sewer mains (goal was 450) within the sanitary sewer system to protect public health and the environment by minimizing the risk of sanitary sewer overflows.



- Inspected 22 miles of targeted sewer mains (goal was 20) within the sanitary sewer system to identify sewer defects and facilitate proactive facility repairs to reduce the risk of sanitary sewer spills.
- Upgraded wastewater treatment processes at the Rancho del Campo Water Pollution Control Facility to ensure compliance with regional water quality standards and to protect public health and the environment.
- Completed seven public outreach presentations (goal was three) to North County neighborhood associations and local organizations regarding McClellan-Palomar Airport operations and the County's efforts to minimize noise impacts.

Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Performed over 11,000 stormwater inspections (goal was 8,000) during the construction phase on private development projects to ensure compliance with the State's requirements, reduce erosion and minimize downstream pollutants.
- Protected a sustainable watershed.
 - Provided water quality and watershed education by conducting 166 presentations (goal was 150) to high school students at 90% of unincorporated county public high schools as part of a long-term strategy for achieving positive behavioral changes.
 - Conducted outreach to residents with information and resources on stormwater pollution prevention at 18 community events (goal was 15) throughout the unincorporated areas of the County.
 - Removed 25,404 cubic yards of debris from culverts, drainage channels and roads (goal was 25,000) through a systematic cleaning program to prevent pollution of the county's rivers, bays and ocean.

- Swept 24,690 lane-miles of roadway to clean debris from road surfaces (goal was 16,200) and prevent pollution of the county's rivers, bays and ocean.
 - Completed a Hazardous Material Remediation study at Gillespie Field. Obtained a Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) grant of \$200,000 for the study, which included soils testing and proposed cleanup measures. The study was an FAA requirement for using an area of Gillespie Field for enhanced aviation development.
 - Identified two roadway corridors - Jamacha Road, from Sweetwater Road to Grand Avenue in Spring Valley, and South Mission Road from Sterling Bridge to Winterhaven Road in Fallbrook (goal was one corridor) with closely spaced signalized intersections for purposes of developing traffic signal retiming plans. Implemented traffic signal coordination on both corridors to improve traffic congestion and reduce delays.
 - Attended 111 Watershed Urban Runoff Management Program (WURMP) meetings (goal was 50) to foster water quality improvement through collaborative planning with partner agencies. The County actively participates in developing and implementing WURMPs to improve surface water quality in eight county watersheds. Collaboration with partner agencies helps align priorities, leverage resources and identify regional efficiencies.
 - Managed solid waste and oil generated in the unincorporated county.
 - Worked with all private developments with permits or permits pending (53 this year, originally estimated at 85) that have 40,000 square feet or more of building space, to increase tonnage of construction and demolition materials being diverted from landfills, resulting in diversion of 3,778 tons, or 90% of inert materials and 50% other recyclable materials. (Goals for recycling diversion are limited by the number of projects, their debris volumes, and how much they actually recycle. As a result, the original goal of diverting 7,000 tons could not be met.)
 - Completed 11 oil collection events (goal was ten) recycling 97,779 gallons of used oil and 30,742 used oil filters (goal was 90,000 gallons and 13,000 used oil filters).
 - Completed five tire collection events (goal was four) – recycling 5,211 tires.
 - Assisted 60 hospitality businesses (goal was 50) and 24 multifamily complexes (goal was 20) in initiating recycling programs.
 - Provided education about recycling programs and benefits to 60 school classrooms.
- ### Strategic Initiative – Kids
- Ensured construction work by utilities and private developers in the County's right-of-way that is within 1,000 feet from a school site provides safe access to schools for families and children.
 - Repainted and re-marked crosswalks and roadway legends adjacent to all 121 public school and 12 private school locations throughout the unincorporated areas of the county. This keeps children safe from motoring traffic around their schools and provides safe routes to get to school. This is an annual goal because markings need to be fresh and visible to ensure maximum benefit.
 - Worked with school administrators to analyze, identify and implement school zone improvements for pedestrians, bicyclists, buses and automobiles at 16 schools (goal was 12). This represents 12% of all public and private schools in the unincorporated areas of the county.
- ### Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability
- Upgraded the flare control and recording systems that monitor flare effectiveness and operational status at inactive landfills to touch screen systems that operate better in extreme weather conditions and have lower operations costs. This upgrade reduces average annual costs by \$35,400.
- ### Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement
- Improved departmental asset management through a GIS-based inventory system that includes asset locations, photos and other data to enhance availability. This allows field staff to more quickly and accurately locate assets.
 - Developed a State-approved Mitigation Program in partnership with the Department of Parks and Recreation. This initiative provides a plan for mitigation using county-owned lands and ensuring long-term protection of habitat while reducing short- and long-term mitigation costs, streamlining the mitigation and permitting processes, and meeting evolving State requirements.
- ## 2012-14 Objectives
- ### Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities
- Clean 390 miles of sanitary sewer collector mains within the sanitary sewer system to protect public health and the environment by minimizing the risk of sanitary sewer overflows.

- Inspect 20 miles of targeted sewer mains within the sanitary sewer system to identify sewer defects and facilitate proactive facility repairs to reduce the risk of sanitary sewer spills.
- Upgrade the Jamacha wastewater pump station facility to protect public health and safeguard drinking water supplies at the Sweetwater Reservoir by minimizing the risk of sanitary sewer spills.
- Complete four public outreach presentations to North County neighborhood associations and local organizations regarding McClellan-Palomar Airport operations and the County's efforts to minimize noise impacts.

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Design and initiate construction on at least seven road and road-related infrastructure improvement projects that enhance the transportation network's long-term sustainability.
- Protect a sustainable watershed.
 - Provide water quality and watershed education by conducting 150 presentations to high school students at 90% of unincorporated county public high schools as part of a long-term strategy for achieving positive behavioral changes.
 - Conduct outreach to residents with information and resources on stormwater pollution prevention at 15 community events throughout the unincorporated areas of the county.
 - Perform over 8,000 stormwater inspections during the construction phase on private development projects to ensure compliance with the state's requirements, reduce erosion and minimize downstream pollutants.
 - Develop three watershed-based comprehensive load reduction plans addressing mitigation measures to meet bacteria levels in waterways in accordance with the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board requirements as approved by the Board of Supervisors.
 - Remove 25,000 cubic yards of debris from culverts, drainage channels and roads through a systematic cleaning program to prevent pollution of the county's rivers, bays and ocean.
 - Sweep 16,200 lane-miles of roadway to clean debris from road surfaces and prevent pollution of the county's rivers, bays and ocean.
- Attend 75 Watershed Urban Runoff Management Program (WURMP) meetings to foster water quality improvement through collaborative planning with partner agencies. The County actively participates in developing and implementing WURMPs to improve surface water quality in eight county watersheds. Collaboration with partner agencies helps align priorities, leverage resources and identify regional efficiencies.
- Manage solid waste and oil generated in the unincorporated county.
 - Work with all private developments with permits or permits pending that have 40,000 square feet or more of building space (estimated 45), to increase tonnage of construction and demolition materials being diverted from landfills with a goal of diverting 3,000 tons or 90% of inert materials and 70% of other recyclable materials. (Goals for recycling diversion are limited by the number of projects, their debris volumes, and how much they actually recycle.)
 - Complete 10 oil collection and outreach events - recycling 80,000 gallons of used oil and 15,000 used oil filters.
 - Assist 80 businesses and 20 multifamily complexes in initiating recycling programs.
 - Provide education about recycling programs and benefits to 50 school classrooms.
 - Provide residential composting education at nine workshops and two community event. Install one new composting demonstration site. Provide two Master Composter course to residents to further their home composting knowledge.
 - Promote recycling hotline and database to achieve at least 5,000 inquiries from the public.
 - Add information on 50 recycling centers/events to the recycling database at www.wastefreesd.org.
- Provide Recycling Market Development Zone assistance to five businesses. Work collaboratively with applicable jurisdictions to provide assistance in siting and permitting.
- Partner with East Otay Mesa property owners, SANDAG, CalTrans, the City of San Diego to plan and construct a regional sanitary sewer network to support the phased implementation of the East Otay Mesa Specific Plan while safeguarding public health and the environment.
- Partner with property owners and the Rincon del Diablo Water District to plan and design the Harmony Grove Water Reclamation facility to provide safe and reliable collection and treatment of wastewater and for the production of reclaimed water for beneficial reuse in the water district service areas.



Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Ensure construction work by utilities and private developers in the County’s right-of-way that is within 1,000 feet from a school site provides safe access to schools for families and children.
- Repaint and re-mark crosswalks and roadway legends adjacent to all 121 public school and 12 private school locations throughout the unincorporated areas of the county. This keeps children safe from motoring traffic around their schools and provides safe routes to get to school. This is an annual goal because markings need to be fresh and visible to ensure maximum benefit.
- Work with school administrators to analyze, identify and implement school zone improvements for pedestrians, bicyclists, buses and automobiles at 12 schools. This represents 9% of all public and private schools in the unincorporated areas of the county.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Promote and drive customer service as a top priority throughout the organization by providing customer service training to all employees, emphasizing customer-

focus in all management and section meetings, setting up a shared online customer services resource site, and participating in all land development services improvements and initiatives as directed by the Board of Supervisors.

- Implement recommendations from two business process reengineering events conducted in Fiscal Year 2011-12 to streamline efforts and enhance quality assurance. One is consolidation of roadside landscaping services formerly managed in several sections of the department into the Special Districts unit. Another is consolidation of project design and preparation of road maintenance projects, such as concrete-asphalt overlay projects into DPW’s Capital Improvement Program, rather than having a hand-off between two sections at the point of contract package preparation.

Related Links

For additional information about the DPW, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dpw/>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
School zone circulation improvements identified and implemented at existing public and private schools in the unincorporated areas of the county for pedestrians, bicyclists, buses and automobiles ¹	12% of 116	9% of 133	12% of 133	9% of 133	9% of 133
Developments at and near schools that include pedestrian facilities and traffic safety features to enhance safe routes to schools ²	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Number of cubic yards of drainage waste/debris removed to protect water quality	27,680	25,000	25,404	25,000	25,000
New infrastructure construction sites that utilize erosion control measures ³	100% of 26	100% of 35	100% of 29	100% of 26	100% of 25
Miles of sewer mains cleaned in County Sanitation and Sewer Maintenance Districts ⁴	456	450	454	390	390
Construction contracts awarded for Capital Improvement Projects in county communities and construction contracts completed or awarded for projects near schools that enhance safety and improve traffic flow (total number of Capital Improvement Projects) ⁵	26	12	20	N/A ⁵	N/A

Table Notes

¹ This Performance Measure includes the total of existing private as well as public schools in the unincorporated area of the county. Publicly maintained streets abut private schools as well as public schools, and safety is important at all of them. In Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals, the number of schools was incorrectly calculated at 116 but it was actually 133 that year as well.

² This measure includes both open and closed projects occurring during the fiscal year. The value to the public of this measure is that 100% of development projects adjacent to schools are addressed for safety issues, which is very important for the safety and well-being of children.

³ The County has active Public Works construction sites and must maintain proper stormwater controls throughout construction to ensure they will not cause pollution (such as silt and debris) to enter watersheds. No matter how many construction sites there are, all sites must have controls. The estimated number of overall sites is projected to decrease in Fiscal Year 2012-13 due to the economy.

⁴ There are 387 miles of sewer collector mains (15-inch diameter and smaller) in County systems; some are re-cleaned during the year. The number of miles to be cleaned in Fiscal Year 2012-13 has been reduced as a result of an engineering review that determined the optimal number of miles to clean annually to maintain the pipes in top condition over time. Every year, all pipes will be cleaned.



⁵ This reflects the number of major Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) initiated (designed and construction contract awarded) during the year to enhance community traffic flow and safety. DPW plans to discontinue use of this goal in this particular area of the Operational Plan beginning in Fiscal Year 2012-13 since comparing five big projects to ten smaller projects does not capture the value to communities. Instead, major CIP projects will be reported in the Accomplishments section of this document and a more meaningful performance measure will be developed in the future for this table.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Decrease of 2.00 staff years.

- Decrease of 1.00 staff year in the Land Development Program due to consolidation of management oversight.
- Decrease of 1.00 staff year in the Engineering Services Program due to a decrease in workload.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$19.7 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net increase of \$1,398 reflects negotiated labor agreements, an increase in County retirement contributions, and an increase in workers' compensation insurance primarily offset by a decrease due to the elimination of a one-time negotiated salary adjustment from Fiscal Year 2011-12 and a decrease of 2.00 staff years noted above.
- Services and Supplies — net decrease of \$5.1 million.
 - Decrease of a \$13.5 million is related to decreases in various DPW capital projects near completion or completed including Road Fund one-time Proposition 1B, *the Transportation Bond Program*, funded (fund balance) projects and decreases in Flood Control District, Inactive Landfill, Land Use and Environment Group, and General Fund one-time funded projects;
 - Increase of \$8.4 million includes increases in vehicle maintenance and fuel costs in the Equipment Operations Internal Service Fund (ISF), equipment maintenance in the San Diego County Sanitation District, battery backup replacements for traffic signals, contracted services due to new stormwater permit requirements, costs from the City of San Diego Metropolitan Wastewater Department for the transportation of wastewater, contributions to the Equipment ISFs, Public Liability insurance, Countywide overhead costs, utilities, facility management, landscaping services for maintenance of medians, aircraft fuel expenditures for Borrego airport, one-time information services system enhancements, and new Dehesa Road and Wildcat Canyon Rubberized Asphalt projects funded by Indian Gaming Local Community Benefit Committee grants.
- Other Charges — net decrease of \$8.7 million includes a decrease of \$9.6 million in right-of-way acquisition costs for Bear Valley Parkway, partially offset by an increase of \$1.0 million in right-of-way easement for San Vicente (\$0.8 million) and an increase for equipment depreciation in the Equipment Acquisition ISFs and infrastructure depreciation at Gillespie Palomar Airports for access stairway and runway taxiway and signage (\$0.2 million).
- Capital Assets/Land Acquisition — net decrease of \$13.8 million includes a decrease of \$15.7 million due to the completion or near completion of capital projects in the County of San Diego Sanitation District and Airports partially offset by an increase of \$1.9 million due to the design of new capital projects in Airports and Sanitation District.
- Capital Assets Equipment — increase of \$1.4 million includes an increase of \$1.2 million in the Equipment Acquisition ISF funds for vehicle and equipment purchases necessary to meet State emission control standards, which have changed significantly, and an increase of \$0.2 million for equipment purchases for firefighting, security and other operational needs at the County operated airports.
- Fund Balance Component Increases — net increase of \$11.4 million due to the one-time use of \$11.4 million of fund balance to establish replacement reserves for equipment and infrastructure in the San Diego County Sanitation District for Lakeside, Julian and Wintergarden service areas, offset by a decrease of \$0.05 million due to one-time use of fund balance to establish a replacement reserve in Rancho del Campo Water. These changes are necessary as a follow-up to the consolidation of the County's sanitation districts into one district, which was effective July 1, 2011.

- Operating Transfers Out — net decrease of \$4.9 million includes a decrease of \$5.4 million between the Flood Control District and the General Fund for Woodside Avenue capital project and a decrease of \$0.3 million between the Equipment Operations ISF and the Road Fund Equipment Acquisition Fund offset by an increase of \$0.1 million between the Wastewater Enterprise fund and the Liquid Waste Equipment Acquisition ISF and \$0.7 million between the Road Fund and Road Fund Equipment Acquisition fund for the purchase of new equipment.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$19.7 million.

- Taxes Current Property — decrease of \$0.1 million based on projected taxes from property owners.
- Taxes Other Than Current Secured — decrease of \$5.7 million due to the completion of capital projects funded by TransNet sales tax budgeted for projects in the Road Fund which include Olive Vista, Jefferson Road and Valley Center Road Medians.
- Licenses Permits & Franchises — net decrease of \$0.06 million due to projected decrease of \$0.09 million due to near completion of projects and reduced workload for map reproduction offset by a \$0.03 million increase in passenger facility charges at County operated airports.
- Revenue From Use of Money & Property — net increase of \$1.3 million includes a \$0.4 million decrease primarily due to declining interest on investments and deposits in all DPW funds and an offsetting increase of \$1.7 million. The offsetting \$1.7 million increase includes a \$1.2 million increase in equipment rental rates in the equipment ISFs, a projected \$0.3 million increase for rental properties owned by County airports, and \$0.2 million for royalties from a projected increased sale of aviation fuel at Palomar airport as well as parking lot use and landing fees at County airports.
- Intergovernmental Revenues — net decrease of \$1.3 million.
 - Net increase of \$1.1 million related to an increase in *State Aid Other Grants* primarily due to an increase of \$0.6 million for Proposition 84, *Stormwater Grant Program* grants to implement an Integrated Regional Water Management plan to improve water quality; an increase of \$0.6 million in Indian Gaming Local Benefit Committee grants for asphalt resurfacing of Dehesa and Wildcat Canyon roads; and an increase of \$0.1 million in Aid from Other Agencies for increased workload associated with watershed management. This is partially offset by a decrease of \$0.2 million for Landfill's completed Bonsall Slope and Drainage repair project funded by a California Department of Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) grant.
 - Decrease of \$2.4 million related to a decrease of \$0.7 million in *Community Development Block Grant* funded construction projects; a \$0.4 million decrease in *Federal Aid for Disaster* for decreased work on the 2010 Flooding Emergency projects; a \$0.2 million decrease in *Federal Department of Transportation (DOT) Airport* due to completion or near completion of federally funded airport projects; a \$0.2 million decrease in *Federal Highway Planning & Construction* for *Federal Highway Administration* projects in the Road Fund; a \$0.7 million decrease in *State Construction Other* due to fewer State funded capital projects in the Road Fund; and \$0.2 million in other decreases in *State Aid* due to the completion of a State funded oil recycling project, and an anticipated decrease in right-of-way rental income.
- Charges for Current Services — net decrease of \$1.6 million.
 - Decrease of \$3.8 million includes a \$2.2 million decrease in the Transportation Impact Fee funded capital projects due to changes in project eligibility requirements; a \$0.7 million decrease due to less private development work; a \$0.7 million decrease in capital projects funded by Capital Outlay, Airports, Liquid Waste and Inactive Waste funds; and, a \$0.2 million reduction in sanitation sewer service charge rates related to the consolidation of the sanitation districts.
 - Increase of \$2.2 million, includes \$1.2 million for new or continuing projects funded by the Flood Control and Road Fund; a \$0.3 million increase due to more sanitation capital projects generating shared contribution from other agencies; a \$0.2 million increase in solid waste tonnage fees due to increased operating costs; a \$0.4 million increase due to more work for General Fund departments; and, a \$0.1 million increased management support provided to the Road Fund.
- Miscellaneous Revenues — net increase of \$0.9 million due to a \$1.0 million increase for capital projects in the Road Fund funded by Indian gaming grants and a \$0.1 million increase for fuel sales at Borrego airport partially offset by a \$0.1 million decrease due to the completion of the maintenance period required for the San Marcos



Closure Landfill, and a \$0.1 million decrease in Firestorm 2007 reimbursements from insurance and individuals.

- Other Financing Sources — net decrease of \$4.9 million includes a decrease of \$5.4 million between the Flood Control District and the General Fund for Woodside Avenue capital project and a decrease of \$0.3 million between the Equipment Operations ISF and the Road Fund Equipment Acquisition Fund offset by an increase of \$0.1 million between the Wastewater Enterprise fund and the Liquid Waste Equipment Acquisition ISF, and an increase of \$0.7 million between the Road Fund and Road Fund Equipment Acquisition fund for the purchase of new equipment.
- Fund Balance Component Decreases — decrease of \$16.3 million for the San Diego County Sanitation District for completed capital projects.
- Use of Fund Balance — net increase of \$7.9 million. A total of \$45.9 million budgeted includes:
 - One-time General Fund fund balance of \$2.7 million in the Watershed Protection Program for new Bacteria Total Maximum Daily Load requirements implemented by the Regional Water Quality Control Board.
 - One-time Land Use and Environment Group fund balance of \$0.65 million includes \$0.2 million for Land Development improvements and online tools to enhance customer service and streamline the Land Development permit process; \$0.1 for additional Business Case Management System – Accela (BCMS) automation hardware and software for online customer submittal of documents; \$0.15 million for the BCMS implementation costs in the Land Development program; \$0.15 million for document digitization to decrease paper storage in advance of department divisions moving to the new County Operations Center; and \$0.05 million Traffic Modeling staff time to ensure alignment with the newly adopted Mobility Element of the General Plan.
 - Rebudget of \$0.75 million of Land Use and Environment Group fund balance in the department General Fund for projects that will continue into Fiscal Year 2012-13 including the County’s match for the Integrated Regional Water Management’s data manage-

ment system and Residential Integrated Pest Management grant programs; Proctor Valley Road vacation and closure; and an online database for waste haulers, transfer stations and landfills to enter quarterly disposal and recycling tonnage data.

- One-time funding of \$ 41.8 million budgeted for one-time projects in various DPW funds including purchasing replacement or new equipment in the DPW Internal Service Equipment Acquisition funds; one-time capital projects in the Airports Program, consolidated San Diego County Sanitation District, and Road Fund; right-of-way purchase for San Vicente, commitments for replacement in Lakeside, Julian and Wintergarden service areas in the San Diego County Sanitation District; maintenance for paving projects and potential emergencies in the Permanent Road Divisions; asset replacement in the Lighting District; and irrigation and landscaping projects in the County Service Area landscaping districts.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.1 million for negotiated labor agreements and increased County retirement contributions in the Watershed Protection Program.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

- Net decrease of \$20.8 million is due to a decrease of \$8.9 million for projected completion of projects; a decrease of \$0.3 million due to completed equipment purchases in the equipment ISF; an \$11.5 million decrease due to completed establishment of replacement funding in the San Diego County Sanitation District; \$0.5 million decrease in right-of-way, and a \$2.3 million decrease in Operating Transfers Out due to the completion of transfer between Road Fund and Road Fund Equipment Acquisition ISF. Partial offsetting increases of \$2.7 million include \$1.8 million due to increases in negotiated labor agreements and County retirement contributions; and an increase of \$0.9 million for new or continuing capital projects in Airports and Wastewater Enterprise Funds.

Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Transportation Program	201.00	201.00	201.00
Land Development Program	84.00	83.00	83.00
Engineering Services Program	72.00	71.00	71.00
Solid Waste Management Program	17.00	17.00	17.00
Management Services Program	50.00	50.00	50.00
General Fund Activities Program	28.00	28.00	28.00
Airports Program	35.00	35.00	35.00
Wastewater Management Program	39.00	39.00	39.00
Total	526.00	524.00	524.00

Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Transportation Program	\$ 31,692,289	\$ 35,903,433	\$ 38,706,782	\$ 33,436,737	\$ 37,250,443	\$ 37,649,686
Land Development Program	13,242,124	15,289,859	15,842,739	13,718,549	14,564,165	14,781,945
Engineering Services Program	41,320,090	45,456,341	92,341,470	44,586,203	30,615,257	25,600,167
Solid Waste Management Program	6,802,481	7,117,264	7,941,378	6,012,503	6,321,657	6,376,448
Management Services Program	10,810,415	11,872,151	11,883,375	10,747,279	13,220,980	11,348,031
General Fund Activities Program	15,306,379	17,457,242	19,426,719	15,940,481	14,164,088	9,512,831
Airports Program	13,616,359	17,785,677	31,408,915	13,474,097	18,360,708	15,845,905
Wastewater Management Program	5,975,983	6,921,099	6,959,527	6,144,539	7,064,485	6,937,724
Sanitation Districts	21,631,760	40,703,296	122,552,797	101,957,033	40,219,977	31,152,810
Flood Control	5,336,573	12,579,400	13,715,324	6,167,617	7,470,592	9,233,453
County Service Areas	274,281	544,069	564,751	225,772	472,690	472,690
Street Lighting District	1,567,594	1,797,313	1,804,288	1,601,782	1,990,079	1,990,079
Permanent Road Divisions	1,441,435	7,959,608	8,041,010	724,508	7,831,022	7,825,608
Equipment ISF Program	7,809,844	10,913,260	12,945,090	7,618,063	13,024,509	13,024,509
Total	\$ 176,827,605	\$ 232,300,012	\$ 384,134,164	\$ 262,355,161	\$ 212,570,652	\$ 191,751,886



Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 56,148,703	\$ 61,543,052	\$ 60,963,060	\$ 57,111,818	\$ 61,544,450	\$ 63,387,929
Services & Supplies	104,998,500	118,282,592	175,746,976	101,340,664	113,165,143	104,212,104
Other Charges	13,528,182	20,675,404	20,915,018	16,572,469	11,983,499	11,456,595
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	70,460	20,543,500	34,307,241	—	6,717,800	7,590,000
Capital Assets Equipment	(45,762)	3,278,500	4,830,657	9,000	4,704,000	4,408,000
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(255)	—	—	—	—	—
Fund Balance Component Increases	—	50,000	50,000	—	11,465,898	—
Operating Transfers Out	2,127,778	7,926,964	87,321,212	87,321,211	2,989,862	697,258
Total	\$ 176,827,605	\$ 232,300,012	\$ 384,134,164	\$ 262,355,161	\$ 212,570,652	\$ 191,751,886

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Current Property	\$ 5,254,592	\$ 5,305,496	\$ 5,305,496	\$ 5,265,449	\$ 5,228,448	\$ 5,228,448
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	9,421,083	9,997,488	23,167,393	13,009,315	4,294,088	4,294,463
Licenses Permits & Franchises	554,349	267,190	267,190	322,663	207,388	203,388
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	102,355	—	—	104,060	—	—
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	20,506,674	19,083,405	19,083,420	20,416,161	20,408,289	20,416,379
Intergovernmental Revenues	74,394,048	71,406,254	86,897,786	77,769,026	70,143,372	68,197,821
Charges For Current Services	55,529,181	56,807,841	57,111,558	52,356,577	55,166,506	56,626,527
Miscellaneous Revenues	842,042	1,191,598	2,047,305	6,044,361	2,130,098	1,629,098
Other Financing Sources	4,031,921	7,926,964	87,338,298	87,728,148	2,989,862	697,258
Fund Balance Component Decreases	8,650,112	16,261,474	16,261,474	16,261,474	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	23,798,493	38,063,054	80,664,996	(22,911,321)	45,913,902	28,178,330
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	5,887,728	5,989,248	5,989,248	5,989,248	6,088,699	6,280,174
Total	\$ 176,827,605	\$ 232,300,012	\$ 384,134,164	\$ 262,355,161	\$ 212,570,652	\$ 191,751,886

County of San Diego

Community Services Group

Community Services Group Summary & Executive Office	301
Animal Services	305
County Library	311
General Services	317
Housing & Community Development	325
Purchasing and Contracting	333
County of San Diego Redevelopment Agency and Successor Agency	339
Registrar of Voters	345

Community Services Group Summary & Executive Office

Group Description

The Community Services Group Executive Office ensures fiscal responsibility and provides management direction for six County departments and the former San Diego County Redevelopment Agency and Successor Agency. The six departments are:

- Animal Services
- Housing and Community Development
- County Library
- Registrar of Voters
- General Services
- Purchasing and Contracting

Through these departments, the Community Services Group provides a wide variety of public services to County residents and offers internal support services to County departments. Public services include animal protection, sheltering and adoption; 33 branch libraries and two mobile libraries with collections and programs; housing assistance such as rental and first-time homebuyer programs; community and economic development; and voter and election services. Internal support services include managing County facilities, major maintenance projects, capital improvements, fleet management, Countywide contracting oversight and procurement, and energy usage management.

Mission Statement

To provide cost-effective and responsive services to our customers – the public, client cities and County departments. These services are provided with an emphasis on customer satisfaction, quality and value.

2011-12 Accomplishments

The departments of the Community Services Group collectively support all Strategic Initiatives and Required Disciplines and their efforts are detailed in subsequent sections. Accomplishments include:

- Replacement of San Pasqual Academy housing and administration facilities destroyed in the 2007 Witch Creek Fire.
- Maintained hours of service at 33 libraries and 2 mobile libraries.
- Conducted the Presidential Primary Election in June 2012, increasing the number of accessible polling places by 11.5%.



- Achieved the goal of 0% euthanasia of any healthy, friendly animal at County animal shelters.
- Reduced vehicle emissions for diesel vehicles and equipment.
- Completed scheduled preventive maintenance of County facilities to maximize operational efficiency and prolong their life and service.
- Provided resources to homeless families exiting from transitional housing.
- Expedited procurement services to internal County customers and vendors who do business with the County.
- Completed the dissolution of the County of San Diego Redevelopment Agency and the transfer to the County Successor Agency, as required by legislation in Assembly Bill X1 26, *Community Redevelopment Dissolution*.

The County's capital facilities program continued its expansion in Fiscal Year 2011-12 with progress and results in multi-year planning and execution efforts. The Department of General Services manages the capital program with the objectives of keeping projects on schedule and within budget. The Department of Purchasing and Contracting ensures that goods and services are procured with best practices in contracting bidding and oversight. Significant multi-year projects in progress during Fiscal Year 2011-12 included:

- New County Administration Center (CAC) Waterfront Park.
- New parking structure at Cedar and Kettner streets in downtown San Diego.
- Phase 1B of the County Operations Center (COC), allowing the relocation of County staff from the COC Annex, the CAC and leased office space.

- Development of the newly-acquired County property on Chesapeake Drive, adjacent to the COC, to include a new location for the Registrar of Voters.
- Replacement Women's Detention Facility.
- New Rancho San Diego Sheriff's Station.
- East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility.

2012-14 Objectives

Community Services Group activities over the next two years continue the departments' support of the County's Strategic Initiatives, dedication to internal and external customer service, and maintaining a culture of accountability and transparency in the use of public resources. Objectives include:

- Open the new Lincoln Acres Library, community room, and business offices for Sheriff staff and the Lower Sweetwater Fire Protection District.
- Increase the number of permanent vote-by-mail voters by 5%.
- Complete the addition of Chinese language to voting and election materials.
- Improve County operations through sustainability efforts in alternative energy systems, energy conservation, recycling, and green building design.
- Ensure that most of the dogs and cats in County animal shelters are reunited with their owner or adopted into a new home.
- Expand contracting and other procurement training with online courses.
- Develop new communication channels to release timely housing-related information in emergencies as well as ongoing housing and community development opportunities.
- Conduct the activities of the County Oversight Board to conclude the programs and activities of the former Redevelopment Agency.

Related Links

For additional information about the Community Services Group, refer to the website at

<http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/community/>.

Executive Office Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$0.7 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — no significant change.
- Services and Supplies — increase of \$0.1 million for study to plan for future County facilities in downtown San Diego.
- Operating Transfers Out — increase of \$0.65 million for upgrade of business software in the Fleet Management Internal Service Fund (\$0.4 million) and to support Countywide facilities planning and regulatory efforts in the Facilities Management Internal Service Fund (\$0.3 million).

Revenues

Net increase of \$0.7 million.

- Use of Fund Balance — increase of \$0.8 million for a total budget of \$4.0 million to fund one-time projects and provide management reserves for operating contingencies: \$3.2 million for management reserves, \$0.1 million for study to plan for future County facilities in downtown San Diego, \$0.4 million for upgrade of business software in the Fleet Management Internal Service Fund, and \$0.3 million to support Countywide facilities planning and regulatory efforts in the Facilities Management Internal Service Fund.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — no significant change.

Executive Office Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Decrease of \$0.8 million primarily due to elimination of prior year one-time projects, offset by a minor increase for County retirement contributions.

Group Staffing by Department

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Community Services Executive Office	8.00	8.00	8.00
Animal Services	123.00	123.00	123.00
County Library	280.50	270.00	266.00
General Services	331.00	336.00	336.00
Housing & Community Development	102.00	102.00	102.00
Purchasing and Contracting	56.00	56.00	56.00
Registrar of Voters	63.00	64.00	64.00
Total	963.50	959.00	955.00

Group Expenditures by Department

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Community Services Executive Office	\$ 2,590,043	\$ 5,999,161	\$ 8,401,599	\$ 2,189,619	\$ 6,725,231	\$ 5,941,679
Animal Services	14,090,546	15,343,329	15,673,140	14,995,250	15,822,397	15,612,937
County Library	35,749,624	35,209,942	36,577,885	32,781,801	33,415,948	33,720,976
General Services	134,697,373	157,792,626	182,104,182	147,747,270	174,595,980	176,365,652
Housing & Community Development	23,806,535	24,139,672	37,249,120	19,591,563	24,456,940	20,374,105
Purchasing and Contracting	11,263,519	13,343,463	13,663,961	12,015,281	20,015,588	11,678,745
County of San Diego Redevelopment Agency	6,893,416	8,505,810	12,345,364	11,576,806	—	—
County Successor Agency	—	—	3,713,673	17,175,154	9,410,160	9,604,645
Registrar of Voters	15,161,159	28,772,369	29,718,846	15,651,238	21,094,756	19,826,771
Total	\$ 244,252,215	\$ 289,106,372	\$ 339,447,769	\$ 273,723,982	\$ 305,537,000	\$ 293,125,510

Community Services Group Summary & Executive Office

Executive Office Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Community Services Executive Office	8.00	8.00	8.00
Total	8.00	8.00	8.00

Executive Office Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Community Services Executive Office	\$ 2,590,043	\$ 5,999,161	\$ 8,401,599	\$ 2,189,619	\$ 6,725,231	\$ 5,941,679
Total	\$ 2,590,043	\$ 5,999,161	\$ 8,401,599	\$ 2,189,619	\$ 6,725,231	\$ 5,941,679

Executive Office Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 1,244,152	\$ 1,383,905	\$ 1,383,905	\$ 1,212,739	\$ 1,343,130	\$ 1,380,288
Services & Supplies	1,332,319	1,365,256	3,531,266	975,636	1,482,101	1,311,391
Operating Transfers Out	13,572	—	236,428	1,244	650,000	—
Management Reserves	—	3,250,000	3,250,000	—	3,250,000	3,250,000
Total	\$ 2,590,043	\$ 5,999,161	\$ 8,401,599	\$ 2,189,619	\$ 6,725,231	\$ 5,941,679

Executive Office Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Charges For Current Services	\$ 793,214	\$ 791,836	\$ 791,836	\$ 1,043,002	\$ 791,836	\$ 791,836
Miscellaneous Revenues	—	—	—	348,162	—	—
Other Financing Sources	4,500,000	—	—	—	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	(4,724,650)	3,272,846	5,675,284	(1,136,024)	4,050,000	3,250,000
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	2,021,479	1,934,479	1,934,479	1,934,479	1,883,395	1,899,843
Total	\$ 2,590,043	\$ 5,999,161	\$ 8,401,599	\$ 2,189,619	\$ 6,725,231	\$ 5,941,679

Animal Services

Department Description

The Department of Animal Services (DAS) protects the public from dangerous animals, protects animals from abuse and neglect, and saves the lives of thousands of unwanted, abandoned or lost pets each year. More than 26,000 animals enter the department's three shelters annually. The department provides animal-related law enforcement, sheltering, medical and pet adoption services to the unincorporated area of the County and, by contract, to the cities of San Diego, Carlsbad, Del Mar, Encinitas, Santee and Solana Beach.

Mission Statement

To protect the health, safety and welfare of people and animals.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Promoted child safety and injury prevention by conducting 50 animal safety and dog bite prevention presentations to area schools and other organizations that serve local youth. Eighty presentations are normally presented per year; however, this year the requests from local area schools were lower than expected.
- Encouraged children to read by supporting the County Library's Read for a Reason program. This year 10,737 children read for more than 80,000 hours to support the purchase of 15 lighted collars and first aid kits for Search and Rescue dogs.
- Enhanced children's awareness of animal welfare issues and promoted the humane treatment of animals through participation in a joint facility tour and humane education program with the San Diego Humane Society, and by co-hosting 12 classroom or youth group visits to the Campus for Animal Care or other County animal shelters.
- Assisted young people in developing job skills that will help them become thriving, self-sufficient adults through participation in the County's Workforce Academy for Youth, the Youth Empowerment Services Program, the Animal Health Technology Program at Mesa College, the PIMA Medical Institute and Regional Occupation Programs (ROP) from various schools within the County. The Department provided job training opportunities for one WAY student, five PIMA Medi-



cal Institute students, two ROP students and six Mesa College students. The department did not participate in the Youth Empowerment Services Program.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Achieved goal of 0% euthanasia of any healthy, friendly animal (16,611) by reuniting animals with their owner or through adoption.
- Ensured that 100% of all dogs and cats (23,267) that could be safely vaccinated were vaccinated within 24 hours of intake at a County shelter.
- Evaluated 100% of all animals in the shelters longer than 60 days (800) by veterinary and behavior staff to ensure the physical and emotional stability of the animals in a shelter environment.
- Responded to 86% (24,512 of 28,503) of calls on time. Due to staffing shortages, Animal Services was unable to ensure at least 94.4% of patrol responses were on time according to department protocols for responding to various levels of priority calls.
- Participated in four Community Emergency Response Team (CERT) meetings to inform and educate communities on emergency preparedness for their pets.
- Conducted eight classes for the Responsible Pet Ownership program to teach responsible pet ownership to animal law violators and to reduce the burden on the court system, exceeding the goal of six.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Achieved a customer satisfaction rating of 4.67 indicating a high level of satisfaction with customer service (scale is 1 to 5, with 5 being excellent), however, below goal of 4.72.

Required Discipline – Regional Leadership

- Made 18 presentations during the year to community or industry groups describing department services, animal health and welfare issues, cruelty investigations and/or new animal-related legislation, exceeding the goal of 8.
- Submitted three articles to trade journals and community publications to highlight department services, animal health and welfare issues, dog bite prevention and adoption opportunities.
- Provided 16 dog bite prevention and other educational presentations this year to local area community groups and companies to promote safety and education in the communities that the department serves. Requests from local companies and community groups were lower than expected this year.

Required Discipline – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Continued to seek qualified diverse applicants by attending four job fairs and advertising positions in periodicals targeted to minority populations to fill positions as needed.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Ensured that 69.7% (16,217 of 23,267) of sheltered dogs and cats were reunited with their owner or adopted into a new home.
- The Department was not able to meet the goal of ensuring that no more than 12.9% of treatable animals were euthanized by providing medical care where resources allow and placing animals with rescue partners or adopters. Animal Services euthanized 25.3% of treatable animals and, thus, did not meet this goal due to the increasing number of animals being surrendered or abandoned by their owners that had pre-existing medical conditions.
- Reduced the dependency on outsourcing veterinary clinics by increasing the number of in-house sterilization surgeries by 21% (for a total of 2,900, which is 500 more than the previous year), to reduce or eliminate wait times for adopters to take possession of their new pet.
- Participated in volunteer business process reengineering effort with various departments in the Community Services Group to improve and standardize the overall process for engaging volunteers in various departments.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Achieve goal of 0% euthanasia of any healthy, friendly animal by reuniting animals with their owner or through adoption.
- Promote child safety and injury prevention by conducting a minimum of 80 animal safety and dog bite prevention presentations to area schools and other organizations that encounter dogs while conducting their normal operations.
- Respond to 94.4% of patrol responses on time according to department protocols for responding to various levels of priority calls.
- Conduct eight classes per year for the Responsible Pet Ownership program to teach responsible pet ownership to animal law violators and to reduce the burden on the court system.
- Inform and educate county residents on emergency preparedness for their pets and themselves to ensure they are protected in case of an emergency.
 - Participate in four Community Emergency Response Team (CERT) meetings per year.
 - Conduct 10 presentations to community groups.
- Enhance children's awareness of animal welfare issues and promote the humane treatment of animals through participation in a joint facility tour and humane education program with the San Diego Humane Society, and by co-hosting a minimum of 12 classroom or youth group visits per year to the Campus for Animal Care or other County animal shelters. These educational endeavors will help ensure that both animals and people are protected from neglect and abuse, promoting safe communities.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Encourage children to read by supporting the County Library's Read for a Reason program and by providing an additional incentive to read.
- Assist young people in developing job skills that will help them become thriving, self-sufficient adults through participation in the County's Workforce Academy for Youth, the Animal Health Technology Program at Mesa College, the PIMA Medical Institute and Regional Occupation Programs from various schools within the County. The department will provide job training opportunities to at least one participant in each program per year.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Ensure customers are provided with superior services by being responsive to customers' needs, professional, courteous, attentive and knowledgeable. Achieve a customer satisfaction rating of 4.72 or better (on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being Excellent).

Required Discipline for Excellence – Regional Leadership

- Make eight presentations per year to community or industry groups describing department services, disaster preparedness, animal health and welfare issues, cruelty investigations and/or new animal-related legislation.
- Submit at least three articles to trade journals or community publications to highlight department services, animal health and welfare issues, dog bite prevention and/or adoption opportunities.
- Provide at least 24 dog bite prevention presentations per year for County departments and local area companies to promote the safety of their employees who may encounter dogs in the scope of their employment (e.g., SDG&E and the U.S. Postal Service).

Required Discipline for Excellence – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Continue to seek qualified diverse applicants by attending four job fairs and advertising positions in periodicals targeted to minority populations in order to fill positions as needed.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- The department strives to continuously improve the plight and welfare of the animals in its care through best practices, innovation and creative strategies. To fulfill its mission and provide maximum service and value to the community, the Department focuses on the following key objectives:
 - Ensure at least 71.4% of an estimated 26,000 sheltered dogs and cats are reunited with their owner or adopted into a new home.
 - Ensure no more than 12.9% of treatable animals are euthanized by providing medical care where resources allow and placing animals with rescue partners or adopters.
 - Reduce the dependency on outsourcing veterinary clinics by increasing the number of in-house sterilization surgeries by 500 each year over the previous year to reduce or eliminate wait times for adopters to take possession of their new pet.
- Develop and implement at least two business process reengineering improvements that reduce costs or enhance customer service, productivity or revenue.

Related Links

For additional information about the Department of Animal Services, refer to the website at <http://www.sddac.com/>.



Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Sheltered dogs and cats either adopted or reunited with owners	66.5% of 23,259	68.8%	69.7% of 23,267	71.4% ⁵	71.4%
On-time patrol response ¹	88% of 27,635 calls	94.4%	86% of 28,503 calls	94.4%	94.4%
Adoptable shelter animals euthanized ²	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Euthanized animals that were treatable	26.4%	12.9%	25.3% ³	12.9%	12.9%
Customer Satisfaction Rating ⁴	4.72	4.72	4.67	4.72	4.72

Table Notes

¹ Patrol time response standards, varying by urgency of call, are established by contract with client cities. In Fiscal Year 2011-12, Animal Services was unable to ensure at least 94.4% of patrol responses were on time according to department protocols for responding to various levels of priority calls due to staffing shortages.

² Treatable animals are only tracked in connection with euthanasia. Animals that are claimed or adopted are not medically or behaviorally categorized.

³ The number of animals, primarily cats, with treatable conditions has significantly increased, constraining staff's ability to diagnose medical issues and treat sheltered animals. Cats with medical conditions are particularly problematic due to their numbers and lack of adoption or rescue options. In addition, medical staff continue to focus on additional in-house spay/neuter surgeries (a State mandate for adopted pets) due to fewer private veterinary clinics willing to contract with the County for those services.

⁴ Scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being "excellent."

⁵ Target was increased from the CAO Proposed Operational Plan to better reflect the department's efforts to reunite dogs and cats with their owners or to place them in new adoptive homes. This increase was made based on the department's performance in recent years.

**Budget Changes and Operational Impact:
2011-12 to 2012-13**

Staffing

No change in staffing

Expenditures

Increase of \$0.5 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$0.1 million primarily due to negotiated labor agreements and increase in County retirement contributions.
- Services and Supplies — increase of \$0.3 million due to the department's need to upgrade its Integrated Voice Response (IVR) telephone system.

- Capital Assets Equipment — increase of \$0.1 million for one-time fixed asset purchase of medical equipment.

Revenues

Net increase of \$0.5 million.

- Charges for Current Services — increase of \$0.4 million for the contract cities' proportional share of the department's operational budget.
- Use of Fund Balance — budget of \$0.6 million is to fund the upgrade to the IVR telephone system, two digital X-ray machines and scheduled major maintenance.

- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.1 million due to an increase in County retirement contributions and a negotiated benefit increase.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

A net decrease of \$0.2 million is primarily the result of completed prior year one-time projects, offset by increases as a result of negotiated labor agreements and County retirement contributions.



Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Animal Services		123.00			123.00	123.00
Total		123.00			123.00	123.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Animal Services	\$ 14,090,546	\$ 15,343,329	\$ 15,673,140	\$ 14,995,250	\$ 15,822,397	\$ 15,612,937
Total	\$ 14,090,546	\$ 15,343,329	\$ 15,673,140	\$ 14,995,250	\$ 15,822,397	\$ 15,612,937

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 9,845,818	\$ 10,460,992	\$ 10,460,992	\$ 10,387,970	\$ 10,553,035	\$ 10,907,578
Services & Supplies	4,244,728	4,842,337	5,111,416	4,546,172	5,099,362	4,705,359
Capital Assets Equipment	—	40,000	100,732	61,108	170,000	—
Total	\$ 14,090,546	\$ 15,343,329	\$ 15,673,140	\$ 14,995,250	\$ 15,822,397	\$ 15,612,937

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Licenses Permits & Franchises	\$ 2,210,720	\$ 2,181,409	\$ 2,181,409	\$ 2,161,865	\$ 2,170,204	\$ 2,170,204
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	3,188	3,000	3,000	2,006	3,000	3,000
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	67,368	66,061	66,061	87,753	66,061	66,061
Charges For Current Services	9,361,895	9,792,927	9,792,927	9,678,445	10,164,661	10,407,672
Miscellaneous Revenues	61,135	46,000	46,000	65,309	46,000	46,000
Use of Fund Balance	(213,760)	583,932	913,743	329,872	602,471	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	2,600,000	2,670,000	2,670,000	2,670,000	2,770,000	2,920,000
Total	\$ 14,090,546	\$ 15,343,329	\$ 15,673,140	\$ 14,995,250	\$ 15,822,397	\$ 15,612,937

County Library

Department Description

The San Diego County Library (SDCL) provides services at 33 branch libraries and 2 mobile libraries. Library services include providing information in print, nonprint and online formats for lifelong learning; promoting reading and literacy skills; instruction and access to the Internet and other online services; offering diverse programs to inform and enlighten customers of all ages; and providing homework resources for students of all ages.

Mission Statement

To provide resources to meet the informational, recreational and cultural needs of each branch library community and to actively promote reading and lifelong learning.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Supported County of San Diego health and safety initiatives by presenting 120 health and safety programs per month, exceeding past levels of service by 20 programs per month.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Maintained current levels of services by presenting an average of 200 after-school programs per month to enrich the quality of life for families.
- Met the informational, recreational and cultural needs of the community and actively promoted reading and lifelong learning by ensuring the public has access to library resources and services and that the SDCL has the capacity to meet these goals.
 - Maintained the planned schedule of library operations.
 - Provided virtual library services that are available and relevant such as E-books, audio downloads, video downloads, and access to premium databases.
- Ensured that collections, materials and programs are current and relevant to meeting the needs and interests of a dynamic community.
 - Exceeded the goal of annual average circulation per item.
 - Exceeded the goal of participation in adult programs that promote lifelong learning by 22%, serving 66,000 participants.



Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Completed 5 library remodels and 12 regional trainings in support of redesign of circulation, programming and public support tasks performed in the branches to increase efficiency, allowing SDCL to meet ongoing demands for services with staffing that has been significantly reduced due to resource constraints.

Required Discipline – Information Technology

- Following completion of the Fiscal Year 2010-11 pilot projects for returned-materials/automated book sorters at the Fallbrook and Ramona branch libraries, implemented the project at six additional library branches that circulated the most library materials.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Maintain the current level of services by offering an average of 200 after-school programs each month, to offer students and families a safe place for the pursuit of education and constructive civic engagement.

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Meet the informational, recreational and cultural needs of the community and actively promote reading and lifelong learning by ensuring the public has access to library resources and services and that the SDCL has the capacity to meet these goals.
 - Maintain the planned schedule of library operations.
 - Provide virtual library services that are available and relevant such as E-books, audio downloads, video downloads and access to premium databases.
- Ensure that collections, materials and programs are current and relevant to meeting the needs and interests of a dynamic community.

- Meet the planned annual average circulation per item.
- Maintain participation in adult programs that promote lifelong learning and civic engagement by serving 66,000 participants.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Support County of San Diego healthy families' initiatives increasing the current level of services by offering more than 120 healthy lifestyle programs for all ages every month.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Complete two library remodels in support of redesign of circulation, programming, information services, and support services performed in the branches and head-

quarters to increase efficiency allowing SDCL to meet ongoing demands for services with staffing that has been significantly reduced due to resource constraints.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

- Complete the radio frequency-enabled loss prevention security gates pilot project to deter theft of library materials at the Vista and El Cajon branch libraries during Fiscal Year 2012-13; and to model implementation at other required branches, to plan for implementation in Fiscal Year 2013-14.

Related Links

For additional information about the County Library, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcl.org/>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Library hours open ¹	89,296	89,200	89,569	89,200	89,200
Annual average circulation per item ²	9.95	7.79	7.98	8.00	8.25
Annual San Diego County Library Web hits	93,083,414	120,000,000	68,738,410	N/A ³	N/A
Annual SDCL Virtual Branch Visitors ⁴	12,780,910	13,000,000	21,282,210	14,000,000	15,000,000
Specialty subscription database usage per capita	1.31	1.34	1.27	N/A ⁵	N/A
Use of SDCL virtual library services per capita	2.78	2.80	2.84	N/A ⁶	N/A
SDCL virtual library resource sharing and services per capita ⁷	1.68	1.72	1.73	1.77	1.84
Average satisfaction rating ⁸	4.70	4.70	4.46	4.70	4.70
Average satisfaction of attendees at programs designed to meet the diverse needs of San Diego County ⁹	4.75	4.75	4.82	4.75	4.75
Annual count of foot traffic at the library ¹⁰	5,898,818	5,750,000	5,920,891	5,750,000	5,750,000

Table Notes

¹ Library hours open represents the overall level of accessibility that the community has to the library branches. The Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actual is higher than Adopted levels due to redesigned staffing plans which allowed an increase in hours open to the public without adding staff or staffing costs.

² Annual average circulation per item represents how relevant the materials are to customers. A higher level of circulation means that the materials are what customers want in the collection.

³ Effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, discontinued measure because it does not provide a measure of visits to the Virtual Branch (akin to a physical branch's door count).

⁴ The number of visitors is reflected by the following "doors" customers to the Virtual Branch may enter on the SDCL website: Kids Corner, Teen Lounge, Encore Catalog, BookLetters reading suggestion sites, SDCL website, Classic Catalog, or Hot.Right.Now.

⁵ Effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, discontinued to combine two previous measures (Specialty subscription databases usage per capita and Use of SDCL virtual library services per capita) to form a new performance measure called "SDCL virtual library resource sharing and services per capita." See table note 7.

⁶ Discontinued, effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, because the elimination of Web renewals in this performance measure.

⁷ Changes in publishing and computer usage prompts the establishment of a new metric, SDCL virtual library resource sharing and services per capita. This measures the use of premium databases, E-Books, audiobook downloads, interlibrary (resource) sharing and online services (i.e., JobNow, HelpNow) by library customers, and represents the penetration of virtual library services and resource sharing in the community. Usage of virtual library and resource sharing services may be considered comparable to, but will be less than, annual average circulation per item, as customers must use and be comfortable with technology to access virtual library and resource sharing services. Modest increases are expected during the next two years as customer interest shifts toward downloadable titles.



⁸ On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being the highest level of satisfaction. Customer satisfaction indicates how individuals perceive the Library's ability to provide services of value to them.

⁹ On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being the highest level of satisfaction. High satisfaction for targeted programs indicates attendees' individual perception of how well the Library is meeting the needs of its diverse population.

¹⁰ The number of persons using the library is a critical measure of the success of the San Diego County Library. This measure is taken from "people counters" that are installed at the entrance of each Branch Library. The measurement increases show the growth in use of physical library services.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Decrease of 10.50 staff years to align staffing with available resources, continuing the trends of the past three years. Although the impact of reduced staffing has been and will continue to be mitigated by library design, automation and improvement in business processes, customer services (e.g., answering general and reference questions, presenting programs, assisting with material location, making materials recommendations, etc.) will be reduced and delays in services will result. Hours of operation are expected to be maintained.

Expenditures

Decrease of \$1.8 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — decrease of \$0.3 million primarily due to a reduction in staff years, offset by an increase in County retirement contributions, a negotiated benefit increase and the elimination of prior year one-time salary adjustment.
- Services and Supplies — decrease of \$0.9 million due to reductions in costs for the routine maintenance of structures (\$0.4 million), allocated central support costs (\$0.4 million), and computer-related costs (\$0.1 million).
- Capital Assets Equipment — decrease of \$0.6 million due to the elimination of prior year one-time cost for automated materials projects.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$1.8 million.

- Taxes Other Than Current Secured — decrease of \$0.1 million to align Redevelopment Agency Tax Increment budget with expenditures.
- Intergovernmental Revenues — increase of \$0.1 million to align Aid From Redevelopment Agencies budget with expenditures.
- Miscellaneous Revenues — decrease of \$0.4 million due to elimination of prior year one-time donations for the renovation of the Vista Library.
- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$1.4 million. Total budget of \$2.0 million is to fund management reserves of \$1.0 million, major maintenance and security projects of \$0.2 million, \$0.3 million to provide a match for public donations for library materials, and \$0.5 million to continue to transition from reductions in revenue.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

A decrease of 4.00 staff years and a net increase of \$0.3 million in total expenditures. A net increase of \$0.4 million in Salaries and Benefits is primarily due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions, offset by a decrease in staff years. Additionally, there is a decrease of \$0.1 million in Services and Supplies and Capital Asset Equipment.

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Library Operations and Administration		19.75			19.75	16.75
Library Professional & Technical Support Service		42.25			38.25	38.25
Library Branch Operations		218.50			212.00	211.00
Total		280.50			270.00	266.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Library Operations and Administration	\$ 3,264,841	\$ 5,947,930	\$ 5,897,699	\$ 3,606,038	\$ 5,115,919	\$ 4,933,989
Library Professional & Technical Support Service	7,238,806	7,638,620	8,601,983	6,431,437	6,660,932	6,758,108
Library Branch Operations	25,245,977	21,623,392	22,078,202	22,744,326	21,639,097	22,028,879
Total	\$ 35,749,624	\$ 35,209,942	\$ 36,577,885	\$ 32,781,801	\$ 33,415,948	\$ 33,720,976

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 20,793,535	\$ 21,537,781	\$ 21,537,781	\$ 20,728,518	\$ 21,229,306	\$ 21,629,334
Services & Supplies	13,760,374	12,072,161	13,400,104	11,528,202	11,141,642	11,091,642
Capital Assets Equipment	562,078	600,000	600,000	521,371	45,000	—
Operating Transfers Out	633,637	—	40,000	3,710	—	—
Management Reserves	—	1,000,000	1,000,000	—	1,000,000	1,000,000
Total	\$ 35,749,624	\$ 35,209,942	\$ 36,577,885	\$ 32,781,801	\$ 33,415,948	\$ 33,720,976

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Current Property	\$ 27,304,052	\$ 27,909,997	\$ 27,909,997	\$ 27,461,840	\$ 27,909,997	\$ 27,909,997
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	204,394	437,569	437,569	233,437	375,000	375,000
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	(36,609)	—	—	—	—	—
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	65,146	105,000	105,000	41,992	105,000	105,000
Intergovernmental Revenues	2,301,420	1,150,000	1,224,872	1,893,373	1,212,569	1,212,569
Charges For Current Services	1,053,911	1,288,112	1,288,112	885,679	1,288,112	1,288,112
Miscellaneous Revenues	2,705,363	972,821	972,821	688,746	553,821	553,821
Other Financing Sources	351,818	—	9,700	4,764	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	1,800,130	3,346,443	4,629,814	1,571,970	1,971,449	2,276,477
Total	\$ 35,749,624	\$ 35,209,942	\$ 36,577,885	\$ 32,781,801	\$ 33,415,948	\$ 33,720,976

General Services

Department Description

The Department of General Services (DGS) is primarily an internal service department within the County of San Diego. The DGS ensures that other County departments have the necessary workspaces, services and vehicles to accomplish their own business objectives. These services include management of over 425 real estate leases; management of major maintenance and capital improvement projects exceeding \$100 million annually; facility maintenance, security and mail management services totaling \$48.9 million; and acquisition, maintenance and refueling of over 3,800 Fleet vehicles. The DGS is also a committed leader in sustainability and has been recognized for its efforts in conserving energy resources while promoting expansion of renewable sources of energy in the County.

Mission Statement

To provide cost-effective, efficient, high-quality and timely support services to County clients enabling them to fulfill their mission to the public.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Improved County operations through sustainability efforts such as energy conservation.
 - Reduced overall electricity usage at County facilities by 3.5% based on a kWh per/square foot metric, exceeding the goal of 2%.
 - Reduced vehicle emissions for on- and off-road diesel vehicles and stationary diesel equipment in accordance with California Air Resources Board requirements.
 - Identified the County's 2011 carbon footprint and greenhouse gas emissions related to DGS Fleet vehicles and mobile equipment in accordance with Board of Supervisors Policy H-2, *Fleet Vehicle Acquisition Policy*.
 - Received the following awards in recognition of the department's commitment to the environment: 2011 National Association of Counties (NACo) Achievement Award for Water Efficiency Program, 6th Annual San Diego Gas & Electric Energy Showcase Award for New Construction/Sustainability and 7th Annual California Center for Sustainable Energy All-Star Award for Outstanding Government Building Project - San Diego County Operations Center.



- Completed construction of 1 megawatt photovoltaic system at the George Bailey Detention Facility increasing total renewable energy produced at County facilities to 2% of total County electricity use.
- Increased the number of LEED-certified buildings from 4 to 25, supporting sustainable design efforts. United States Green Building Council LEED, or Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design, is an international certification process providing independent, third party verification that a building was designed and built using strategies aimed at achieving high performance in key areas of human and environmental health such as sustainable site development, water savings, energy efficiency, materials selection and indoor environmental quality.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Supported client departments in their public safety efforts.
 - Maintained availability of County-supported fire apparatus at 95% (36 of 38 vehicles).
 - Ensured maximum availability of law enforcement patrol vehicles at 95% (447 of 470 vehicles).

Required Discipline – Essential Infrastructure

- Provided time-critical (24/7) maintenance response to emergency requests involving life and safety issues or those posing an imminent risk to County assets and infrastructure, by responding to and initiating corrective action for 100% (2,130) of all “emergency” requests within four hours of notification.
- Completed 100% of targeted preventive maintenance goal (2,000/month) to maximize the operational efficiency of County assets/infrastructure, as well as maintain or prolong the design life of these systems. Exceeded fiscal year objective completion rate of 96%.



- Received the following awards in recognition of exceptional dedication to facility management program excellence: 2011 California Counties Facilities Services Association Award of Excellence, 2011 California State Association of Counties Merit Award (*Integrated Fire Suppression/Storm Water Compliance Program*), 2011 NACo Award (*Contract Services Direct Billing Program*), and the 2010/2011 County of San Diego Safety Awareness Award.
- Planned and executed the County's capital projects and other infrastructure projects in a timely and cost-effective manner, to meet departments' needs.
 - Began construction, in January 2011, of Phase 1B office buildings at the County Operations Center (COC) with occupancy beginning in August 2012.
 - Completed construction, in November 2011, of the new San Pasqual Academy Administration Building and replacement residences that were destroyed in the 2007 Witch Creek Fire.
 - Began construction of the new Rancho San Diego Sheriff's Station for completion by fall 2013.
 - Began design for the new Women's Detention Facility project with Phase 1 occupancy anticipated by June 2014.
 - Completed full renovation of San Diego County Downtown Law Library in January 2012.
 - Award of a design-build contract for the new Cedar and Kettner parking structure development is scheduled for fall 2012 and project completion by winter 2014.
 - Completed demolition of the Askew building in December 2011, clearing way for the Waterfront Park project. Waterfront Park design-build contract awarded in June 2012 with project completion anticipated in spring 2014.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Maximized postage discounts by bar coding 95% (471,485 of 496,300 pieces) of all standard business letters each month.
- Achieved Automotive Service Excellence (ASE) Blue Seal of Excellence recognition for all County Fleet garages.

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Reduced the cost of leased space for County operations in leased facilities by monitoring the local real estate market and negotiating favorable rental rates where possible. The current average rental rate for all County office leases is \$1.98 per square foot, which is

at 95.65% of the average rental market rate of \$2.07 per square foot for Class B office space in the San Diego market area.

- Generated \$101,500 in new revenue with 37 leases and rent increases to offset a loss of 20 leases due to lease transfer to State Trial Courts and termination of other leases.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Improve County operations through sustainability efforts such as energy conservation, alternative energy generation systems, green building design and recycling.
 - Reduce total electricity usage at County facilities each year by 2% over prior fiscal year based on a kWh per square foot metric.
 - Reduce vehicle emissions for on- and off-road diesel vehicles and stationary diesel equipment in accordance with California Air Resources Board requirements, by replacing or retrofitting older higher emission vehicles.
 - Prepare County operations greenhouse gas inventories for calendar years 2012 and 2013. Achieve 1% reduction in total greenhouse gas emissions each year compared to the prior calendar year.
 - Develop a Green Fleet Action Plan which identifies opportunities and establishes a strategy to reduce the County's green house gas emissions.

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Support client departments in their public safety efforts.
 - Maintain availability of County-supported fire apparatus at a minimum of 95%.
 - Ensure maximum availability of law enforcement patrol vehicles at 95%.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Essential Infrastructure

- Provide time-critical (24/7) maintenance response to emergency requests involving life and safety issues or those posing an imminent risk to County assets and infrastructure, by responding to and initiating corrective action for 100% of all "emergency" requests within four hours of notification.
- Complete 96% of targeted preventive maintenance goal to maximize the operational efficiency of County assets/infrastructure, as well as maintain or prolong the design life of these systems.

- Complete 100% of 143 real estate lease acquisition inspections by fiscal year end to ensure operational efficiency for County departments.
- Plan and execute the County's capital projects and other infrastructure projects in a timely and cost-effective manner, to meet departments' needs.
 - Complete construction and fully occupy Phase 1B office buildings and Conference Center at the COC by September 2012.
 - Complete construction of the new Rancho San Diego Sheriff's Station by fall 2013.
 - Complete occupancy of Phase 1 of the new Women's Detention Facility project by summer 2014, with construction of the facility completed by January 2016, subject to State funding.
 - Complete construction of the new Cedar and Kettner parking structure development by winter 2014.
 - Begin construction of the County Administration Center Waterfront Park with completion anticipated by spring 2014.
 - Complete relocation of 41 business tenants from property adjacent to the County Operations Center by August 2012 in order to relocate Registrar of Voters (ROV) by December 2013.
 - Begin construction of the new ROV Building at the COC for completion by the end of December 2013.
 - Complete sale of County Operations Center Annex property by January 2014.

- Award design-build contract for new East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility, with completion scheduled for spring 2014.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Complete 96% of vehicle and mobile equipment preventive maintenance actions to maximize the operational effectiveness of County vehicles.
- Implement a new service, Fleet mobile maintenance, to reduce costs and increase operational efficiency within County departments.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Fiscal Stability

- Maximize postage discounts by bar coding a minimum of 95% of all standard business letters.
- Reduce the cost of space for County operations in leased facilities by monitoring the local market and negotiating favorable rental rates, with average rental rate for all County office leases at or below 95% of the market rate for Class B office space.
- Maintain and monitor timely collection of rent revenue to ensure 97% of all DGS leases managed are less than 30 days delinquent.

Related Links

For additional information about the Department of General Services, refer to the website at http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/general_services/



Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Major Maintenance Improvement Plan and Capital projects completed within estimated budget ¹	93% of 129	93% of 150	95% of 140	93% of 140	93% of 150
Electricity consumption decrease per square foot ²	5% of 17.46 kWh/SF	2% of 21.47 kWh/SF	3.5% of 21.47 kWh/SF	2% of 20.72 kWh/SF	2% of 20.31 kWh/SF
County operations greenhouse gas emissions reduction ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	1% of 208,223 Metric Tons CO ₂ e	1% of 205,820 Metric Tons CO ₂ e
Law enforcement patrol vehicles available	95% of 462	95% of 470	95% of 470	95% of 495	95% of 495
Fire services program apparatus available	97% of 32	95% of 38	95% of 38	95% of 45	95% of 45
Time critical (24/7) emergency facilities maintenance requirements responded to and corrective action initiated within 4 hours of notification	100% of 2,964	100% of 2,960	100% of 2,130	100% of 2,500	100% of 2,500
Monthly facilities' Preventive Maintenance actions completed	97% of 2,000	96% of 2000	100% of 2,000	96% of 2,100	96% of 2,100
Applicable monthly mail bar coded / total pieces of mail	97% of 496,333	95% of 496,300	95% of 496,300	95% of 496,300	95% of 496,300
County office space lease rate as a percentage of San Diego area Class B office rental rate ⁴	96%	95%	95.65%	95%	95%
Increase in lease revenue year-to-year ⁵	0.5%	0.0%	0.8%	N/A	N/A

Table Notes

¹ The number of projects completed in Fiscal Year 2011-12 reflects continued pressures on departments' budgets resulting in a reduced Major Maintenance Improvement Plan (MMIP) program and fewer discretionary requests due to the economic conditions and State budget, as well as continued incorporation of Condition Assessments into the Major Maintenance planning, allowing for consolidation of projects resulting in fewer larger projects at improved efficiency and cost. Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14 programs are expected to remain stable at this level with little increase until the economy stabilizes and the State budget picture improves.

² Effective in Fiscal Year 2011-12, all County facilities are included in the electricity consumption target decrease.

³ New metric effective Fiscal Year 2012-13 based on anticipated Board of Supervisors' approval of Climate Action Plan and associated goal of 1% identified. Actual calendar year 2011 greenhouse gas emissions baseline in metric tons CO₂e (carbon dioxide equivalent - projection is shown) will be calculated in second half of calendar year 2012.

⁴ In Fiscal Year 2011-12, below-market County leases and continued lower-market rental rates throughout the San Diego region caused the percentage to drop slightly to 95.65% (\$1.98 per sq. ft. versus \$2.07 per sq. ft.). Anticipate continued depressed real estate rental rates for the San Diego region.

⁵ In Fiscal Year 2011-12, new revenue leases and rent increases net a 0.8% increase in revenues due to the loss in revenue from court-related leases (East County Regional Center) transferred to the State in December 2011 along with other terminated leases. Anticipate 0% increase in revenue due to the loss in revenue from parking lot lease at Cedar-Kettner in the fall 2012 and, therefore, effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, this measure is being discontinued.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Net increase of 5.00 staff years based on the operational needs of the department.

- Increase of 9.00 staff years related to the transfer of maintenance staff from the Clerk of the Board (COB) to Department of General Services (DGS) in an effort to create efficiencies in providing facilities support to the County Administration Center.
- Increase of 2.00 staff years as a result of an increased workload to maintain fleet vehicles.
- Decrease of 2.00 staff years to consolidate welding-related labor due to a diminished workload.
- Decrease of 3.00 staff years in Facility Operations to make building maintenance efforts more efficient.
- Decrease of 1.00 staff year in an effort to streamline support services by reducing clerical staff.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$16.8 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net increase of \$1.1 million due to the transfer of staff from COB; additional staff needed to maintain the County's facility requirements; and an increase in County retirement contributions and other benefit costs.
- Services and Supplies — net increase of \$10.5 million.
 - Increase of \$5.8 million for anticipated Major Maintenance expansion/walk-in projects.
 - Increase of \$2.7 million for contracted services and routine maintenance of County-owned facilities.
 - Increase of \$2.0 million related to the increase in demand for fuel and increases in fuel costs.
 - Increase of \$0.2 million for the one-time funding of the Downtown Justice Center Support Facilities Master Plan.
 - Decrease of \$0.2 million related to a reduction in overall utility costs.
- Other Charges — decrease of \$0.4 million due to reduction in depreciation costs.

- Capital Assets Equipment — increase of \$0.6 million to account for new vehicle and software acquisitions.
- Operating Transfers Out — increase of \$5.0 million due to costs associated with project management labor provided for the Major Maintenance Improvement Program projects.

Revenues

Net increase of \$16.8 million.

- Revenue from Use of Money & Property — increase of \$0.5 million for revenue received on behalf of the operating leases of County-owned properties.
- Charges for Current Services — increase of \$5.9 million due to services provided to client departments based on operational needs.
- Miscellaneous Revenues — increase of \$0.2 million to account for trust fund reimbursement related to energy-efficiency programs.
- Other Financing Sources — increase of \$10.1 million due to activities related to on-bill financing and California Energy Commission financing which will fund energy-efficiency projects. On-bill financing is a program offered by San Diego Gas & Electric to provide 0% interest loans to the County to fund energy-efficiency and demand-response projects.
- Use of Fund Balance — minor increase for a total budget of \$8.5 million to fund Fleet Management Internal Service Fund Countywide replacement acquisition program.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.1 million due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net increase of \$1.8 million is the result of increases in Salaries and Benefits (\$1.1 million) due to negotiated labor agreements and increases in County retirement contributions; Services and Supplies (\$0.8 million) due to increases in demand for major maintenance expansion/walk-in projects, increase in operating transfer out (\$0.3 million) to



■ ■ ■ General Services

account for project management labor required to handle the upcoming major maintenance improvement projects offset by a decrease in capital equipment requirements for Fleet vehicles (\$0.4 million).

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Facilities Management Internal Service Fund		273.00			278.00	278.00
Fleet Management Internal Service Fund		58.00			58.00	58.00
Total		331.00			336.00	336.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Facilities Management Internal Service Fund	\$ 97,401,167	\$ 112,029,829	\$ 118,471,511	\$ 101,769,253	\$ 126,228,747	\$ 128,149,171
Fleet Management Internal Service Fund	34,745,693	44,286,797	61,274,198	43,620,431	46,582,233	46,591,481
General Fund Contribution to GS ISF's	2,550,514	1,476,000	2,358,473	2,357,586	1,785,000	1,625,000
Total	\$ 134,697,373	\$ 157,792,626	\$ 182,104,182	\$ 147,747,270	\$ 174,595,980	\$ 176,365,652

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 31,303,478	\$ 33,387,579	\$ 33,387,579	\$ 32,483,681	\$ 34,497,419	\$ 35,567,607
Services & Supplies	87,908,833	98,392,535	107,148,615	92,518,471	108,919,572	109,740,605
Other Charges	11,279,155	11,506,890	11,516,390	10,902,215	11,082,221	11,082,221
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	(440,339)	—	—	—	—	—
Capital Assets Equipment	194,705	9,408,247	16,155,655	(194,705)	9,985,581	9,585,581
Contingency Reserves	—	103,000	103,000	—	103,000	103,000
Operating Transfers Out	4,451,540	4,994,375	13,792,943	12,037,608	10,008,187	10,286,638
Total	\$ 134,697,373	\$ 157,792,626	\$ 182,104,182	\$ 147,747,270	\$ 174,595,980	\$ 176,365,652



Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	\$ 2,095,536	\$ 2,243,679	\$ 2,243,679	\$ 2,594,603	\$ 2,785,757	\$ 2,785,757
Intergovernmental Revenues	6,315,203	3,372,235	3,372,235	3,978,062	3,364,973	3,364,973
Charges For Current Services	119,808,639	135,980,011	150,490,526	127,084,809	141,889,937	143,350,915
Miscellaneous Revenues	1,107,690	872,421	872,421	1,299,970	1,078,186	1,078,186
Other Financing Sources	5,035,338	5,394,375	6,287,348	4,262,360	15,458,187	15,686,638
Residual Equity Transfers In	405,598	—	—	600,531	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	(1,485,632)	8,464,905	17,372,973	6,461,934	8,483,940	8,474,183
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	1,415,000	1,465,000	1,465,000	1,465,000	1,535,000	1,625,000
Total	\$ 134,697,373	\$ 157,792,626	\$ 182,104,182	\$ 147,747,270	\$ 174,595,980	\$ 176,365,652

Housing and Community Development

Department Description

The Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) provides housing assistance and community improvements that benefit low- and moderate-income persons. The department provides services to County residents through rental assistance, minor home improvement loans, first-time homebuyer assistance and public improvement programs. These programs reduce blight, improve neighborhoods and alleviate substandard housing. They also increase the supply of affordable housing by preserving the housing stock and stimulating private sector production of lower-income housing units.

Mission Statement

Promote safe, affordable housing opportunities and improved communities in the San Diego region.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Provided 21 competitive academic scholarships annually to heads of household and/or their children who have established five-year family self-sufficiency goals in academic or vocational self-sufficiency, or that reside in Public Housing, exceeding the goal of 10.
- Increased funding for Health and Human Services Agency's Hotel/Motel Voucher Program by 117% (from \$30,000 to \$65,000) to help close the gap needed for emergency housing for homeless families in the County's jurisdiction as identified by the Regional Task Force on the Homeless. The Regional Cold Weather Shelter Voucher Program provides emergency shelter through the issuance of hotel vouchers for homeless families, disabled and elderly individuals.
- Promoted self-sufficiency by issuing 29 housing choice vouchers to eligible homeless families graduating from transitional housing programs. The County of San Diego executed a Memorandum of Understanding with 12 homeless service agencies to provide up to 200 permanent housing opportunities through the Housing Choice Voucher Program for homeless families graduating from transitional housing programs provided by the County's Continuum of Care for a minimum of 12 months, but not more than 24 months.



- Provided funding for continuation of the HOME Tenant-based Rental Assistance programs that served 79 youth aging out of the foster care system and 64 families with children that are participants of the County's substance abuse recovery and family reunification programs.

Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Ensured environmental reviews were performed on 100% (91 projects) of federally funded programs included in the first of Fiscal Years 2011-13 Annual Funding Plans. In keeping with County policy of functional threading, environmental reviews were conducted by the Department of Public Works. In compliance with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) regulations, HCD performs environmental reviews on all program projects in order to analyze the effect a proposed project will have on the people and the natural environment within a designated project area and the effect the material and social environment may have on a project.
- Conducted a physical needs assessment for four Public Housing sites, allowing for the prioritization of future maintenance and capital improvement projects to identify potential energy-efficient improvements and to ensure that limited funding resources are allocated toward the best and highest use.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Promoted crime free communities by renewing annual Crime Free Certifications at all four public housing sites, in collaboration with the local police department.
- Promoted healthy living by implementing a no-smoking policy at all four public housing sites to reduce the public health risk associated with tobacco use.



■ ■ ■ Housing and Community Development

- Educated the community by conducting 14 community outreach meetings throughout the county regarding affordable housing and community revitalization funding opportunities available annually through four federal entitlement programs: Community Development Block Grant, HOME Investment Partnership Program, Emergency Shelter Grant and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS.
- Addressed issues impacting community living standards by conducting 10 community revitalization meetings with policy makers and stakeholders.
- Promoted fair housing by completing 40 random site tests on multi-family rental properties to ensure compliance with fair housing laws.
- Increased communication channels by using information technology through www.CTN.org, emails and social media to be able to provide timely housing-related information in the event of an emergency. The original goal included recorded phone messages. This communication channel was evaluated and found to be cost prohibitive.
- Promoted physical activity and healthy eating habits by implementing a community vegetable garden at Dorothy Street Manor public housing complex.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Held three Section 8 owner/landlord seminars to educate owners on the requirements of the program, as well as promote the benefits of participating as a partner. The original goal was to hold four seminars; one scheduled seminar was cancelled due to lack of response.
- Achieved a customer service satisfaction rating of 4.80 (on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being Excellent). Customers are surveyed throughout the year as to the department's responsiveness to customers' needs, professionalism, courteousness, attentiveness and knowledge about the programs.

Required Discipline – Information Technology

- Evaluated the conversion of Community Development program documents to electronic images for storage in an electronic repository (Documentum) for staff to retrieve and utilize in their daily program administration and client interactions. Implementation of the Documentum project utilizing the program and business design requirements identified in Fiscal Year 2011-12 has been put on hold to consider other alternative data management solutions.

- Using advances in mobile technology provided five key employees with the necessary tools to perform their duties at alternative sites or remote locations in the event of an emergency.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Provide 15 competitive academic scholarships annually to heads of household and/or their children who have established five-year family self-sufficiency goals in academic or vocational self-sufficiency, or that reside in Public Housing.
- Provide funding of \$65,000 for the Health and Human Services Agency's Hotel/Motel Voucher Program to help close the gap needed for emergency housing for homeless families in the County's jurisdiction as identified by the Regional Task Force on the Homeless. The Regional Cold Weather Shelter Voucher Program provides emergency shelter through the issuance of hotel vouchers for homeless families, disabled and elderly individuals.
- Promote self-sufficiency by providing permanent housing for up to 100 eligible homeless families graduating from transitional housing programs provided by 12 homeless service agencies through the County's Continuum of Care program.
- Provide funding for continuation of the HOME Tenant-based Rental Assistance programs that serve up to 65 youth aging out of the foster care system and 44 families with children that are participants of the County's substance abuse recovery and family reunification programs.
- Provide rental assistance to permanently house up to 200 homeless veterans through the Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) program. Utilization of these vouchers is dependent upon HCD receiving referrals from the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA).
- Establish partnerships with Health and Human Services (HHS) to provide three new resources to Family Self-Sufficiency Program participants offering needed services to those working toward self-sufficiency.

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Ensure environmental reviews are performed on 100% (80 projects) of federally funded programs included in the Fiscal Year 2012-13 Annual Funding Plans. In keeping with County policy of functional threading, environmental reviews will be conducted by the Department of Public Works. In compliance with the U.S. Department

of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) regulations, HCD performs environmental reviews on all program projects in order to analyze the effect a proposed project will have on the people and the natural environment within a designated project area and the effect the material and social environment may have on a project.

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Promote crime free communities by renewing annual Crime Free Certifications at all four public housing sites, in collaboration with the local police department.
- Educate the community by conducting four community outreach meetings throughout the county regarding affordable housing and community revitalization funding opportunities available annually through four federal entitlement programs: Community Development Block Grant, HOME Investment Partnership Program, Emergency Shelter Grant and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS.
- Address issues impacting community living standards by conducting 10 community revitalization meetings with policy makers and stakeholders.
- Promote fair housing by completing a minimum of 35 random site tests on multi-family rental properties to ensure compliance with fair housing laws.
- Ensure that permanent affordable housing providers are in compliance with the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards (UFAS) by requiring at least 20% (8 out of 40 providers) complete the UFAS Accessibility Checklist. This self-certification is intended for accessibility reviews of properties owned, operated and/or managed by recipients of federal financial assistance.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Hold four Section 8 owner/landlord seminars to educate owners on the requirements of the program, as well as promote the benefits of participating as a partner.
- Ensure customers are provided with superior services by being responsive to customers' needs, professional, courteous, attentive and knowledgeable. A key indicator of how well service is provided will be to achieve a customer service satisfaction rating of 4.70 or better (on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being Excellent).

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

- Create two new communication channels by using information services (e.g., emails, recorded messages, videos, the County Television Network (CTN), social media) that will allow for provision of timely housing-related information in the event of an emergency and to highlight community development, housing, employment and self-sufficiency opportunities.
- Provide enhanced customer service and create program efficiencies by implementing a Web-based module that allows 24/7 self-service when completing or updating rental assistance applications. As a new program enhancement, it is anticipated that 2,500 applicants will establish user accounts and processing time for waiting list database updates will decrease from seven days to one.

Related Links

For additional information about the Department of Housing and Community Development, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/sdhcd/index.html>.



■ ■ ■ Housing and Community Development

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Percentage of the maximum number of rental assistance vouchers in use, or the percentage of dollars spent on voucher cost, whichever is lower ¹	98% of 10,863	98% of 10,863	100% of 10,863	98% of 11,015	98% of 11,015
Households assisted through the Home Repair Program or the First-Time Homebuyer Program ²	56	30	36	30	30
Special Program Tenant-Based Rental Assistance program utilization for: SARMS, Foster, HOPWA, Shelter Plus Care ³	416	350	505	248	248
Number of Community Development projects completed to enhance low-income neighborhoods and communities ⁴	33	23	29	23	23
Number of random site tests to ensure compliance with Fair Housing laws or regulations ⁵	N/A	30	40	35	35
Percentage of leased units assisted through the Rental Assistance Division that are inspected annually to meet Housing Quality Standards ⁶	100% of 10,783	100% of 10,783	100% of 10,783	100% of 11,292	100% of 11,292
Level of customer satisfaction ⁷	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.7

Table Notes

¹ Maximum number of authorized rental assistance vouchers is 11,015; estimated funding from HUD for housing assistance payments is \$104.0 million. HCD has experienced inconsistent Rental Assistance program funding and in order to provide HCD with administrative options in managing to HUD's funding appropriations, the percentage of vouchers utilized may be impacted in future operating years.

² The Home Repair Program emphasizes energy efficiency, accessibility and safety. The number of households to be assisted was reduced as the department anticipates a reduction in First-Time Homebuyer funding due to the lack of State grant funding to augment the First-Time Homebuyer Program.

³ Program definitions: SARMS (Substance Abuse and Recovery Management System): housing assistance for family reunification; Foster: housing assistance for former foster youth ages 18-24 years; HOPWA: Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS; Shelter Plus Care: housing and services for homeless and disabled individuals and families; VASH (Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing): tenant-based housing assistance for homeless veterans. Effective Fiscal Year 2012-13, the VASH program is now included with the regular Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program.

⁴ It is anticipated that the number of completed projects will decline due to a 21% reduction in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) entitlement funds for Fiscal Year 2012-13, possible entitlement reductions in future years and potential nonfederal funding reductions impacting partner agencies administering CDBG projects.

⁵ Fair Housing laws are federal laws that prohibit housing discrimination on the basis of race or color, national origin, religion, sex, familial status or disability.

⁶ Housing Quality Standards are HUD's minimum physical standards required for each assisted rental unit.

⁷ Overall customer satisfaction rating on a scale of 1 (unsatisfactory) to 5 (excellent), where 4 is “very satisfactory.”

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$0.3 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net decrease of \$0.3 million as a result of projected salary savings from positions held vacant and to align expenditures with program revenues to mitigate reductions in federal revenue resources, offset by an increase in County retirement contributions. This reduction in funding will result in a decrease of resources available to monitor existing affordable housing contracts and reporting requirements by the federal granting agency.
- Services and Supplies — net decrease of \$0.4 million in contracted services cost. The decrease is a result of \$1.2 million reductions in CDBG and HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME) Fiscal Year 2012-13 funding from HUD, \$0.5 million Shelter Plus Care (SPC) program contracts recently awarded, \$0.3 million cancellation of Fiscal Year 2012-13 appropriations in HCD for the HHSA Public Health Services Intensive Case Management (ICM) program already included in HHSA’s 2012-14 Operational Plan, \$0.4 million rebudget of remaining Fiscal Year 2011-12 Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) and Housing Opportunities for Persons with Aids (HOPWA) entitlement grants, \$0.9 million rebudget of remaining CDBG grant allocated for Urban County Residential Rehabilitation Program and housing development projects and elimination of \$0.7 million Fiscal Year 2010-11 one-time rebudget for SPC and HOPWA project costs.
- Other Charges — net increase of \$1.0 million in direct program cost as a result of \$1.5 million newly awarded CalHome grant for mortgage assistance loans for eligible first-time and low-income homebuyers program, offset by \$0.5 million in reductions in CDBG and HOME Program funding from HUD.

Revenues

Net increase of \$0.3 million.

- Intergovernmental Revenue — net increase of \$0.4 million.
 - Decrease of \$0.6 million in Shelter Plus Care revenue due to elimination of a one-time prior year rebudget of funds.
 - Decrease of \$0.8 million in HOME revenue due to a 43% funding reduction of \$1.6 million in HOME annual funding allocation from HUD offset by one-time rebudget of prior year funds.
 - Decrease of \$0.6 million in CDBG revenue due to a 21% reduction of \$0.9 million in CDBG annual funding allocation from HUD offset by one-time rebudget of prior year funds.
 - Decrease of \$0.4 million in HOPWA revenue related to the \$0.3 million cancelled appropriations for HHSA Public Health Services ICM program already included in the HHSA 2012-14 Operational Plan and elimination of \$0.1 million one-time rebudget of funds for Fiscal Year 2010-11 projects.
 - Increase of \$0.1 million in (ESG) revenue due to a \$0.2 million additional funding from HUD and \$0.1 million decrease in revenue for completed prior year contracts. ESG is used for providing shelter for homeless individuals and to aid in their transition to permanent housing. Service providers also use this funding to renovate, rehabilitate and convert facilities for use as emergency shelters.
 - Increase of \$0.4 million in Aid from the Housing Authority revenue for program administration of various affordable and public housing projects.
 - Increase of \$0.5 million in Shelter Plus Care revenue from recently awarded new contracts.
 - Increase of \$1.5 million in CalHome Program General Loan Funding grant revenue from the State to provide mortgage assistance loans for eligible first-time and low-income homebuyers.
 - Increase of \$0.3 million in revenue based on rebudget of remaining prior year CDBG entitlement grant allocated for Urban County Residential Rehabilitation projects.

- Miscellaneous Revenue — decrease of \$0.2 million in revenue from anticipated payments of affordable housing long-term loans receivable and charges for current services.
- Use of Fund Balance — increase of \$0.1 million for a total budget of \$0.4 million. Rebudget of \$0.3 million for the Community Development data automation project and \$0.1 million for administrative costs related to the Successor Housing Agency program for the housing assistance for low-income families in the Gillespie and Upper San Diego River Improvement Project areas.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net decrease of \$4.1 million is the result of a decrease of \$2.9 million in Services and Supplies due to the elimination of program costs associated with the one-time prior year rebudgets of funds and one-time use of fund balance for data management solution project, and a \$1.5 million decrease in Other Charges for projects funded with one-time CalHome grant. These decreases are offset by an increase of \$0.3 million in Salaries and Benefits due to an increase in County retirement contributions and a negotiated benefit increase.

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Housing & Community Development		102.00			102.00	102.00
Total		102.00			102.00	102.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Housing & Community Development	\$ 9,824,116	\$ 11,915,777	\$ 12,003,817	\$ 10,050,548	\$ 11,718,925	\$ 11,527,501
County Successor Agency - Housing	—	—	19,566	19,566	105,000	105,000
HCD - Multi-Year Projects	13,982,419	12,223,895	25,225,737	9,521,449	12,633,015	8,741,604
Total	\$ 23,806,535	\$ 24,139,672	\$ 37,249,120	\$ 19,591,563	\$ 24,456,940	\$ 20,374,105

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 8,489,839	\$ 9,387,557	\$ 9,387,557	\$ 8,743,789	\$ 9,140,248	\$ 9,458,448
Services & Supplies	5,273,368	10,228,312	13,620,297	5,375,263	9,809,875	6,908,840
Other Charges	5,629,953	4,616,803	14,334,266	5,562,464	5,589,817	4,089,817
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(86,625)	(93,000)	(93,000)	(89,952)	(83,000)	(83,000)
Operating Transfers Out	4,500,000	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$ 23,806,535	\$ 24,139,672	\$ 37,249,120	\$ 19,591,563	\$ 24,456,940	\$ 20,374,105

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Intergovernmental Revenues	\$ 24,103,730	\$ 23,439,598	\$ 36,529,480	\$ 18,777,322	\$ 23,805,319	\$ 20,055,484
Charges For Current Services	21,998	10,100	10,100	25,355	100	100
Miscellaneous Revenues	513,210	728,453	728,453	724,135	585,000	585,000
Use of Fund Balance	(460,923)	333,000	352,566	436,230	438,000	105,000
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	(371,479)	(371,479)	(371,479)	(371,479)	(371,479)	(371,479)
Total	\$ 23,806,535	\$ 24,139,672	\$ 37,249,120	\$ 19,591,563	\$ 24,456,940	\$ 20,374,105



Purchasing and Contracting

Department Description

The Department of Purchasing and Contracting (P&C) operates as an internal service fund (ISF), purchasing all goods, materials and services for the County of San Diego, as provided for in the County Charter. The department is also responsible for the centralized reutilization and disposal of surplus equipment and salvage materials. P&C ensures the competitive process is utilized for best price and highest quality while conforming to purchasing regulations and emphasizing excellent customer service practices. An ISF operates on a business-like model directly billing customer departments for the cost of services. Additionally, P&C is responsible for the Countywide content and records management programs.

Mission Statement

To provide the most effective and efficient delivery of quality goods and services to County departments.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Achieved cost savings of \$3.3 million for County customer departments by using innovative procurement methods such as reverse auctions, cooperative agreements, blanket purchase agreements and P-Card usage (which serves as a credit card for small purchases).

Required Discipline – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Developed and published to the intranet 5 of 10 essential procurement processes, which increased access to training.
- Provided training for 40 County staff in accessing and interpreting purchasing fiscal data in Oracle, the County's financial system, in order to better manage contracts and budgets.
- Provided annual, individualized training to all County departments to ensure required operational practices related to records and content management are being implemented.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Expedited services to customers by converting requisitions to Standard Purchase Orders in a timely and efficient manner.



- Converted 81% (533 of 658) of customer requisitions to Standard Purchase Orders within 21 calendar days of receipt.
- Converted 58% (384 of 658) of customer requisitions to Standard Purchase Orders within 10 calendar days of receipt.
- Obtained agreed-upon acquisition plans and timelines from customer departments for 62% (172 of 278) of projects, thereby streamlining the procurement process.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Conducted 14 outreach activities for customers in order to increase the supplier base and increase opportunities for competition, exceeding the goal of 7.
- Ensured 17 departments' records retention schedules were processed and finalized in compliance with County policy, exceeding the goal of 10.
- The goal of enabling the receipt of electronic proposal documents through the County's e-procurement website was not met due to resources being redirected to the Oracle upgrade project. The final budgetary estimate and project timeline for the electronic proposal project has been completed, and full implementation will occur in Fiscal Year 2012-13.
- Published expenditure contracts to the County intranet to make them available to departmental contract administrators, reducing the need to transmit copies to staff and for departments to retain and store hard copies of documents.

Required Discipline – Regional Leadership

- Received a national award for "Achievement of Excellence in Procurement" for the eleventh consecutive year, placing San Diego County within the top 1% of over 3,000 counties nationwide.



2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Conduct a minimum of 10 outreach activities in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and 10 in Fiscal Year 2013-14 for the local business community in order to increase the supplier base and increase opportunities for competition.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Fiscal Stability

- Achieve cost savings of \$1.8 million in both Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14 for County customer departments by using innovative procurement methods such as reverse auctions, cooperative agreements, blanket purchase agreements and P-Card usage (which serves as a credit card for small purchases).

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Ensure departments' records retention schedules due for revision in Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14 are processed and finalized in compliance with County policy.
- Expedite services to County customer departments by converting requisitions to Standard Purchase Orders in a timely and efficient manner.
 - Convert at least 75% (825 of 1,100) of customer requisitions to Standard Purchase Orders within 21 calendar days of receipt.
 - Convert 50% (550 of 1,100) of customer requisitions to Standard Purchase Orders within 15 calendar days of receipt.
- Streamline the procurement process by working with customer departments to identify and pre-plan for 60% of approximately 250 projects annually, as measured by obtaining agreed-upon plans and timelines for acquisition from customer departments in advance. This will allow the Department to manage and deploy resources with maximum efficiency.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Update 100% (19) of essential procurement processes, forms and templates currently published in the Contracting Guide during Fiscal Year 2012-13 to ensure staff are using the most recent and accurate information.

- Provide training for at least 40 County staff in accessing and interpreting purchasing fiscal data in Oracle, the County's financial system, in order to better manage contracts and budgets.
- Provide annual, individualized training to all County departments to ensure required operational practices related to records and content management are being implemented.
- Develop training materials on contract administration in Fiscal Year 2012-13 to ensure consistent practices across all County departments. Deploy developed training electronically in Fiscal Year 2013-14 via the Learning Management System (LMS), making training available to all County staff that require it.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

- Enable the receipt of electronic proposal documents through the County's e-procurement website. This will shorten solicitation timelines, reduce the need for potential suppliers to travel to County offices or ship documents, and reduce the need to store and route large paper documents.
- Complete the technological enhancement for two-step proposals and bids submitted via BuyNet, the County's online procurement system. The first step is for potential suppliers to submit their qualifications for review before proceeding to the next step, submission of bids and/or proposals by those suppliers deemed qualified. This will shorten procurement timelines and clarify communications with proposers. This project will also support P&C's move toward electronic receipt of all solicitations.
- Create and publish four new Oracle LMS classes and classroom-based courses giving greater flexibility for end users to access training. Online LMS classes allow end users to receive training at their convenience and eliminate costs, such as transportation and travel time, associated with traveling to classroom-based courses.

Related Links

For additional information about the Department of Purchasing and Contracting, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/purchasing/index.html>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Cost savings realized through use of cooperative agreements, reverse auctions, increased procurement card usage and other sources ¹	\$3.2 million	\$2.1 million	\$3.3 million	\$1.8 million	\$1.8 million
Purchase Requisitions converted to Purchase Orders within 21 days of receipt ²	73% of 884	80% of 1,100	81% of 658	75% of 1,100	75% of 1,200
Purchase Requisitions converted to Purchase Orders within 10 days of receipt	47% of 884	50% of 1,100	58% of 658	N/A	N/A
Purchase Requisitions converted to Purchase Orders within 15 days of receipt ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	50% of 1,100	50% of 1,100
Procurement processes, forms or templates documented and available on the intranet (target 100% of 10) ⁴	N/A	50% of 10	50% of 10	N/A	N/A

Table Notes

¹ Cost savings are projected to decrease beginning Fiscal Year 2012-13 due to contracts that were awarded as a result of cooperative agreements and reverse auctions are beginning to expire. The cost savings were initially calculated over a five-year period, the term of the contracts. All cost savings have been realized and are not projected to decrease further.

² The percentage is being lowered effective Fiscal Year 2012-13 due to increased procurement requirements, requiring more time to process purchase requisitions into Purchase Orders.

³ The number of days is increasing from 10 to 15 effective Fiscal Year 2012-13 due to increased procurement requirements, requiring more time to process purchase requisitions into Purchase Orders.

⁴ Ten measures were identified for Fiscal Years 2011-13, and five were completed in Fiscal Year 2011-12. This performance measure has been modified in Fiscal Year 2012-13 to updating current policies, rather than developing new ones, due to shifting departmental priorities based on available resources.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$6.7 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$0.1 million primarily due to an increase in County retirement contributions.

- Services and Supplies — net increase of \$3.6 million is the result of an increase of \$4.1 million in one-time costs for Documentum (the County’s electronic document management tool) 6.7 version upgrade or higher and BuyNet improvement for two-step procurements; \$0.4 million for increase of ongoing Documentum maintenance and one-time extended support; \$0.3 million increase for rebudget of one-time projects (\$0.1 million for an upgrade to the County’s procurement system and \$0.2 million for Web-based paperless contract publishing); offset by deletion of one-time costs of \$0.5 million for Documentum 6.5 version upgrade;

■ ■ ■ Purchasing and Contracting

decrease of \$0.3 million in office occupancy costs due to relocation to the County Operations Center; and \$0.4 million savings associated with the outsourcing of warehouse and auctioneering services.

- Other Charges — decrease of \$0.9 million in depreciation cost of End User License Agreements.
- Operating Transfer Out — net increase of \$3.9 million is the result of an increase of \$4.1 million in one-time costs for the Documentum 6.7 version upgrade and \$0.4 million for increase of ongoing Documentum maintenance and technical adjustment for overhead costs of Records program, offset by deletion of previous year one-time costs of \$0.5 million for Documentum 6.5 version upgrade.

Revenues

Increase of \$6.7 million.

- Charges for Current Services — net decrease of \$0.4 million is the result of \$0.5 million reduction in surcharge revenues based on the rates approved by the Cost Commission in January 2012 offset by an increase of \$0.1 million in one-time funds for BuyNet two-step procurements.
- Other Financing Sources — net increase of \$4.1 million is the result of an increase of \$4.0 million in one-time costs for the Documentum 6.7 or higher version

upgrade; \$0.3 million increase for rebudget of one-time projects and \$0.3 million for increase of ongoing Documentum maintenance, offset by deletion of previous year one-time costs of \$0.5 million for Documentum 6.5 version upgrade.

- Use of Fund Balance — increase of \$2.6 million for a total of \$4.1 million Use of Fund Balance to fund the Documentum upgrade to version 6.7 (\$4.0 million) and one remaining month of depreciation cost of the capitalized End User License Agreements for the Enterprise Content Management program (\$0.1 million).
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.4 million increase for ongoing Documentum maintenance and technical adjustment for overhead costs of Records program.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net decrease of \$8.3 million is primarily due to the deletion of prior year one-time costs for Documentum and the County's procurement system upgrades, Web-based paperless contract publishing and BuyNet improvement for two-step procurements.

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Content/Records Services		6.00			6.00	6.00
Purchasing ISF		50.00			50.00	50.00
Total		56.00			56.00	56.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Content/Records Services	\$ 2,737,466	\$ 3,279,545	\$ 3,279,545	\$ 2,930,543	\$ 6,238,755	\$ 2,158,796
Purchasing ISF	6,774,086	7,907,622	8,228,120	7,260,830	7,781,833	7,454,949
General Fund Contribution	1,751,968	2,156,296	2,156,296	1,823,908	5,995,000	2,065,000
Total	\$ 11,263,519	\$ 13,343,463	\$ 13,663,961	\$ 12,015,281	\$ 20,015,588	\$ 11,678,745

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 5,667,618	\$ 6,408,207	\$ 6,408,207	\$ 5,975,806	\$ 6,550,596	\$ 6,786,125
Services & Supplies	2,862,258	3,797,168	4,117,666	3,233,892	7,367,694	2,805,281
Other Charges	981,675	981,792	981,792	981,675	102,298	22,339
Operating Transfers Out	1,751,968	2,156,296	2,156,296	1,823,908	5,995,000	2,065,000
Total	\$ 11,263,519	\$ 13,343,463	\$ 13,663,961	\$ 12,015,281	\$ 20,015,588	\$ 11,678,745

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	\$ 14,548	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 9,332	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000
Intergovernmental Revenues	555	—	—	—	—	—
Charges For Current Services	5,702,163	7,691,788	7,775,858	6,778,227	7,293,345	7,129,646
Miscellaneous Revenues	457,771	372,630	372,630	924,989	412,099	412,099
Other Financing Sources	1,765,540	2,156,296	2,392,724	1,827,476	6,228,185	2,065,000
Use of Fund Balance	1,697,942	1,470,749	1,470,749	830,257	4,079,959	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	1,625,000	1,645,000	1,645,000	1,645,000	1,995,000	2,065,000
Total	\$ 11,263,519	\$ 13,343,463	\$ 13,663,961	\$ 12,015,281	\$ 20,015,588	\$ 11,678,745



County of San Diego Redevelopment Agency and Successor Agency

Department Description

The County of San Diego Redevelopment Agency had two project areas, the Upper San Diego River Improvement Project (USDRIP) Area and the Gillespie Field Project Area, which promote private sector investment and development. In addition, USDRIP goals include recreational and environmental protection and improvements. The USDRIP Area is a redevelopment project covering approximately 532 acres located along both sides of the San Diego River and along Highway 67 in the Lakeside community. The Gillespie Field Redevelopment Project Area is an area of approximately 746 acres located at Gillespie Field Airport in the City of El Cajon, adjacent to the unincorporated area.

Effective February 1, 2012, all redevelopment agencies in the State of California were dissolved by State law (Assembly Bill X1 26, Community Redevelopment Dissolution) and subsequent court decision. Successor agencies and oversight boards were authorized to manage assets, repay debts and fulfill other redevelopment agency obligations in order to effect the expeditious winding-down of the former redevelopment agencies. Successor housing agencies were authorized to assume the transfer of housing assets and programs.

The County of San Diego was designated as Successor Agency and Successor Housing Agency. All assets, liabilities and obligations of the former Redevelopment Agency were transferred to the County of San Diego as Successor Agency on February 1, 2012. Appropriations for the Successor Housing Agency are included in the Department of Housing and Community Development. All activities of the Successor Agency, including budgetary authority, are subject to approval by the Oversight Board, a County commission of seven members as follows: two appointed by the Board of Supervisors (one member of the public), and one each appointed by the County Board of Education, the Chancellor of the California Community Colleges, the City of El Cajon, the Lakeside Fire Protection District, and the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors (as employee representative).



Mission Statement

Upper San Diego River Improvement Project

To eliminate blight, provide employment opportunities, encourage private sector investment and enhance development opportunities in the project area.

Gillespie Field Redevelopment Project

To eliminate or alleviate conditions of blight in the Gillespie Field Redevelopment Project Area and to encourage economic development in East County.

2011-12 Accomplishments

The accomplishments listed below were achieved by the former County Redevelopment Agency before February 1, 2012.

Upper San Diego River Improvement Project

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Provided safe and decent housing opportunities to eight low-income families participating in the Local Rental Subsidy Program by ensuring that assisted units meet housing quality standards through annual recertification inspections. The program is temporary and provides interim assistance to participants waiting for permanent rental assistance.

Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Enhanced the greater San Diego River area of the Lakeside Community by implementing programs in accordance with the project area's Redevelopment Plan and Five-Year Implementation Plan:
 - Reduced Transportation Impact Fee (TIF) payments with the TIF Reimbursement Program for new private development within the project area.
 - Managed the Permit Processing Expedite Program for discretionary projects in the redevelopment area.
 - Provided assistance to the Lakeside River Park Conservancy and the San Diego River Conservancy to develop the portions of the San Diego River Trail as yet to be completed.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Assisted the Lakeside Fire District with the financing and development of a new Lakeside fire station serving the project area pursuant to the Cooperative Agreement.

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Maintained administrative expenses below 7% of tax increment revenue received.

Gillespie Field Redevelopment Project

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Provided safe and decent housing opportunities to three low-income families participating in the Local Rental Subsidy Program by ensuring that assisted units meet housing quality standards through annual recertification inspections. The program is temporary and provides interim assistance to participants waiting for permanent rental assistance.

Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Continued to develop the Project Area in a manner consistent with the Redevelopment Plan and the Five-Year Implementation Plan:
 - Completed Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Assessment review process required to develop Cajon Air Center 70-acre parcel for aviation

use, including hazardous materials study for noise, air quality and biological technical reviews and transplantation of ambrosia vegetation to Mission Trails. This will enable submittal of related \$1.8 million grant request to the Federal Aviation Administration for final design and infrastructure improvements. Anticipate executing land leases in Fiscal Year 2013-14.

- Executed new land lease for Forrester Creek Industrial Park at Weld and Cuyamaca streets to enable development of these sites.

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Maintained administrative expenses below 5% of tax increment revenue received.

2012-14 Objectives

County of San Diego as Successor Agency

Required Discipline for Excellence – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- At the direction of the County Oversight Board, expeditiously wind down the affairs of the former County Redevelopment Agency, maintaining compliance with all laws.

Related Links

Additional information about AB1X 26 and its implementation can be found in a study by the Legislative Analyst's Office:

http://www.lao.ca.gov/analysis/2012/general_govt/unwinding-redevelopment-021712.pdf.

For additional information about Gillespie Field, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dpw/airports/gillespie.html>.

For additional information about the Upper San Diego River Improvement Project, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/usdrip.html>.

Upper San Diego River Improvement Project

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Estimated property tax increment	\$1.6 million	\$1.6 million	\$0.8 million	N/A ¹	N/A ¹
Percentage of tax increment utilized for project administration	7%	7%	7%	N/A ¹	N/A ¹

Table Notes

¹ Due to the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency, there are no performance measures for Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14.

Gillespie Field Redevelopment Project

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Estimated property tax increment	\$2.6 million	\$2.7 million	1.9 million	N/A ⁴	N/A ⁴
Percentage of tax increment utilized for project administration	5%	5%	5%	N/A ⁴	N/A ⁴
Newly developed land leases executed (in net acres)	0 ¹	9 ²	1 ³	N/A ⁴	N/A ⁴

Table Notes

¹ Fiscal Year 2010-11 – Forrester Creek Industrial Park delayed due to the economic downturn.

² Fiscal Year 2011-12 – Forrester Creek Industrial Park at Weld and Cuyamaca streets (phase 1).

³ Fiscal Year 2011-12 – One new land lease was executed for Forrester Creek Industrial Park (Phase 1) at Weld and Cuyamaca streets.

⁴ Due to the dissolution of the redevelopment agencies, there are no performance measures for Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14.

Budget for Fiscal Year 2012-13

County of San Diego as Successor Agency

This is the initial budget for the Successor Agency, which was formed on February 1, 2012. By State law, only payments on enforceable obligations of the former redevelopment agency and administration costs may be paid.

Expenditures

Expenditures total \$9.4 million.

- Services and Supplies — \$0.3 million for costs of administration.
- Other Charges — total of \$2.6 million for the following: bond payments (\$1.1 million); payment to the Lakeside Fire Protection District (\$0.6 million) under the terms of the Cooperative Agreement for the construction of a new fire station; payment to the San Diego River Conservancy (SDRC) (\$0.5 million) under the terms of the Financing Agreement for construction of trails; and payment of \$0.3 million to the Airport Enterprise Fund, under the terms of the loan agreement.

- Operating Transfers Out — \$6.6 million for transfers among Successor Agency Funds.

Revenues

Revenues total \$9.4 million.

- Taxes Other Than Current Secured — \$2.2 million in property taxes of the former redevelopment agency distributed from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund.
- Miscellaneous Revenues — \$0.6 million repayment of loan received from the SDRC under the terms of the financing agreement.
- Other Financing Sources — \$6.6 million for transfers among Successor Agency Funds.

Budget for Fiscal Year 2013-14

County of San Diego as Successor Agency

Net increase of \$0.2 million due to net increases in payments under enforceable obligations.

Staffing by Program

Redevelopment Agency	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Total		0.00			0.00	0.00

Budget by Program

Redevelopment Agency	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Upper San Diego River Redevelopment Project Area	\$ 1,861,574	\$ 2,686,350	\$ 3,329,455	\$ 3,329,455	\$ —	\$ —
Gillespie Field Redevelopment Project Area	5,031,841	5,819,460	9,015,908	8,247,350	—	—
Total	\$ 6,893,416	\$ 8,505,810	\$ 12,345,364	\$ 11,576,806	\$ 0	\$ 0

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

Redevelopment Agency	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Services & Supplies	\$ 272,006	\$ 642,011	\$ 133,385	\$ 123,385	\$ —	\$ —
Other Charges	3,373,501	4,424,449	2,040,468	1,661,189	—	—
Operating Transfers Out	3,247,909	3,439,350	10,171,511	9,792,232	—	—
Total	\$ 6,893,416	\$ 8,505,810	\$ 12,345,364	\$ 11,576,806	\$ 0	\$ 0

Budget by Categories of Revenues

Redevelopment Agency	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	\$ 4,140,765	\$ 4,329,110	\$ 2,724,712	\$ 2,724,712	\$ —	\$ —
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	37,453	49,350	16,160	16,160	—	—
Miscellaneous Revenues	2,755	688,000	117,711	117,711	—	—
Other Financing Sources	3,247,909	3,439,350	3,049,764	2,281,206	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	(535,466)	—	6,437,016	6,437,016	—	—
Total	\$ 6,893,416	\$ 8,505,810	\$ 12,345,364	\$ 11,576,806	\$ 0	\$ 0

County of San Diego Redevelopment Agency and Successor Agency

Staffing by Program						
Successor Agency		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Total		0.00			0.00	0.00

Budget by Program						
Successor Agency	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
County Successor Agency	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3,713,673	\$ 17,175,154	\$ 9,410,160	\$ 9,604,645
Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 3,713,673	\$ 17,175,154	\$ 9,410,160	\$ 9,604,645

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
Successor Agency	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Services & Supplies	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 106,000	\$ 13,567,482	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
Other Charges	—	—	2,743,115	2,743,115	2,583,056	2,214,929
Operating Transfers Out	—	—	864,558	864,558	6,577,104	7,139,716
Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 3,713,673	\$ 17,175,154	\$ 9,410,160	\$ 9,604,645

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
Successor Agency	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 914,233	\$ 2,244,276	\$ 2,344,929
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	—	—	—	11,746	—	—
Miscellaneous Revenues	—	—	295,040	19,534	588,780	120,000
Other Financing Sources	—	—	5,182,843	8,375,583	6,577,104	7,139,716
Use of Fund Balance	—	—	(1,764,210)	7,854,057	—	—
Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 3,713,673	\$ 17,175,154	\$ 9,410,160	\$ 9,604,645

Registrar of Voters

Department Description

The Registrar of Voters (ROV) is entrusted with providing the means for all eligible citizens of San Diego County to exercise their right to actively participate in the democratic process. The department works to ensure widespread, ongoing opportunities to register and vote in fair and accurate elections for all federal, State and local offices and measures. The ROV is also responsible for providing access to the information needed for citizens to engage in the initiative, referendum and recall petition processes.

Mission Statement

Conduct voter registration and voting processes with the highest level of professional election standards, including accountability, security and integrity, thereby earning and maintaining public confidence in the electoral process.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Informed 1.5 million registered voters of countywide environmental programs and ways to reduce environmental risks through “filler” pages printed in the Sample Ballot and Voter Information Pamphlets mailed to all registered voters for the June 2012 Presidential Primary Election.
- Resources were conserved by printing 1.7 million June 2012 Presidential Primary Election Sample Ballot and Voter Information Pamphlets (approximately 145 tons of paper) on paper containing as much as 15% post-consumer recycled paper.
- Legislation was enacted for the Registrar of Voters to have online voter registration providing the potential for greater convenience for voters and more accurate and timely data for the department.

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- The June 2012 Presidential Primary Election was conducted using the voter-approved Top Two Primary format and incorporated the new decennial political district boundaries for the election. A Top Two Primary allowed voters to vote for any candidate, regardless of their party preference, in each contest. The two candidates who received the most votes in the Primary Election qualified for the General Election. (This did not apply to President and Central Committee.)



- The number (687,000) of permanent vote-by-mail voters increased by 0.4% (or 3,280), for a total of 690,280, for the June 2012 Presidential Primary Election. ROV provided a total of 751,330 vote-by-mail ballots to: permanent vote-by-mail voters – 690,280; declared vote-by-mail ballots to voters who live in an area that does not meet the poll-site criteria – 44,108; and requested vote-by-mail ballots – 11,896. ROV had a 48% vote-by-mail turnout for a total of 360,520. Turnout among all voters was 37.43%.
- By Monday after Election Day, ROV processed 98% (352,412 of 360,520) of mail ballots received for the June 2012 Presidential Primary Election.
- Increased the number of accessible poll sites from the November 2010 Gubernatorial General Election (549) by 11.5% (or 63) for a total of 612 for the June 2012 Presidential Primary Election.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Achieved an overall customer satisfaction rating of 4.73 (using a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being Excellent). Measurements of customer satisfaction include:
 - Precinct voting, including convenience and accessibility of polls locations, competence and helpfulness of poll workers, and a positive voting experience.
 - Vote-by-mail services, including ease of voting, clarity of instructions, and positive interaction with department staff.
 - Poll worker training, including sufficiency of training content and audio-visual aids.
 - Prompt, knowledgeable and helpful service at the front counter.
- Processed 100% (15,817) of valid voter registrations received on or before the 15-day close of registration by the seventh day before the June 2012 Presidential

Primary Election which ensured that eligible registrants were printed in the official roster and had the opportunity to vote a regular ballot.

- Ensured poll workers were reimbursed in a timely manner by mailing all poll worker stipends 14 working days after the June 2012 Presidential Primary Election, exceeding the goal by one day.

Required Discipline – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Enhanced employee awareness and knowledge of safety and security concerns by holding quarterly Safety and Security Committee meetings to review accidents and identify high-risk security concerns.
- Developed and updated training materials to ensure 100% of poll worker trainers completed online training assessments with scores of 92% no later than 32 days prior to the June 2012 Primary Election which ensured knowledgeable instructors were available and prepared to train poll workers.
- Hired a Voter Outreach Coordinator to implement a new Chinese language service program which included: developing an outreach plan to disperse election and voter information into the Chinese community; hiring temporary staff for the June 2012 Presidential Primary Election to proof translated materials; and recruiting bilingual poll workers.

Required Discipline – Essential Infrastructure

- Worked with architects and planners to create building plans for the new ROV facility to ensure a safe and energy-efficient environment which will meet department needs.

Required Discipline – Regional Leadership

- Recruitment efforts engaged the community in the electoral process and ensured polling sites were sufficiently staffed with qualified personnel for the June 2012 Presidential Primary Election by meeting the recruitment goals for poll workers.
 - 100% of Precinct Inspectors by 50 days prior to election
 - 90% of Touch Screen Inspectors by 42 days prior to election
 - 90% of Assistant Inspectors by 41 days prior to election
 - 100% of bilingual poll workers by 19 days prior to election
- On October 12, 2011, the U.S. Census Bureau released a list of counties and the languages for which they are required to provide election materials and services under Section 203 of the federal Voting Rights Act. San

Diego County is now covered under this Act for Chinese. The Department met with the Chinese community to determine the appropriate dialects needed and how best to serve the needs of this community.

- Realigned 436 voting precincts from a total of 5,960 to 6,396 as a result of the 2010 decennial census and State and local redistricting efforts.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Enhanced the processing efficiency of vote-by-mail ballots by maintaining an automated mail ballot processing system to screen, scan, sort, verify signature, and slice returned mail ballot envelopes; and verified and reconciled ballots returned and counted in the June 2012 Presidential Primary election.
 - ROV reported 42% (or 229,905) of all ballots cast in the first Mail Ballot report released after 8:00 p.m. in the June 2012 Presidential Primary Election.
 - Per-mail-ballot labor cost of vote-by-mail ballots returned in the June 2012 Presidential Primary Election was 41 cents, which was a 6-cent reduction from the November 2010 Gubernatorial General Election.

Required Discipline – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Conducted management control analysis related to voter registration system maintenance. Identified five potentially low to moderate risks and established protocols to mitigate the risks.

2012-14 Objectives

Required Discipline for Excellence – Sustainable Environments

- Continue to distribute information on County environmental risks by using “filler” pages printed in the Sample Ballot and Voter Information Pamphlets mailed to all registered voters for the November 2012 Presidential General and June 2014 Gubernatorial Primary elections.
- Conserve resources by printing the Sample Ballot and Voter Information Pamphlets for all elections conducted in Fiscal Years 2012-14 on post-consumer recycled paper.
- Conduct the November 2012 Presidential General and the June 2014 Gubernatorial Primary elections with efficiency and integrity.
- Based on number of permanent vote-by-mail voters (690,280) for the June 2012 election, increase the number of permanent vote-by-mail voters by 5% (or

34,500), for a total of 724,800, for the November 2012 Presidential General Election. For the June 2014 Gubernatorial Primary Election, increase the permanent vote-by-mail voters by 5% over the anticipated number in the November 2012 election (or 36,240) for a total of 761,040.

- By Monday after Election Day, process 85% of mail ballots received for the November 2012 Presidential General Election and 90% of mail ballots received for the June 2014 Gubernatorial Primary Election.
- Continue to recruit and replace nonaccessible poll sites to comply with federal and State accessibility requirements:
 - Increase the number of accessible poll sites for the November 2012 Presidential General Election by 3% (or 18), for a total of 630, based on the number of sites for the June 2012 Presidential Primary Election (612); and
 - Increase the number of accessible poll sites for the June 2014 Gubernatorial Primary Election by 7% (or 44) for a total of 674, based on the number of sites for the November 2012 Presidential General Election (target is 630).
- Engage the community in the electoral process and ensure polling sites are sufficiently staffed with qualified personnel for the November 2012 Presidential General and June 2014 Gubernatorial Primary elections by meeting the planned recruitment goals for poll workers.
- Process 100% of valid registrations received on or before the 15-day close of registration by the seventh day before the election to ensure eligible registrants are printed in the official roster and have the opportunity to vote a regular ballot.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Translate all voting and election material into Chinese and support limited English-proficient Chinese voters with bilingual poll workers for the November 2012 Presidential General and June 2014 Gubernatorial Primary Elections. Support includes: translating website content, ballot and sample ballot and voter information pamphlet and other polling location material; and implementing an outreach plan focused on the Chinese community.
- Ensure customer satisfaction with core services by maintaining a 4.7 or better overall customer satisfaction rating (on a scale of 1 to 5) during the transition to a new ROV facility.

- To encourage overall satisfaction and retention of volunteers, mail all poll worker stipends in 15 working days or less from Election Day for all elections in Fiscal Years 2012-14 to ensure all poll workers are reimbursed in a timely manner.
- Required Discipline for Excellence – Regional Leadership
- Realign voter precinct boundaries to the new Water and Irrigation Districts redistricting boundaries as a result of the 2010 decennial census before the November 2012 Presidential General election.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Continue encouraging employee awareness and knowledge of safety and security concerns by holding quarterly Safety and Security Committee meetings to review accidents and identify high-risk security concerns.
- Ensure 95% of poll worker trainers complete online training assessments with scores of 90% (or higher) no later than 26 days prior to the November 2012 Presidential General Election and June 2014 Gubernatorial Primary Election, ensuring knowledgeable instructors are available and prepared to train poll workers.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Incorporate Management Controls Initiative by formulating project plans that include scope, methodology, schedule, deliverables and communication/training.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Continue to enhance the processing efficiency of vote-by-mail ballots by maintaining an automated mail ballot processing system to screen, scan, sort, verify signature and slice returned mail ballot envelopes, and by verifying and reconciling ballots returned and counted.
 - Report 33% or more of all ballots cast in the Mail Ballot report released after 8:00 p.m. in the November 2012 Presidential General and 2014 Gubernatorial Primary Elections.
 - Achieve per-mail-ballot labor cost of vote-by-mail ballots returned in the November 2012 Presidential General and June 2014 Gubernatorial Primary elections at no more than 40 cents.



Related Links

For additional information about the Registrar of Voters, refer to the website at

<http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/voters/Eng/Eindex.shtml>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Overall Customer Satisfaction Rating ¹	4.70	4.70	4.73	4.70	4.70
Valid voter registrations received at close of registration that are processed by 7 days before the election ²	100% of 31,881	100% of 40,000	100% of 15,817	100% of 50,000	100% of 40,000
Precincts tallied by 11:30 p.m. Election Night ³	52.6% of 1,466	20% of 1,600	60.68% of 1,643	38% of 1,600	33% of 1,600
Mail ballots received that are tallied by the Monday after Election Day ⁴	94% of 510,175	85% of 690,000	98% of 360,520	85% of 605,700	90% of 506,988
Number of days prior to Election Day that 100% of Precinct Inspectors are recruited ⁵	42 days	40 days	50 days	40 days	40 days
Number of days prior to Election Day that 90% of Touch Screen Inspectors are recruited ⁵	43 days	38 days	42 days	39 days	38 days
Number of days prior to Election Day that 90% of Assistant Inspectors are recruited ⁵	43 days	32 days	41 days	32 days	32 days
Number of days prior to Election Day that 100% of bilingual poll workers are recruited ⁵	32 days	18 days	19 days	18 days	18 days
Cost of processing returned vote-by-mail ballots ⁶	47 cents	45 cents	41 cents	40 cents	40 cents

Table Notes

¹ Scale of 1-5, with 5 being "Excellent."

² This measure tracks the number of valid registrations that are processed at the 15-day close of registration. The 100% goal in Fiscal Year 2012-13 is achievable with the adoption of online voter registration.

³ This measure is based on an one-card ballot for the June 2012 Presidential Primary, November 2012 Presidential General and the June 2014 Gubernatorial Primary Elections.

⁴ It is anticipated that 85% of all vote-by-mail ballots received will be processed and tallied by Monday following Election Day for the November 2012 Presidential General and 90% of all vote-by-mail ballots received will be processed and tallied by Monday following Election Day for the June 2014 Gubernatorial Primary Elections.

⁵ These measures track the timely recruitment of poll workers prior to major elections, including Precinct Inspectors, Touch Screen Inspectors, Assistant Inspectors and poll workers with targeted language skills. The more days prior to the election that workers are recruited, the better the likelihood there will be sufficient staffing at the polls on Election Day. It should be noted that poll worker recruitment is always more difficult in a June primary election.

⁶ The automated system, together with enhanced verification and reconciliation of ballots returned and counted, was implemented during the November 2010 Gubernatorial General Election. A higher than expected learning curve for the automated system and tightened controls increased the per mail-ballot labor costs of vote-by-mail ballots returned in the November 2010 Gubernatorial General Election to 47 cents, a 17-cent increase from the June 2010 Gubernatorial Primary Election. As staff gained experience with these new mail ballot procedures, per mail-ballot labor cost of vote-by-mail ballots decreased in the June 2012 Presidential Primary Election to 41-cents, a 6-cent decrease from the November 2010 General Election. The department anticipates further processing efficiencies and a labor cost reduction in the November 2012 Presidential General Election to 40 cents, a decrease per mail ballot from the June 2012 Presidential Primary Election.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Increase of 1.00 staff year, Voter Outreach Coordinator, to implement and manage the new Chinese Language service program.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$7.7 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — decrease of \$2.2 million due to the elimination of one-time funding for temporary help for the February 2012 Presidential Primary Election in Fiscal Year 2011-12, offset by an increase for an additional staff year.
- Services and Supplies — decrease of \$7.5 million primarily as a result of the elimination of one-time costs (\$4.6 million) associated with the February 2012 Presidential Primary Election in Fiscal Year 2011-12, and the elimination of one-time prior year expenditures (\$2.9 million) for voting booths, the Americans with Disabilities (ADA) voting booths and Precinct Inspector supply bag/ballot box.
- Capital Assets/Land Acquisition — increase of \$2.0 million due to costs related to the relocation of the Registrar of Voters to a new building in 2013.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$7.7 million.

- Intergovernmental Revenue — decrease of \$2.9 million in Help America Vote Act (HAVA) funding due to the elimination of one-time prior year funding for voting booths, ADA voting booths and Precinct Inspector supply bag/ballot box.

- Charges for Current Services (Election Services) — increase of \$2.2 million as a result of the greater number of billable participating jurisdictions that participate in the Presidential General Election as compared to the Presidential Primary Election.
- Fund Balance Component Decreases — decrease of \$1.2 million to provide funding for the Gubernatorial Primary Election which has a fewer number of participating billable jurisdictions.
- Use of Fund Balance — net decrease of \$5.9 million. A total of \$4.2 million is budgeted for vote-by-mail services (\$1.8 million), unemployment insurance premiums (\$0.4 million), and costs related to relocating to the new Registrar of Voters building (\$2.0 million).
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.1 million to provide funding for the new Chinese Language service program.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net decrease of \$1.2 million is the result of a decrease of \$0.5 million in Salaries and Benefits due to lower temporary labor costs; an increase of \$1.2 million in Services and Supplies for a one-time purchase of equipment funded by HAVA grant revenue; and a decrease of \$2.0 million in capital assets for one-time funding of furniture, fixtures and equipment for the ROV's relocation from the County Operations Center Annex. The decrease in Election Services revenue of \$3.5 million is due to the lower number of participating billable jurisdictions in the June 2014 Gubernatorial Primary Election as compared to the November 2014 Gubernatorial General Election.



Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Registrar of Voters		63.00			64.00	64.00
Total		63.00			64.00	64.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Registrar of Voters	\$ 15,161,159	\$ 28,772,369	\$ 29,718,846	\$ 15,651,238	\$ 21,094,756	\$ 19,826,771
Total	\$ 15,161,159	\$ 28,772,369	\$ 29,718,846	\$ 15,651,238	\$ 21,094,756	\$ 19,826,771

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 7,916,956	\$ 10,571,250	\$ 10,571,250	\$ 7,863,330	\$ 8,401,178	\$ 7,897,174
Services & Supplies	7,244,203	18,145,732	18,546,047	7,246,769	10,693,578	11,929,597
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	—	—	—	—	2,000,000	—
Capital Assets Equipment	—	55,387	601,549	541,140	—	—
Total	\$ 15,161,159	\$ 28,772,369	\$ 29,718,846	\$ 15,651,238	\$ 21,094,756	\$ 19,826,771

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Intergovernmental Revenues	\$ 2,104,471	\$ 3,167,438	\$ 3,811,135	\$ 847,655	\$ 287,756	\$ 3,030,000
Charges For Current Services	3,086,488	2,782,000	2,782,000	3,294,208	5,005,000	1,480,000
Miscellaneous Revenues	5,149,103	60,000	60,000	45,691	80,000	70,000
Fund Balance Component Decreases	—	1,260,319	1,260,319	—	—	1,260,319
Use of Fund Balance	(5,173,583)	10,100,612	10,403,392	61,684	4,200,000	2,289,452
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	11,255,000	11,402,000	11,402,000	11,402,000	11,522,000	11,697,000
Total	\$ 15,161,159	\$ 28,772,369	\$ 29,718,846	\$ 15,651,238	\$ 21,094,756	\$ 19,826,771

County of San Diego

Finance and General Government Group

Finance and General Government Group Summary & Executive Office	353
Board of Supervisors	359
Assessor / Recorder / County Clerk	365
Treasurer - Tax Collector	371
Chief Administrative Office	377
Auditor and Controller	389
County Technology Office	397
Civil Service Commission	403
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	407
County Counsel	411
Grand Jury	417
Human Resources	419
County Communications Office	425

Finance and General Government Group & Executive Office

Group Description

The Finance and General Government Group provides essential support services and infrastructure to external customers and the County organization that enables achievement of the goals laid out in the County's Strategic Plan and adherence to the General Management System (GMS). The Finance and General Government Group maintains and continually strengthens the financial backbone of County operations and bears responsibility for human resources, technology, communications, legal, legislative and other key government functions. Services are provided to internal and external customers based on the following principles that align with the Required Disciplines:

- Consistent and fair administration of laws, regulations and policies.
- Targeted and effective training and support to ensure that employees are informed of laws and regulations.
- Genuine respect for fiduciary duties as stewards of taxpayer resources.
- Maintenance of a skilled, adaptable and diverse workforce focused on employee development and growth as knowledge workers prepared to serve the needs of today and tomorrow.
- Use of enabling technologies to improve business processes and operational excellence.
- Commitment to demonstrating the value of the services provided to County departments and the public, through performance monitoring and management.
- Active efforts to identify significant needs, challenges and risks through long-range strategic planning.

Finance and General Government Group Departments

- Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk
- Treasurer-Tax Collector
- Chief Financial Officer
- Auditor and Controller
- County Technology Office
- Civil Service Commission
- Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
- County Counsel



- Grand Jury
- Human Resources
- County Communications Office

Mission Statement

To provide timely, accurate, efficient and effective financial, legislative and general government services to residents, local public agencies, County departments and individual County employees that are consistent with federal, State and local requirements.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Required Discipline – Regional Leadership

- Hosted 28th Annual San Diego County Economic Roundtable, the region's longest running local economic forecasting event. The event was open to the public and co-sponsored by the County of San Diego, U-T San Diego, San Diego Workforce Partnership, and University of San Diego School of Business Administration.

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Assisted 22 area school districts with General Obligation (GO) bond financings and Tax and Revenue Anticipation Note (TRAN) financings. Successfully conducted a Request for Proposals (RFP) process to procure financial advisor services related to the County's debt financing activities.
- Maintained a property tax collection rate of 97% for secured taxes, and 97% for unsecured taxes by preparing and mailing property tax bills/notices, and processing tax payments in a timely manner to ensure timely revenue collection on behalf of San Diego County's taxpayers.



- Delivered the highest quality legal services in an efficient and effective manner to facilitate the achievement of County government's goal to better serve the residents of San Diego County. County Counsel prevailed in 92% (108 of 117) of court decisions in all lawsuits filed against the County.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Provided the public with the services that are of value to them in a competent and professional manner. These services include locating, identifying and appraising all property in San Diego County; recording all property ownership records; recording birth, death, marriage and Fictitious Business Name statements; issuing marriage licenses and offering civil marriage ceremonies; and issuing certified copies of vital records. Achieved a 93% satisfactory customer service rating.

Required Discipline – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Maintained a high quality workforce by adhering to 99% (450 of 455) of established timelines for recruitment of County employees, and provide County departments with positions that match their staffing needs by completing 97% (178 of 184) of classification activity requests by established timelines. Successfully implemented the Mentor Partnership Program to aid departments in succession planning and develop leadership talent in the County workforce.

Required Discipline – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Submitted 99% (1,599 of 1,600) of federal, State and local financial reports and annual financial statements that comply with regulations and reporting standards for County departments, outside government agencies, investors and taxpayers by their due dates to ensure accountability and transparency of financial transactions.
- Provided high quality audit services, including State mandated and operational/performance audits, to ensure the integrity of management control systems, to improve performance across the enterprise, and to ensure the most efficient use of resources. Of all audit recommendations contained in internal audit reports issued by the Office of Audits and Advisory Services (OAS), 93% (179 of 192) were implemented on or before their due date.

- Provided open access to County business by making audio recordings of Board of Supervisors meetings available on the Internet in a timely manner.
- Conducted a comprehensive review of the Clerk of the Board's cash handling processes and implemented all recommendations to ensure that adequate controls are in place to protect the staff and customers and safeguard public funds.
- Implemented new InSite and County News Center websites to proactively deliver vital information including County news, challenges and successes, directly to employees and residents.

Required Discipline – Information Technology

- Completed the upgrade to Oracle, the County's key financial application. The upgrade of this application, which supports the County's overall financial management, implemented improvements that were identified through business process reengineering efforts, providing for the most efficient use of this application.
- Developed a County IT Risk Assessment Manual that is being used to ensure that IT security requirements are integrated into the County's IT structure and system development life cycle. This manual includes guidelines for conducting IT system categorization; control selection and implementation; security assessments; and identifying system authorization and logging requirements.

2012-14 Objectives

Required Discipline for Excellence – Fiscal Stability

- Negotiate fiscally prudent successor agreements with 23 of 25 existing bargaining units and 8 of 9 existing employee organizations by July 2013. Negotiate fiscally prudent successor agreement with 2 remaining bargaining units and 1 employee organization by July 2014.
- To continue to fund the delivery of superior services throughout the San Diego County region, the Treasurer-Tax Collector will invest public monies held in the Treasury and maximize cash resources, without sacrificing the principles of safety or liquidity, for a yield of 0.60% in Fiscal Year 2012-13.
- Arrange financing for the construction of a parking facility at the intersection of Cedar Street and Kettner Boulevard, including the sale of \$27.8 million in long-term obligations. The parking facility has been planned in conjunction with the CAC Waterfront Park.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Submit 100% of federal, State and local financial reports and annual financial statements that comply with regulations and reporting standards for County departments, outside government agencies, investors and taxpayers by their due dates to ensure accountability and transparency of financial transactions.
- Provide open access to County business by making audio recordings of Board of Supervisors meetings available on the Internet in a timely manner.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

- Develop and deploy the Mobile Applications Development Platform to facilitate the development and deployment of mobile applications.
- Complete the transition to a new Web-based legal office practice management system (Tymetrix's T360), which manages all case and advisory information, has calendaring capabilities, retains client and contract information, stores supporting legal documents, and tracks time and billing information.

Related Links

For more information on the Finance and General Government Group, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/fg3/>.

Executive Office Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Increase of 1.00 staff year to accommodate increasing workloads in group program management. This staff year was previously deleted in Fiscal Year 2010-11 and will be reinstated.

Expenditures

Decrease of \$39.2 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net decrease of \$0.1 million.
 - Decrease of \$0.2 million to reflect current staffing costs and the elimination of Fiscal Year 2011-12 one-time funding for negotiated labor agreements.
 - Increase of \$0.1 million for the additional staff year described above.
- Services and Supplies — net decrease of \$39.1 million.

- Decrease of \$41.3 million to reflect the reduction of one-time appropriations for the Integrated Property Tax System (IPTS), which was initiated in Fiscal Year 2011-12.
- Decrease of \$0.1 million in various services and supplies accounts to reflect projected needs.
- Increase of \$2.3 million for the transfer of County Administrative Center (CAC) facility management expenses from the Clerk of the Board to the Finance and General Government Group Executive Office, including \$2.1 million in annual maintenance operations and \$0.2 million in major maintenance costs. The Department of General Services took over facility management services during Fiscal Year 2011-12, and has established a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the Executive Office for payment of those services.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$39.2 million.

- Revenue From Use of Money & Property — increase of \$0.02 million to reflect revenue from rents and concessions previously collected by Clerk of the Board to partially offset CAC facility management costs.
- Intergovernmental Revenues — increase of \$0.02 million related to external department overhead payments (A-87) charged to other governmental entities for enterprise resource planning (ERP) system support.
- Charges for Current Services — increase of \$0.5 million related to external department overhead payments (A-87) for enterprise resource planning (ERP) system support.
- Use of Fund Balance — net decrease of \$41.8 million for a total of \$3.2 million.
 - Decrease of \$41.3 million for the reduction of one-time appropriations for the Integrated Property Tax System.
 - Decrease of \$0.5 million to be replaced by external department overhead payments (A-87) described above.
 - Total use of fund balance for Fiscal Year 2012-13 includes \$2.5 million for management reserves to address unanticipated IT project costs, \$0.5 million for short-term funding of ERP system data storage costs until ongoing funding levels become more predictable, and \$0.2 million for potential CAC major maintenance projects.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$2.1 million for annual CAC facility maintenance operation costs detailed in MOA described above.



Executive Office Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net decrease of \$2.5 million is mainly attributable to a decrease in the planned use of management reserves for unanticipated IT projects.

Group Staffing by Department

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Finance & General Government Executive Office	6.00	7.00	7.00
Board of Supervisors	56.00	56.00	56.00
Assessor / Recorder / County Clerk	397.50	410.50	410.50
Treasurer - Tax Collector	121.00	121.00	121.00
Chief Administrative Office	14.50	14.50	14.50
Auditor and Controller	245.50	246.50	246.50
County Technology Office	16.00	16.00	16.00
Civil Service Commission	4.00	4.00	4.00
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	36.00	27.00	27.00
County Counsel	135.00	135.00	135.00
Grand Jury	1.00	1.00	1.00
Human Resources	112.00	114.00	114.00
County Communications Office	22.00	22.00	22.00
Total	1,166.50	1,174.50	1,174.50

Group Expenditures by Department

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Finance & General Government Executive Office	\$ 26,788,011	\$ 49,337,237	\$ 63,731,770	\$ 33,071,818	\$ 10,174,656	\$ 7,692,754
Board of Supervisors	7,239,210	7,683,215	8,302,711	7,307,765	7,811,230	7,816,168
Assessor / Recorder / County Clerk	48,903,241	53,590,691	57,426,138	49,107,781	56,359,869	56,039,243
Treasurer - Tax Collector	17,355,006	20,854,463	22,171,747	18,062,867	20,475,327	20,689,825
Chief Administrative Office	4,099,283	4,303,059	4,411,670	4,227,665	4,312,234	4,385,417
Auditor and Controller	31,053,895	33,983,646	34,652,368	32,743,676	36,752,555	35,157,520
County Technology Office	127,945,445	136,921,919	146,574,862	129,649,873	151,131,040	134,139,742
Civil Service Commission	544,442	568,229	568,229	560,767	586,695	601,143
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	6,734,792	6,458,189	4,770,564	4,005,480	3,279,610	3,366,032
County Counsel	21,390,129	22,361,077	23,248,333	22,101,008	22,935,440	23,031,595
Grand Jury	533,382	601,232	624,291	529,878	588,080	591,003
Human Resources	19,670,804	23,335,014	25,867,916	20,011,251	23,323,953	23,241,768
County Communications Office	2,557,914	3,154,141	3,394,427	2,823,058	3,060,557	3,116,675
Total	\$ 314,815,553	\$ 363,152,112	\$ 395,745,027	\$ 324,202,889	\$ 340,791,246	\$ 319,868,885



■ ■ ■ Finance and General Government Group & Executive Office

Executive Office Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Finance & General Government Executive Office	6.00	7.00	7.00
Total	6.00	7.00	7.00

Executive Office Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Finance & General Government Executive Office	\$ 26,788,011	\$ 49,337,237	\$ 63,731,770	\$ 33,071,818	\$ 10,174,656	\$ 7,692,754
Total	\$ 26,788,011	\$ 49,337,237	\$ 63,731,770	\$ 33,071,818	\$ 10,174,656	\$ 7,692,754

Executive Office Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 1,180,190	\$ 1,319,091	\$ 1,319,091	\$ 1,138,666	\$ 1,190,315	\$ 1,224,600
Services & Supplies	25,607,821	45,518,146	62,041,126	31,933,153	6,484,341	6,468,154
Management Reserves	—	2,500,000	371,553	—	2,500,000	—
Total	\$ 26,788,011	\$ 49,337,237	\$ 63,731,770	\$ 33,071,818	\$ 10,174,656	\$ 7,692,754

Executive Office Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 130,040	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000
Intergovernmental Revenues	—	—	—	14,947	19,156	19,156
Charges For Current Services	607,826	—	—	471,990	537,622	537,622
Miscellaneous Revenues	8,091	—	—	3,740	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	20,837,803	45,030,644	59,425,177	28,144,507	3,223,690	704,376
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	5,334,290	4,306,593	4,306,593	4,306,593	6,374,188	6,411,600
Total	\$ 26,788,011	\$ 49,337,237	\$ 63,731,770	\$ 33,071,818	\$ 10,174,656	\$ 7,692,754

Board of Supervisors

Department Description

The County is governed by a five-member Board of Supervisors elected to four-year terms. Each Board member represents a specific geographic area (Supervisory District) of the county. Supervisory District boundaries were adjusted for the Fiscal Year 2011-13 Adopted Operational Plan as a result of decennial redistricting activities in 2011. New district boundaries were adopted by the Board of Supervisors on September 27, 2011. (See map on page 3.)

District 1

Supervisor Greg Cox represents more than 609,000 residents of the First Supervisorial District on the San Diego County Board of Supervisors. The First District extends from the Pacific Ocean in the west to the Otay and San Miguel mountains in the east and from Point Loma on San Diego Bay in the north to the U.S./Mexico international border in the south. At the heart of the district lies San Diego Bay, a 23-square mile resource for commerce, ecology and recreation.

The First Supervisorial District includes the incorporated cities of Coronado, Imperial Beach, Chula Vista, National City and communities within the City of San Diego, including Barrio Logan, Chollas View, Grant Hill, La Playa, Lincoln Park, Logan Heights, Memorial, Mount Hope, Mountain View, Nestor, Otay, Palm City, Paradise Hills, parts of Point Loma, San Ysidro, Shelltown, Sherman Heights, Southcrest, South Bay Terraces, Stockton, Sunset Cliffs and part of Downtown San Diego. The district also includes the unincorporated communities of Bonita, Sunnyside, Lincoln Acres and East Otay Mesa.

Supervisor Cox is assisted by a highly experienced professional staff whose fundamental mission is to make County government work for citizens by focusing on outcome and results, not process and paperwork. Supervisor Cox's staff assists him in policy development, research and review of the County budget and operations, special projects and constituent services.

Since joining the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Cox has brought about an evolution in County government by demanding accountability and fiscal discipline to reduce administration and increase services to the public. Supervisor Cox has focused on the economic security of families and job creation and on improving public safety to better protect residents and fight child and elder abuse; actively promoting the well-being of children, youth and families by



creating more opportunities to succeed through innovative programs and projects like the San Pasqual Academy and the Bonita-Sunnyside and Lincoln Acres libraries.

Since taking office, Supervisor Cox has made it one of his highest priorities to improve the lives of foster children. Specifically, he has tirelessly worked to establish programs, like School Success, to help foster children achieve academic success and graduate high school. In 2010, the graduation rate for foster children in San Diego was well over 85%, comparable to that of the general public and a dramatic change from 10 years ago when the rate was only 51%.

Supervisor Cox has made it a priority to improve access to health care in his district and countywide. As one who initiated the Healthcare Safety Net study, Supervisor Cox has provided hundreds of thousands of dollars in Neighborhood Reinvestment funds to local Community Clinics, and continues to work with clinics and hospitals to maintain and enhance the safety net in San Diego County. Supervisor Cox has improved accessibility to health and social services by using technology and creating innovative collaborations with community partners like 2-1-1 San Diego.

Supervisor Cox has worked to preserve open space while providing recreational opportunities through the creation of the Bayshore Bikeway, the Sweetwater River Bike Trail, Otay Valley Regional Park and the expansion of the Sweetwater River Regional Park and the Tijuana River Valley Regional Park. Supervisor Cox doubled the number of campsites available to the public at the Sweetwater Summit Campground. He has actively worked with State and federal agencies to fund projects that encourage people to use active transportation including walking and bicycling, thus reducing toxic auto emissions that pollute our air. In March 2011, Supervisor Cox secured a grant from the State Coastal Conservancy to complete significant segments of

the Bayshore Bikeway, a project the Supervisor has championed. He is leading San Diego's effort to become the first California county to complete the California Coastal Trail, which is a network of trails and routes spanning the entire coastline. Supervisor Cox received praise from local environmental groups for leading a regional effort with all the coastal cities in the county to locate funding to restore the Beach Quality Safety Program, which preserves critical monitoring of our region's beaches, bays and waterways.

Supervisor Cox has worked with federal and local offices on the new San Ysidro Port of Entry and associated infrastructure to enhance international commerce and trade. He is working to complete construction of State Route 905, State Route 11 and a second Otay Mesa international border crossing. Supervisor Cox was elected by his peers to serve as the President of the U.S./Mexico Border Counties Coalition and the California State Association of Counties and remains active on the boards of both organizations. He also serves on the board of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority.

District 2

Supervisor Dianne Jacob is proud to represent the people of San Diego County's majestic Second District which encompasses all of East County and includes the U.S./Mexico Border as its southern boundary, stretches to the mountains of the greater Julian area in its northern reaches and extends to the Imperial County line. The largest of the County's five districts, the Second District features 2,000 square miles of dynamic landscape including stunning chaparral, bustling downtowns, quiet forest and breathtaking desert. Home to more than 620,000 residents, including more than 270,000 unincorporated residents, the Second District has more unincorporated area residents than the other four districts combined. For this reason, many residents depend on County government for nearly all local public services and have more contact with their supervisor than in more urban districts.

The public's safety, stronger fire protection, new libraries, ball fields, recreational opportunities and a fiscally sound County government have been the hallmarks of Supervisor Jacob's leadership. She helped transform a county on the brink of bankruptcy into a strong, lean, service-driven organization that puts taxpayers first. Even before the Cedar Fire in 2003, Supervisor Jacob was working with rural fire agencies to improve fire protection and emergency medical services in rural areas where the threat of wildfire is the most severe. Since 2003, County government has invested over \$200 million to make the region safer from wildfire. A

former teacher, Supervisor Jacob has long made kids a top priority. Whether it's expanding health care coverage for young children or building and improving places for kids to play, Supervisor Jacob believes safe and healthy kids represent the district's bright future.

The Second District includes the unincorporated communities of Alpine, Boulevard, Campo, Casa de Oro, Crest, Cuyamaca, Dehesa, Descanso, Dulzura, Granite Hills, Guatay, Harbison Canyon, Jacumba, Jamul, Julian, Lake Morena, Lakeside, Mount Laguna, Mount Helix, Pine Hills, Pine Valley, Potrero, Ramona, Rancho San Diego, San Pasqual, Santa Ysabel, Shelter Valley, Spring Valley, Tecate, Vallecitos and Wynola, as well as the Indian Reservations of Barona, Campo, Ewiiapaayp, Inaja/Cosmit, Jamul, La Posta, Manzanita, Mesa Grande, Santa Ysabel, Sycuan and Viejas. The Second District encompasses the cities of El Cajon, La Mesa, Lemon Grove, Santee, Poway and the communities of Allied Gardens, College Area, Del Cerro, Grantville, Navajo, Rolando and San Carlos in the City of San Diego.

District 3

Supervisor Pam Slater-Price represents a district that stretches from the coastline to the inland valleys and includes research centers, a military base, bedroom communities and major employment centers. With nearly 650,000 residents, District 3 overlays all or portions of five incorporated cities, including nearly a dozen distinct communities within the City of San Diego.

District 3 includes the coast from Torrey Pines State Beach through Encinitas. At the southwest boundary of the district is Sorrento Valley and Torrey Pines Mesa, a hub of biotech, high-tech and pharmaceutical research, where companies make everything from wireless devices to algae-based motor fuel. Also along the coast are the cities of Del Mar, Solana Beach and Encinitas. These cities incorporated in 1986 but continue to receive law enforcement, library and other services from the County of San Diego.

Along the bustling coastline, Supervisor Slater-Price has championed efforts to restore and enhance many miles worth of beaches, coastal lagoons and watersheds. She has overseen a multi-agency effort to restore the San Dieguito wetlands, and to the north, at San Elijo Lagoon, the Supervisor pushed for construction of an award-winning, County-operated nature center.

During her tenure, Supervisor Slater-Price has worked with chambers of commerce and business booster groups to promote economic development and job growth. She voted to increase fire protection and fight crime throughout the

county. She has supported the county's acquisition of thousands of acres of permanent open space and has been a driving force behind strengthening environmental policies.

District 3 bridges the coast to the inland corridor by way of Mira Mesa, where Qualcomm, Inc. – the region's largest, private-sector employer – is headquartered. The northeast corner of District 3 includes historic Escondido as well as San Pasqual Valley, communities with rich agricultural roots. Just south of Escondido and Lake Hodges is Rancho Bernardo, where multinational companies such as Sony and Northrop Grumman employ many thousands of residents. Moving south, District 3 includes the bedroom communities of Carmel Mountain Ranch, Scripps Ranch, Tierasanta and Sabre Springs. The District also overlays the eastern portion of Marine Corps Air Station Miramar.

By adhering to the county's General Management System, Supervisor Slater-Price promotes conservative fiscal policy, a clean environment, and above all, safe and livable communities.

Supervisor Slater-Price works with all of these communities by recommending county funding to support a host of public safety, tourism, business and social service groups at work within the many neighborhoods.

District 4

Supervisor Ron Roberts is Chairman of the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Ron Roberts represents the Fourth Supervisorial District, considered the most ethnically diverse district in San Diego County. Approximately 626,000 people reside in the district, which encompasses a majority of the City of San Diego. Since his election to the Board of Supervisors in 1994, Supervisor Roberts has focused his energy on a wide variety of issues, from improving the plight of foster youth, assisting vulnerable seniors and enhancing public safety, to supporting growing the regional economy and making sure that the County of San Diego remains one of the best-managed counties in America.

Because all of the Fourth Supervisorial District is located within the City of San Diego, the bulk of municipal services, like street improvements, trash collection and tree trimming, fall under the jurisdiction of the San Diego City Council. In general, the Board of Supervisors is responsible for issues that are more regional in nature, such as public health, animal control, air quality, water quality, probation and operation of the jail system.

The Fourth Supervisorial District spans 100 square miles, extending north to UC San Diego, west to the Pacific Ocean, east to Encanto and south to Paradise Hills. The district also includes the neighborhoods of Adams North, Alta Vista, Bay Ho, Bay Park, Birdland, Castle, Cherokee Point, Chollas Creek, City Heights (where more than 30 languages are spoken), Clairemont Mesa, Colina Del Sol, Corridor, Cortez, Crown Point, El Cerrito, Emerald Hills, Encanto, Fairmount Park, Fairmount Village, Golden Hill, Hillcrest, Jamacha Lomita, Kearny Mesa, Kensington, La Jolla, Linda Vista, Little Italy, Loma Portal, Middletown, Midway, Mission Beach, Mission Hills, Mission Valley, Morena, Normal Heights, North Park, Oak Park, Ocean Beach, Pacific Beach, Park West, Redwood Village, Serra Mesa, Skyline, South Park, Swan Canyon, Talmadge Park, University Heights, Valencia Park, Webster and north-eastern downtown San Diego. Points of interest within the district include Old Town State Historic Park, Balboa Park, Ocean Beach Pier, Mission Bay, the Children's Pool in La Jolla and the world-famous San Diego Zoo.

District 5

Supervisor Bill Horn has represented the Fifth District Since his election to the Board of Supervisors in 1994. The district covers the northern most area of San Diego County, and stretches from the wave-swept sands of the Oceanside coast, to the pine-topped hills of the Palomar Mountain Range and beyond to the expanses of the Anza-Borrego Desert. The district, with nearly 1,800 square miles, is a vast resource of nature, industry, resorts, golf courses, fine restaurants and agriculture. Currently, approximately 620,000 people reside in the Fifth District. Efficient and friendly service is a top priority for Supervisor Horn. His staff assists with research, development and analysis of the County budget, operations, and policies in addition to responding to the needs of constituents and supporting Supervisor Horn in his contact with the public. Supervisor Horn is proud of the district's access to health care, public safety resources, including improved methods of protecting the public from sexual predators, emergency preparedness and strong relationships with faith-based organizations.

Within the Fifth District are the cities of Oceanside, Carlsbad, Vista, and San Marcos, as well as Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton. The district includes the unincorporated communities of Agua Caliente, Bear Valley, Birch Hill, Bonsall, Borrego Springs, Buena, De Luz, Eagles Nest, Elfin Forest, Fairbanks Ranch, Fallbrook, Gopher Canyon, Harmony Grove, Hidden Meadows, Jesmond Dene, La Jolla Amago, Lake Henshaw, Lake San Marcos, Lake Sutherland, Lake Wohlford, Lilac, Morettis, Live Oak Park, Oak



Grove, Ocotillo Wells, Pala, Palomar Mountain, Pauma Valley, Rainbow, Ranchita, Rancho Monserate, Rancho Santa Fe, Rancho Santa Margarita, Rock Springs, San Felipe, San Ignacio, San Luis Rey, Sunshine Summit, Twin Oaks Valley, Valley Center, Vista Acres, Warner Springs, and Winterwarm. The district is also home to the Indian Reservations of La Jolla, Los Coyotes, Mesa Grande, Pala, Pauma/Yuima, Rincon, Santa Ysabel and San Pasqual. Also within the district boundaries are vast areas of National Forest, State Parklands, the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station and the United States Naval Weapons Station at Fallbrook.

Supervisor Horn is an avocado rancher and citrus grower, so agriculture remains close to his heart. Agriculture is a major industry in the Fifth District and the San Diego region, bringing in over \$5.1 billion in annual value to the local economy. With many hills and valleys covered with groves of avocado and citrus trees. Decorative flowers, grown commercially, paint the hills of Carlsbad each year with a rainbow of colors. Elsewhere, cattlemen tend their herds in the oak-studded inland valley and farmers plant and harvest their crops that include strawberries and tomatoes. In springtime, wildflowers carpet the Anza-Borrego Desert. With water availability and distribution a critical need in the region, Supervisor Horn has been a leader in finding solutions to the water crisis.

Tourism and light industrial development are thriving in the Fifth District. The Biotechnology industry is represented in Oceanside, Carlsbad, Vista, and San Marcos. North County is also becoming a regional powerhouse for higher education and health care, as the California State University San Marcos campus continues to grow and a \$1 billion, 740,000 square foot hospital is expected to open in summer 2012. Over half of the County's 1,932 miles of roads are located in District 5, and over the next forty years North County is expected to implement another \$11.5 billion in transit and highway infrastructure. Under the leadership of Supervisor Horn, plans to expand McClellan-Palomar Airport, a crucial economic driver for North County, are being developed. He has also created organizations, such as Prosperity on Purpose, to create new opportunities and secure economic development funds for North County. Supervisor Horn is committed to protecting property rights, creating jobs, ensuring public safety, balancing growth, eliminating traffic congestion and preserving our natural resources.

Related Links

For additional information about the Board of Supervisors, refer to the website at

<http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/general/bos.html>.

Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Board of Supervisors District 1	9.00	9.00	9.00
Board of Supervisors District 2	11.00	11.00	11.00
Board of Supervisors District 3	11.00	11.00	11.00
Board of Supervisors District 4	10.00	10.00	10.00
Board of Supervisors District 5	13.00	13.00	13.00
Board of Supervisors General Offices	2.00	2.00	2.00
Total	56.00	56.00	56.00

Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Board of Supervisors District 1	\$ 1,246,949	\$ 1,308,832	\$ 1,508,832	\$ 1,278,719	\$ 1,335,009	\$ 1,335,009
Board of Supervisors District 2	1,190,901	1,312,135	1,312,135	1,237,992	1,338,378	1,338,378
Board of Supervisors District 3	1,244,884	1,285,392	1,340,680	1,181,674	1,311,100	1,311,100
Board of Supervisors District 4	1,265,715	1,283,204	1,447,412	1,168,188	1,308,868	1,308,868
Board of Supervisors District 5	1,258,866	1,408,611	1,608,611	1,456,851	1,436,783	1,436,783
Board of Supervisors General Offices	1,031,895	1,085,041	1,085,041	984,340	1,081,092	1,086,030
Total	\$ 7,239,210	\$ 7,683,215	\$ 8,302,711	\$ 7,307,765	\$ 7,811,230	\$ 7,816,168

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 6,204,357	\$ 6,680,862	\$ 6,835,862	\$ 6,331,624	\$ 6,802,701	\$ 6,807,639
Services & Supplies	1,034,853	1,002,353	1,466,849	976,141	1,008,529	1,008,529
Total	\$ 7,239,210	\$ 7,683,215	\$ 8,302,711	\$ 7,307,765	\$ 7,811,230	\$ 7,816,168



Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Charges For Current Services	\$ 1,079	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,048	\$ —	\$ —
Miscellaneous Revenues	563	—	—	(44)	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	(390,478)	3,074	622,570	(373,380)	—	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	7,628,046	7,680,141	7,680,141	7,680,141	7,811,230	7,816,168
Total	\$ 7,239,210	\$ 7,683,215	\$ 8,302,711	\$ 7,307,765	\$ 7,811,230	\$ 7,816,168

Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk

Department Description

The Assessor is mandated by the Constitution of the State of California to locate, identify and establish values for all vacant land, improved real estate, business property and certain mobile homes, boats and aircraft. In addition, the Assessor maintains records on all taxable properties within the boundaries of the County of San Diego, including maintaining maps of all real property parcels. The Recorder is mandated by the Government Code to examine, record, index and archive records submitted for recordation or filing and to make available to the public all records in the custody of the Recorder. The County Clerk is mandated by the Government Code to issue and maintain a record of fictitious business names, issue marriage licenses, offer civil marriage ceremonies and provide certified copies of vital records, including birth and death certificates.

Mission Statement

To provide prompt and courteous service to the public in accomplishing the duties and responsibilities of the department; to have fair and uniform assessments of all taxable property in accordance with property tax laws; to provide for the orderly and expeditious recordation, archiving and retrieval of legal documents submitted and to provide for the efficient distribution to the public.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Recorded property ownership in a timely manner facilitating access to ownership information for the buying, selling and financing of property.
- Located, identified and appraised all property so the public and businesses are assured a fair and uniform assessment of their property under the auspices of all applicable State property tax laws, rules and regulations.
- Recorded births, deaths, marriages and Fictitious Business Name statements in a timely manner to enable the public and businesses to establish identity in order to conduct their affairs.
- Phase One of the Birth Backfile Imaging Project to electronically preserve vital records has been completed. The Digital Reel Scanning and Redaction Projects to electronically preserve critical recorded documents will be completed by December 2012.



Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Collected, distributed and accounted for all mandated fees and transfer taxes so County departments, federal and State agencies, cities and special districts can fulfill their legal responsibilities.
- Reviewed various vital record fees to ensure that costs for services are fully recovered.

Required Discipline – Information Technology

- Completed initial development task for the pending implementation of a new integrated recording and vital records system. Due to a necessary extension of the overall project timeline, this task has been segmented into multiple phases: Phase One (Official Records) is now scheduled for implementation by June 2013, and Phase Two (Vital Records) is estimated to be implemented by June 2014. This will allow the Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk (ARCC) to leverage necessary new technologies in order to maintain a high level of fiscal stability and service to its citizens.
- Completed requirements and initial design for the development of an electronic recording module that will allow the department to electronically accept recorded documents. This is an immediate sub-phase to the aforementioned integrated recording and vital records system. Due to the extension of the overall project timeline, scheduled implementation is now between July and August 2013. This will enable the department to significantly reduce document processing time and enhance customer service.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Provided the public with services that are of value to them in a competent and professional manner. These services include locating, identifying and appraising all property in San Diego County; recording all property

ownership records; recording birth, death, marriage and Fictitious Business Name statements; issuing marriage licenses and offering civil marriage ceremonies; and issuing certified copies of vital records.

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Record property ownership in a timely manner, facilitating access to ownership information for the buying, selling and financing of property.
- Locate, identify and appraise all property so the public and businesses are assured a fair and uniform assessment of their property under the auspices of all applicable State property tax laws, rules and regulations.
- Record births, deaths, marriages and Fictitious Business Name statements in a timely manner to enable the public and businesses to establish identity in order to conduct their affairs.
- Complete Phase Two of Birth, Death and Marriage Backfile Imaging Project to electronically preserve critical recorded documents and vital records. Target start date is July 2013 with completion by June 2014.
- Redact identity information from documents recorded during the period 1990 through 2008 in order to comply with Government Code 27301a. Target completion date is September 2013.

Required Discipline for Excellence - Fiscal Stability

- Collect, distribute and account for all mandated fees and transfer taxes so County departments, federal and State agencies, cities and special districts can fulfill their legal responsibilities.
- Continue to review departmental fee structure to ensure that costs for services are fully recovered.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

- Implementation of a new integrated recording and vital records system. Full system implementation is scheduled to occur by June 2014. This will allow the ARCC to leverage necessary new technologies in order to maintain a high level of fiscal stability and service to its citizens.
- Implementation of the electronic recording module that will allow the department to electronically accept recorded documents. This is an immediate sub-phase to the aforementioned integrated recording and vital records system. Due to the extension of the overall project timeline, scheduled implementation is now between July and August 2013. This will enable the department to reduce document processing time and enhance customer service.
- Develop and implement both an internal and external ARCC SharePoint site, a file sharing application. This will provide a consolidated venue for all departmental services and information for both staff and the public.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Provide the public with services that are of value to them in a competent and professional manner and achieve a customer service rating of at least 93%.
- Provide the public with a variety of online forms and related services. This will provide a more efficient and effective level of customer service by leveraging the latest in online form processing and electronic signature technology.
- Extend customer services at the Chula Vista branch office; civil ceremonies to be offered in July 2012 and document recording in January 2013.

Related Links

For more information on the Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk, refer to the website at <http://arcc.co.sandiego.ca.us/arcc/default.aspx>.



Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Recorded documents indexed within two business days ¹	99%	99%	100% of 854,607	99%	99%
Mandated assessments completed by close of annual tax roll ²	100%	100%	99% of 355,000	98%	98%
Vital records, certificates and licenses indexed within 48 hours of receipt of all files so the public can have the most current information ³	98%	98%	100% of 88,940	99%	99%
Satisfactory customer service rating ⁴	92%	94%	93%	93%	93%

Table Notes

¹ Measures the timely manner in which the public can access ownership information to facilitate the buying, selling and financing of property.

² Measures the performance in locating, identifying, and fairly and uniformly appraising all property. Completing 100% of the annual assessment work is the goal in the County's first step to assessing and billing annual property taxes.

³ Measures the timely manner in which the public can access vital records and certificates affording them the most current information.

⁴ Customer satisfaction rating measures how individuals perceive the department's ability to provide services of value to them. This rating is determined based on the number of positive comments received to the total number of customers surveyed.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Increase of 13.00 staff years - 10.00 appraisal positions in the Property Valuation and Identification program to assist with the timely resolution of residential and commercial assessment appeals filings. This will reduce the appeals waiting time by 50%. An increase of 3.00 clerical support positions in the Recorder/Clerk program will allow the department to extend clerk services (marriage licenses and ceremonies) at the Chula Vista branch office.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$2.8 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$1.1 million reflects an increase in expenditures due to the increase of 13.00 staff years, as well as negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

- Services and Supplies — increase of \$1.7 million.
 - Increase of \$0.8 million in temporary contract help due to implementation of integrated recording/vital records system.
 - Increase of \$0.5 million in contracted services due to one-time project relating to Assessor film deterioration (\$0.4 million) and contracted consultant services relating to the Integrated Property Tax System (IPTS) project (\$0.1 million).
 - Increase of \$0.3 million in minor equipment costs due to one-time purchases relating to integrated recording/vital records system.
 - Increase of \$0.1 million in major maintenance costs due to necessary building repairs.

Revenues

Net increase of \$2.8 million.

- Licenses Permits & Franchises — increase of \$0.5 million due to increase in fee for marriage licenses.



- Charges for Current Services — net increase of \$2.3 million.
 - Increase of \$1.9 million in Recording Fee Modernization revenue due to one-time initiatives relating to implementation of integrated recording/vital records system.
 - Increase of \$0.1 million in E-recording revenue due to increase in ongoing costs for E-recording system.
 - Increase of \$0.4 million in Social Security Number Truncation revenue due to one-time redaction back file projects.
 - Increase of \$0.4 million due to increase in fee for services relating to marriage ceremonies.
 - Increase of \$0.2 million in duplicating and filing fees due to a State mandated increase in fees relating to birth and death certificates.
 - Increase of recorded document fees of \$0.1 million primarily due to an increase in fee for fictitious business name filings.
 - Decrease of \$0.5 million in Property Tax System Administration revenue to reflect anticipated decrease in collections from cities for services rendered.
 - Decrease of \$0.2 million in Micrographics Trust Fund revenue due to decrease in ongoing and one-time expenditures.
- Miscellaneous Revenue — increase of \$0.1 million to reflect increase in timeshare maintenance fee revenue. ARCC maintains unique ownerships and assessments for each single condominium unit used as a timeshare.
- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$0.2 million for a total budget of \$0.35 million to fund a one-time initiative to upgrade old microfilm to a more stable film medium in the Assessor's Office.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.1 million due to increase in projected personnel costs.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net decrease of \$0.3 million is primarily the result of reductions in Services and Supplies of \$1.2 million due to elimination of one-time initiatives from Fiscal Year 2012-13 (\$0.8 million in temporary contract help, \$0.1 million in software application costs, \$0.2 million in minor equipment costs and \$0.1 million in major maintenance costs) and a reduction of Capital Asset Equipment costs (\$0.2 million). This is partially offset by an increase in Salaries and Benefits of \$1.1 million for a negotiated benefit increase and increases in County retirement contributions.

Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Property Valuation ID	263.00	272.00	272.00
Recorder / County Clerk	110.50	114.50	114.50
Management Support	24.00	24.00	24.00
Total	397.50	410.50	410.50

Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Property Valuation ID	\$ 29,459,316	\$ 31,919,032	\$ 31,800,930	\$ 31,273,062	\$ 33,296,297	\$ 34,676,895
Recorder / County Clerk	15,557,554	18,522,213	22,047,309	14,115,669	19,671,169	17,963,475
Public Information Services	409,063	—	—	203,915	—	—
Management Support	3,477,308	3,149,446	3,577,899	3,515,136	3,392,403	3,398,873
Total	\$ 48,903,241	\$ 53,590,691	\$ 57,426,138	\$ 49,107,781	\$ 56,359,869	\$ 56,039,243

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 34,344,971	\$ 36,915,940	\$ 37,005,762	\$ 35,740,649	\$ 37,990,581	\$ 39,083,407
Services & Supplies	14,514,679	16,449,751	20,021,922	13,102,341	18,161,525	16,915,836
Capital Assets Equipment	43,591	225,000	398,454	264,792	207,763	40,000
Total	\$ 48,903,241	\$ 53,590,691	\$ 57,426,138	\$ 49,107,781	\$ 56,359,869	\$ 56,039,243

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Licenses Permits & Franchises	\$ 464,742	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 724,449	\$ 900,000	\$ 900,000
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	64,933	56,000	56,000	23,807	30,000	30,000
Charges For Current Services	27,909,714	31,385,791	32,535,791	29,024,320	33,711,529	31,644,965
Miscellaneous Revenues	714,935	631,000	631,000	736,903	701,000	701,000
Other Financing Sources	—	—	—	4,103	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	395,638	511,648	3,197,095	(1,962,053)	350,000	800,000
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	19,353,279	20,556,252	20,556,252	20,556,252	20,667,340	21,963,278
Total	\$ 48,903,241	\$ 53,590,691	\$ 57,426,138	\$ 49,107,781	\$ 56,359,869	\$ 56,039,243





Treasurer-Tax Collector

Department Description

The Treasurer-Tax Collector is an elected County official whose duties are mandated by State law and the County Charter. These duties include banking, investment, disbursement and accountability for \$6.1 billion in public funds; the billing and collection of approximately \$4.6 billion in secured and \$0.17 billion in unsecured property taxes for all local governments; and administering the Improvement Bond Acts of 1911, 1913 and 1915. The Treasurer-Tax Collector also administers the County's Deferred Compensation Program. In addition, as the only elected fiscal officer of the County, the Treasurer-Tax Collector holds the only permanent seat on the San Diego County Employees Retirement Association (SDCERA) Board.

Mission Statement

To provide the citizens, agencies and employees of San Diego County with superior financial services in terms of quality, timeliness, efficiency and value while maintaining the highest levels of customer service and satisfaction.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Treasury

Treasury consists of the Investment Division and the Accounting Division. The Investment Division is responsible for setting the daily cash balance for the County Pooled Investment Fund (Pool), investing for the Pool and dedicated portfolios, and reviewing documents and advising on the structure and pricing of all County and school debt issues. The Accounting Division performs various accounting functions related to tax collection and investments and provides financial services such as electronic fund transfers to other County departments and investment pool members.

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- To safeguard public funds and maintain public trust, efficiently managed 360 accounts for the provisions of banking services for public entities and County departments. The Treasurer-Tax Collector also provided accurate recording of all funds on deposit and facilitated daily reconciliation of funds of 113 bank accounts linked to the Master Treasury account.



- To continue to fund the delivery of superior services throughout the San Diego County region, the Treasurer-Tax Collector invested public monies held in the Treasury and maximized cash resources, without sacrificing the principles of safety or liquidity, for a yield of 0.51% in Fiscal Year 2011-12.

Required Discipline – Regional Leadership

- To assist public agencies in making informed decisions that minimize taxpayer cost when issuing debt and ensuring correct and timely payments to bond holders, the Treasurer-Tax Collector provided broad-based financial and consulting services to public agencies within the San Diego County region. County Investment staff assisted in the issuance of approximately \$1.750 billion in bond proceeds during Fiscal Year 2011-12. The Treasury processed in a timely manner 256 interest payments and 160 principal payments for bonds where the County Treasury serves as the paying agent.
- Provided a learning platform and forum to address current government finance issues by facilitating three seminars including the Investment Symposium (61 attendees), the Debt Symposium (81 attendees) and Fraud Prevention (122 attendees).

Required Discipline – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Increased the number of certified Cash Handlers by 131 people in Fiscal Year 2011-12 through a certified Cash Handler's seminar hosted by the Treasurer-Tax Collector and the Association of Public Treasurers (United States and Canada). This award winning program continues to strengthen internal controls and increase efficiency in processing and safeguarding cash.



- Developed “knowledge workers” within the Treasury Division by having encouraged professional development through seminar and conference attendance and participation in government finance organizations such as California Municipal Treasurers Association, Government Investment Officers Association and Government Finance Officers Association.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Implemented upgrade of SunGard treasury management software. This enhancement will allow for automatic paperless processing of investment transactions and provide additional automation and streamlining of Treasury processes.

Tax Collection

Tax Collection is responsible for mailing, sorting and batching approximately 1.4 million tax bills and statements per year; managing property tax refund activity, research of payment exceptions and erroneous payments and payment processing; collecting property taxes on personal property (unsecured taxes) and several other types of specialty taxes; issuing tax clearance certificates for mobile homes and maps; payment plan activities; and the management of all tax sale activities. Tax Collection is also responsible for providing customer service to County residents at the main branch and four additional branches located throughout the county.

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Maintained a collection rate of 97% for secured taxes, and 97% for unsecured taxes by preparing and mailing property tax bills/notices, and processing tax payments in a timely manner ensuring timely revenue collection on behalf of San Diego County’s taxpayers.

Required Discipline – Information Technology

- Participated in the further development of the Integrated Property Tax System (IPTS) in conjunction with the Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk, Auditor and Controller and County Technology Office to prepare for deployment by a date agreed upon by the County and the selected contractor.

Deferred Compensation

The Deferred Compensation Program administers all aspects of the 401(a) Incentive Retirement Deferred Compensation Plan and the 457 Deferred Compensation Plan. The Deferred Compensation Program is a voluntary way to save for retirement in addition to the County’s pension system. These plans are available to full-time and part-time permanent employees of the County of San Diego.

Required Discipline – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Broadened the Countywide base of employees who are planning for financial security during retirement by the following measures:
 - Maintained enrollment in the Deferred Compensation 457 Plan at 48.0% through June 30, 2012.
 - Average deferral amount per pay period in the Deferred Compensation 457 Plan was \$80.
 - Continued to educate employees on the Deferred Compensation Plan by holding two Investment and Retirement Symposiums.
 - Continued to increase employee awareness and understanding by conducting educational workshops and redesigning promotional materials and presentations to increase the knowledge base of all employees.

2012-14 Objectives

Treasury

Required Discipline for Excellence – Fiscal Stability

- To safeguard public funds and maintain public trust, will efficiently manage the provisions of banking services for public entities and County departments. The Treasurer-Tax Collector will also provide accurate recording of all funds on deposit and facilitate daily reconciliation of funds.
- To continue to fund the delivery of superior services throughout the San Diego County region, the Treasurer-Tax Collector will invest public monies held in the Treasury and maximize cash resources, without sacrificing the principles of safety or liquidity, for a yield of 0.60% in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and 0.70% in Fiscal Year 2013-14.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Regional Leadership

- To assist public agencies in making informed decisions that minimize taxpayer cost when issuing debt and ensuring correct and timely payments to bond holders, the Treasurer-Tax Collector will provide broad-based financial and consulting services to public agencies within the San Diego County region.
- Provide a learning platform and forum to address current government finance issues by facilitating four seminars for Fraud Prevention, Nonprofit Organization Finance, Cash Handling, Debt Financing and Investment of Public Funds for local agencies.

Tax Collection

Required Discipline for Excellence – Fiscal Stability

- Maintain a collection rate of 97% for secured taxes, and 97% for unsecured taxes by preparing and mailing property tax bills/notices, and processing tax payments in a timely manner to ensure timely revenue collection on behalf of San Diego County's taxpayers by June 30, 2013.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

- Participate in the further development of the Integrated Property Tax System (IPTS) in conjunction with the Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk, Auditor and Controller and County Technology Office to prepare for deployment by a date agreed upon by the County and the selected contractor.

Deferred Compensation

Required Discipline for Excellence – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Broaden the Countywide base of employees who are planning for financial security during retirement by the following measures:
 - Maintain enrollment in the Deferred Compensation 457 Plan at 48.0% through June 30, 2013 and increase it to 48.2% by June 30, 2014.
 - Increase the average participant contribution in the Deferred Compensation 457 Plan to \$89 per pay period by June 30, 2013 and to \$91 by June 30, 2014.
 - Continue to educate employees on the Deferred Compensation Plan by presenting two Investment & Retirement Symposiums each fiscal year.
 - Continue to increase employee awareness and understanding by conducting annual educational workshops and redesigning promotional materials and presentations to increase the knowledge base of all employees.

Related Links

For additional information about the Treasurer-Tax Collector, refer to the website at <http://www.sdtreastax.com/>.



Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Secured Taxes Collected (% of total) ¹	98%	97%	97%	97%	97%
Unsecured Taxes Collected (% of total) ¹	97%	97%	97%	97%	97%
Rate of Return on Investment Pool (%)	0.84% ²	0.70% ³	0.51% ³	0.60% ³	0.70% ³
Customer Satisfaction Ratings (Scale of 1-5, 5 being highest) ⁴	4.71	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70
457 Deferred Compensation Plan average deferral amount per employee per pay period ⁵	\$86	\$87	\$80	\$89	\$91
Percentage of eligible County employees participating in the 457 Deferred Compensation Plan ⁶	47.4%	47.4%	48.0%	48.0%	48.2%
Number of newly Certified Cash Handlers for the County and other government entities	157 ⁷	40 ⁷	131 ⁷	40 ⁷	40

Table Notes

¹ With a county the size of San Diego, it is anticipated that a small percentage of taxpayers will not pay their taxes. The low actual collection rate is a result of the struggling real estate market. Continued lower collection percentages through 2013-14 are anticipated as the real estate market continues to struggle.

² The Rate of Return on Investment Pool was estimated to be 0.84% in Fiscal Year 2010-11 due to the Federal Reserve funds rate having remained set at a range of 2.00% to 0.00%.

³ Since the Federal Reserve has maintained the Federal Funds target rate at the lowest possible range during the past two years, it is expected that as the economy improves, the Investment Pool's Rate of Return will increase accordingly. However, in the short term, higher yielding investments are expected to mature, which will lower the return until interest rates rise significantly.

⁴ The Treasurer-Tax Collector mails more than one million tax bills per year. The public reaction to property taxes is strongly affected by economic conditions. This reaction is reflected on their Customer Satisfaction Surveys. They give excellent ratings for having their questions answered and the level of courtesy experienced; however, their overall experience is less than exceptional because they believe the taxes are too high.

⁵ Due to uncertainty in the economic health of the country, employees reduced their Deferred Compensation average deferral amount per employee per pay period. The department will strive to increase plan awareness and to promote new plan services in order to keep contributions consistent.

⁶ Participation in the 457 plan increased greatly as a result of the publicity created by the introduction of the Roth 457 program early in Fiscal Year 2011-12.

⁷ The number of newly Certified Cash Handlers increased in Fiscal Year 2010-11 due to a compliance requirement implemented by the Health and Human Services Agency and other departments understanding the value of the program. The number of newly Certified Cash Handlers increased in 2011-12 due to a special request from County departments to add an extra session and will revert back to 40 in 2012-13 because most employees who require Cash Handling certification have been certified. It is also anticipated that turnover of cash handlers will be lower.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$0.4 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$0.1 million reflects negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.
- Services and Supplies — decrease of \$0.5 million.
 - Decrease of \$0.4 million in one-time cost for the implementation of the Web Integrated Tax System (WITS) 3.0 infrastructure allowing for a real-time client server property tax payment processing architecture.
 - Decrease of \$0.1 million due to decreased cost of Public Liability Insurance Premium and office expense related to improved efficiencies.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$0.4 million.

- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$0.43 million due to elimination of one-time prior year initiatives. There is no planned use of fund balance in Fiscal Year 2012-13.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.05 million for Salaries and Benefits increases described above.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net increase of \$0.2 million as a result of an increase of \$0.4 million for negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions, offset by a decrease of \$0.2 million due to completion of one-time information technology enhancements in Fiscal Year 2012-13.



Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Treasury		23.00			23.00	23.00
Deferred Compensation		3.00			3.00	3.00
Tax Collection		83.00			82.00	82.00
Administration - Treasurer / Tax Collector		12.00			13.00	13.00
Total		121.00			121.00	121.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Treasury	\$ 5,147,645	\$ 6,863,607	\$ 6,963,369	\$ 5,289,982	\$ 6,853,306	\$ 6,958,201
Deferred Compensation	109,308	302,532	302,532	274,733	327,347	335,279
Tax Collection	8,819,481	10,677,584	11,893,869	9,471,701	10,214,869	10,255,845
Administration - Treasurer / Tax Collector	3,278,572	3,010,740	3,011,978	3,026,450	3,079,805	3,140,500
Total	\$ 17,355,006	\$ 20,854,463	\$ 22,171,747	\$ 18,062,867	\$ 20,475,327	\$ 20,689,825

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 9,999,557	\$ 10,865,971	\$ 10,865,971	\$ 10,427,285	\$ 10,943,244	\$ 11,322,216
Services & Supplies	7,355,450	9,988,492	11,305,776	7,635,581	9,532,083	9,367,609
Total	\$ 17,355,006	\$ 20,854,463	\$ 22,171,747	\$ 18,062,867	\$ 20,475,327	\$ 20,689,825

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	\$ 1,004,287	\$ 1,035,450	\$ 1,035,450	\$ 893,835	\$ 1,035,450	\$ 1,035,450
Intergovernmental Revenues	(517)	—	—	—	—	—
Charges For Current Services	12,283,682	13,234,292	13,234,292	12,183,918	13,234,292	13,234,292
Miscellaneous Revenues	151,329	637,472	637,472	191,797	637,472	637,472
Use of Fund Balance	(1,269,203)	432,150	1,749,434	(721,782)	—	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	5,185,428	5,515,099	5,515,099	5,515,099	5,568,113	5,782,611
Total	\$ 17,355,006	\$ 20,854,463	\$ 22,171,747	\$ 18,062,867	\$ 20,475,327	\$ 20,689,825

Chief Administrative Office

Department Description

The Chief Administrative Office (CAO) is responsible for implementing the policy directives of the Board of Supervisors as well as achieving the County's overall mission, goals and objectives through the County's five business groups - which include Community Services, Finance and General Government, Land Use and Environment, Public Safety and the Health and Human Services Agency.

The Chief Administrative Office department comprises three units: the CAO Executive Office (the Chief Administrative Officer, Assistant Chief Administrative Officer and a small support staff), the Office of Internal Affairs and the Office of Strategy and Intergovernmental Affairs.

Mission Statement

Work with the Board of Supervisors, public and County employees to create a County government that is customer-focused and responsive to residents' needs and priorities, effectively implementing the policy direction of the Board of Supervisors, efficiently managing the day-to-day operations and functions of County government and preparing the organization to meet the needs and address the issues that will emerge in the future.

2011-12 Accomplishments

During Fiscal Year 2011-12, the Chief Administrative Office worked with the Board of Supervisors to ensure that County government in San Diego remained fiscally sound, operationally strong and able to meet the core needs of County residents within available revenues. To accomplish this, the CAO continued to use the County's General Management System (GMS) to implement the Board's priorities, as well as to maintain the County's established management disciplines and commitment to innovation, continuous improvement and excellence.

The CAO continued to closely monitor changing economic conditions and the uncertainties surrounding key State revenue sources and worked with CAOs in other California counties to protect local revenues and interests as the new State administration worked to redefine State/County roles, responsibilities and revenues.

The CAO also continued to enhance efficiency throughout the organization by implementing new ways of working that enable the organization to better meet changing public needs or program realities - using strategies that include



reengineering, expanded partnerships with other entities that serve similar customers or have similar goals and use of new technology tools.

Highlights of the CAO's Fiscal Year 2011-12 accomplishments and how they relate to the County's 2011-2016 Strategic Plan are listed below. Additional accomplishments and detailed information is provided in individual department and group Operational Plan narratives. Together, they formed a coordinated strategy that enabled the County to achieve its Mission - "To efficiently provide public services that build strong and sustainable communities" - and its Vision - "A County that is safe, healthy and thriving."

Kids: Improve Opportunities for Children and Families

Implemented second year of "Live Well, San Diego!" to achieve the County's vision of healthy, safe and thriving communities by:

- Supporting positive choices that integrate healthy and safe living and encourage financial stability.
 - Continued to promote self-sufficiency by serving eligible recipients on public assistance programs; over 76,000 people per month in CalWORKs, over 251,000 per month in CalFresh, and over 354,000 per month in Medi-Cal.
 - Received a Community Nutrition Education Program (CNEP) multi-year State grant to increase access and nutrition education for CalFresh eligible or potentially eligible clients.
 - Provided resources to homeless families exiting from transitional housing.
- Building a better service delivery system that recognizes the importance of safety in achieving healthy people, healthy communities and thriving families.

- Made it easier for people seeking help on issues such as mental health, alcohol or drug abuse and suicide prevention by revising the phone number for the Access and Crisis Line to reflect the seven days a week, twenty-four hours a day service to (888) 724-7240.
- Implemented the Low Income Health Program (LIHP) that funds medical care for uninsured adult County residents. LIHP uses a network of community health centers along with hospitals, community physicians and mental health providers throughout San Diego County to provide health care services.
- Obtained funding for a program that will help residents with complex needs and chronic health conditions better manage their care and reduce hospital visits. This funding from the Beacon Community Project will be used to develop and administer evidence-based Care Transition services in three hospitals in the San Diego County region (Scripps Mercy, Sharp Memorial and UCSD Medical Center), building upon the successful Aging and Independence Services Care Transitions pilot program at Sharp Memorial.
- Received a Community Transformation Grant (CTG), a multi-year grant from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, to reduce chronic diseases, promote healthier lifestyles, reduce health disparities and decrease health care costs.
- Established partnerships and implemented child support related programs with community-based organizations who deal with noncustodial parents.
- Created a separate team within Child Welfare Services (CWS) to case manage all youth over the age of 17 that remain active to CWS. Now that Assembly Bill 12, the *California Fostering Connections to Success Act*, has extended foster care to the age of 21, this team will ensure that these youth have the tools and resources they need to successfully exit from foster care at the appropriate time.
- Pursuing policy and environmental changes that make it easier to be healthy, enhance safety, and support communities that thrive.
 - Completed year two of the two-year Communities Putting Prevention to Work grant. The grant worked closely with residents, businesses and community leaders to develop and implement policies, systems and environmental approaches that make healthy living easy, safe and affordable. Efforts included partnering local farmers with local schools to bring fresh fruits and vegetables to students, creating a ‘safe

routes to school’ countywide coalition, and completing draft framework for integrating public health goals into the 2050 Regional Transportation Plan and Regional Comprehensive Plan.

- Improved the culture from within by training County staff to recognize that traumatic events impact individual health, community safety and financial stability.
- Rolled out an employee training based on Behavioral Health Services’ “It’s Up to Us” campaign to increase understanding of mental illness, to reduce stigma, and to encourage people to help others or seek help for themselves.

Environment: Manage the Region’s Natural Resources to Protect Quality of Life and Support Economic Development

- Completed adoption of a new County General Plan, to provide an up-to-date, consistent framework for development that streamlines the development and development review processes.
- Acquired 474 acres within the Multiple Species Conservation Plan (MSCP) areas. The MSCP streamlines the development process and facilitates the creation of viable permanent open space preserves.
- Acquired, preserved and enhanced significant natural and historical/cultural resources and designed, developed and operated park facilities in an environmentally responsible and efficient manner that promoted resource sustainability.
- Promoted green building, including sustainable building practices, renewable energy and energy efficiency through economic incentives such as reduced fees and fee waivers.
- Improved energy and water efficiency in 12 (goal was 8) department facilities by native landscaping, retrofitting or installing new water- and energy-efficient equipment, acquiring electric vehicles, and replacing older vehicles with energy-efficient vehicles to reduce water and energy usage.
- Performed over 11,000 stormwater inspections (goal was 8,000) during the construction phase on private development projects to ensure compliance with the State’s requirements, reduce erosion and minimize downstream pollutants.
- Continued to partner with prosecutors and other regulatory agencies to enforce compliance with hazardous waste, hazardous materials and underground storage tanks laws when cooperative and educational efforts

fail. As part of this effort, two inspectors attended the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center's advanced environmental crimes multi-jurisdictional training.

- Completed 11 oil collection events - recycling 97,779 gallons of used oil and 30,742 used oil filters and completed five tire collection events – recycling 5,211 tires.
- Protected the health and safety of the region's \$1.65 billion agricultural industry and its customers from damaging exotic insects, diseases and noxious non-native weeds.

Safe and Livable Communities: Promote Safe and Livable Communities

Continued to provide programs that break the cycle of criminal recidivism, protected the public and focused on the successful reentry of offenders into the community upon leaving incarceration.

- Provided rehabilitative services to youth in custody to prepare them for success in the community.
- Participated in Offender Reentry Court, Behavioral Health Court, Adult and Juvenile Drug Court, Homeless Court and the Veterans Treatment Review Calendar to ensure clients obtained the services they need to become self-sufficient and maintain a crime-free lifestyle.
- Conducted 1,039 compliance audits in the unincorporated area and Sheriff's contract cities to ensure that registered sex offenders remained in compliance with court ordered offender registration requirements.

Continued partnerships with other agencies to address public safety needs.

- Collaborated with criminal justice partners and social service providers and developed protocols for a High Risk Domestic Violence Team to support improved outcomes for victims of domestic violence.
- Participated in multi-agency operations including gang operations, truancy sweeps, probation and parole sweeps and sobriety checkpoints.
- Continued to contribute to research efforts in childhood death by actively participating in the San Diego County Child Fatality Committee, the Methamphetamine Strike Force, the Medical Examiners and Coroners Alert Project, the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and the California Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) Advisory Council. Participation includes attending meetings, providing statistics and case examples, and lending expertise.

- Completed Step II of the County's Fire and Life Safety Reorganization Report, which included the merging of the five County Service Areas into the San Diego County Fire Authority – County Service Area (CSA) 135.

Continued to strengthen the County's and communities' ability to prepare for, respond to and recover from disasters.

- Implemented 24-hour staffing at all fire stations in coordination with the local fire districts.
- Developed an advanced post-disaster recovery initiative which provides a comprehensive program that identifies steps the County can take pre-disaster that will accelerate recovery after a catastrophic event.
- Initiated development of the Regional Communications System replacement plan; Federal Urban Area Security Initiative grant funding for replacement of the core was approved in January 2012.
- Developed and implemented a public awareness campaign on the County's new Accessible AlertSanDiego mass notification system that directs blind, hard-of-hearing and deaf/blind residents to the ReadySanDiego website.
- Established a public information program on the tenth anniversary of 9/11 to educate and advise the general public as to how to recognize and report suspicious activity. The program, which reached 3.1 million residents as well as visitors to San Diego County, was available on the Web, through distributed materials, the local media and through community events.
- Provided public outreach notification to residents in the unincorporated area on the Defensible Space Program, the inspection process and procedures. This program is designed to protect homes during wildfires by reducing flammable vegetation around structures.
- Mitigated fire fuel hazards and maintained fire safe zones in 44 (goal was 24) park facilities through continued implementation of fuel management practices.
- Implemented the 2011 Consolidated Fire Code, which consolidated the fire codes of the 16 individual fire districts and the San Diego County Fire Authority – CSA 135.
- Reduced risks of wildfire by collaborating with the San Diego County Fire Authority in the application of land use policies that promote development that mitigates wildfire impacts, protecting new and existing communities.

Continued to enhance safety throughout our communities.

- Awarded and managed construction contracts for road improvement projects in various county communities to enhance safety and improve traffic flow and pre-



pared four road reviews to evaluate County roads with higher than statewide average collision rates, recommending implementation measures to help reduce the number of collisions.

- Increased teen driving safety through education and awareness by conducting 65 Start Smart classes and five community teen driving fairs.
- Met Hazardous Incident Response Team (HIRT) goal to be on the scene of a hazardous incident they are dispatched to within 60 minutes over 90% of the time. The team averaged a 98.4% on time arrival rate.
- Increased volunteer patrol hours by 130% (from 2,962 to 6,808 hours) to assist in creating safer parks and preserves by providing extra security for park users.

Continued to enhance the quality of life throughout our communities.

- Ensured that consumers are charged the correct price by conducting three outreach presentations to educate local merchants and retailers about price accuracy compliance.
- Increased the number of undercover residential fumigation inspections from 6 to 47 to increase enforcement presence where violations affect public health and worker safety.
- Maintained hours of service at 33 libraries and 2 mobile libraries.
- Conducted the Presidential Primary Election in June 2012, increasing the number of accessible polling places by 11.5%.
- Achieved the goal of 0% euthanasia of any healthy, friendly animal at County animal shelters.

Required Disciplines: Promoting Operational Excellence

Fiscal Stability

- Maintained the County's fiscal stability and strong credit ratings through active monitoring of economic conditions, sound accounting, auditing, budgetary practices and management discipline, and commitment to maintaining prudent reserves.
- Aggressively pursued opportunities to restructure the County's debt portfolio to maximize taxpayer savings.
- Maintained a strong Treasurer's Investment Pool.
- Assisted 22 area school districts with General Obligation (GO) Bond financings and Tax and Revenue Anticipation Note (TRAN) financings. Successfully conducted a Request for Proposals (RFP) process to procure financial advisor services related to the County's debt financing activities.

- Maintained a property tax collection rate of 97% for secured taxes, and 97% for unsecured taxes by preparing and mailing property tax bills/notices, and processing tax payments in a timely manner to ensure timely revenue collection on behalf of San Diego County's taxpayers.
- Delivered the highest quality legal services in an efficient and effective manner to facilitate the achievement of County government's goal to better serve the residents of San Diego County. County Counsel prevailed in 92% (108 of 117) of court decisions in all lawsuits filed against the County.

Customer Satisfaction

- Received a Supplemental Nutrition Assistant Program Participation (SNAP) multi-year federal grant to implement a system for electronic documents conversion and tracking that will help reduce processing time and minimize lost documents.
- Continued to expand use of social media tools and online services so the public can access information or do business with the County at their convenience, anytime, anywhere.
- Provided the public with the personal and business services, records and information they need, including locating, identifying and appraising all property in San Diego County; recording all property ownership records; recording birth, death, marriage and Fictitious Business Name statements; issuing marriage licenses and offering civil marriage ceremonies; and issuing certified copies of vital records, with a 93% satisfactory customer service rating.
- Continued to implement process improvements to streamline the land development process to reduce processing costs and time for customers as well as the County.

Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Maintained a high quality workforce by adhering to 99% (450 of 455) of established timelines for recruitment of County employees, and provide County departments with positions that match their staffing needs by completing 97% (178 of 184) of classification activity requests by established timelines. Successfully implemented the Mentor Partnership Program to aid departments in succession planning and develop leadership talent in the County workforce.

Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Submitted 99% (1,599 of 1,600) of federal, State and local financial reports and annual financial statements that comply with regulations and reporting standards

for County departments, outside government agencies, investors and taxpayers by their due dates to ensure accountability and transparency of financial transactions.

- Provided high quality audit services, including State mandated and operational/performance audits, to ensure the integrity of management control systems, to improve performance across the enterprise, and to ensure the most efficient use of resources. Of all audit recommendations contained in internal audit reports issued by the Office of Audits and Advisory Services (OAAS), 93% (179 of 192) were implemented on or before their due date.
- Provided open access to County business by making audio recordings of Board of Supervisors meetings available on the Internet in a timely manner.
- Conducted a comprehensive review of the Clerk of the Board's cash handling processes and implemented all recommendations to ensure that adequate controls are in place to protect the staff and customers and safeguard public funds.
- Implemented new InSite and County News Center websites to proactively deliver vital information including County news, challenges and successes, directly to employees and residents.

Information Technology

- Ensured that transfer of the County's information technology (IT) outsourcing contract to Hewlett Packard was smooth and seamless to system users and that all operations and contract conditions are successfully executed.
- Completed the upgrade to Oracle, the County's key financial application. The upgrade of this application, which supports the County's overall financial management, implemented improvements that were identified through business process reengineering efforts, providing for the most efficient use of this application.
- Implemented and expanded the use of the Juvenile Electronic Library System (JELS) to improve the case management process. (JELS is a project to integrate Probation Reports into the DA's juvenile case management system for use in the courtroom.)
- Developed a County IT Risk Assessment Manual that is being used to ensure that IT security requirements are integrated into the County's IT structure and system development life cycle. This manual includes guidelines for conducting IT system categorization; control selec-

tion and implementation; security assessments; and identifying system authorization and logging requirements.

- Developed new online tools that will streamline business processes and improve customer service.
- Continued implementation of the Business Case Management System – Accela (BCMS) automation program, which empowers residents and the County by increasing accessibility and transparency of information and enables the County to deliver high value, quality services in the most efficient and timely manner.
- Installed the new Automated Fingerprint Identification System.

Regional Leadership

- Kept communities safe through regional leadership in criminal justice and public safety activities.
- Maintained a focus on border security by conducting proactive, intelligence driven operations in conjunction with local and federal law enforcement partners and partnered with agencies throughout the region to target activities such as gang operations; domestic violence; truancy, probation and parole sweeps; and sobriety checkpoints.
- Conducted 18 tabletop exercises with multiple federal, State, county departments, 18 cities, special districts in the unincorporated areas and nongovernmental agencies by April 2012 to focus on the Southern California Catastrophic Earthquake Response Plan.
- Completed the dissolution of the County of San Diego Redevelopment Agency and the transfer to the County Successor Agency, as required by legislation in Assembly Bill X1 26, Community Redevelopment Dissolution.

Continuous Improvement

- Completed phase one of the Knowledge Integration Project (KIP) in HHSA, which will improve the exchange of information across multiple disciplines to improve the information available and coordinate services for shared clients.
- Continued to use business process reengineering and evidence-based practices to further improve County operations to ensure that the County uses the most effective and efficient strategies to sustain critical public services.
- Expanded use of social media to focus on reaching the public and employees directly with strategic, targeted communications that align with County goals, including launch of new InSite intranet site, County News Center digital newsroom and continued use of other social media tools such as Twitter and Facebook.



- Expedited procurement services to internal County customers and vendors who do business with the County.
- Used data collected through BCMS to pinpoint problem areas causing increased health and safety risks and to maximize resources and focus on solutions and methods of prevention. One example is using the data from nursery inspections to determine import and export trends and to analyze common violations and tailor outreach efforts to prevent future violations.

Essential Infrastructure

- Continued to make progress toward the acquisition, design and/or construction of the capital improvements for:
 - New Women's Detention Facility replacement,
 - County Administration Center (CAC) Waterfront Park and related new parking garage at Cedar and Kettner streets in downtown San Diego,
 - Phase 1B of the County Operations Center (COC) development in Kearny Mesa, allowing the relocation of County staff from the COC Annex, the CAC and leased office space,
 - Development of the newly-acquired County property on Chesapeake Drive, adjacent to the COC, to include a new location for the Registrar of Voters.
 - New Rancho San Diego Sheriff's station,
 - East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility and
 - Replacement of the Lincoln Acres library, replacing a much older and smaller facility.
 - Completed construction of San Pasqual Academy housing and administration facilities destroyed in the 2007 Witch Creek Fire.
- Completed scheduled preventive maintenance of County facilities to maximize operational efficiency and prolong their life and service.
- Improved energy and water efficiency in eight department facilities by various means, such as native landscaping, retrofitting or installing new water- and energy-efficient equipment, replacing older vehicles with energy-efficient vehicles, and reducing water and energy usage.

2012-14 Objectives

During Fiscal Years 2012-14, the Chief Administrative Office will work with the Board of Supervisors to ensure that County government in San Diego remains fiscally sound, operationally strong and able to meet the changing service needs of County residents within available revenues. To accomplish this, the CAO will continue to use the County's General Management System (GMS) to implement the Board's priorities, as well as to maintain the County's established management disciplines and commitment to innovation, continuous improvement and excellence.

The CAO will continue to closely monitor changing economic conditions and the uncertainties surrounding key State revenue sources and will continue to work with CAOs in other California counties to protect local revenues and interests as the new State administration continues to redefine State/County roles, responsibilities and revenues.

The CAO will also continue to enhance efficiency, productivity and service quality throughout the organization by identifying and implementing new ways of working that enable the organization to better meet changing public needs or program realities - using strategies that include reengineering, expanded partnerships with other entities that serve similar customers or have similar goals and use of new technology tools.

Highlights of the CAO's Fiscal Year 2012-14 Objectives and how they relate to the County's 2012-2017 Strategic Plan are listed below. Additional accomplishments and detailed information is provided in individual department and group Operational Plan narratives. Together, they form a coordinated strategy that enables the County to achieve its Mission - "To efficiently provide public services that build strong and sustainable communities" - and its Vision - "A County that is safe, healthy and thriving."

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Promote strategies that make neighborhoods safe places to live, work and play:
 - Provide early intervention with at-risk youth in the crime-prone years by implementing community oriented policing strategies, stressing prevention, early identification and timely intervention.
 - Educate residents through community outreach and employ prevention and safety programs.

- Provide safe and accessible parks and preserves, foster innovative programs and initiatives that promote government agency partnerships and community involvement and enhance emergency communications and preparedness.
- Repaint and re-mark crosswalks and roadway legends adjacent to all 121 public schools and 12 private school locations throughout the unincorporated area of the County.
- Promote and implement strategies that protect residents from crime.
 - Maintain a proactive law enforcement presence in the unincorporated area and cities with whom the County contracts.
 - Monitor offenders subject to community supervision to mitigate new crime offenses.
 - Employ intelligence-led policing strategies to crime problems at the local and regional level.
- Provide for a strong, collaborative criminal justice system that holds offenders accountable.
 - Collaborate with the local courts to ensure offenders are appropriately detained or sanctioned.
 - Employ appropriate punitive measures that are balanced with rehabilitation opportunities, where appropriate.
 - Ensure quality investigation and crime analysis that leads to successful identification and prosecution of offenders.
- Reduce recidivism by implementing treatment strategies that help offenders successfully reenter society.
 - Provide a continuum of treatment and diversion programs while adult offenders are in jail, addressing health and behavioral health.
 - Employ transitional services for offenders as they exit out of detention facilities.
 - Integrate evidence-based practices and principles in collaboration with community and justice partners.
- Continue to strengthen the County's and communities' ability to prepare, respond and recover from disasters.
 - Collaborate with regional fire service agencies to improve fire protection and emergency response services.
 - Engage residents, private sector and local jurisdictions through community outreach events and emergency readiness planning.
 - Complete a new GIS application for damage assessment reporting during disasters. The new application will increase reporting frequency and thus reduce the time the County must wait for information on disaster impacts.
- Complete an environmental analysis of the Proposed Eye Gnat Program and revised County Ordinance to support an Eye Gnat Program for Board of Supervisors approval and adoption.
- Ensure food quality safety and integrity, and verify that produce advertised as "organic" is registered and certified as organic by increasing organic spot inspections by 33% to 40 inspections.
- Inspect 20 miles of targeted sewer mains and clean 390 miles of sanitary sewer collector mains to protect public health and the environment by minimizing the risk of overflows.

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

- Promote green building, including sustainable building practices, renewable energy and energy efficiency through economic incentives such as reduced fees and fee waivers.
- Improve County operations through sustainability efforts in alternative energy systems, energy conservation, recycling, and green building design.
- Protect a sustainable watershed via outreach, education, inspections and develop plans to meet bacteria levels in accordance with the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board.
- Protect water quality and promote water conservation via workshops and the deployment of water kiosks throughout the agricultural community and at various annual events.
- Acquire, develop and maintain facilities that support and promote park stewardship and environmental sustainability and efficiency.
- Design and initiate construction on at least seven road and road-related infrastructure improvement projects that enhance the transportation network's long-term sustainability.
- Promote increased civic engagement by increasing the number of permanent vote-by-mail voters by 5% and completing the addition of Chinese language to voting and election materials.
- Protect residential gardens and commercial produce from insidious pest infestations and avoid the widespread use of pesticides against new pests by placing and maintaining traps designed for early pest detection.
- Maintain the planned schedule of library operations and current level of services, including an average of 200 after-school programs each month, at the County's 33 branch libraries and 2 mobile libraries.



Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

Continue implementation of *Live Well, San Diego!* strategies to provide the right services, to the right people, at the right time by:

- Building a better service delivery system that is innovative and outcome-driven.
 - Implement Year 1 of the Community Transformation Grant (CTG) support public health efforts to reduce chronic diseases, promote healthier lifestyles, reduce health disparities, and decrease health care costs.
 - Implement the Community Based Care Transition program to reduce readmissions to hospitals by Medicare recipients.
 - Evaluate data from the two pilot programs integrating physical and behavioral health services.
 - Establish Extended Foster Care program, an outcome from Assembly Bill 12, the *California Fostering Connections to Success Act*, to extend foster care to 21 years of age.
- Supporting positive choices that empower residents to take responsibility for their own health and well-being.
 - Implement Year 1 of the Community Nutrition Education Program (CNEP) grant to increase access and nutrition education for CalFresh eligible or potentially eligible clients.
 - Provide parent education in early literacy and school readiness and nutrition education emphasizing healthful nutrition practices, food safety and food resource management.
- Pursuing policy and environmental changes that make it easier for people to engage in healthy and safe behaviors.
 - Increase recreational opportunities and educational programs at County parks to enhance park patrons' experience and promote healthy lifestyles.
 - Complete and document community input to the six regional *Live Well, San Diego!* plans by using the MAPP process from the National Association of County and City Health Officials.
 - Implement second phase of the succession plan to advance *Live Well, San Diego!*
 - Begin improvements to Family Resource Centers and ACCESS (a public benefits transaction call center), including increasing accuracy of payment, and technological enhancements to improve customer service.

Required Disciplines for Excellence

Fiscal Stability

- Negotiate fiscally prudent successor agreements with 23 of 25 existing bargaining units and 8 of 9 existing employee organizations by July 2013. Negotiate fiscally prudent successor agreement with 2 remaining bargaining units and 1 employee organization by July 2014.
- Continue to maintain the County's high credit ratings by managing within the construct of County GMS fiscal disciplines, maintaining a structurally-balanced budget and prudent reserves and ensuring that County residents' tax dollars are spent as efficiently as possible.
- Arrange financing for the construction of a parking facility at the intersection of Cedar Street and Kettner Boulevard, including the sale of \$27.8 million in long-term obligations. The parking facility has been planned in conjunction with the County Administration Center (CAC) Waterfront Park.

Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Begin phase 2 of the Knowledge Integration Project (KIP) to develop the foundation for information exchange across multiple disciplines to improve the information available and coordinate services for shared clients.
- To ensure the smooth delivery of future services and continued organizational growth and improvement, complete the first round of the County Mentor Partnership Program and develop succession planning tools that departments can use to enhance employees' leadership skills and support succession planning efforts.

Essential Infrastructure

- Significant multi-year projects that are scheduled for Fiscal Year 2012-13 or in progress include:
 - New CAC Waterfront Park,
 - New parking structure at Cedar and Kettner streets in San Diego,
 - Phase 1B of the County Operations Center (COC), allowing the relocation of County staff from the COC Annex, CAC and leased office space,
 - Development of the newly-acquired County property on Chesapeake Drive, adjacent to the COC, to include a new location for the Registrar of Voters,
 - Replacement Women's Detention Facility,
 - New Rancho San Diego Sheriff's Station, and
 - East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility.



- Open the new Lincoln Acres Library, community room and business offices for Sheriff staff and the Lower Sweetwater Fire Protection District.

Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Submit 100% of federal, State and local financial reports and annual financial statements that comply with regulations and reporting standards for County departments, outside government agencies, investors and taxpayers by their due dates to ensure accountability and transparency of financial transactions.
- Provide open access to County business by making Board of Supervisors meetings available on the Internet when they are held and afterward in audio and video archives.

Information Services

- Develop and deploy the Mobile Enterprise Application Platform to facilitate the development and deployment of mobile applications. Expand the mobile workforce capabilities for building inspectors and code enforcement through new systems that enable real-time access to permit systems from mobile devices that field inspectors will use. Explore and develop, where appropriate, mobile applications to improve service delivery and enhance the flow of information to the public.
- Complete the transition to a new Web-based legal office practice management system (Tymetrix's T360), which manages all case and advisory information, has calendaring capabilities, retains client and contract information, stores supporting legal documents, and tracks time and billing information. Continue implementation of technologies, including an electronic content management system, that facilitate paperless processes in the courtroom.
- Enhance customer service by providing Web access to allow customers to perform land use file reviews without coming into the office.
- Reduce payment processing time and cost by implementing bar coding of registration invoices sent to customers who have point of sale systems to facilitate faster processing of the payments.
- Initiate the phased upgrade of the region's aging Regional Communication System (RCS), beginning with replacing the RCS Core in Fiscal Year 2012-13, and continuing planning for replacement of subsequent major components to begin in Fiscal Year 2013-14.
- Complete the replacement of the County's aging legacy Property Tax Collection system with a modern Integrated Property Tax System in Fiscal Year 2013-14.

This project will replace the old technology currently used to value properties, collect taxes and distribute the revenue collected with a new system that will enable the County to be more efficient, automate many processes, and require less maintenance and support. The new system will also give County property owners the ability to complete certain required forms online instead of traveling to County facilities or mailing physical documents, improving customer satisfaction and reducing the need for travel and printing.

Regional Leadership

- Conduct the activities of the County Oversight Board to conclude the programs and activities of the former Redevelopment Agency.
- Administer and participate in meetings of the federal San Diego/Tijuana Air Quality Task Force to identify and reduce air pollution problems in the border region in order to better protect public health and the environment.
- Develop standard operating procedures/fact sheets to provide guidance on how to collaborate with other agencies to collect and sample illegally disposed hazardous waste from businesses with multiple locations across the State. These procedures will be available for use by the other Unified Program Agency's throughout the State.

Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Implement Board of Supervisors directed recommendations to improve the land development process for customers, streamlining the land development process to reduce processing costs and time for customers as well as the County.
- Develop procedures and implement a program to scan and enter emission inventory summaries into the Business Case Management System – Accela (BCMS) at the facility level by June 2013 to increase staff efficiency in determining New Source Review requirements and facility compliance status.
- Increase operational efficiency by transitioning the Pesticide Regulation Program enforcement response determination document into the BCMS. This document is used to track the action taken when a violation is found.
- Develop new communication channels to release timely housing-related information in emergencies as well as ongoing housing and community development opportunities.



- Complete co-location of Public Administrator/Public Guardian with Mental Health Conservatorship to improve service coordination.

Customer Satisfaction

- Promote and make customer service a top priority by developing and providing customer service training emphasizing customer focus in all Land Use and Environment Group management and section meetings and instruct staff on good communication skills with the public and regulated community.
- Complete an implementation plan and draft changes to the County Ordinance to institute a letter grading system similar to the one used in restaurants for meat markets and for mobile food facilities that prepare food.
- Implement Year 1 of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) grant to implement a system for electronic documents conversion and tracking, and to help reduce processing time and minimize lost documents.

Related Links

For additional information about the Chief Administrative Office, refer to the website at

<http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/cao/>.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Overall, very minor net increase in expenditures primarily as a result of an increase in public liability insurance premiums and County retirement contributions.

Revenues

Overall, very minor net increase in revenues.

- Charges for Current Services — decrease of \$0.06 million due to a decrease in revenue associated with external department overhead payments (A-87).
- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$0.04 million due to the elimination of funding for prior year one-time salary adjustment. There is no planned use of fund balance in Fiscal Year 2012-13.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.1 million to offset decrease in Charges for Current Services and increased retirement contributions.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Increase of \$0.1 million due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in County retirement contributions.

Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Executive Office	6.00	6.00	6.00
Office of Intergovernmental Affairs	4.50	4.50	4.50
Internal Affairs	4.00	4.00	4.00
Total	14.50	14.50	14.50

Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Executive Office	\$ 1,604,691	\$ 1,483,854	\$ 1,492,768	\$ 1,516,742	\$ 1,486,163	\$ 1,522,650
Office of Intergovernmental Affairs	1,223,257	1,410,749	1,465,749	1,282,817	1,420,397	1,437,512
County Memberships and Audit	645,992	770,638	815,335	817,032	771,984	771,984
Internal Affairs	625,343	637,818	637,818	611,074	633,690	653,271
Total	\$ 4,099,283	\$ 4,303,059	\$ 4,411,670	\$ 4,227,665	\$ 4,312,234	\$ 4,385,417

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 2,397,335	\$ 2,493,377	\$ 2,493,377	\$ 2,467,206	\$ 2,492,190	\$ 2,565,373
Services & Supplies	1,701,947	1,809,682	1,918,293	1,760,459	1,820,044	1,820,044
Total	\$ 4,099,283	\$ 4,303,059	\$ 4,411,670	\$ 4,227,665	\$ 4,312,234	\$ 4,385,417

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Charges For Current Services	\$ 72,692	\$ 170,864	\$ 170,864	\$ 171,154	\$ 106,812	\$ 106,812
Use of Fund Balance	(280,684)	42,348	150,959	(33,336)	—	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	4,307,275	4,089,847	4,089,847	4,089,847	4,205,422	4,278,605
Total	\$ 4,099,283	\$ 4,303,059	\$ 4,411,670	\$ 4,227,665	\$ 4,312,234	\$ 4,385,417



Auditor and Controller

Department Description

Governed by the overriding principles of fiscal integrity, customer service and continuous improvement, the Auditor and Controller has four primary responsibilities. First, in accordance with the County Charter and generally accepted accounting principles, the department maintains accounts for the financial transactions of all departments and of those agencies or special districts whose funds are kept in the County Treasury and provides reports necessary to manage the County operations. The department furnishes customer focused financial decision making support to the Board of Supervisors and the Chief Administrative Officer, and advances the goals and visions of the Board utilizing the General Management System and County's Strategic Plan. Additionally, the department performs independent, objective and cost-effective audit services. Finally, the department provides cost-effective and efficient professional collections and accounts receivable management services to maximize recovery of monies due the County. The department is the leading financial management resource of the County and its long-term objective is to continue to broaden its role of controller into a provider of value-added financial services.

Mission Statement

To provide superior financial services for the County of San Diego that ensure financial integrity, promote accountability in government and maintain the public trust.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Required Discipline – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Submitted 99% (1,599 of 1,600) of federal, State and local financial reports and annual financial statements that comply with regulations and reporting standards for County departments, outside government agencies, investors and taxpayers by their due dates to ensure accountability and transparency of financial transactions. The goal of 100% was not achieved due to an oversight which resulted in one report being submitted past the due date; however, the payment associated with the report was submitted timely.
- Processed 92% of County payments within five business days after receipt of invoice by Accounts Payable to ensure timely payment of vendors and contractors.



The prompt payment of invoices ensures that the County captures any discounts that are available for early invoice payment. The goal of 100% was not achieved due to validation delays associated with the Oracle upgrade (the County's financial system), which resulted in delays in invoice approvals.

- Provided audit services, including State mandated and operations/performance audits, to ensure the integrity of management control systems, improve uniformity and consistent performance across the enterprise, and best use of county resources. Of all audit recommendations contained in internal audit reports issued by the Office of Audits and Advisory Services (OAAS), 93% (179 of 192) were implemented on or before their due date. The goal of 95% was not achieved due to the targeted implementation date of 13 audit recommendations being extended to allow for additional time to coordinate with various stakeholders across the County organization.
- The State of California's Board of Equalization (BOE) completed an audit of the County's Sales and Use Tax returns for the calendar years, 2008 thru 2010. There were no findings as a result of the audit and the BOE report specifically referenced the County's excellent internal controls as basis for their conclusions.
- Met the arbitrage limit as established by bond counsel within four months of the date of issuance of the Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANs). Meeting the arbitrage limit within the specified time ensures that the County avoids penalties associated with excessive TRANs borrowing.
- Completed the County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and Popular Annual Financial Report (PAFR) for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2011.

Both the CAFR and PAFR reports present the financial results of the fiscal year, upholding transparency and accountability in the use of public funds.

- Completed external quality assessment of internal audit procedures, which is required every five years to demonstrate compliance with auditing standards. The County received the highest possible rating from the Institute of Internal Auditors.

Required Discipline – Regional Leadership

- Received the State Controller's Award for Achieving Excellence in Financial Reporting for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010.
- Received the GFOA Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting for the County's CAFR for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2011.
- Received the Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) Distinguished Budget Presentation Award for the two-year 2011-13 Operational Plan.
- Received the GFOA Award for Outstanding Achievement in Popular Financial Reporting for the County's Popular Annual Financial Report (PAFR) for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010.

Required Discipline – Information Technology

- In coordination with the Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk, Treasurer-Tax Collector and the County Technology Office continued the implementation of the Integrated Property Tax System, which will significantly improve property assessment, tax collection and apportionment activities in the County.
- Completed the upgrade of Oracle Enterprise Business Suite Financials, the County's key financial application, from version 11.5.7 to 12.1.3. The upgrade of this application, which supports the County's overall financial management, implemented improvements that were identified through business process reengineering efforts, providing for the most efficient use of this application.
- Completed the implementation of Oracle Fusion Middleware, which integrates with Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems for interfaces and custom developments.
- Completed the implementation of Oracle Business Intelligence with a Data Warehouse, which allows reporting from various ERP systems. This ties in with the Oracle Upgrade and provides the foundation to grow. All three projects (Oracle EBS upgrade, Oracle Fusion Middleware and Oracle Business Intelligence) were completed within the original budget and schedule.

- Completed documentation of requirements for the upgrade or replacement of the County's Budget Reporting Analysis and Support System (BRASS). Documentation of requirements was necessary to properly define the scope of effort and total cost of the project.

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Maintained the County's strong issuer credit ratings of Aa1 (Moody's Investor Service), AAA (Standard & Poor's), and AAA (Fitch Ratings) to ensure lower debt interest costs.
- Actual General Purpose Revenue received was 1.6% greater than the budgeted General Purpose Revenue, which met the target that the variance be no greater than 2.5% of the actual revenue received. Maintaining accurate projections improves the County's ability to allocate limited resources.
- Identified current and future revenue, as well as cost and cash flow trends, in order to facilitate the allocation of limited resources to San Diego County business Groups and departments.

2012-14 Objectives

Required Discipline for Excellence – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Submit 100% of federal, State and local financial reports and annual financial statements that comply with regulations and reporting standards for County departments, outside government agencies, investors and taxpayers by their due dates to ensure accountability and transparency of financial transactions.
- Process 100% of County payments within five business days after receipt of invoice by Accounts Payable to ensure timely payment of vendors and contractors. The prompt payment of invoices ensures that the County captures any discounts that are available for early invoice payment.
- Provide high quality audit services, including State mandated and operational/performance audits, to ensure the integrity of management control systems, to improve performance across the enterprise, and to ensure the most efficient use of resources.
- Meet the arbitrage limit as established by bond counsel within four months of the date of issuance of the Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANs). Meeting the arbitrage limit within the specified time ensures that the County avoids penalties associated with excessive TRANs borrowing.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Regional Leadership

- Earn the State Controller's Award for Achieving Excellence in Financial Reporting for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2011.
- Continue to apply for recognitions through the Government Finance Officers Association – Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting for the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, the Award for Outstanding Achievement in Popular Annual Financial Reporting for the Popular Annual Financial Report, and the Distinguished Budget Presentation Award.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

- In coordination with the Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk, Treasurer-Tax Collector and the County Technology Office, continue the development and implementation of the Integrated Property Tax System, which will significantly improve property assessment, tax collection and apportionment activities in the County.

- Initiate the upgrade of the County's budget application. The upgrade of this application, which supports the County's overall budget development process, will ensure continued support of this key application.
- Document requirements necessary for the upgrade of Revenue and Recovery's Cashiering and Cash Management System to create an online payment portal for the collection of all county debt and improve compliance with the State's best practices as prescribed in Penal Code §1463.007.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Fiscal Stability

- Maintain the County's strong issuer credit ratings of Aa1 (GSR) (Moody's Investors Service), AAA (Standard & Poor's) and AAA (Fitch Ratings) to ensure lower debt interest costs.
- Accurately identify current and future revenue, as well as cost and cash flow trends, in a timely manner in order to facilitate allocation of limited resources to San Diego County groups and departments.

Related Links

For additional information about the Auditor and Controller, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/auditor/>.



Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Planning – Project General Purpose Revenue ¹ accurately – Variance in actual General Purpose Revenue compared to budget	2.1%	2.5%	1.6%	2.5%	2.5%
Planning – Meet the arbitrage ² limit as established by bond counsel within four months of the date of issuance of the Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANS) ³	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Processing – County payments processed within five days of receipt of invoice in Accounts Payable ⁴	94% of 156,101	100%	92% of 173,577	100%	100%
Reporting – Financial reports/disclosures in the Auditor and Controller that are submitted on or before their respective due date	100% of 1,668	100%	99% of 1,599	100%	100%
Auditing – Audit recommendations contained in internal audit reports issued by the Office of Audits and Advisory Services (OAAS) that were implemented on or before their due date ⁵	99% of 184	95%	93% of 192	95%	95%

Table Notes

¹ General Purpose Revenue (GPR) represented approximately 25.8% of the General Fund financing sources in Fiscal Year 2011-12. This revenue comes from property taxes, property tax in lieu of vehicle license fees, sales taxes (and property tax in lieu of sales tax), real property transfer tax and miscellaneous other sources. GPR is an integral component of the County's overall budget as the County has the most discretion in its use. Therefore, it is essential to maintain accurate forecasts of this revenue.

² Arbitrage is a type of tactical investment meant to capture slight differences in price for the County's benefit. When there is a difference in the price of securities in two different markets, the arbitrageur simultaneously buys at the lower price and sells at the higher price.

³ This measure relates to the accuracy of cash flow projections used in determining cash borrowing needs each year as part of the TRANS borrowing program. Meeting the arbitrage limit within the specified time ensures the County avoids penalties associated with excessive TRANS borrowing.

⁴ The County payments processed within 5 days of receipt of invoice in Accounts Payable was below the target of 100%, due to validation delays associated with the Oracle upgrade, which resulted in delays in invoice approvals.

⁵ Audit recommendations seek to improve and strengthen County operations in areas of risk management, control, and governance processes. The implementation percentage quantifies the impact and quality of OAAS audit recommendations toward improving County operations in accordance with the objectives of the General Management System.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Increase of 1.00 staff year and transfers among programs to accommodate increasing workloads in redevelopment dissolution activities and to restore one previously deleted position in Central Payroll to meet projected needs.

Expenditures

Increase of \$2.8 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$0.3 million reflects negotiated labor agreements, increases in County retirement contributions and the costs associated with the additional staff year noted above.
- Services and Supplies — increase of \$2.5 million primarily as a result of one-time costs associated with the upgrades to the County's budget system and the County's Accounts Payable imaging system.

Revenues

Increase of \$2.8 million.

- Charges For Current Services – increase of \$0.1 million as a result of additional reimbursable services related to the administration of redevelopment tax revenue.
- Use of Fund Balance — increase of \$1.9 million for a total budget of \$2.3 million to fund the upgrade of the County's budget system and the County's Accounts Payable imaging system.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.8 million primarily for salaries and benefits costs associated with the additional staff year, an increase in County retirement contributions, and negotiated labor agreements.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net decrease of \$1.6 million is primarily the result of reductions in Services and Supplies due to the elimination of one-time expenditures planned for Fiscal Year 2012-13 and decreased revenue associated with external department overhead payments (A-87). These reductions are partially offset by increases in County retirement contributions.



Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Audits		15.00			15.00	15.00
Office of Financial Planning		13.00			13.00	13.00
Controller Division		96.00			98.00	98.00
Revenue and Recovery		92.00			92.00	92.00
Administration		18.50			17.50	17.50
Information Technology Mgmt Services		11.00			11.00	11.00
Total		245.50			246.50	246.50

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Audits	\$ 1,994,355	\$ 2,501,686	\$ 2,374,361	\$ 2,156,089	\$ 2,498,477	\$ 2,556,910
Office of Financial Planning	2,175,641	2,263,693	2,273,806	2,198,165	3,796,072	2,365,699
Controller Division	10,402,270	11,172,215	10,859,442	10,780,626	11,350,330	11,660,807
Revenue and Recovery	8,935,975	9,326,856	9,056,415	8,775,579	8,995,646	9,134,403
Administration	2,658,781	2,579,233	2,598,380	2,303,821	2,888,545	2,948,634
Information Technology Mgmt Services	4,886,873	6,139,963	7,489,965	6,529,396	7,223,485	6,491,067
Total	\$ 31,053,895	\$ 33,983,646	\$ 34,652,368	\$ 32,743,676	\$ 36,752,555	\$ 35,157,520

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 21,459,772	\$ 23,672,973	\$ 22,277,272	\$ 22,186,299	\$ 23,968,755	\$ 24,765,545
Services & Supplies	9,583,528	10,309,673	12,368,396	10,551,352	12,782,800	10,390,975
Other Charges	803	1,000	1,000	358	1,000	1,000
Capital Assets Equipment	9,792	—	5,700	5,667	—	—
Total	\$ 31,053,895	\$ 33,983,646	\$ 34,652,368	\$ 32,743,676	\$ 36,752,555	\$ 35,157,520

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Intergovernmental Revenues	\$ 53,996	\$ 36,325	\$ 36,325	\$ 91,771	\$ 70,970	\$ 70,970
Charges For Current Services	6,325,075	6,643,874	6,643,874	7,092,616	6,722,110	6,542,523
Miscellaneous Revenues	165,767	157,688	157,688	62,505	157,688	157,688
Use of Fund Balance	(2,204,438)	348,196	1,016,918	(1,300,780)	2,250,000	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	26,713,495	26,797,563	26,797,563	26,797,563	27,551,787	28,386,339
Total	\$ 31,053,895	\$ 33,983,646	\$ 34,652,368	\$ 32,743,676	\$ 36,752,555	\$ 35,157,520



County Technology Office

Department Description

The County Technology Office (CTO) provides a full range of information technology (IT) services for County of San Diego (County) employees and residents. The purpose of the CTO is to lead, guide and direct the optimal business management of IT for County business groups and departments.

Mission Statement

We will guide the enterprise toward solutions that meet the diverse needs of our County customers through continuous improvement, thought leadership and operational excellence.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Required Discipline – Information Technology

- Developed a County IT Risk Assessment Manual that is being used to ensure that IT security requirements are integrated into the County's IT structure and system development life cycle. This manual includes guidelines for conducting IT system categorization; control selection and implementation; security assessments; and identifying system authorization and logging requirements.
- The Identity and Access Management (IDAM) roadmap was completed in February 2012. The roadmap outlines numerous initiatives and projects needed to build a highly mature IDAM infrastructure. Plans and budgets were completed and the initial projects launched in May 2012. In addition, the County SharePoint infrastructure was updated to the 2010 platform enabling a highly technical and stable solution for County collaboration efforts. The follow-on extranet project was also completed and will facilitate public collaboration with the County through a safe and secure infrastructure.
- The software standard for this year is set as Windows 7. This has enabled the CTO to focus on deploying Windows 7 through the Desktop Refresh Project, as well as through the use of the Critical Milestone process to create a formal project deploying distribution tools and an overall completion target of June 2013.
- Opportunities were identified to leverage new technologies such as the Self-Service User Portal launch with the InSite intranet site enabling County end users to research IT related knowledge bases and reset their end user passwords.



- To support Mobile Device Management (MDM), the Mobility roadmap was completed in May 2012. The roadmap outlined several immediate infrastructure needs that the County should implement in order to enable a more mobile workforce. The advent of the iPad and other consumer-type products being used by County end users initiated the need to accelerate the MDM platform.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Using the results of the 2010 IT customer satisfaction surveys, targeted research studies were conducted to understand specific opportunities for improvement, and strategies were developed for improving satisfaction as measured by the survey.
- Developed a feedback process to leverage existing project closedown procedures, and used business cases from the Information Technology Improvements Needs Assessment and Application Rationalization processes, with mechanism for base-lining expected business results for IT projects. This effort will extend into next fiscal year when the CTO will pilot the process.
- Improved customer satisfaction by implementing a new procedure for resolving IT disputes within 60 days of dispute initiation.

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- To shorten software development time, methodologies were extended to include Agile Development processes, which involve a high degree of collaboration and iterative development for requirements and system functionality. Sure Step is one agile process that has been implemented to be used with Microsoft Dynamics

Customer Relationship Management. Sure Step has also been evaluated to determine its fit with other areas, such as SharePoint development.

- Maintained IT costs for services at rates established in the IT Outsourcing Agreement. New services were benchmarked and analyzed for best value to the County to provide a fair and reasonable price.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Established the IT Project Management Office (ITPMO) website as the primary location for project coordination, project status, standard templates library, and general information about project management processes. The ITPMO site will be used as a foundation for CTO communications and training with respect to the implementation of the HP Enterprise Services' (HPES) Project Portfolio Management (PPM) system.
- Institutionalized a Gate Review Process for all applications projects and significantly improved the quality of project deliverables. This process involves dividing the project into defined phases and each phase ends with a detailed review of deliverables to ensure that CTO is ready to proceed to the next phase with an acceptable level of performance risk. The standard procedure for the process has been approved and implemented.
- Identified and implemented controls to provide timely and predictable service delivery by performing the desktop refresh process, which improved end user communication, software pre-installation planning, County purchased software handling, end user education on data storage and authorized software installation. Identified a gap in Minimum Acceptable Service Level (MASL) recording of aged Install, Move, Add, Remove (IMAR) and Break/Fix tickets and established process improvements to prevent prolonged issues/requests.
- Provided project oversight and management for design, development, and implementation of the Integrated Property Tax System, which will replace more than 40 aging applications used by the Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk, Treasurer-Tax Collector, and Auditor and Controller to determine, collect and apportion property taxes.

2012-14 Objectives

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

- Complete the project of upgrading the operating system software to Windows 7 on all County desktop and laptop workstations by June 30, 2013.

- The County mobility roadmap was completed in May 2011 and outlined a strategy that enables County employees to become “un-plugged” – a critical component of the Government Without Walls vision. CTO will develop and deploy Mobile Applications Development Platform (MADP) to facilitate the development and deployment of mobile applications on or before December 31, 2012.
- Deploy technologies that will enable vendors, customers and County employees to seamlessly, efficiently and securely collaborate online and provide for a wide variety of services.
- Revise and update the IT standards and IT toolkit that are used to develop online applications and services to ensure that the new online capabilities are optimized for use on all types of devices, including traditional desktop computers, tablet devices, and smart phones.
- Partner with the Health and Human Services Agency to provide technical oversight component over the procurement, vendor selection and implementation of the Knowledge Integration Project, which will create a method of sharing information across County business groups.
- The County IDAM roadmap was completed in February 2012 and outlined a direction to deliver highly mature identity management initiatives to County business applications, including the identification of external citizens requiring online services. The CTO will develop and deliver a series of projects over the next two years that will enhance access management, improve user lifecycle management, optimize user repositories (reduced to single sign-on), and automate management reporting, auditing and monitoring.
- Develop and deploy an internal, private cloud within the County data centers based on virtual technologies already in place. This new cloud strategy (applicable only to certain applications) will provide a new billing model based on usage or “pay as you go”.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Utilizing the results of the 2011 IT Customer Satisfaction Surveys (including All County and IT Management Surveys), CTO will conduct targeted research to determine specific opportunities for continuous improvement, develop strategies for implementing improvement activities, and advance satisfaction in key focus areas.



- Develop a feedback process to assess how well infrastructure and applications projects meet the needs of County departments. Assess the extent to which the solution was working for them, and determine whether the projects achieved the results they expected.
- Provide a comprehensive plan and infrastructure to allow the County to securely manage a wide variety of mobile devices for use by County employees (Bring Your Own Device/Technology concept), as well as establish the platform from which mobile applications can be developed and hosted. This will eliminate the need of carrying two mobile devices — one for their personal use and one for work.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Fiscal Stability

- Maintain IT costs for services at rates established in the IT Outsourcing Agreement. New services will be benchmarked and analyzed for best value to the County to provide a fair and reasonable price.
- Update IT cost management system (Chargeback) to improve efficiencies in monitoring and reporting IT costs to County users.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Complete the implementation of PPM software, an HPES solution for managing all aspects of IT projects, including status reporting, issues management, risk management and time reporting.
- Complete the implementation of Application Lifecycle Management (ALM) software, an HPES solution for managing applications through development, testing and implementation.

- Implement future phases of the Service Manager application to modernize the tools and services used within the County. This will provide a more efficient way of performing required business functions used to deliver services to constituents. These future phases may include improvements to the existing asset management, help desk, configuration and release management tools.
- Develop a retirement roadmap and any necessary evaluation tools to assist departments with retiring business applications. For example, as a result of the recent Application Rationalization project, the CTO identified several applications that have fewer than 10 users and are over 10 years old. Many of these applications have minimal business value and can be expensive to maintain due to outdated technology.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Regional Leadership

- Provide regional and national leadership through participation on advisory boards and speaking engagements.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Provide employees and community members with IT learning opportunities via vendor fairs and IT education days.

Related Links

For additional information about the CTO, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/cto/>.



Performance Measures

	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Customer Satisfaction with Outsourcing Contractor Services ¹	N/A	65%	73%	68%	75%
Reduction of overall unresolved IT disputes with a minimum reduction of 10% ²	20%	15%	14%	14%	14%
IT initiatives resulting from CTO-driven advanced planning ³	3	3	3	3	3
Upgrade to Microsoft Windows 7 operating system ⁴	N/A	90%	90%	100%	N/A

Table Notes

¹ Reflects the satisfaction with Contractor Support Services as measured by the annual All County IT Customer Satisfaction survey. The national average rating is based on a database comparison of similar studies as reported by Gartner Inc.

² Fiscal Year 2011-12 data will be used as the baseline in determining the 14% reduction in overall IT disputes for Fiscal Year 2012-13.

³ CTO-driven advanced planning initiatives such as Justice Electronic Library System (JELS) and conversion to SharePoint for the County intranet were implemented into the County IT environment through infrastructure projects.

⁴ Annual number of computer desktops refreshed will be used as the baseline. Project to be completed by 2013.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

- Net increase of \$1.5 million in the County Technology Office’s operating budget.
 - Salaries and Benefits — no significant change.
 - Services and Supplies — increase of \$1.5 million primarily as a result of an increase in one-time IT projects from prior year. Planned projects include an upgrade/replacement of the County’s IT billing system, Desktop Virtualization, SharePoint environment enhancements, Electronic Approval system enhancements, IDAM, MADP, and Master Data Management and Exchange. A portion of the increase will address coordination of enterprise data management strategies.

- Net increase of \$12.7 million in the Information Technology Internal Service Fund (ISF) based on information technology expenditures projected by all County departments, including one-time projects and ongoing costs for upgrade to Documentum version 6.7; upgrades to the County’s budgeting system and the XenDocs image system; and increases in various IT accounts to accommodate negotiated increases in IT ISF rates.

Revenues

- Net increase of \$1.5 million in the County Technology Office’s operating budget.
 - Use of Fund Balance — increase of \$0.6 million for a total of \$3.2 million. One-time funding is for IT projects described above.
 - General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.8 million as a result of increases in ongoing expenses such as the County intranet site (InSite) support, Internet 3rd Party Support, SharePoint sup-

port, transformation costs for ALM, PPM and Application Rationalization projects, and coordination of enterprise data management strategies.

- Net increase of \$12.7 million in the Information Technology ISF.
- Charges for Current Services — increase is based on information technology expenditures projected by all County departments. Each department is billed for IT services on a monthly basis.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Total expenditures decrease by \$17.0 million primarily as a result of a decrease of \$16.4 million in the Information Technology ISF due to anticipated completion of one-time IT projects and projected decreases in IT services required by various County departments. A \$0.6 million reduction exists in the County Technology Office's operating budget due to the anticipated completion of one-time IT initiatives such as IDAM, MADP, and Master Data Management and Exchange.

Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
CTO Office		16.00			16.00	16.00
Total		16.00			16.00	16.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
CTO Office	\$ 8,602,266	\$ 9,251,411	\$ 10,501,084	\$ 8,850,949	\$ 10,750,954	\$ 10,113,400
Information Technology Internal Service Fund	119,343,180	127,670,508	136,073,778	120,798,924	140,380,086	124,026,342
Total	\$ 127,945,445	\$ 136,921,919	\$ 146,574,862	\$ 129,649,873	\$ 151,131,040	\$ 134,139,742

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 2,724,840	\$ 2,871,096	\$ 2,871,096	\$ 2,857,950	\$ 2,874,638	\$ 2,962,084
Services & Supplies	122,800,259	134,050,823	143,703,766	124,371,577	148,256,402	131,177,658
Other Charges	2,420,346	—	—	2,420,346	—	—
Total	\$ 127,945,445	\$ 136,921,919	\$ 146,574,862	\$ 129,649,873	\$ 151,131,040	\$ 134,139,742

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Intergovernmental Revenues	\$ 3,880	\$ 13,126	\$ 13,126	\$ 13,124	\$ 10,233	\$ 10,233
Charges For Current Services	115,460,642	123,859,700	131,852,492	114,208,521	136,653,629	120,114,935
Miscellaneous Revenues	379,629	100,000	100,000	198,779	100,000	100,000
Other Financing Sources	1,998,345	4,454,688	4,865,166	3,843,516	4,448,765	4,633,715
Use of Fund Balance	4,404,378	2,549,381	3,799,054	5,440,910	3,150,400	2,325,400
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	5,698,571	5,945,024	5,945,024	5,945,024	6,768,013	6,955,459
Total	\$ 127,945,445	\$ 136,921,919	\$ 146,574,862	\$ 129,649,873	\$ 151,131,040	\$ 134,139,742

Civil Service Commission

Department Description

The Civil Service Commission is designated by the County Charter as the administrative appeals body for the County in personnel matters. The Commission is comprised of five citizens appointed by the Board of Supervisors and is supported by a small staff.

Mission Statement

To protect the merit basis of the personnel system through the exercise of the Commission's Charter-mandated appellate and investigative authority.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Achieved a positive customer satisfaction rating of 95%.
- Provided customers with hearings that were fair, impartial and efficient in order to achieve legally sound decisions.
- Ensured direct access to information through proper referral of inquiries from departments, employees, employee representatives and organizations, and the public, related to human resources matters.
- Provided a neutral environment that facilitated open discussion of issues for departments, employees and employee representatives resulting in fair and unbiased outcomes.
- Resolved 55% (16 of 29) of personnel disputes without the need for a full evidentiary hearing, resulting in time and cost savings.

Required Discipline – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Participated in ongoing training in areas of human resources, technology, workplace safety, professional development, conflict resolution, energy conservation, terrorism awareness and pertinent legal updates to increase staff's knowledge in order to provide superior customer service.
- All Commission employees established personal development plans and completed at least one training to improve skills and assist in the growth of County knowledge workers.



Required Discipline – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Ensured decisions made by the Commission took into consideration fairness, due process and were in compliance with the law. The decisions were thoroughly reviewed by Commissioners, staff and counsel.
- Distributed 100% (19) of Commission decisions within 48 hours of Commission approval in order to provide timely notification of outcomes to parties and the public.
- Conducted Management Controls Initiative workshops to identify and reduce risks to achieving departmental goals.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- Maintained and updated desk book manual on Commission proceedings and easily searchable electronic database of Commission case law and precedents, counsel advice, key issues and other relevant information to increase staff efficiency and effectiveness.
- Improved method of collecting and marking exhibits at civil service hearings, resulting in a more efficient use of time and enhances access to relevant information for hearing officer.
- Reviewed and updated all Civil Service Commission policies in the Chief Administrative Officer's Administrative Manual in order to reflect current practice and law.
- Jointly updated, with the Department of Human Resources, Civil Service Rule IV, *Certification and Appointments*, and the procedure for handling appeals of psychological examinations in order to comply with recent changes in the law.
- Improved management of electronic case files in order to achieve greater efficiency and ease in compliance with record retention policy.

- Established use of numbers rather than names to identify new cases on Commission public meeting agendas in order to be up-to-date with current practice in handling personnel matters.

2012-14 Objectives

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Achieve a positive customer satisfaction rating of 95% or above.
- Provide customers with hearings that are fair, impartial and efficient in order to achieve legally sound decisions.
- Ensure direct access to information through proper referral of inquiries from departments, employees, employee representatives and organizations and the public, related to human resources matters.
- Provide a neutral environment that facilitates open discussion of issues for departments, employees and employee representatives resulting in fair and unbiased outcomes.
- Resolve 55% of personnel disputes without the need for a full evidentiary hearing resulting in time and cost savings.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Participate in ongoing training in areas of human resources, technology, workplace safety and pertinent legal updates to increase staff’s knowledge in order to provide superior customer service.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Ensure all decisions made by the Commission will take into consideration fairness, due process and are in compliance with the law. The decisions will be thoroughly reviewed by Commissioners, staff and counsel.
- Distribute at least 95% of Commission decisions within 48 hours of Commission approval in order to provide timely notification of outcomes to parties and the public.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Maintain and update desk book manual on Commission proceedings and easily searchable electronic database of Commission case law and precedents, counsel advice, key issues and other relevant information to increase staff efficiency and effectiveness.

Related Links

For additional information about the Civil Service Commission, refer to the website at

<http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/civilservice/>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Positive customer satisfaction rating	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%
Personnel disputes resolved without need of an evidentiary hearing ¹	55% of 29	55%	55% of 29	55%	55%
Commission decisions distributed within 48 hours of Commission approval	100% of 18	95%	100% of 19	95%	95%

Table Notes

¹ Resolving personnel disputes without a full evidentiary hearing saves money and staff time for the Commission office, other County departments, employees and prospective employees.

**Budget Changes and Operational Impact:
2011-12 to 2012-13**

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Minor increase in expenditures primarily as a result of an increase in public liability insurance premiums.

Revenues

Minor increase in General Purpose Revenue primarily to cover increase in expenditures described above.

**Budget Changes and Operational Impact:
2012-13 to 2013-14**

No significant changes.



Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Civil Service Commission		4.00			4.00	4.00
Total		4.00			4.00	4.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Civil Service Commission	\$ 544,442	\$ 568,229	\$ 568,229	\$ 560,767	\$ 586,695	\$ 601,143
Total	\$ 544,442	\$ 568,229	\$ 568,229	\$ 560,767	\$ 586,695	\$ 601,143

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 496,377	\$ 514,988	\$ 514,988	\$ 511,190	\$ 517,158	\$ 531,606
Services & Supplies	48,064	53,241	53,241	49,577	69,537	69,537
Total	\$ 544,442	\$ 568,229	\$ 568,229	\$ 560,767	\$ 586,695	\$ 601,143

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Charges For Current Services	\$ 53,720	\$ 57,201	\$ 57,201	\$ 57,163	\$ 51,151	\$ 51,151
Use of Fund Balance	(13,852)	8,760	8,760	1,336	—	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	504,574	502,268	502,268	502,268	535,544	549,992
Total	\$ 544,442	\$ 568,229	\$ 568,229	\$ 560,767	\$ 586,695	\$ 601,143

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Department Description

The Executive Officer acts as the administrative head of the department, serves as the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and performs duties as provided in the Government Code and formal orders of the Board of Supervisors. He serves as the administrative officer of four Assessment Appeals Boards, as filing officer for economic disclosure statements, Deputy Secretary of the County Housing Authority, Clerk of the Air Pollution Control Board and various other special districts and committees. The department administers the Board of Supervisors General Office and manages of the Board of Supervisors' budgets. Three program areas are included within the department: Executive Office, Public Services and Legislative Services.

In 2011, the responsibility for maintaining the County Administration Center complex was transferred from the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to the Department of General Services. This change is reflected in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors' Operational Plan as a reduction in funding and staff years. Additionally, objectives related to the maintenance of the County Administration Center complex have been removed.

Mission Statement

To provide consistently excellent service and support to the Board of Supervisors and the people we serve in an efficient and friendly manner.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Environment

- Reduced paper usage and enhanced communication by implementing paperless referrals, replacing hard copy memos with email communications.

Required Discipline – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Conducted a comprehensive review of the department's cash handling processes and implemented all recommendations to ensure that adequate controls are in place to protect the staff and customers and safeguard public funds.



- Processed 18,720 property tax assessment appeal applications representing over 32,000 parcels quickly and accurately, ensuring the efficiency and integrity of the appeal process.
- Provided open access to County business by making audio recordings of Board of Supervisors meetings available on the Internet in a timely manner.

Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

- Surveyed over 7,400 customers and received consistently excellent feedback, ensuring that the residents of the San Diego region are satisfied with the department's services.

2012-14 Objectives

Required Discipline for Excellence – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Ensure the efficiency and transparency of the property tax assessment appeal process by processing property tax assessment appeal applications quickly and accurately.
- Provide open access to County business by making audio recordings of Board of Supervisors meetings available on the Internet in a timely manner.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Ensure satisfaction with services provided by achieving consistently high ratings on surveys of over 2,000 customers.
- Provide opportunities to San Diego residents by processing and mailing 100% of completed United States Passport applications on the same day they are received.

Related Links

For additional information about the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, refer to the website <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/cob/>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Average score on internal customer surveys ¹	4.9 of 6,427 surveys	4.9	4.9 of 7,494 surveys	4.9	4.9
Property tax assessment appeal applications reviewed for quality and entered into the computer system within seven days of receipt during the filing period to increase efficiency of the appeal process ²	95% of 15,203 applications	92%	98% of 18,720 applications	92%	92%
CAC Facilities Services preventative maintenance work orders completed as scheduled	100% of 1,315 work orders	98%	N/A ³	N/A ³	N/A ³
Audio recordings of Board of Supervisors meetings added to Clerk of the Board Internet site within five days of the related meeting	100% of 41 recordings	100%	100% of 40 recordings	100%	100%
United States Passport applications processed and mailed on the same day they are received ⁴	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%

Table Notes

¹ Scale of 1-5, with 5 being “excellent.”

² During Fiscal Year 2011-12, total applications received were 18,720. Target varies with volume: 1-5,000 received - 92%, 5,001 – 10,000 received - 90%, 10,001 or more received - 80%.

³ This measure is no longer being tracked by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. The responsibility for Facilities Services at the County Administration Center was transferred to the Department of General Services earlier in the fiscal year.

⁴ New measure effective Fiscal Year 2012-13 to reflect department priorities.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Decrease of 9.00 staff years due to the transfer of facility management responsibilities for the County Administration Center from the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to the Department of General Services (DGS).

Expenditures

Decrease of \$3.2 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — decrease of \$0.8 million due a decrease in staff years related to the transfer of facility management responsibilities for the County Administration Center from the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to the Department of General Services.
- Services and Supplies — decrease of \$2.4 million due to the transfer of management responsibilities for the County Administration Center from the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to DGS.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$3.2 million.

- Revenue from Use of Money & Property — decrease of \$0.1 million in rents and concessions related to the management of the County Administration Center complex due to the transfer of this responsibility to DGS.

- Charges for Current Services — increase of \$0.2 million due to increased revenue from passport application and photo services.
- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$0.28 million due to the elimination of \$0.05 million prior year one-time funds for negotiated one-time labor agreement and \$0.23 million for potential County Administration Center major maintenance projects. There are no planned uses of fund balance for Fiscal Year 2012-13.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — decrease of \$3.0 million due primarily to the transfer of County Administration Center facility management responsibilities to DGS. DGS has established a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the Finance & General Government Group Executive Office for provision of these services, which will be funded primarily by the shift of general purpose revenue allocations to the Executive Office.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net increase of \$0.1 million in Salaries and Benefits primarily due to an increase in County retirement contributions.



Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Legislative Services		11.00			12.00	12.00
CAC Facilities Services		9.00			—	—
Public Services		11.00			12.00	12.00
Executive Office		5.00			3.00	3.00
Total		36.00			27.00	27.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Legislative Services	\$ 1,035,801	\$ 1,109,960	\$ 1,109,960	\$ 1,177,891	\$ 1,290,193	\$ 1,319,869
CAC Facilities Services	2,871,852	3,091,939	768,310	604,886	—	—
Assessment Appeals	760	—	—	1,082	—	—
Public Services	952,737	1,047,168	974,453	978,926	1,153,991	1,196,020
Executive Office	1,249,550	969,122	1,185,249	842,326	835,426	850,143
CAC Major Maintenance	624,092	240,000	732,592	400,370	—	—
Total	\$ 6,734,792	\$ 6,458,189	\$ 4,770,564	\$ 4,005,480	\$ 3,279,610	\$ 3,366,032

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 3,236,442	\$ 3,408,475	\$ 2,727,502	\$ 2,685,758	\$ 2,642,411	\$ 2,729,185
Services & Supplies	3,498,350	3,049,714	2,024,467	1,301,128	637,199	636,847
Capital Assets Equipment	—	—	18,595	18,594	—	—
Total	\$ 6,734,792	\$ 6,458,189	\$ 4,770,564	\$ 4,005,480	\$ 3,279,610	\$ 3,366,032

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	\$ 133,940	\$ 60,000	\$ 60,000	\$ 45,184	\$ —	\$ —
Charges For Current Services	981,938	428,309	428,309	989,096	595,800	595,800
Miscellaneous Revenues	17,328	12,085	12,085	18,164	5,085	5,085
Use of Fund Balance	(71,073)	278,087	(1,409,538)	(2,726,672)	—	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	5,672,660	5,679,708	5,679,708	5,679,708	2,678,725	2,765,147
Total	\$ 6,734,792	\$ 6,458,189	\$ 4,770,564	\$ 4,005,480	\$ 3,279,610	\$ 3,366,032

County Counsel

Department Description

The San Diego County Charter provides that County Counsel serves as the civil legal advisor for the County and represents the County in all civil actions by and against the County, its officers, boards, commissions and employees. County Counsel serves as the attorney for the County through the Board of Supervisors, County officers, employees, departments, boards and commissions. County Counsel maintains proactive participation in all phases of governmental decision making and a very active and successful litigation program. County Counsel also provides representation of the County's Health and Human Services Agency in juvenile dependency matters and provides legal services on a fee basis to several special districts. County Counsel oversees the County's Claims Division, which administers claims filed against the County by members of the public, as well as employee lost property claims.

Mission Statement


To deliver the highest quality legal services to our clients as efficiently and economically as possible in order to facilitate the achievement of County government's goal to better serve the residents of San Diego County.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

County Counsel aggressively represented the County in litigation, maintaining fiscal stability while advancing the overall interests of the County of San Diego and the public it serves.

- Prevailed in 92% (108 of 117) of court decisions in all lawsuits filed against the County.
- Achieved a success rate of 100% (8 cases) in County code enforcement and other lawsuits where the County was the plaintiff by winning in court or obtaining favorable financial settlements.
- Handled 100% (142 cases) of the defense of all lawsuits filed in California against the County, excluding conflict of interest cases requiring outside counsel, thereby reducing outside counsel costs to the County and taxpayers.



Required Discipline – Customer Satisfaction

County Counsel provided quality, accurate, effective and timely legal advice to all County departments enabling them to fulfill their mission and objectives in accordance with the law, reduce the risk of liability and use taxpayer dollars efficiently and effectively.

- Completed 100% (31) of all advisory assignments for the Board of Supervisors and Chief Administrative Officer by their due dates.
- Completed 99% (1,543 of 1,554) of all advisory assignments for County departments by the agreed upon due dates.
- Continued County Counsel law student internship program (20 interns) to provide quality service to clients at no cost to County departments.

Required Discipline – Regional Leadership

County Counsel attorneys engaged in numerous leadership roles in the County and throughout the State, which provided positive and constructive influences in the professional practice of law in the region.

- Maintained significant involvement in the activities of 18 regional organizations and committees.

Required Discipline – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

County Counsel provided education and risk mitigation training to County officers and employees in support of a committed, capable and diverse workforce.

- Provided 70 education programs on selected legal subjects for County departments.

- Provided 69 specialized risk mitigation sessions, such as risk roundtables, settlement committee discussions and post-litigation debriefings to address risk issues, to promote risk management and to decrease future legal liability.
- Provided 24 internal and/or external training sessions for County Counsel staff, including Mandatory Continuing Legal Education, to ensure staff continued to provide the highest quality legal services to the County by remaining current on developments in the law.

Required Discipline – Information Technology

County Counsel optimized its information technology systems to improve operational efficiency and service to customers.

- Implemented the use of a document repository to allow efficient electronic retrieval of court briefs, indexed legal opinions, closed session letters, and settlement committee minutes and related documents.
- Continued toward the transition to a new Web-based office practice management system, which manages all case and advisory information, has calendaring capabilities, retains client and contract information, stores supporting legal documents, and tracks time and billing information.
- Improved the County Counsel intranet site by providing legal links and information on legal matters directly impacting the operation of client departments.
- Enhanced the department's Internet site by offering the public the option of downloading claim forms, which offers immediate customer satisfaction and reduces costs related to mailing forms to requesting parties.
- Continued to expand the use of available mobile technologies and also enhanced operational efficiency by allowing attorney staff to utilize a system which provides for telephonic court appearances, thereby reducing travel time and mileage.

2012-14 Objectives

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

County Counsel will provide quality, accurate, effective and timely legal advice to all County departments to enable them to fulfill their mission and objectives in accordance with the law, reduce the risk of liability and use taxpayer dollars efficiently and effectively.

- Complete 95% of all advisory assignments for the Board of Supervisors and Chief Administrative Officer by their due dates.

- Complete 90% or more of all advisory assignments for County departments by the agreed upon due dates.
- Continue County Counsel law student internship program to provide quality service to clients.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Fiscal Stability

County Counsel will aggressively represent the County in litigation, maintaining fiscal stability while advancing the overall interests of the County of San Diego and the public it serves.

- Resolve 80% or more of all lawsuits against the County by a court decision/dismissal, and resolve 20% or less by settlement.
- Prevail in 90% or more of court decisions in all lawsuits filed against the County.
- Achieve a success rate of 90% or more in County code enforcement and other lawsuits where the County is the plaintiff by winning in court or obtaining favorable financial settlements.
- Handle 95% or more of the defense of all lawsuits filed in California against the County, unless a conflict of interest requires outside counsel to handle a case, thereby reducing outside counsel costs to the County and taxpayers.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- County Counsel will provide effective legal services to the Health and Human Service Agency (HHSA) in matters relating to children who have been dependents of the Juvenile Court.
- Prevail in 95% or more Juvenile Dependency petitions filed in Superior Court.
- Prevail in 95% or more in Juvenile Dependency appeals and writs filed.

Strategic Initiative – Sustainable Environments

County Counsel will support the County's objective of promoting responsible development, economic vitality and the highest quality of life.

- Complete 90% of all draft Environmental Impact Report reviews within 40 days or less.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Regional Leadership

County Counsel attorneys will engage in numerous leadership roles in the County and throughout the State, which will provide positive and constructive influences in the professional practice of law in the region.

- Maintain significant involvement in the activities of at least 15 regional organizations and committees.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

County Counsel will provide education and risk mitigation training to County officers and employees to support a committed, capable and diverse workforce.

- Provide 50 or more education programs on selected legal subjects for County departments.
- Provide 50 or more specialized risk mitigation sessions, such as risk roundtables, settlement committee discussions and post-litigation debriefings to address risk issues, helping to promote risk management and decrease future legal liability.
- Provide 24 or more internal and/or external training sessions for County Counsel staff, including Mandatory Continuing Legal Education, to ensure staff continues to provide the highest quality legal services to the County by remaining current on developments in the law.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

County Counsel will optimize its information technology systems to improve operational efficiency and service to customers.

- Implement the use of a centralized document repository and electronic briefcase system for Juvenile Dependency-related court documents. This centralized repository will be shared by multiple departments (including San Diego Superior Court and HHSA's Child

Welfare Services) and will result in an efficient electronic retrieval process, eliminating the need for paper documents.

- Complete the transition to a new Web-based office practice management system (Tymetrix's T360), which manages all case and advisory information, has calendaring capabilities, retains client and contract information, stores supporting legal documents, and tracks time and billing information.
- Improve the County Counsel intranet site by continuing to provide legal links, up-to-date training materials and other information which could support client departments.
- Continue to expand use of available mobile technologies, such as implementing Web-based solutions or increasing the use of mobile computing to increase efficiencies.
- Implement a paperless electronic billing technology which will streamline invoicing procedures and payment processes. This technology will create a bidirectional data feed into the County's Oracle Financial system, and will provide efficiencies in data entry and reconciliation.

Related Links

For additional information about County Counsel, refer to the website at

<http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/CountyCounsel/>.



Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Advisory assignments for Board of Supervisors to be completed by the due date	100% (29 assignments)	95%	100% (31 assignments)	95%	95%
Advisory assignments for all departments completed by the due date	98% (1,520 of 1,552 assignments)	80%	99% (1,543 of 1,554 assignments)	90%	90%
Resolved cases filed against the County by court decision or dismissal / settlements	N/A	N/A	N/A	80% / 20%	80% / 20%
Resolved court cases filed against the County in which County will prevail (County success rate)	92% (76-7 record)	90%	92% (108-9 record)	90%	90%
Nonconflict cases against the County that were handled by County Council	100% (105 cases)	95%	100% (142 cases)	95%	95%
Success rate in County cases against other parties	100% (15 cases)	85%	100% (8 cases)	90%	90%
Number of training programs presented by County Council	67	30	70 ¹	50 ²	50 ²
Number of risk mitigation education sessions provided by County Council	76	50	69 ¹	50	50
Draft Environmental Impact Report reviews completed within 40 days or less ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	90%	90%
Success rate in Juvenile Dependency petitions filed in Superior Court ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	95%	95%
Success rate in Juvenile Dependency appeals and writs filed ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	95%	95%

Table Notes

¹ County Council reengineered processes and relied upon volunteer attorneys and legal interns to absorb some of the more routine workload to enable the deputies to provide clients the risk mitigation and training sessions necessary to maintain its efforts toward reducing/eliminating liability risks to the County.

² Increase in training programs necessitated by liability exposure related to Public Safety Realignment, notwithstanding County Council staff reductions.

³ New measure effective Fiscal Year 2012-13 to reflect department priorities.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Net increase of \$0.6 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — increase of \$0.1 million primarily due to an increase in County retirement contributions and a one-time increase in personnel retirement costs.
- Services and Supplies — increase of \$0.4 million due to anticipated increases in information technology costs associated with departmental Government Without Walls program.
- Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements — decrease of \$0.05 million in staffing expenditures transferred to client departments due to shift of staff legal services to address landfill matters, which will be reimbursed as a billable service instead. The reduction of staffing expenditures transferred to client departments effectively increases total expenditures, which will be offset by billing revenue.

Revenues

Net increase of \$0.6 million.

- Charges for Current Services — increase of \$0.4 million due to increases to project workloads.
- Miscellaneous Revenues — decrease of \$0.2 million due to the return of normal ongoing anticipated recovered expenditures.
- Use of Fund Balance — increase of \$0.3 million for a total budget of \$0.5 million to fund one-time information technology projects, including replacement of the department's existing case management, and for one-time personnel retirement costs.
- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.1 million based on increases in ongoing overall program costs.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net increase of \$0.1 million due to negotiated benefit increases as well as increases in County retirement contributions.



Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
County Council		135.00			135.00	135.00
Total		135.00			135.00	135.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
County Council	\$ 21,390,129	\$ 22,361,077	\$ 23,248,333	\$ 22,101,008	\$ 22,935,440	\$ 23,031,595
Total	\$ 21,390,129	\$ 22,361,077	\$ 23,248,333	\$ 22,101,008	\$ 22,935,440	\$ 23,031,595

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 20,572,494	\$ 21,574,801	\$ 21,299,801	\$ 21,129,011	\$ 21,685,045	\$ 22,144,126
Services & Supplies	1,480,700	1,499,346	2,646,602	1,642,003	1,912,597	1,568,875
Capital Assets Equipment	—	—	15,000	14,964	—	—
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(663,065)	(713,070)	(713,070)	(684,970)	(662,202)	(681,406)
Total	\$ 21,390,129	\$ 22,361,077	\$ 23,248,333	\$ 22,101,008	\$ 22,935,440	\$ 23,031,595

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Charges For Current Services	\$ 10,420,332	\$ 9,955,485	\$ 9,955,485	\$ 10,783,846	\$ 10,330,313	\$ 10,583,882
Miscellaneous Revenues	50,953	225,109	225,109	54,175	8,500	23,500
Use of Fund Balance	(370,769)	193,984	1,081,240	(723,512)	504,923	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	11,289,613	11,986,499	11,986,499	11,986,499	12,091,704	12,424,213
Total	\$ 21,390,129	\$ 22,361,077	\$ 23,248,333	\$ 22,101,008	\$ 22,935,440	\$ 23,031,595

San Diego County Grand Jury

Department Description

The Grand Jury is a body of 19 citizens who are charged and sworn to investigate County matters of civil concern as well as inquire into public offenses committed or triable within the county. Grand Jury duties, powers, responsibilities, qualifications and selection processes are outlined in the California Penal Code §888 et seq. The Grand Jury reviews and evaluates procedures, methods and systems utilized by government to determine whether they can be made more efficient and effective. It may examine any aspect of county government and city government, including special legislative districts and joint powers agencies, to ensure that the best interests of San Diego County citizens are being served. Also, the Grand Jury may inquire into written complaints brought to it by the public. Additionally, Penal Code §904.6 authorizes the empanelment of a second Grand Jury to issue criminal indictments. Civil grand jurors are selected from a pool of applicants nominated by Superior Court Judges. Grand jurors serve in office for one year. Jurors impaneled to review and issue criminal indictments are drawn from the petit (regular trial) jury pool, as needed, at the request of the District Attorney. Department support staff consists of one full-time coordinator and one part-time assistant.

Mission Statement

Representing the citizens of San Diego County by investigating, evaluating and reporting on the actions of local governments and special districts.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Reviewed and investigated 106 citizens' complaints, issues, and other County matters of civil concern brought before the Grand Jury.
- Returned 121 criminal indictments and prepared other reports and declarations as mandated by law (Penal Code §939.8, et seq.).

2012-14 Objectives

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Review, prioritize and investigate all citizens' complaints, issues and other County matters of civil concern brought before the Grand Jury by assembling a



well-qualified and widely representative civil panel to ensure that city and county governmental entities are operating as efficiently as possible.

- Support the District Attorney with hearings on criminal matters in accordance with Penal Code §904.6.

Related Links

For additional information about the Grand Jury, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/grandjury/>.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Minor decrease in Services and Supplies related to completion of one-time prior year expenditure for carpet replacement.

Revenues

- Minor decrease in Use of Fund Balance due to completion of carpet replacement described above.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

No significant changes.



Staffing by Program						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Grand Jury		1.00			1.00	1.00
Total		1.00			1.00	1.00

Budget by Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Grand Jury	\$ 533,382	\$ 601,232	\$ 624,291	\$ 529,878	\$ 588,080	\$ 591,003
Total	\$ 533,382	\$ 601,232	\$ 624,291	\$ 529,878	\$ 588,080	\$ 591,003

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 108,047	\$ 113,686	\$ 113,686	\$ 107,440	\$ 122,528	\$ 125,451
Services & Supplies	425,335	487,546	510,605	422,438	465,552	465,552
Total	\$ 533,382	\$ 601,232	\$ 624,291	\$ 529,878	\$ 588,080	\$ 591,003

Budget by Categories of Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Use of Fund Balance	\$ (46,694)	\$ 21,595	\$ 44,654	\$ (49,759)	\$ —	\$ —
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	580,076	579,637	579,637	579,637	588,080	591,003
Total	\$ 533,382	\$ 601,232	\$ 624,291	\$ 529,878	\$ 588,080	\$ 591,003

Human Resources

Department Description

The Department of Human Resources (DHR) is responsible for all aspects of labor relations and human resources management for the County of San Diego. DHR serves as the in-house human resources consultant to the Chief Administrative Officer, executive staff and County departments. Activities are diverse, including classification, compensation, recruitment and selection for all County jobs. Additional responsibilities include: administration of employee benefits programs; risk management activities, including Workers' Compensation program and property and casualty insurances; employee training programs; labor relations; and administration of employee incentive and career development programs.

Mission Statement

To provide and retain a skilled and competent workforce for County of San Diego departments so that they may deliver superior services to residents and visitors.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Required Discipline – Fiscal Stability

- Negotiated a fiscally prudent Memorandum of Agreement with the Crafts Unit and a successor Memorandum of Understanding agreement for the In-Home Supportive Services Public Authority.

Required Discipline – Information Technology

- The project to implement online testing of applicants through the NeoGov vendor has been cancelled. Vendor delays and further consideration of the project's cost benefit analysis has made this project no longer feasible.
- Utilized the applicant self-scheduling interview feature in the NeoGov system, which is an online applicant tracking system, for high volume recruitments in 50% of County departments.
- Conducted a feasibility study on converting Workers' Compensation paper case files to electronic form to enhance file security, enable more efficient sharing of data with authorized personnel who require file access, and to reduce costs associated with the storage and production of paper files.



Required Discipline – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Implemented Sexual Harassment Prevention training for Supervisors online to ensure compliance with Assembly Bill (AB) 1825, *Sexual Harassment: Training and Education*, and worked closely with the Training and Development community for completion among all supervisors by June 30, 2012. Program rolled out to supervisors in October 2011.
- In support of the Government Without Walls initiative, developed a Web-based training for supervisors to manage their employees that are working offsite. Program rolled out to employees in July 2011.
- Provided transition services to employees during workforce reduction periods to minimize the impact of layoffs prior to October 2011.
- Maintained a high quality workforce by adhering to 99% (450 of 455) of established timelines for recruitment of County employees.
- Provided departments with positions that match their staffing needs by completing 97% (178 of 184) of classification activity requests by established timelines.
- Successfully implemented the Mentor Partnership Program to aid departments in succession planning and develop leadership talent in the County workforce.
- Implemented a redesigned Supervisor Academy in order to provide a new innovative workshop-based learning experience for supervisors throughout the County. Program rolled out in January 2012.

Strategic Initiative – Kids

- Launched an exciting new Employee Wellness Program designed to help County employees in making healthy choices to reduce their personal health risk factors.

Required Discipline – Continuous Improvement

- The goal to develop and implement an automated “On-Boarding” application now has a new target completion date of June 2013. In-house application solutions proved to be cost prohibitive. As a result, the department will explore using outside vendors, which has extended the target completion date.
- Developed and implemented Leave of Absence presentations to employees via Webcasts from their computers, to avoid travel expenses and to maximize efficiencies.
- Extended the existing Employee Benefits Broker and Consultant Services contract through December 2013.
- Redesigned the Sheriff’s Cadet recruitment process to expedite the processing of quality candidates. The new process has resulted in a 354% decrease in the amount of time between the candidates’ completion of the initial application and the final background verification.

2012-14 Objectives

Required Discipline for Excellence – Continuous Improvement and Innovation

- Develop and implement an automated “On-Boarding” application to integrate and engage new employees into the County work environment from onset of employment offer through their first year of employment, by June 2013.
- Design and develop a system to track the electronic routing and approval of classification activity requests in order to streamline the process and provide enhanced tracking capabilities.
- Competitively procure a Medical Provider for the Workers’ Compensation and Medical Standards Program contracts by September 2012; an Investigative Services contract for Workers’ Compensation by August 2012; a Managed Care Services contract for Workers’ Compensation by July 2012 and an Employee Benefits Broker and Consultant Services Contract by January 2014.

Strategic Initiative – Healthy Families

- Develop and implement the second and third year Employee Wellness Program components and maintain a respective 10% and 15% employee program participation rate.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Information Services

- Develop and implement an upgrade to the County’s core Human Resources software application to improve operational efficiency in personnel record management, by December 2014.
- Convert existing Procurement Card (P-Card) classroom training content into Web-based materials and resources for all P-Card holders and approvers by June 30, 2013.
- Convert Workers’ Compensation paper case files, where feasible, to electronic form to enhance file security, enable more efficient sharing of data with authorized personnel who require file access, and reduce costs associated with the storage and production of paper files by June 2013.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Maintain a high quality workforce by adhering to 98% of established timelines for recruitment of County employees.
- Provide departments with positions that match their staffing needs by completing 98% of classification activity requests by established timelines.
- Provide departments with assistance in creating succession plans to assist them in forecasting and preparing for future staffing needs and changes.
- Implement Sexual Harassment Prevention training for nonsupervisors online to ensure Countywide completion among all employees by June 30, 2013.
- Reorganize the New Employee Orientation by June 2013 to streamline the session from a total of 8 hours to 6 hours, which will enable employees to take mandatory diversity training on the same day. This will reduce classroom time and employee travel expense by eliminating an additional day of classroom training.
- Support the training needs of the Office of Emergency Services on the Advanced Recovery Initiative by making training available to approximately 3,000 employees during Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Fiscal Stability

- Negotiate fiscally prudent successor agreements with 23 of 25 existing bargaining units and 8 of 9 existing employee organizations by July 2013. Negotiate fiscally prudent successor agreement with 2 remaining bargaining units and 1 employee organization by July 2014.

- Negotiate fiscally prudent successor agreements for In-Home Support Services Public Authority.

Related Links

For additional information about the Department of Human Resources, refer to the website at <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/hr/>.

Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
Classification activity requests ¹ completed within prescribed time frame	100% of 144	98% of 150	97% of 184	98% of 150	98% of 150
Recruitment plan/service agreements/timelines met	99% of 403	98% of 200	99% of 455	98% of 400	98% of 400

Table Notes

¹ Classification Activity Requests are departmental requests to either create or modify a job classification.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

Net increase of 2.00 staff years.

- Increase of 1.00 staff year due to the addition of a Wellness Coordinator to oversee and manage the Workers' Compensation Work Safe/Stay Healthy and Employee Wellness Program.
- Increase of 1.00 staff year in the Human Resources Services Division due to additional support needed to meet the increasing hiring needs of the Public Safety Group.
- Reinstate 1.00 staff year from the Human Resources Services Division to Administration Division due to the reorganization of duties within the department.
- Decrease of 1.00 staff year from the Employee Benefits Division to offset the reinstated position described above.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$0.01 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net increase of \$0.19 million due to the additional staff years as described above and the increase in County retirement contributions, partially offset by the elimination of a prior year one-time salary adjustment.

- Services and Supplies — decrease of \$0.17 million due to a reduction of rents and lease costs associated with the relocation of the Employee Benefits and Risk Management Divisions.
- Expenditure Transfer and Reimbursements — increase of \$0.03 million in staffing expenditures transferred to other departments due to cost increases related to Background Investigation services for the Health and Human Services Agency. Since the amount transferred will be increased by \$0.03 million, it has the effect of decreasing expenditures by \$0.03 million.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$0.01 million.

- Charges for Current Services — decrease of \$0.1 million due to a decrease in external department overhead payments (A-87) for services rendered.
- Miscellaneous Revenue — increase of \$0.4 million due to the funding of salaries and benefits for a Wellness Coordinator and costs reimbursement in the Workers' Compensation portion of the Employee Benefits Internal Service Fund.
- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$0.7 million for a total budget of \$0.43 million. One-time funding includes \$0.15 million for the Learning Management System database upgrade and \$0.28 million for continued funding of the Workforce Academy for Youth (WAY) program.



- General Purpose Revenue Allocation — increase of \$0.5 million due to staffing needs described above, an increase in County retirement contributions and ongoing program costs related to the Leadership training program and Employee Engagement Survey process.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Net decrease of \$0.1 million, including \$0.2 million increase in Salaries and Benefits primarily for retirement contributions and \$0.3 million decrease in Services and Supplies to reflect completion of several one-time projects planned for Fiscal Year 2012-13.

Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Department of Human Resources	112.00	114.00	114.00
Total	112.00	114.00	114.00

Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Department of Human Resources	\$ 19,670,804	\$ 23,335,014	\$ 25,867,916	\$ 20,011,251	\$ 23,323,953	\$ 23,241,768
Total	\$ 19,670,804	\$ 23,335,014	\$ 25,867,916	\$ 20,011,251	\$ 23,323,953	\$ 23,241,768

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 12,658,965	\$ 13,335,782	\$ 13,335,782	\$ 12,633,097	\$ 13,530,728	\$ 13,705,713
Services & Supplies	7,006,272	10,151,322	12,684,224	7,532,211	9,978,773	9,721,603
Capital Assets Equipment	5,567	—	—	—	—	—
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	—	(152,090)	(152,090)	(154,057)	(185,548)	(185,548)
Total	\$ 19,670,804	\$ 23,335,014	\$ 25,867,916	\$ 20,011,251	\$ 23,323,953	\$ 23,241,768

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Intergovernmental Revenues	\$ 172	\$ 7,473	\$ 7,473	\$ 882	\$ 8,405	\$ 8,405
Charges For Current Services	1,316,096	1,761,121	1,761,121	1,749,914	1,632,228	1,632,228
Miscellaneous Revenues	6,393,442	6,922,664	6,922,664	6,315,670	7,303,271	7,372,961
Other Financing Sources	—	—	—	165	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	(1,399,328)	1,158,290	3,691,192	(1,540,845)	425,000	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	13,360,422	13,485,466	13,485,466	13,485,466	13,955,049	14,228,174
Total	\$ 19,670,804	\$ 23,335,014	\$ 25,867,916	\$ 20,011,251	\$ 23,323,953	\$ 23,241,768



County Communications Office

Department Description

Established by the Board of Supervisors in 1997, the County Communications Office (CCO), formerly known as the Department of Media and Public Relations, ensures that information about County issues, programs and services moves quickly and accurately to the public, employees and news organizations. Moreover, the department oversees emergency communications, media relations, overall content of the County's external and internal websites, social media messages and internal communications. The department is responsible for news releases, newsletters, publications and several major multimedia Board presentations, as well as the operation and programming of the County government access channel, County Television Network (CTN). Additionally, the County Communications Office monitors the State franchise agreements with video providers operating within unincorporated areas of the county. The Communications Office team is comprised of award-winning writers, producers, videographers and public affairs professionals. The staff has extensive experience in communications for the public, private and nonprofit sectors through Web, print, broadcast and social media. As part of their duties, staff members explore emerging technologies to ensure the County continues to be able to communicate effectively with residents.

Mission Statement

To build confidence in County government by providing clear and accurate information on County programs. To achieve world class communications status and become a preferred information provider by using current technology and communications tools.

2011-12 Accomplishments

Strategic Initiative – Safe and Livable Communities

- Built credibility as the source of County information, both internally among County staff and externally among county residents.
 - Began the creation of easy-to-understand, complete instructions – in written form or video – that explains where and how the media and public can find information on County websites. Completed 10 videos or articles in Fiscal Year 2011-12.



Required Discipline – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Created awareness among taxpayers about the value of County programs and services, emphasizing the fact that the County of San Diego is a responsible steward of tax dollars by posting 200 video presentations and 10,832 news media placements.
- Worked proactively to deliver vital information including County news, challenges and successes directly to San Diegans and position the County to define itself, rather than relying on traditional media to do so.
 - Kept the news site updated regularly to establish the site as a news source. Added at least one new content item (article or video) every business day within peak viewing periods for a total of 724 during Fiscal Year 2011-12.

2012-14 Objectives

Required Discipline for Excellence – Customer Satisfaction

- Build credibility as the source of County information, both internally among County staff and externally among county residents.
 - Create easy-to-understand, complete instructions – in written form or video – that explain where and how the media and public can find information on County websites. Complete 15 videos or articles in Fiscal Year 2012-13, and another 15 in Fiscal Year 2013-14.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Accountability, Transparency and Ethical Conduct

- Create awareness among taxpayers about the value of County programs and services, emphasizing the fact that the County of San Diego is a responsible steward

of tax dollars by posting 190 video presentations in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and another 190 in Fiscal Year 2013-14.

- Work proactively to deliver vital information including County news, challenges and successes directly to San Diegans and position the County to define itself, rather than relying on traditional media to do so.
 - Update the County News Center regularly to establish the site as a valid news source. Add at least one new content item (article or video) every business day for a total of 300 during Fiscal Year 2012-13, and another 310 during Fiscal Year 2013-14.
 - Provide easy, on-the-go access to important County information through social media. Increase number of followers on Facebook and Twitter by 10% during Fiscal Year 2012-13, and an additional 10% during Fiscal Year 2013-14.

Strategic Initiative – Safe Communities

- Participate in at least two drills to prepare for and respond to major natural or manmade disasters impacting the San Diego County region. Provide accurate and timely emergency and recovery information to

the public and media, using a wide variety of traditional and new media tools, such as the County's new emergency website, social media, new releases, video and mobile technology.

Required Discipline for Excellence – Skilled, Adaptable and Diverse Workforce

- Foster an environment of excellence, innovation and exceptional customer service among County employees who serve the public through the County's intranet site, posting 130 content items (article or video) in support of the Strategic Initiatives and Required Disciplines during Fiscal Year 2012-13, and another 135 during Fiscal Year 2013-14.

Related Links

For additional information about the County Communications Office and/or CTN, refer to the websites at

<http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dmpr/> or

<http://www.countynewscenter.com/>.



Performance Measures	2010-11 Actuals	2011-12 Adopted	2011-12 Actuals	2012-13 Adopted	2013-14 Approved
New presentations, programs, segments, Public Service Announcements (PSAs), Web videos and internal videos produced by CCO highlighting one or more Strategic Plan Initiatives or performance stories	184	160	200	195	200
Media placements coordinated by Communications Office staff	7,858	6,400	10,832 ¹	N/A ¹	N/A ¹
"How To" instructions created – in written form or video - that explain where and how the media and public can find information on County websites	N/A	10	10	15	15
CCO will participate in two emergency preparedness drills to test readiness	N/A	2	2	2	2
News items (article or video) posted on County News Center	N/A	260	724	300 ²	310 ²
Increase in followers of County social media sites ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	10% (1,055)	10% (1,160)
Articles, videos and information posted on department's intranet site ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	130	135

Table Notes

¹ Media hits reflect a total of the first three quarters' actuals and an estimate of the fourth quarter. To better reflect the CCO's emphasis on delivering news and information about the programs and services it provides directly to the public, media hits are no longer included as an objective, although they will continue to be tracked internally.

² News items posted on the news site County News Center are no longer limited to only peak viewing periods.

³ New measure effective Fiscal Year 2012-13.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2011-12 to 2012-13

Staffing

No change in staffing.

Expenditures

Net decrease of \$0.1 million.

- Salaries and Benefits — net increase of \$0.03 million primarily due to negotiated benefit and an increase in County retirement contributions, offset by the elimination of a prior year one-time salary adjustment.
- Services and Supplies — increase of \$0.13 million, primarily as a result of one-time expenditures to replace and/or upgrade aging CTN production equipment.

- Capital Assets Equipment — decrease of \$0.16 million primarily due to the elimination of prior year one-time capital expenditures for CTN production equipment.
- Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements — increase \$0.1 million as a result of increased expenditure transfers associated with work performed for the Health and Human Services Agency. Since the amount to be reimbursed will be increased by \$0.1 million, it has the effect of decreasing expenditures by \$0.1 million.

Revenues

Net decrease of \$0.1 million.

- Licenses, Permits & Franchises — decrease of \$0.05 million in Public Educational Governmental Access Fees, as a result of the elimination of prior year one-time expenditures for CTN production equipment.



- Use of Fund Balance — decrease of \$0.05 million due to the elimination of a prior year one-time salary adjustment.

Budget Changes and Operational Impact: 2012-13 to 2013-14

Increase of \$0.1 million due to negotiated labor agreements and an increase in retirement contributions.

Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
County Communications Office	22.00	22.00	22.00
Total	22.00	22.00	22.00

Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
County Communications Office	\$ 2,557,914	\$ 3,154,141	\$ 3,394,427	\$ 2,823,058	\$ 3,060,557	\$ 3,116,675
Total	\$ 2,557,914	\$ 3,154,141	\$ 3,394,427	\$ 2,823,058	\$ 3,060,557	\$ 3,116,675

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 2,464,096	\$ 2,569,000	\$ 2,569,000	\$ 2,535,979	\$ 2,595,425	\$ 2,669,143
Services & Supplies	334,439	514,141	604,362	399,470	640,132	622,532
Capital Assets Equipment	72,602	356,000	506,065	252,068	200,000	200,000
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(313,223)	(285,000)	(285,000)	(364,458)	(375,000)	(375,000)
Total	\$ 2,557,914	\$ 3,154,141	\$ 3,394,427	\$ 2,823,058	\$ 3,060,557	\$ 3,116,675

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Licenses Permits & Franchises	\$ 280,125	\$ 394,000	\$ 394,000	\$ 202,287	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000
Charges For Current Services	329	—	—	295	—	—
Miscellaneous Revenues	3,495	—	—	100	—	—
Other Financing Sources	—	—	—	501	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	(298,306)	43,238	283,524	(97,028)	—	—
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	2,572,271	2,716,903	2,716,903	2,716,903	2,710,557	2,766,675
Total	\$ 2,557,914	\$ 3,154,141	\$ 3,394,427	\$ 2,823,058	\$ 3,060,557	\$ 3,116,675





County of San Diego

Capital Program

Capital Program	433
.....	
Capital Appropriations	436
.....	
Operating Impact of Capital Program	439
.....	
Capital Program Summary	444
.....	
Capital Outlay Fund	447
.....	
County Health Complex Fund	464
.....	
Justice Facility Construction Fund	465
.....	
Library Projects Fund	467
.....	
Multiple Species Conservation Program Fund	470
.....	
Edgemoor Development Fund	472
.....	
Lease Payments	474
.....	
Outstanding Capital Projects by Group/Agency	478
.....	

Capital Program

Capital Program Introduction

To provide a formal groundwork for the Capital Program, the Board of Supervisors adopted Policy B-37, *Use of the Capital Program Funds*. This policy establishes the funding methods, administration and control, and allowable uses of the Capital Program Funds.

The Capital Program is composed of the following major funds:

- **County Health Complex Fund** contains budgeted amounts for capital projects related to the Rosecrans Health Complex and other health facilities other than the County's Edgemoor property (described below).
- **Justice Facility Construction Fund** contains budgeted amounts for capital projects related to the County's detention facilities, Sheriff's stations, crime laboratories and other criminal justice facilities.
- **Library Projects Fund** contains budgeted amounts for the acquisition and construction of County Library facilities.
- **Multiple Species Conservation Program Fund** was established during Fiscal Year 2010-11 and contains budgeted amounts for the improvement and acquisition of land related to the Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP). Prior to the establishment of this fund, acquisitions were reported in the Capital Outlay Fund. The MSCP seeks to preserve San Diego's natural areas, native plants and animals and refine the development process, thereby conserving the quality of life for current and future generations.
- **Capital Outlay Fund** encompasses land acquisitions and capital projects that do not fall into the previous four program categories. Examples include open space and parkland acquisition, excluding the MSCP, the development of these lands, and the purchase or construction of buildings for the delivery of County services.
- **Edgemoor Development Fund.** In 1979, the Board of Supervisors approved Board Policy F-38, *Edgemoor Property Development*, which provides guidelines for the use, development and disposition of the County's 326 acres of property located in the City of Santee, known as the Edgemoor property. The Edgemoor Development Fund was established pursuant to the policy and states that 100% of the revenues produced by this property from the lease and sale of land are to assist in the reconstruction of the Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility. As a fund established to account for



the financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities, it is included in the Capital Program. A portion of the cost of replacing the Edgemoor facility was funded by Certificates of Participation (COPs) executed and delivered in January 2005 and December 2006. The Edgemoor Development Fund provides funding for the principal and interest payments related to the COPs.

Capital Program Funds are used for:

- The acquisition and construction of new public improvements, including buildings and initial furnishings and equipment.
- Land and permanent on-site and off-site improvements necessary for the completion of a capital project.
- The replacement or reconstruction of permanent public improvements which will extend the useful life of a structure, including changes in the use of a facility.

The following restrictions apply and the following expenses are not to be funded from the Capital Program Funds:

- Expenditures which do not extend the useful life of a structure or will only bring the facility to a sound condition. These are considered maintenance expenses.
- Feasibility studies, facility master plans, or other analytical or research activities that do not relate directly to the implementation of a project.
- Furnishings or equipment not considered a permanent component of the facility.
- Roads, bridges, or other similar infrastructure projects that are provided for through special revenue funds such as the Road Fund.

The Board of Supervisors may appropriate funding from any legal source to the Capital Program Funds for present or future capital projects. The Board of Supervisors has jurisdiction over the acquisition, use and disposal of

County-owned real property and County-leased property under the authority of Government Code §23004. All proceeds from the sale of fixed assets (land and structures) are allocated to the Capital Program Funds unless otherwise specifically directed by the Board of Supervisors. Administrative policies and procedures have been established to provide appropriate controls on the scope of projects and expenditure of funds.

The Capital Improvements Planning Process is outlined in Board of Supervisors' Policy G-16, *Capital Facilities and Space Planning*. The process reflects the goals of the County's Five-Year Strategic Plan and emphasizes the role of the Department of General Services (DGS) as steward for the management and planning of the County's capital facilities. DGS coordinates the implementation of Policy G-16 by setting a schedule, designing a process and creating evaluation criteria for establishing the Capital Improvements Needs Assessment (CINA).

In accordance with Policy G-16, the CINA is prepared and presented annually to the Board of Supervisors to guide the development of both immediate and long-term capital projects for funding. The CINA includes a comprehensive list of all current and anticipated capital projects over a five-year period. Funded projects are given first priority, followed by partially funded projects and, finally, unfunded projects. Preparation of the CINA involves the following process:

- A "Call for Projects" begins in August when departments submit projects, including a description, estimated costs and level of available funding. This is an opportunity for departments to submit high priority capital projects for review and evaluation. Capital requests are defined per County Administrative Manual, Item 0050-01-06 *Capital, Space and Maintenance Requests*, as those projects which improve or enhance an existing facility or space within it. The definition includes projects that increase the value or extend the useful life of a structure, such as construction of walls or partitions, construction or change of public counter areas, installation of water tanks on County property, development of parkland, and construction of new transit centers.
- The Facilities Planning Board, which consists of the Assistant Chief Financial Officer/Auditor and Controller, the five Group Finance Directors and the Director of DGS, reviews and prioritizes the projects. In order to plan effectively for the County's overall capital needs

and to make efficient use of resources, capital projects are prioritized using specific criteria including but not limited to:

- Strategic Plan linkage
- Critical need: life, safety and emergency
- State/federal mandates: legal binding commitments
- Operating budget impacts: quantifiable reduced operating costs
- Maintenance budget impacts: quantifiable reduced maintenance costs
- Customer service benefits
- Quality of life
- The Facilities Planning Board makes a presentation and recommendations to the Group General Managers and Chief Financial Officer who then either concur with or modify the recommendations.
- The CINA is presented to the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) for final review and approval, and then is presented to the Board of Supervisors for its acceptance and referral to the CAO to determine project timing and the funding mechanisms to implement the plan.

Once funding is identified, projects are included in the two-year Operational Plan capital program, usually in the year they are to be initiated. In some instances, resources may be accumulated over time and the project is started only after all the funding has been identified. Each organizational Group is responsible for identifying funding sources for its projects. Any long-term financing obligations required for implementation of the CINA must first be approved by the Debt Advisory Committee and then by the Board of Supervisors, as required by Board of Supervisors Policy B-65, *Long-Term Financial Obligation Management Policy*.

The Board of Supervisors or the CAO also may recommend mid-year adjustments to the budget as circumstances warrant to meet emergent requirements or to benefit from unique development or purchase opportunities. A budget adjustment may be made if the project request meets at least one of the following criteria:

- Public or employee health/safety is threatened by existing or imminent conditions.
- The County will face financial harm (property damage, loss of revenue, litigation, etc.) if prompt action is not taken.
- The Board of Supervisors has approved a new program or program change which specifically includes additional space and funding for space-related costs.

Any appropriations remaining in the capital project at the end of the fiscal year automatically roll over into the next fiscal year along with any related encumbrances until the project is completed.

The tables beginning on page 474 provide information for the Capital Program Funds for Lease Payments¹, followed by a list of the County's current outstanding Capital Projects.

¹ Lease Payments are budgeted in the General Fund in Finance Other. In order to consolidate all Capital activity, the Lease Payments detail is displayed at the end of the Capital Program section for informational purposes.



Capital Appropriations

The Fiscal Years 2012-14 Adopted Operational Plan includes \$86.8 million in new appropriations over the next two years for various capital projects. The following section briefly describes the amount and purpose of each project.

Cedar and Kettner Development

Since the early 1980s, the County Board of Supervisors has considered the development of County-owned property, located at the intersection of Cedar Street and Kettner Boulevard in downtown San Diego (Cedar and Kettner), to provide parking to County employees as well as new residential, office and retail development to support the surrounding community. Cedar and Kettner encompasses approximately 52,500 square feet, located two blocks from the County Administration Center (CAC) and near the center of the Little Italy community.

The development of Cedar and Kettner is anticipated to occur over two phases. The first phase includes the construction of a parking structure, which will provide replacement parking for County employees located at the CAC, and as such has been approved in conjunction with the current ongoing CAC Waterfront Park Project. The CAC Waterfront Park Project will reduce most of the parking available at the CAC with an 11-acre park for informal and organized community use and is anticipated to reach completion in spring 2014 (more details are provided below). It is anticipated that the Cedar and Kettner parking structure will provide parking for the public and County employees who have business at the CAC.

Construction of the parking structure at Cedar and Kettner is anticipated to begin in early to mid-2013 and to be completed at the end of 2014. For Fiscal Year 2012-13, appropriations of \$35.4 million have been established to pay for the construction of the parking structure. These appropriations are anticipated to be supported by \$7.0 million to be funded with funds on hand, which have been received under a County tax sharing agreement with the City of San Diego, \$0.6 million of revenue to be received from paid public parking offered at the CAC and Cedar and Kettner locations during evenings and weekends, and \$27.8 million to be funded with proceeds from the sale of long-term obligations. The appropriations will provide additional funding to the \$0.7 million appropriated to date for a total project cost of approximately \$36.1 million. The tax sharing agreement with the City of San Diego that is related to the former Centre City Redevelopment Project Area is not impacted by the February 1, 2012 dissolution of redevelopment agencies within the State.

County Administration Center Waterfront Park

The County Administration Center (CAC) Waterfront Park will convert the surface parking lots on the north and south sides of the CAC into a public waterfront park, providing facilities for organized activities, such as weddings and community events, and recreational activities, such as walking and picnicking. The Waterfront Park project will also include a below-ground parking structure providing up to 250 parking spaces at the CAC. The below-ground parking structure is anticipated to be ready for use in fall 2013, prior to the completion of the project as a whole, which will be completed in spring 2014.

Through Fiscal Year 2011-12, funding of \$44.2 million has been provided. For Fiscal Year 2012-13, additional funding of \$0.9 million is included, based on anticipated revenue received from paid public parking during evenings and weekends at the CAC and the Cedar and Kettner locations.

East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility

The East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility project will add 400 beds to existing facilities at the East Mesa Detention Facility in order to respond to the growing number of inmates in local custody due to Public Safety Realignment and the needs of that population for services while in custody as recommended by the Community Correction Partnership. The implementation of Public Safety Realignment has increased the inmate population in County jails and increased the custody periods for certain inmates. To accommodate this increased need, the 400 beds of the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility project will increase the inmate capacity from approximately 562 to 962 at the East Mesa Detention Facility. The project will also include attendant improvements to the existing central plant, kitchen, and intake and administrative building at the East Mesa Detention Facility. Construction of the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility is anticipated to begin in winter 2012-13 and to be completed in spring 2014. For Fiscal Year 2012-13, the project is funded with \$27.6 million based on an operating transfer and advancement from the General Fund on behalf of the Local Community Corrections Fund, to be repaid from State sales tax receipts directed to the County Local Revenue Fund 2011, Community Corrections subaccount.

HHSA PSG CSG Office Relocation

The HHSA PSG CSG Office Relocation project is related to the County Operations Center (COC) and Annex Redevelopment projects, and was initially funded in Fiscal Year 2008-09 with \$56.0 million to pay for capital costs related to relocating departments as a result of the COC and Annex Redevelopment. To date, this project funding has mainly been used to help develop a new Health and Human Services Agency Family Resource Center in Kearny Mesa.

The scope of the project has since increased to include the acquisition and improvement of 9235 - 9295 Chesapeake Drive in the City of San Diego (Chesapeake Property), adjacent to the COC. In order to incorporate the Chesapeake Property and create a cohesive COC campus, additional improvements to the COC will be required as well. Additional project elements include constructing a facility for the Registrar of Voters, upgrading Fleet facilities, and improving tenant areas for County Mail Services, Sheriff Transportation and Probation Work Projects. For Fiscal Year 2012-13, an additional \$8.0 million is established based on an operating transfer from the General Fund. This amount, along with the transfer of \$18.5 million of appropriations from the COC and Annex Redevelopment projects (Phase 1A and Phase 1B), provides for a total of \$82.5 million, which will fund the expanded scope of the HHSA PSG CSG Office Relocation and the final buildout of the COC campus development.



Agua Caliente Park Water, Sewer and Electrical Line Replacement

Agua Caliente County Park is located in the Anza-Borrego Desert, an unincorporated area of the county, and is well-known for its therapeutic hot spring pools and unique desert environment. The park also offers camping, picnicking, hiking, play areas and accommodations for large group events within a beautiful natural setting. This project consists of renovating the current septic disposal system and upgrading the electrical system to better serve visitors to the park; the current septic and electrical systems are outdated. For Fiscal Year 2012-13, the project is funded with \$2.0 million of General Fund fund balance.



Multiple Species Conservation Program

The County of San Diego Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP), adopted by the Board of Supervisors in 1997, is an integral part of the County's program to conserve the region's natural environment and increase the amount of land available to the public for parks and open space. This important program contributes directly toward the County's strategic initiatives of sustainable environments and healthy families. The goals of the MSCP are to maintain and cherish the County's unique native habitats and species and promote regional economic viability by mitigating the impacts associated with the County's rich military, technological and tourism industries and streamlining the land use permitting process.

Since 1997, \$56.8 million from the General Fund has been spent on MSCP land acquisition, which leveraged \$88.8 million in federal, State and local grants, and was used to acquire more than 17,208 acres throughout the county. Funding in Fiscal Year 2012-13 of \$10.0 million is based on \$2.5 million of General Purpose Revenue and \$7.5 million of General Fund fund balance. In Fiscal Year 2013-14, an additional \$2.5 million of General Purpose Revenue allows for the continuation of this program.

Rainbow Park Improvements

Rainbow County Park is a 4.5-acre park located in the community of Rainbow in North San Diego County. Park facilities include multi-use sports fields, tennis and basketball courts, picnic areas, a playground and restrooms. Fiscal Year 2012-13 provides funding of \$0.1 million based on Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for improvements to the playground, including rubber safety surfacing, and additional shade trees for the picnic areas.

Mountain Empire (Campo) Community Center Playground Phase II

The Mountain Empire (Campo) Community Center Playground Phase II project includes various park improvements at the Mountain Empire Community Center, which is operated by Mountain Health & Community Services, Inc., San Diego County's primary rural health care provider. The Mountain Empire Community Center provides services including a senior nutrition program, exercise programs, and dance and Little League programs. This project will install a picnic pavilion and picnic tables, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) accessible walkways, exercise stations, and other improvements including park benches, barbecues, landscaping and irrigation, and trash receptacles. For Fiscal Year 2012-13, the project is funded with \$0.1 million of CDBG funds.

Spring Valley Park LED Lights and Landscaping

Spring Valley County Park provides the community of Spring Valley with a public open space and recreational facilities, including an exercise walkway, gazebo, tot lots, 26 picnic tables and four barbecues, a basketball court, and restroom. Fiscal Year 2012-13 provides funding of \$0.1 million based on CDBG funds for LED lights and landscaping, which will increase security and energy efficiency at the park.

Operating Impact of Capital Program

The County of San Diego also considers each capital project in terms of its potential impact on the operating budget. Typical areas of impact include additional staffing, one-time costs not budgeted in the Capital Program, ongoing operations and maintenance costs, and debt service payments related to any long-term financing of the capital project. The following major capital projects are in progress, and some are scheduled to be completed, during Fiscal Years 2012-14.



County Operations Center (COC) and Annex Redevelopment - Phase 1B

The COC and Annex Redevelopment - Phase 1B project (Phase 1B) was completed in September 2012, and provides two additional 150,000 square foot office buildings, a conference center with cafeteria, and improvements to existing COC buildings to accommodate departmental moves. This phase is entirely cash financed and has no associated annual debt service payments.

Phase 1B is the second of three stand-alone phases of the total COC and Annex Redevelopment project. With the completion of Phase 1B, the new COC campus will provide a total of four 150,000 square foot office buildings, a multi-level parking structure with a photovoltaic system, a central plant and a conference center with food services. The project aims to provide a single, cohesive campus for County employees who have been located across various locations including the COC, the Annex and various leased properties, as well as provide more parking and improved accessibility for County clients. Phase 1B will achieve annual lease

savings of approximately \$1.6 million as County employees move out of leased facilities and onto the COC campus. No additional staff years will be required.

Agua Caliente Park Water, Sewer and Electrical Line Replacement

The Agua Caliente Park Water, Sewer and Electrical Line replacement project is anticipated to be executed over the next five years, ultimately providing upgrades to the current utility systems, and new campsites and cabins with electrical hookups for the campground at the Agua Caliente County Park. The portion of the project for which \$2.0 million of funding for Fiscal Year 2012-13 includes replacing outdated sewer and electrical systems for the campground. The replacement of these sewer and electrical systems is currently in the planning and design phase, and is anticipated to be completed in spring 2013. There are no anticipated changes to the operations and maintenance costs already associated with the Agua Caliente Campground and no additional staff years will be required.



County Administration Center Waterfront Park

With the demolition of the Askew Building at the end of 2011, construction for the County Administration Center (CAC) Waterfront Park is under way and is anticipated to reach completion in spring 2014. The Waterfront Park will convert the parking lots on the north and south sides of the historic CAC into a public-use green space in downtown San Diego along the water. The Waterfront Park will provide facilities for organized activities, such as weddings, celebrations and other events, and recreational activities, such as walking and picnicking.

The current scope of the project includes construction of a park to meet the highest sustainability and water conservation goals and public underground parking for visitors with business at the CAC; the public underground parking structure is anticipated to open in fall 2013, before full completion of the entire Waterfront Park project. The total project cost is estimated to be \$44.2 million, of which approximately \$30.0 million of the Waterfront Park project is financed with long-term obligations of the County, resulting in \$2.1 million of annual debt service payments. The balance is financed with a combination of cash and accumulated funding from a tax-sharing agreement with the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego (Tax Sharing Agreement). The Waterfront Park is expected to increase annual operations and maintenance costs of the CAC and its grounds by approximately \$400,000 annually. The annual debt service payments and additional opera-

tions and maintenance costs are anticipated to ultimately be paid by revenues received under the Tax Sharing Agreement. While redevelopment agencies were dissolved on February 1, 2012, the County will continue to receive revenue pursuant to a tax sharing agreement. No additional staff years will be required.

Cedar and Kettner Development

The parking structure planned to be constructed on County property in the Little Italy neighborhood of the City of San Diego, at Cedar Street and Kettner Boulevard, will replace the parking spaces reduced by the CAC Waterfront Park project. The parking structure will provide up to 700 parking spaces to employees and visitors with business at the CAC, and is anticipated to be completed at the end of 2014. The total project cost is estimated to be \$36.1 million, of which approximately \$27.8 million is anticipated to be financed with long-term obligations of the County. The resulting annual debt service requirement will be determined during the pricing of the obligations. The balance is financed with a combination of cash and accumulated funding from the Tax Sharing Agreement with the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Diego. Ongoing operations and maintenance costs related to the parking structure are anticipated to be approximately \$250,000 annually. As with the Waterfront Park project, annual debt service payments and additional operations and maintenance costs are anticipated to be ultimately paid by revenues received under the Tax Sharing Agreement. No additional staff years will be required.



Women's Detention Facility

The San Diego County Women's Detention Facility (WDF) will serve as the primary booking and holding facility for women arrested in the county, and will replace the current facility located in Santee in East San Diego County. The replacement WDF will provide the necessary facilities to serve projected future inmate populations, along with delivery of programs to serve the counseling, training and education needs that are vital to reducing recidivism of female offenders.

The WDF project is designed in two phases. Phase I of the project is currently under way and is anticipated to reach completion in early-to-mid 2015. Phase I includes the construction of the new WDF and will provide 832 beds, a central utility plant, facilities for intake/release and transfer, food and medical services, administration, and programs. Inmates in the current facility are expected to be transferred to the replacement WDF in summer 2014. Demolition of the old buildings will commence following the transfer.

Facility design, additional functions and the direct supervision of the population will require approximately 138 additional staff at that time. This additional staff will include sworn and nonsworn positions, program services, and administrative support staff and will augment current WDF staff transferring to the new facility. Hiring and training activities supporting the staffing needs of this facility, including Detention and Law Enforcement Academies, will begin in Fiscal Year 2013-14. Phase II is forecasted to begin in summer 2014 and reach completion by early 2016 and will be initiated pending the availability of State of California funding awarded to the County under the provisions of Assembly Bill (AB) 900, *The Public Safety and Offender Rehabilitation Services Act of 2007*. The scope of Phase II includes the addition of 384 beds and the construction of additional program facilities; this will require the additional staffing noted above and will impact total operations and maintenance costs.

East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility

The East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility project will add 400 beds and support facilities to the East Mesa Detention Facility in order to respond to the growing number of inmates assigned to local custody due to AB 109, *Public Safety Realignment (2011)*, and focuses on the needs of that population for specific services as recommended by the Community Correction Partnership. Construction of the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility is anticipated to be completed in spring 2014. The preliminary estimate of operating and maintaining this new facility is \$14.6 million annually, with an additional requirement of 107.00 staff years. These costs are included in the Adopted and Approved Sheriff's Department Operational Plans and are supported by State sales tax receipts directed to the County Local Revenue Fund 2011, Community Corrections Subaccount.

Pine Valley Sheriff Substation

The Pine Valley Sheriff's Substation serves an area of over 400 square miles which includes the communities of Pine Valley, Guatay, Descanso, Mount Laguna, Boulevard, and Jacumba. The new Sheriff's Substation replaces an existing leased space with a building of approximately 4,500 square feet to support Sheriff staff and auxiliary functions, including meeting rooms and work space for partner law enforcement agencies. Construction of the new Substation is anticipated to be completed in spring 2014. Ongoing facility costs are estimated at approximately \$50,000 annually to cover operations and maintenance of the facility. Funding for the ongoing operation and maintenance costs of this project are included in the Fiscal Years 2012-14 Adopted Operational Plan. No additional staff years will be required.



Rancho San Diego Sheriff Station

The Sheriff's Department of the County of San Diego provides law enforcement services to 107 square miles of unincorporated southeast San Diego County out of a sheriff station located in the City of Lemon Grove. In addition to serving the approximately 103,000 residents of this unincorporated region, the facility also serves as the command station for the City of Lemon Grove. In an effort to better serve the unincorporated populations of Rancho San Diego, Spring Valley, Jamul and surrounding areas, the Rancho San Diego community has been selected as the location for a new Sheriff station. The cost of this new station, including related land acquisition costs, is estimated to total \$17.5 million, and has been funded through previous actions by the Board of Supervisors.

The Rancho San Diego Sheriff Station is planned to be a 26,000 square foot facility located on 4.5 acres, and will be able to accommodate 130 personnel. Construction is currently underway and is anticipated to be completed in fall 2013. The ongoing operational and maintenance costs, including utilities, are anticipated to be approximately \$350,000 annually. Initial staffing will include approximately 90 full-time County employees, who will relocate from the existing Lemon Grove Sheriff Station, as well as a large body of volunteer and reserve personnel. No additional staff years will be required.

Boulevard Fire Station

The San Diego County Fire Authority (SDCFA) Boulevard Fire Station will replace the existing facility currently housed in inadequate space that previously served as a Court facility and which is currently shared with the San Diego County Sheriff's Department. The new building will be approximately 8,500 square feet and meet the current and projected needs for station sleeping and kitchen facilities and

housing of fire apparatus for the community of Boulevard. Construction of the fire station is anticipated to be completed in spring 2014. Ongoing facility costs are estimated at approximately \$50,000 annually for operations and maintenance of the facility. Funding for the ongoing operation and maintenance costs of this project are included in the Fiscal Years 2012-14 Adopted Operational Plan. No additional staff years will be required



Capital Program Summary

Budget by Fund

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Capital Outlay Fund	\$ 98,491,217	\$ 37,277,000	\$ 262,201,355	\$ 108,689,121	\$ 46,702,282	\$ —
County Health Complex Fund	—	—	259,000	10,328	—	—
Justice Facility Construction Fund	9,045,993	70,000,000	230,037,300	18,409,828	27,600,000	—
Library Projects Fund	10,295,520	—	7,681,452	5,019,002	—	—
Multiple Species Conservation Fund	10,526,359	10,000,000	28,259,453	8,093,162	10,000,000	2,500,000
Edgemoor Development Fund	9,891,642	9,942,645	10,160,159	9,556,293	9,886,883	9,888,933
Total	\$ 138,250,730	\$ 127,219,645	\$ 538,598,720	\$ 149,777,735	\$ 94,189,165	\$ 12,388,933

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Services & Supplies	\$ 9,681,601	\$ 663,000	\$ 6,000,412	\$ 5,406,545	\$ 608,000	\$ 608,000
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	118,855,907	117,277,000	523,229,387	135,012,269	84,302,282	2,500,000
Capital Assets Equipment	435,400	—	89,276	89,276	—	—
Operating Transfers Out	9,277,823	9,279,645	9,279,645	9,269,645	9,278,883	9,280,933
Total	\$ 138,250,730	\$ 127,219,645	\$ 538,598,720	\$ 149,777,735	\$ 94,189,165	\$ 12,388,933

Budget by Categories of Revenues

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	\$ 296,767	\$ 321,012	\$ 321,012	\$ 273,509	\$ 7,123,197	\$ 5,624,304
Intergovernmental Revenues	7,023,844	10,000,037	12,780,797	21,481,495	11,625,968	4,264,629
Miscellaneous Revenues	450,504	—	5,695,914	409,250	—	—
Other Financing Sources	120,884,741	111,541,000	514,225,887	138,869,684	75,440,000	2,500,000
Use of Fund Balance	9,594,876	5,357,596	5,575,110	(11,256,203)	—	—
Total	\$ 138,250,730	\$ 127,219,645	\$ 538,598,720	\$ 149,777,735	\$ 94,189,165	\$ 12,388,933

Revenue Detail						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Interest on Deposits & Investments	\$ 56,535	\$ 77,130	\$ 77,130	\$ 38,998	\$ 84,371	\$ 138,693
Rents and Concessions	240,231	243,882	243,882	234,511	7,038,826	5,485,611
Aid from Redevelopment Agencies	—	5,139,000	5,139,000	—	7,000,000	—
State Aid Mental Health	—	—	259,000	10,328	—	—
Recreation Areas	181,376	—	349,430	(570)	—	—
Highways	—	—	—	1	—	—
State Construction - Other	—	—	52,576	—	—	—
State Coastal Protection Bonds Proposition 12	15,117	—	75,951	7,483	—	—
State Coastal Protection Bonds Proposition 40	1,617,995	—	82,082	20,077	—	—
State Coastal Protection Bonds Proposition 50	300,000	—	4,264,037	20,538,987	—	—
State Aid Other State	2,600,000	—	850,000	200,000	—	—
Federal Department of Interior 15.916	—	—	396,000	—	—	—
Federal Aid HUD CDBG 14.218	655,932	540,000	987,753	386,333	362,282	—
Fed Transportation and HUD Appropriation Act 14.251	2,781	—	—	—	—	—
Federal Other	—	4,264,037	262,500	262,500	4,263,686	4,264,629
Federal Other Federal Grant	643	—	5,467	(643)	—	—
Other Intergovernmental	1,150,000	—	—	—	—	—
Indian Gaming Grants	—	57,000	57,000	57,000	—	—
Federal ARRA - Energy, Efficiency & Conservation Block Grant	500,000	—	—	—	—	—
Miscellaneous Revenue Prior Year	—	—	—	—	—	—
Donations From Private Party	—	—	—	—	—	—
Third Party Recovery	—	—	368,670	—	—	—
Miscellaneous Revenue Other	—	—	—	1	—	—
Other Miscellaneous	450,504	—	5,142,074	409,250	—	—
Other Sales	—	—	185,170	—	—	—
Operating Transfer From General Fund	58,778,547	80,960,000	437,375,216	118,441,829	47,600,000	2,500,000
Operating Transfer From Road Fund	—	—	—	1	—	—
Operating Transfer From Parkland Dedication	160,035	—	749,768	196,922	—	—
Operating Transfer From Other / Special District	59,730	600,000	2,278,030	348,782	—	—

¹ Negative numbers represent accounting adjustments, such as prior period accounting corrections or a project not meeting the County of San Diego's capitalization threshold.

Capital Program Summary

Revenue Detail						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Operating Transfer From Library Fund	633,637	—	40,000	3,710	—	—
Operating Transfer From Asset Forfeiture Funds	—	—	3,124,000	—	—	—
Operating Transfer From Proposition 172 Fund	—	—	3,605,561	—	—	—
Reimbursement from SANCAL - Bond Proceeds	—	29,981,000	29,981,000	1,923,658	27,840,000	—
Reimbursement from SDRBA COC - General Fund	36,312,423	—	30,821,525	17,954,783	—	—
Reimbursement from SDRBA COC - Bond Proceeds	24,751,550	—	—	1	—	—
Proceeds from Solid Waste	—	—	6,250,786	—	—	—
Sale of Fixed Assets	188,820	—	—	—	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	9,594,876	5,357,596	5,575,110	(11,256,203)	—	—
Total	\$ 138,250,730	\$ 127,219,645	\$ 538,598,720	\$ 149,777,735	\$ 94,189,165	\$ 12,388,933

Capital Outlay Fund

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Services & Supplies	\$ 9,067,781	\$ —	\$ 5,119,897	\$ 5,119,897	\$ —	\$ —
Capital Assets Equipment	435,400	—	89,276	89,276	—	—
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	88,988,036	37,277,000	256,992,182	103,479,948	46,702,282	—
Total	\$ 98,491,217	\$ 37,277,000	\$ 262,201,355	\$ 108,689,121	\$ 46,702,282	\$ —

Capital Projects Detail

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Services & Supplies						
1011214 County Operations Center and Annex Phase 1A	\$ 9,067,781	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
1014125 County Operations Center and Annex Phase 1B	—	—	5,119,897	5,119,897	—	—
Total - Services & Supplies	\$ 9,067,781	\$ —	\$ 5,119,897	\$ 5,119,897	\$ —	\$ —
Capital Assets Equipment						
1011214 County Operations Center and Annex Phase 1A	\$ 435,400	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
1014125 County Operations Center and Annex Phase 1B	—	—	89,276	89,276	—	—
Total - Capital Assets Equipment	\$ 435,400	\$ —	\$ 89,276	\$ 89,276	\$ —	\$ —
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition						
1000011 Waste Site Land Acquisition (KA8950)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 6,250,786	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
1000019 Heritage Park Carousel (KN6019)	—	—	(95,519)	(95,519)	—	—
1000029 Otay Lakes Park Restoration (KN7022)	3,358	—	—	—	—	—
1000035 Sweetwater Regional Park Equestrian Center Phase I (KN2669)	—	—	(2,444)	(2,444)	—	—
1000036 San Luis Rey River Park Planning and Development (KN3432)	205,371	—	2,849,108	110,371	—	—

¹ Negative numbers represent accounting adjustments, such as prior period accounting corrections or a project not meeting the County of San Diego's capitalization threshold.

Capital Outlay Fund

Capital Projects Detail						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
1000040 Volcan Mountain West Acquisition (KK3421)	228	—	196,233	—	—	—
1000121 Trail Easement Acquisitions (KA2973)	643	—	64,540	—	—	—
1000138 Sweetwater Park State Route 125 Mitigation (KN3406)	—	—	52,576	—	—	—
1000207 Sweetwater Summit Campground and Local Park Improvements (KN3106)	2,378,408	—	325,851	247,548	—	—
1000227 Guajome Regional Park Community Sport Fields and Visitor Center (KN8015)	—	—	211,198	—	—	—
1000234 Spring Valley Gymnasium (KK0865)	16,249	—	—	—	—	—
1000235 Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility (KK0866)	12,142	—	(2,248)	(2,248)	—	—
1000246 Otay Valley Regional Park Phase II Acquisition (KA0550)	8,667	—	160,058	—	—	—
1000249 Escondido Creek Acquisition (KA0551)	—	—	150,422	—	—	—
1000285 San Elijo Lagoon Nature Center Expansion (KN4464)	5,405	—	165,253	10,874	—	—
1004981 Felicita Park Improvements (KN3414)	37,817	—	1,295,491	52,725	—	—
1005106 San Dieguito Recycled Water Retrofit Improvements (KN4481)	3,272	—	(29,758)	(29,758)	—	—
1005256 Lindo Lake Well (KN3407)	(1,126)	—	—	—	—	—
1005258 Lakeside Baseball Park (KN3412)	4,882	—	195,880	—	—	—
1005259 Guajome Park Playground/Restroom/Dock Improvements (KN3415)	—	—	6,614	—	—	—
1005262 Sweetwater Trails/ Bikeways Construction (KN3419)	1,142,149	—	19,722	11,408	—	—
1005335 Valley Park Acquisition (KA4478)	—	—	493,773	—	—	—
1006572 Spring Valley Community Center Expansion (KN5498)	270,186	—	(2)	(2)	—	—
1006608 Pine Valley Ball Field Improvements (KN5303)	12,535	—	343,004	—	—	—

¹ Negative numbers represent accounting adjustments, such as prior period accounting corrections or a project not meeting the County of San Diego's capitalization threshold.

Capital Projects Detail						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
1006952 Stowe Trail Acquisition (KA5321)	2,858	—	498,215	427	—	—
1007108 San Luis Rey River Park Acquisition (KA5325)	—	—	68,044	—	—	—
1007108 San Luis Rey River Park Acquisition (KA5325)	—	—	350,000	—	—	—
1008292 Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) Ball Field	527,454	—	—	—	—	—
1008954 East County Trails Acquisition	28,095	—	179,848	—	—	—
1009268 Otay Valley Regional Park Trail Improvements	938,916	—	930,188	93,578	—	—
1009269 Lindo Lake Boathouse Restoration	-	—	4,263	1,491	—	—
1009548 Lakeside Soccer Fields Acquisition	4,548	—	785,389	—	—	—
1010406 Hilton Head Park Phase II Improvements	185	—	—	—	—	—
1010407 Guajome Regional Park Restrooms	363,587	—	6,347	—	—	—
1010429 Heritage Park Victorian Home Improvements	2,421,761	—	—	—	—	—
1010431 Otay Valley Regional Park Staging Area	—	—	211,981	—	—	—
1010432 Otay Valley Regional Park Active Recreation Area	6,151	—	—	—	—	—
1010779 Campo Community Center Stormwater System Improvement	—	—	32,635	—	—	—
1010973 Tijuana River Valley Sports Complex Concept Plan	28,463	—	280,788	750	—	—
1011214 County Operations Center and Annex Phase 1A	51,560,792	—	19,277,119	8,954,783	—	—
1014124 Energy Upgrades to Park Facilities Sweetwater Regional	4,827	—	1,495,173	384,824	—	—
1014125 County Operations Center and Annex Phase 1B	19,836,253	—	87,954,574	58,978,354	—	—
1014126 Animal Services Replacement Cat Housing Facility	204,905	—	255,095	200,717	—	—
1014127 Animal Services Multi-Purpose Barn	141,058	—	58,942	(25,253)	—	—

¹ Negative numbers represent accounting adjustments, such as prior period accounting corrections or a project not meeting the County of San Diego's capitalization threshold.

Capital Projects Detail						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
1014129 Live Oak Amphitheater (1013902)	11,108	—	480,892	47,514	—	—
1014130 Collier Park Soccer Arena (1013817)	408,855	—	211,393	211,393	—	—
1014131 San Pasqual (SPA) Admin Bldg (1013689)	—	—	900,600	—	—	—
1014133 I-122 Loss Allotment - Supervisorial District 2 Acquisition (1011758)	—	—	240,600	—	—	—
1014134 Tijuana River Valley Trail Construction (1011723)	—	—	323,999	220,217	—	—
1014137 Brodiaea Restoration Enhancement and Protection	—	—	50,000	—	—	—
1014139 Simon Preserve/Trail Construction (KN4452)	—	—	75,000	—	—	—
1014142 Santa Ysabel Nature Center (1013906)	67,478	—	34,661	—	—	—
1014146 San Dieguito Park Improvements	59,730	—	478,030	374,952	—	—
1014252 Guajome Park Photovoltaic System (1013257)	67,721	—	1,012,473	40,752	—	—
1014253 Sweetwater Lane Synthetic Turf Improvement (1013258)	62	600,000	4,636,432	534,401	—	—
1014254 Bancroft Rock House Restoration and Improvements (1013259)	216,746	—	—	—	—	—
1014255 San Pasqual Academy Sports Field (1013116)	—	—	8,700	—	—	—
1014256 Oak Country II Trail (1013683)	429,238	—	32,630	18,782	—	—
1014257 El Monte Trail and Staging Area (1013682)	175,925	—	—	—	—	—
1014259 Live Oak Park Recreational Area (1013577)	188,734	—	7,687	7,687	—	—
1014260 Mountain Empire Community Playground (1013750)	286,771	—	128,757	—	—	—
1014261 MSCP Bonsall Land Project (1013479)	200	—	—	—	—	—
1014351 Agua Caliente Water and Sewer Electrical Line Replacement	157,882	—	1,117,118	146,118	2,000,000	—
1014352 Swiss Park Trail Connection & Improvements	66,246	—	333,755	93,975	—	—

Capital Projects Detail						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
1014353 Fallbrook Community Center Photovoltaic Improvements	264,815	—	—	—	—	—
1014666 San Pasqual Academy Water Well (1012959)	341,211	—	108,662	—	—	—
1014147 Tijuana River Valley Equestrian Center	—	—	117,000	41,355	—	—
1014733 Lincoln Acres Park Shade Structures Improvements	2,976	—	177,024	86,503	—	—
1014734 Rainbow Park Improvements	42,747	—	7,253	7,253	125,000	—
1014735 Collier Park Parking Lot Improvements	21,430	—	124,232	124,232	—	—
1014849 Sweetwater Regional Park Equestrian Trail Phase 3	—	—	881,426	—	—	—
1015093 Cedar and Kettner Development	270,267	—	389,733	376,509	35,440,000	—
1015131 HHSA PSG CSG Office Relocations (1012285)	36,170	—	65,553,726	24,690,891	8,000,000	—
1015140 San Pasqual Academy Residences (1012286)	4,385,838	—	4,260,320	4,241,049	—	—
1015141 Oakoasis Park Improvement (1012152)	226,965	57,000	1,015,527	465,607	—	—
1015143 Guajome Regional Park Improvements (1012922)	30,691	—	—	(32,447)	—	—
1015170 County Reserve Fire Recovery	—	—	311,327	—	—	—
1015171 Lindo Lake Tennis Courts Renovation (1012953)	2,501	—	—	—	—	—
1015172 Jess Martin Exercise Path and Park Improvements (1013102)	95,846	—	36,423	36,423	—	—
1015178 Lakeside Community Center Photovoltaic System (1013103)	390,117	—	56,878	33,946	—	—
1015179 Valle de Oro Park Improvements (1013224)	123	—	—	—	—	—
1015180 Guajome Regional Park Volunteer Vehicle Pad	—	—	60,000	—	—	—
1015181 Lamar Park Volunteer Vehicle Pad	—	—	100,000	88,646	—	—
1015185 Heritage County Park Improvements (1013360)	38,394	—	19,140	931	—	—

¹ Negative numbers represent accounting adjustments, such as prior period accounting corrections or a project not meeting the County of San Diego's capitalization threshold.

Capital Projects Detail						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
1015188 Tijuana River Valley Trails Habitat Restoration (1011445)	18,977	—	104,067	12,818	—	—
1015190 Los Penasquitos Adobe/Barn ADA (1011448)	425	—	—	—	—	—
1015191 Ranger Housing Improvements (1011449)	1,322,178	—	336,555	94,980	—	—
1015192 Fire Erosion Control Hellhole Canyon (1011440)	—	—	936	936	—	—
1015193 Fire Recovery Erosion Control William Heise Park (1011442)	6,616	—	—	—	—	—
1015194 Mt Olympus Preserve Improvements (1011952)	165	—	338,216	—	—	—
1015196 Rancho Guajome Adobe Park Volunteer Pad	—	—	60,000	—	—	—
1015197 San Dieguito Park Shade Structures	2,732	—	144,269	131,627	—	—
1015204 County Administration Center Waterfront Park (KK3421)	470,563	35,120,000	41,163,142	1,923,658	900,000	—
1015515 Agua Caliente Park Cabins	—	500,000	525,917	14,693	—	—
1015516 Sweetwater Lane Park Exercise Path	—	250,000	250,000	29,622	—	—
1015517 Lincoln Acres Park Pavilion and Playground	—	110,000	110,000	—	—	—
1015518 Goodland Acres Park Improvements	—	100,000	100,000	20,977	—	—
1015519 Don Dussault Park Improvements	—	80,000	80,000	27,812	—	—
1015520 Jess Martin Ballfield Improvements	—	—	62,500	45,824	—	—
1015559 Long-Term Animal Care Facility	—	460,000	460,000	21,166	—	—
1016213 Sweetwater Parking Lot Improvement	—	—	300,000	—	—	—
1016224 Boulevard Fire Land Acquisition	—	—	277,450	211,040	—	—
1016576 4S Ranch Sports Park Artificial Turf	—	—	600,000	133,009	—	—
1016665 Potrero Park Fencing	—	—	77,000	37,415	—	—
1016742 Otay Valley Regional Park Palm Avenue Multi-Use Trial Segment	—	—	96,594	25,056	—	—
1016991 Boulevard Fire Station	—	—	3,500,000	—	—	—

Capital Projects Detail						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
1016992 Mountain Empire (Campo) Community Center Playground Phase II	—	—	—	—	118,640	—
1016993 Spring Valley Park LED Lights and Landscaping	—	—	—	—	118,642	—
1017286 Hollister Pond Improvements	—	—	75,000	—	—	—
Multiple Species Conservation Program (KA9500) ***	(1,290,443)	—	—	—	—	—
Total - Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	\$ 88,988,036	\$ 37,277,000	\$ 256,992,182	\$ 103,479,948	\$ 46,702,282	\$ —
Total Capital Outlay Fund	\$ 98,491,217	\$ 37,277,000	\$ 262,201,355	\$ 108,689,121	\$ 46,702,282	\$ —

*** NOTE: The Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) Fund was established during Fiscal Year 2010-11. Prior to the establishment of this fund, the MSCP was budgeted in the Capital Outlay Fund.

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Operating Transfer From General Fund						
1000019 Heritage Park Carousel (KN6019)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (95,519)	\$ (95,519)	\$ —	\$ —
1000029 Otay Lakes Park Restoration (KN7022)	3,358	—	—	—	—	—
1000035 Sweetwater Regional Park Equestrian Center Phase I (KN2669)	—	—	(2,444)	(2,444)	—	—
1000036 San Luis Rey River Park Planning and Development (KN3432)	205,371	—	2,849,108	110,371	—	—
1000040 Volcan Mountain West Acquisition (KK3421)	228	—	196,233	—	—	—
1000207 Sweetwater Summit Campground and Local Park Improvements (KN3106) *	1,916,101	—	285,425	205,917	—	—
1000234 Spring Valley Gymnasium (KK0865)	16,249	—	—	—	—	—
1000235 Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility (KK0866)	12,142	—	(2,248)	(2,248)	—	—

* Multiple funding sources

¹ Negative numbers represent accounting adjustments, such as prior period accounting corrections or a project not meeting the County of San Diego's capitalization threshold.

Capital Outlay Fund

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
1000246 Otay Valley Regional Park Phase II Acquisition (KA0550) *	8,667	—	127,220	—	—	—
1000249 Escondido Creek Acquisition (KA0551) *	—	—	141,766	—	—	—
1000285 San Elijo Lagoon Nature Center Expansion (KN4464) *	2,624	—	165,254	10,874	—	—
1004981 Felicita Park Improvements (KN3414) *	32,932	—	1,292,919	52,725	—	—
1005106 San Dieguito Recycled Water Retrofit Improvements (KN4481)	3,272	—	(29,758)	(29,758)	—	—
1005256 Lindo Lake Well (KN3407)	(1,126)	—	—	—	—	—
1005258 Lakeside Baseball Park (KN3412)	4,882	—	195,880	—	—	—
1005259 Guajome Park Playground/Restroom/Dock Improvements (KN3415) *	—	—	3,076	—	—	—
1005262 Sweetwater Trails/Bikeways Construction (KN3419)	1,142,149	—	19,722	11,408	—	—
1005335 Valley Park Acquisition (KA4478)	—	—	493,773	—	—	—
1006572 Spring Valley Community Center Expansion (KN5498) *	—	—	(99,645)	(99,645)	—	—
1006608 Pine Valley Ball Field Improvements (KN5303)	12,535	—	343,004	—	—	—
1006952 Stowe Trail Acquisition (KA5321)	2,858	—	498,215	427	—	—
1007108 San Luis Rey River Park Acquisition (KA5325) *	—	—	68,044	—	—	—
1008292 Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) Ball Field	527,454	—	—	—	—	—
1008954 East County Trails Acquisition	28,095	—	179,848	—	—	—
1009268 Otay Valley Regional Park Trail Improvements *	223,621	—	930,188	214,775	—	—
1009269 Lindo Lake Boathouse Restoration *	—	—	2,959	2,959	—	—
1009548 Lakeside Soccer Fields Acquisition	4,548	—	785,389	—	—	—

* Multiple funding sources

¹ Negative numbers represent accounting adjustments, such as prior period accounting corrections or a project not meeting the County of San Diego's capitalization threshold.

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
1010406 Hilton Head Park Phase II Improvements	185	—	—	—	—	—
1010407 Guajome Regional Park Restrooms *	25,653	—	6,347	—	—	—
1010429 Heritage Park Victorian Home Improvements	2,421,761	—	—	—	—	—
1010431 Otoy Valley Regional Park Staging Area	—	—	211,981	—	—	—
1010432 Otoy Valley Regional Park Active Recreation Area	6,151	—	—	—	—	—
1010973 Tijuana River Valley Sports Complex Concept Plan	28,463	—	280,788	750	—	—
1011214 County Operations Center and Annex Phase 1A *	—	—	9,155,594	—	—	—
1014124 Energy Upgrades to Park Facilities Sweetwater Regional	4,827	—	1,495,173	384,824	—	—
1014125 County Operations Center and Annex Phase 1B *	19,836,253	—	84,163,747	55,187,527	—	—
1014126 Animal Services Replacement Cat Housing Facility	204,905	—	255,095	200,717	—	—
1014127 Animal Services Multi-Purpose Barn	141,058	—	58,942	(25,253)	—	—
1014129 Live Oak Amphitheater (1013902) *	11,108	—	80,892	47,514	—	—
1014130 Collier Park Soccer Arena (1013817)	408,855	—	211,393	211,393	—	—
1014131 San Pasqual (SPA) Admin Bldg (1013689)	—	—	900,600	—	—	—
1014134 Tijuana River Valley Trail Construction (1011723)	—	—	323,999	220,217	—	—
1014142 Santa Ysabel Nature Center (1013906)	67,478	—	34,661	—	—	—
1014147 Tijuana River Valley Equestrian Center	—	—	117,000	41,355	—	—
1014252 Guajome Park Photovoltaic System (1013257)	67,721	—	1,012,473	40,752	—	—
1014253 Sweetwater Lane Synthetic Turf Improvement (1013258) *	62	—	3,136,432	496,659	—	—

* Multiple funding sources

¹ Negative numbers represent accounting adjustments, such as prior period accounting corrections or a project not meeting the County of San Diego's capitalization threshold.

Capital Outlay Fund

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
1014254 Bancroft Rock House Restoration and Improvements (1013259) *	35,370	—	570	570	—	—
1014255 San Pasqual Academy Sports Field (1013116)	—	—	8,700	—	—	—
1014256 Oak Country II Trail (1013683) *	35,408	—	15,970	9,831	—	—
1014257 El Monte Trail and Staging Area (1013682) *	36,346	—	—	—	—	—
1014260 Mountain Empire Community Playground (1013750) *	27,245	—	90,188	—	—	—
1014261 MSCP Bonsall Land Project (1013479)	200	—	—	—	—	—
1014351 Agua Caliente Water and Sewer Electrical Line Replacement	157,882	—	1,117,118	146,118	2,000,000	—
1014352 Swiss Park Trail Connection & Improvements	66,246	—	333,755	93,975	—	—
1014666 San Pasqual Academy Water Well (1012959)	341,211	—	108,662	—	—	—
1014849 Sweetwater Regional Park Equestrian Trail Phase 3 *	—	—	35,426	—	—	—
1015093 Cedar and Kettner Development *	270,267	—	389,733	376,509	—	—
1015131 HHSa PSG CSG Office Relocations (1012285) *	36,170	—	53,807,691	24,690,891	8,000,000	—
1015140 San Pasqual Academy Residences (1012286)	4,385,838	—	4,260,320	4,241,049	—	—
1015141 Oakoasis Park Improvement (1012152) *	—	—	30,913	—	—	—
1015143 Guajome Regional Park Improvements (1012922)	30,691	—	—	(32,447)	—	—
1015170 County Reserve Fire Recovery *	—	—	170,000	—	—	—
1015178 Lakeside Community Center Photovoltaic System (1013103) *	154,932	—	56,878	33,946	—	—
1015180 Guajome Regional Park Volunteer Vehicle Pad	—	—	60,000	—	—	—
1015181 Lamar Park Volunteer Vehicle Pad	—	—	100,000	88,646	—	—

* Multiple funding sources

¹ Negative numbers represent accounting adjustments, such as prior period accounting corrections or a project not meeting the County of San Diego's capitalization threshold.

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
1015185 Heritage County Park Improvements (1013360)	38,394	—	19,140	931	—	—
1015188 Tijuana River Valley Trails Habitat Restoration (1011445)	18,977	—	104,067	12,818	—	—
1015190 Los Penasquitos Adobe/Barn ADA (1011448)	425	—	—	—	—	—
1015191 Ranger Housing Improvements (1011449)	1,322,178	—	336,555	94,980	—	—
1015192 Fire Erosion Control Hellhole Canyon (1011440)	—	—	936	936	—	—
1015193 Fire Recovery Erosion Control William Heise Park (1011442)	6,616	—	—	—	—	—
1015194 Mt Olympus Preserve Improvements (1011952)	165	—	338,216	—	—	—
1015196 Rancho Guajome Adobe Park Volunteer Pad	—	—	60,000	—	—	—
1015197 San Dieguito Park Shade Structures	2,732	—	144,269	131,627	—	—
1015204 County Administration Center Waterfront Park (KK3421) *	470,563	—	6,043,142	—	—	—
1015515 Agua Caliente Park Cabins	—	500,000	525,917	14,693	—	—
1015559 Long-Term Animal Care Facility	—	460,000	460,000	21,166	—	—
1016213 Sweetwater Parking Lot Improvement	—	—	300,000	—	—	—
1016224 Boulevard Fire Land Acquisition	—	—	277,450	211,040	—	—
1016665 Potrero Park Fencing	—	—	77,000	37,415	—	—
1016742 Otay Valley Regional Park Palm Avenue Multi-Use Trial Segment	—	—	96,594	25,056	—	—
1017286 Hollister Pond Improvements	—	—	75,000	—	—	—
Multiple Species Conservation Program (KA9500) ***	(1,290,443)	—	—	—	—	—
Total - Operating Transfer From General Fund	\$ 33,517,853	\$ 960,000	\$ 179,237,734	\$ 87,386,046	\$ 10,000,000	\$ —
*** NOTE: The Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) Fund was established during Fiscal Year 2010-11. Prior to the establishment of this fund, the MSCP was budgeted in the Capital Outlay Fund.						
* Multiple funding sources						
¹ Negative numbers represent accounting adjustments, such as prior period accounting corrections or a project not meeting the County of San Diego's capitalization threshold.						

Capital Outlay Fund

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Recreation Areas						
1007108 San Luis Rey River Park Acquisition (KA5325) *	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 350,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
1014254 Bancroft Rock House Restoration and Improvements (1013259) *	181,376	—	(570)	(570)	—	—
Total - Recreation Areas	\$ 181,376	\$ —	\$ 349,430	\$ (570)	\$ —	\$ —
State Construction - Other						
1000138 Sweetwater Park State Route 125 Mitigation (KN3406)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 52,576	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total - State Construction - Other	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 52,576	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
State Proposition 12						
1000207 Sweetwater Summit Campground and Local Park Improvements (KN3106) *	\$ 15,117	\$ —	\$ 40,427	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
1000285 San Elijo Lagoon Nature Center Expansion (KN4464) *	—	—	17,560	—	—	—
1009268 Otay Valley Regional Park Trail Improvements *	—	—	—	(1)	—	—
1009269 Lindo Lake Boathouse Restoration *	—	—	1,304	(1,468)	—	—
1014256 Oak Country II Trail (1013683) *	—	—	16,660	8,951	—	—
Total - State Proposition 12	\$ 15,117	\$ —	\$ 75,951	\$ 7,483	\$ —	\$ —
State Proposition 40						
1000207 Sweetwater Summit Campground and Local Park Improvements (KN3106) *	\$ 258,370	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 41,630	\$ —	\$ —
1000285 San Elijo Lagoon Nature Center Expansion (KN4464) *	—	—	(17,560)	—	—	—
1006572 Spring Valley Community Center Expansion (KN5498) *	72,987	—	99,643	99,643	—	—
1009268 Otay Valley Regional Park Trail Improvements *	415,295	—	—	(121,195)	—	—
1010407 Guajome Regional Park Restrooms *	337,934	—	—	—	—	—
* Multiple funding sources						
¹ Negative numbers represent accounting adjustments, such as prior period accounting corrections or a project not meeting the County of San Diego's capitalization threshold.						

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
1014256 Oak Country II Trail (1013683) *	393,830	—	—	—	—	—
1014257 El Monte Trail and Staging Area (1013682) *	139,579	—	—	—	—	—
Total - State Proposition 40	\$ 1,617,995	\$ —	\$ 82,082	\$ 20,077	\$ —	\$ —
State Aid Other State						
1014129 Live Oak Amphitheater (1013902) *	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 200,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
1014849 Sweetwater Regional Park Equestrian Trail Phase 3 *	—	—	450,000	—	—	—
Total - State Aid Other State	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 650,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Federal Department of Interior 15.916						
1014849 Sweetwater Regional Park Equestrian Trail Phase 3 *	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 396,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Federal Department of Interior 15.916	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 396,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
State Proposition 50						
1009268 Otay Valley Regional Park Trail Improvements *	\$ 300,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total - State Proposition 50	\$ 300,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Community Development Block Grants						
1006572 Spring Valley Community Center Expansion (KN5498) *	\$ 197,199	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
1010779 Campo Community Center Stormwater System Improvement	—	—	32,635	—	—	—
1014259 Live Oak Park Recreational Area (1013577)	188,734	—	7,687	7,687	—	—
1014260 Mountain Empire Community Center Playground (1013750) *	107,000	—	—	—	—	—
1014733 Lincoln Acres Park Shade Structures Improvements	2,976	—	177,024	86,503	—	—
1014734 Rainbow Park Improvements	42,747	—	7,253	7,253	125,000	—
* Multiple funding sources						

Capital Outlay Fund

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
1014735 Collier Park Parking Lot Improvements	21,430	—	124,232	124,232	—	—
1015172 Jess Martin Exercise Path and Park Improvements (1013102)	95,846	—	36,423	36,423	—	—
1015516 Sweetwater Lane Park Exercise Path	—	250,000	250,000	29,622	—	—
1015517 Lincoln Acres Park Pavilion and Playground	—	110,000	110,000	—	—	—
1015518 Goodland Acres Park Improvements	—	100,000	100,000	20,977	—	—
1015519 Don Dussault Park Improvements	—	80,000	80,000	27,812	—	—
1015520 Jess Martin Ballfield Improvements	—	—	62,500	45,824	—	—
1016992 Mountain Empire (Campo) Community Center Playground Phase II	—	—	—	—	118,640	—
1016993 Spring Valley Park LED Lights and Landscaping	—	—	—	—	118,642	—
Total - Community Development Block Grants	\$ 655,932	\$ 540,000	\$ 987,753	\$ 386,333	\$ 362,282	\$ —
Fed Transportation and HUD Appropriation Act						
1000285 San Elijo Lagoon Nature Center Expansion (KN4464) *	\$ 2,781	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Fed Transportation and HUD Appropriation Act	\$ 2,781	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Federal Other Federal Grants						
1000121 Trail Easement Acquisitions (KA2973) *	\$ 643	\$ —	\$ (643)	\$ (643)	\$ —	\$ —
1004981 Felicita Park Improvements (KN3414) *	—	—	2,572	—	—	—
1005259 Guajome Park Playground/Restroom/Dock Improvements (KN3415) *	—	—	3,539	—	—	—
Total - Federal Other Federal Grants	\$ 643	\$ —	\$ 5,467	\$ (643)	\$ —	\$ —
Indian Gaming Grant						
1015141 Oaokasis Park Improvements (1012152) *	\$ —	\$ 57,000	\$ 57,000	\$ 57,000	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Indian Gaming Grant	\$ —	\$ 57,000	\$ 57,000	\$ 57,000	\$ —	\$ —

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Federal ARRA - Energy, Efficiency & Conservation Block Grant						
1014353 Fallbrook Community Center Photovoltaic Improvements	\$ 264,815	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
1015178 Lakeside Community Center Photovoltaic System (1013103) *	235,185	—	—	—	—	—
Total - Federal ARRA - Energy, Efficiency & Conservation Block Grant	\$ 500,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Miscellaneous Revenue						
1000121 Trail Easement Acquisitions (KA2973) *	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 65,183	\$ 643	\$ —	\$ —
1000246 Otay Valley Regional Park Phase II Acquisition (KA0550) *	—	—	32,838	—	—	—
1000249 Escondido Creek Acquisition (KA0551) *	—	—	8,656	—	—	—
1015131 HHS PSG CSG Office Relocations (1012285) *	—	—	46,035	—	—	—
1014133 I-122 Loss Allotment - Supervisorial District 2 Acquisition (1011758)	—	—	240,600	—	—	—
1014137 Brodiaea Restoration Enhancement and Protection	—	—	50,000	—	—	—
1014139 Simon Preserve/Trail Construction (KN4452)	—	—	75,000	—	—	—
1015141 Oakoasis Park Improvements (1012152) *	226,965	—	927,614	408,607	—	—
1015170 County Reserve Fire Recovery (1012951) *	—	—	141,327	—	—	—
1016991 Boulevard Fire Station	—	—	3,500,000	—	—	—
Total - Miscellaneous Revenue	\$ 226,965	\$ —	\$ 5,087,252	\$ 409,250	\$ —	\$ —
Parkland Dedication Fund						
1000227 Guajome Regional Park Community Sport Fields and Visitor Center (KN8015)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 211,198	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
* Multiple funding sources						
¹ Negative numbers represent accounting adjustments, such as prior period accounting corrections or a project not meeting the County of San Diego's capitalization threshold.						

Capital Outlay Fund

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
1004981 Felicita Park Improvements (KN3414) *	4,885	—	—	—	—	—
1014129 Live Oak Amphitheater (1013902) *	—	—	200,000	—	—	—
1014146 San Dieguito Park Improvements *	—	—	300,000	196,922	—	—
1014260 Mountain Empire (Campo) Community Center Playground (1013750) *	152,526	—	38,569	—	—	—
1015171 Lindo Lake Tennis Courts Renovation (1012953)	2,501	—	—	—	—	—
1015179 Valle de Oro Park Improvements (1013224)	123	—	—	—	—	—
Total - Parkland Dedication Fund	\$ 160,035	\$ —	\$ 749,768	\$ 196,922	\$ —	\$ —
Operating Transfer From Other / Special District						
1014146 San Dieguito Park Improvements *	\$ 59,730	\$ —	\$ 178,030	\$ 178,030	\$ —	\$ —
1014253 Sweetwater Lane Synthetic Turf Improvement (1013258) *	—	600,000	1,500,000	37,742	—	—
1016576 4S Ranch Sports Park Artificial Turf	—	—	600,000	133,009	—	—
Total - Operating Transfer From Other / Special District	\$ 59,730	\$ 600,000	\$ 2,278,030	\$ 348,782	\$ —	\$ —
Aid from Redevelopment Agencies						
1015093 Cedar and Kettner Development *	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 7,000,000	\$ —
1015204 County Administration Center Waterfront Park (KK3421) *	—	5,139,000	5,139,000	—	—	—
Total - Aid from Redevelopment Agencies	\$ —	\$ 5,139,000	\$ 5,139,000	\$ —	\$ 7,000,000	\$ —
Reimbursement from SANCAL - Bond Proceeds						
1015093 Cedar and Kettner Development *	—	—	—	—	27,840,000	—
1015204 County Administration Center Waterfront Park (KK3421) *	—	29,981,000	29,981,000	1,923,658	—	—
* Multiple funding sources						

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals ¹	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Total - Reimbursement from SANCAL - Bond Proceeds	\$ —	\$ 29,981,000	\$ 29,981,000	\$ 1,923,658	\$ 27,840,000	\$ —
1011214 County Operations Center and Annex Phase 1A *	\$ 36,312,423	\$ —	\$ 10,121,525	\$ 8,954,783	\$ —	\$ —
1014125 County Operations Center and Annex Phase 1B *	—	—	9,000,000	9,000,000	—	—
1015131 HHSa PSG CSG Office Relocations (1012285) *	—	—	11,700,000	—	—	—
Total - Reimbursement from SDRBA COC - General Fund	\$ 36,312,423	\$ —	\$ 30,821,525	\$ 17,954,783	\$ —	\$ —
Reimbursement from SDRBA COC - Bond Proceeds						
1011214 County Operations Center and Annex Phase 1A *	\$ 24,751,550	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Reimbursement from SDRBA COC - Bond Proceeds	\$ 24,751,550	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Proceeds from Solid Waste						
1000011 Waste Site Land Acquisition (KA8950)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 6,250,786	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Proceeds from Solid Waste	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 6,250,786	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Rents and Concessions						
1015093 Cedar and Kettner Development *	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 600,000	\$ —
1015204 County Administration Center Waterfront Park (KK3421) *	—	—	—	—	900,000	—
Total - Rents and Concessions	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,500,000	\$ —
Sale of Fixed Assets						
1000207 Sweetwater Summit Campground and Local Park Improvements (KN3106) *	\$ 188,820	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Sale of Fixed Assets	\$ 188,820	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total Capital Outlay Funding Sources	\$ 98,491,217	\$ 37,277,000	\$ 262,201,355	\$ 108,689,121	\$ 46,702,282	\$ —
* Multiple funding sources						

¹ Negative numbers represent accounting adjustments, such as prior period accounting corrections or a project not meeting the County of San Diego's capitalization threshold.



County Health Complex Fund

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 259,000	\$ 10,328	\$ —	\$ —
Total	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 259,000	\$ 10,328	\$ —	\$ —

Capital Project Detail

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition						
Juvenile Hall (2011) Mental Health Services Office Building	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 259,000	\$ 10,328	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 259,000	\$ 10,328	\$ —	\$ —

Funding Source

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
State Aid Mental Health						
Juvenile Hall (2011) Mental Health Services Office Building	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 259,000	\$ 10,328	\$ —	\$ —
Total - State Aid Mental Health	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 259,000	\$ 10,328	\$ —	\$ —
Total County Health Complex Funding Sources	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 259,000	\$ 10,328	\$ —	\$ —

Justice Facility Construction Fund

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	\$ 9,045,993	\$ 70,000,000	\$ 230,037,300	\$ 18,409,828	\$ 27,600,000	\$ —
Total	\$ 9,045,993	\$ 70,000,000	\$ 230,037,300	\$ 18,409,828	\$ 27,600,000	\$ —

Capital Projects Detail

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition						
1006566 Medical Examiner and Forensic Center (KK5497)	\$ 6,145,008	\$ —	\$ 1,919,690	\$ 950,112	\$ —	\$ —
1014135 Sheriff's Defensive Tactics Buildings (1012261)	—	—	465,000	—	—	—
1014136 Ramona Station Land Acquisition (1011477)	—	—	1,000,000	—	—	—
1014144 Juvenile Probation Complex Parking Lot Expansion	1,239,501	—	—	—	—	—
1014440 Regional Communication System Harmony Hill Site Acquisition	183,538	—	19,962	—	—	—
1015195 Women's Detention Facility (1000159)	1,307,445	70,000,000	198,712,906	14,647,047	—	—
1015198 Pine Valley Substation (1000161)	1,374	—	3,207,807	167,482	—	—
1015199 Rancho San Diego Sheriff Station (1006584)	169,127	—	14,247,937	2,645,187	—	—
1016416 East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehab Facility	—	—	10,464,000	—	27,600,000	—
Total - Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	\$ 9,045,993	\$ 70,000,000	\$ 230,037,300	\$ 18,409,828	\$ 27,600,000	\$ —

Funding Source

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Miscellaneous Revenue						
1014440 Regional Communication System Harmony Hill Site Acquisition	\$ 183,538	\$ —	\$ 19,962	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

Justice Facility Construction Fund

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Total - Miscellaneous Revenue	183,538	\$ —	\$ 19,962	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Operating Transfer from General Fund						
1006566 Medical Examiner and Forensic Center (KK5497)	\$ 6,145,008	\$ —	\$ 1,919,690	\$ 950,112	\$ —	\$ —
1014135 Sheriff's Defensive Tactics Buildings (1012261) *	—	—	341,000	—	—	—
1014136 Ramona Station Land Acquisition (1011477)	—	—	1,000,000	—	—	—
1014144 Juvenile Probation Complex Parking Lot Expansion	1,239,501	—	—	—	—	—
1015195 Women's Detention Facility (1000159)	1,307,445	70,000,000	198,712,906	14,647,047	—	—
1015198 Pine Valley Substation (1000161)	1,374	—	3,207,807	167,482	—	—
1015199 Rancho San Diego Sheriff Station (1006584) *	169,127	—	7,642,376	2,645,187	—	—
1016416 East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehab Facility	—	—	10,464,000	—	27,600,000	—
Total - Operating Transfer from General Fund	\$ 8,862,455	\$ 70,000,000	\$ 223,287,777	\$ 18,409,828	\$ 27,600,000	\$ —
Operating Transfer from Sheriff Asset Forfeiture						
1014135 Sheriff's Defensive Tactics Buildings (1012261) *	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 124,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
1015199 Rancho San Diego Sheriff Station (1006584) *	—	—	3,000,000	—	—	—
Total - Operating Transfer from Sheriff Asset Forfeiture	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3,124,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Operating Transfer from Prop 172 Fund						
1015199 Rancho San Diego Sheriff Station (1006584) *	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3,605,561	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Operating Transfer from Prop 172 Fund	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3,605,561	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total Justice Facility Construction Funding Sources	\$ 9,045,993	\$ 70,000,000	\$ 230,037,300	\$ 18,409,828	\$ 27,600,000	\$ —
* Multiple funding sources						

Library Projects Fund

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	\$ 10,295,520	\$ —	\$ 7,681,452	\$ 5,019,002	\$ —	\$ —
Total	\$ 10,295,520	\$ —	\$ 7,681,452	\$ 5,019,002	\$ —	\$ —

Capital Project Detail

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition						
1000173 Bonita Branch Library (KL9540)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 24,226	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
1000177 Valley Center Branch Library (KL9023)	—	—	6,207	—	—	—
1000178 Fallbrook Branch Library (KL1974)	3,483,683	—	340,327	340,327	—	—
1000179 Julian Shared Use Library (KL2981)	—	—	21,185	—	—	—
1000262 Descanso Library (KL3460)	—	—	24,338	—	—	—
1000286 Ramona Branch Library (KL2987)	5,785,254	—	511,836	511,836	—	—
1014128 Downtown San Diego Law Library Remodel (1014074)	411,570	—	3,837,430	3,728,344	—	—
1014143 Imperial Beach Branch Library Expansion (1014083)	66,818	—	1,013,182	3,710	—	—
1015200 Lincoln Acres Library and Community Center (1012133)	460,356	—	1,860,530	411,106	—	—
1015202 Alpine Branch Library (1000180)	87,839	—	42,193	23,680	—	—
Total - Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	\$ 10,295,520	\$ —	\$ 7,681,452	\$ 5,019,002	\$ —	\$ —

Library Projects Fund

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Operating Transfer from Library Fund						
1014143 Imperial Beach Branch Library Expansion (1014083) *	\$ 40,000	\$ —	\$ 40,000	\$ 3,710	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Operating Transfer from Library Fund	\$ 40,000	\$ —	\$ 40,000	\$ 3,710	\$ —	\$ —
Third Party Recovery						
1015200 Lincoln Acres Library and Community Center (1012133) *	\$ —	\$ —	368,670	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Third Party Recovery	\$ —	\$ —	368,670	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Operating Transfer from General Fund						
1000173 Bonita Branch Library (KL9540)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 24,226	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
1000177 Valley Center Branch Library (KL9023)	—	—	6,207	—	—	—
1000178 Fallbrook Branch Library (KL1974) *	2,937,157	—	340,327	340,327	—	—
1000179 Julian Shared Use Library (KL2981)	—	—	21,185	—	—	—
1000262 Descanso Library (KL3460)	—	—	24,338	—	—	—
1000286 Ramona Branch Library (KL2987) *	5,698,143	—	511,836	511,836	—	—
1014128 Downtown San Diego Law Library Remodel (1014074)	411,570	—	3,837,430	3,728,344	—	—
1014143 Imperial Beach Branch Library Expansion (1014083) *	26,818	—	973,182	—	—	—
1015200 Lincoln Acres Library and Community Center (1012133) *	460,356	—	1,491,860	411,106	—	—
1015202 Alpine Branch Library (1000180)	87,839	—	42,193	23,680	—	—
Total - Operating Transfer from General Fund	\$ 9,621,883	\$ —	\$ 7,272,783	\$ 5,015,292	\$ —	\$ —
* Multiple funding sources						

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Operating Transfer from Library Fund						
1000178 Fallbrook Branch Library (KL1974) *	\$ 546,526	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
1000286 Ramona Branch Library (KL2987) *	87,111	—	—	—	—	—
Total - Operating Transfer from Library Fund	\$ 633,637	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total Library Projects Funding Sources	\$ 10,295,520	\$ —	\$ 7,681,452	\$ 5,019,002	\$ —	\$ —
<i>* Multiple funding sources</i>						

Multiple Species Conservation Program Fund

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	\$ 10,526,359	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 28,259,453	\$ 8,093,162	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 2,500,000
Total	\$ 10,526,359	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 28,259,453	\$ 8,093,162	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 2,500,000

Capital Project Detail

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition						
Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) (KA9500)	\$ 10,526,359	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 28,259,453	\$ 8,093,162	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 2,500,000
Total - Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	\$ 10,526,359	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 28,259,453	\$ 8,093,162	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 2,500,000

Funding Source

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Operating Transfer from General Fund						
Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) (KA9500)	\$ 6,776,359	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 27,576,922	\$ 7,630,662	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 2,500,000
Total - Operating Transfer from General Fund	\$ 6,776,359	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 27,576,922	\$ 7,630,662	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 2,500,000
State Aid Other State						
Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) (KA9500)	\$ 2,600,000	\$ —	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000	\$ —	\$ —
Total - State Aid Other State	\$ 2,600,000	\$ —	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000	\$ —	\$ —
Other Intergovernmental						
Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) (KA9500)	\$ 1,150,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Other Intergovernmental	\$ 1,150,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

Multiple Species Conservation Program Fund ■ ■ ■

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Federal Other Federal Grants						
Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) (KA9500)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 262,500	\$ 262,500	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Federal Other Federal Grants	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 262,500	\$ 262,500	\$ —	\$ —
Miscellaneous Revenue						
Multiple Species Conservation Program (KA9500)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 34,860	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Miscellaneous Revenue	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 34,860	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Other Sales						
Multiple Species Conservation Program (KA9500)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 185,170	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Other Sales	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 185,170	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total Multiple Species Conservation Program Funding Sources	\$ 10,526,359	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 28,259,453	\$ 8,093,162	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 2,500,000

NOTE: This fund was established during Fiscal Year 2010-11. Prior to the establishment of this fund, the Multiple Species Conservation Program was budgeted in the Capital Outlay Fund.

Edgemoor Development Fund

Budget by Categories of Expenditures

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Services & Supplies	\$ 613,820	\$ 663,000	\$ 880,514	\$ 286,648	\$ 608,000	\$ 608,000
Operating Transfers Out	9,277,822	9,279,645	9,279,645	9,269,645	9,278,883	9,280,933
Total	\$ 9,891,642	\$ 9,942,645	\$ 10,160,159	\$ 9,556,293	\$ 9,886,883	\$ 9,888,933

Expenditure Detail

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Services & Supplies						
Trash Services	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 597	\$ —	\$ —
Miscellaneous Expense	8	—	—	4,501	—	—
Professional & Specialized Services	27,306	—	44,371	72,563	—	—
Inter-Departmental Costs	415	13,000	13,000	1,937	13,000	13,000
Special Circumstances Attorney	126,207	—	—	(44,403)	—	—
Liability/Litigation	—	—	—	11,031	—	—
Consultant Contracts	243,738	180,000	316,806	64,114	125,000	125,000
Special Departmental	10,442	—	—	—	—	—
Gas & Electricity	228	—	—	233	—	—
Water	546	—	—	13,835	—	—
Purchasing ISF - Non Merchandise	886	20,000	20,000	683	20,000	20,000
Facility Management ISF Costs	779	—	—	5,111	—	—
Facility Management Real Property ISF Costs	139,602	250,000	250,000	93,640	250,000	250,000
Major Maintenance - ISF	63,662	200,000	236,338	62,806	200,000	200,000
Total - Services & Supplies	\$ 613,820	\$ 663,000	\$ 880,514	\$ 286,648	\$ 608,000	\$ 608,000
Operating Transfers Out						
Operating Transfers Out - Current Year	\$ 9,277,822	\$ 9,279,645	\$ 9,279,645	\$ 9,269,645	\$ 9,278,883	\$ 9,280,933
Total - Operating Transfers Out	\$ 9,277,822	\$ 9,279,645	\$ 9,279,645	\$ 9,269,645	\$ 9,278,883	\$ 9,280,933
Total - Expenditure Detail	\$ 9,891,642	\$ 9,942,645	\$ 10,160,159	\$ 9,556,293	\$ 9,886,883	\$ 9,888,933

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Interest on Deposits & Investments	\$ 56,535	\$ 77,130	\$ 77,130	\$ 38,998	\$ 84,371	\$ 138,693
Rents & Concessions	240,231	243,882	243,882	234,511	5,538,826	5,485,611
Federal Other	—	4,264,037	4,264,037	20,538,987	4,263,686	4,264,629
Use of Fund Balance - All Other Funds	9,594,876	5,357,596	5,575,110	(11,256,203)	—	—
Total Edgemoor Development Funding Sources	\$ 9,891,642	\$ 9,942,645	\$ 10,160,159	\$ 9,556,293	\$ 9,886,883	\$ 9,888,933



Lease Payments

Budget by Categories of Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Lease Payments	\$ 38,785,801	\$ 38,895,327	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 43,257,601	\$ 37,030,414
Facilities Management (Lease Mgmt)	869	—	—	—	2,000	2,000
Total	\$ 38,786,670	\$ 38,895,327	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 43,259,601	\$ 37,032,414

Expenditure Detail						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Lease Payments Detail						
1993 Master Refunding – South County	\$ 1,641,552	\$ 813,476	\$ 813,476	\$ 808,487	\$ 830,146	\$ —
1993 Master Refunding – East County	2,184,311	1,080,789	1,080,789	1,075,803	1,102,971	—
1993 Master Refunding – Topaz	200,528	103,761	103,761	98,763	105,798	—
1993 Master Refunding – Health Complex	1,097,759	548,154	548,154	540,662	559,302	—
1993 Master Refunding – East Mesa	315,497	162,384	162,384	155,386	165,588	—
1993 Master Refunding – Juvenile Hall	185,292	91,257	91,257	91,259	93,138	—
1993 Master Refunding – Clairemont Hospital	789,338	395,754	395,754	388,760	403,770	—
1993 Master Refunding – East Mesa Land	1,031,289	514,917	514,917	507,924	525,390	—
1993 Master Refunding – SD Muni Building	326,804	160,953	160,953	160,955	164,271	—
1993 Master Refunding – Housing	176,651	87,002	87,002	87,003	—	—
2001 Metropolitan Transit System Tower	3,122,612	2,742,602	2,742,602	—	—	—
2002 Motorola	3,474,887	—	—	—	—	—
2005 Regional Communications System	2,862,490	2,971,238	2,971,238	2,961,238	2,910,817	2,972,350
2005 North & East County Justice Facility Refinance	2,598,539	2,717,825	2,717,825	2,691,862	2,704,109	2,724,562
2005 Edgemoor	6,141,918	6,137,662	6,137,662	6,137,663	6,136,900	6,136,150
2006 Edgemoor	3,135,904	3,141,983	3,141,983	3,131,983	3,141,983	3,144,783
2009 County Operations Center Phase 1A	17,378	7,615,811	7,615,811	7,624,656	9,905,594	9,884,844

Expenditure Detail						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
2009 Justice Facilities Refunding	9,483,054	9,609,759	9,607,759	9,497,174	9,685,325	7,387,475
2011 MTS Tower Refunding	—	—	—	2,647,176	2,718,249	2,678,250
2011 CAC Waterfront Park	—	—	759,625	759,625	2,104,250	2,102,000
Total - Lease Payments Detail	\$ 38,785,801	\$ 38,895,327	\$ 39,652,952	\$ 39,366,375	\$ 43,257,601	\$ 37,030,414
Facilities Management (Lease Mgmt) Detail						
2009 Justice Facilities Refunding	\$ 869	\$ —	\$ 2,000	\$ 500	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000
Total - Facilities Management (Lease Mgmt) Detail	\$ 869	\$ —	\$ 2,000	\$ 500	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000
Total - Expenditure Detail	\$ 38,786,670	\$ 38,895,327	\$ 39,654,952	\$ 39,366,875	\$ 43,259,601	\$ 37,032,414

Revenue Detail						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
AB189	\$ 2,712,095	\$ 2,452,211	\$ 2,452,211	\$ 2,452,211	\$ 2,457,410	\$ 2,200,000
Aid from Redevelopment Agency	800,000	800,000	1,559,625	1,559,625	2,904,250	2,902,000
Rents and Concessions	1,211,263	1,101,981	1,101,981	1,090,644	1,110,741	1,106,467
Charges in Other Funds	622,955	—	—	—	—	—
Fund Balance Component Decreases	176,651	41,186	—	—	—	—
Operating Transfer Capital Outlay	9,277,823	9,279,645	9,279,645	9,269,645	9,278,883	9,280,933
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	23,985,884	25,220,304	25,261,490	24,994,750	27,508,317	21,543,014
Total	\$ 38,786,670	\$ 38,895,327	\$ 39,654,952	\$ 39,366,875	\$ 43,259,601	\$ 37,032,414

Lease Payments

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
General Fund						
1993 Master Refunding – South County	\$ 1,641,552	\$ 813,476	\$ 813,476	\$ 808,487	\$ 830,146	\$ —
1993 Master Refunding – East County	2,184,311	1,080,789	1,080,789	1,075,803	1,102,971	—
1993 Master Refunding – Topaz	200,528	103,761	103,761	98,763	105,798	—
1993 Master Refunding – Health Complex	1,097,759	548,154	548,154	540,662	559,302	—
1993 Master Refunding – East Mesa	315,497	162,384	162,384	155,386	165,588	—
1993 Master Refunding – Clairemont Hospital	789,338	395,754	395,754	388,760	403,770	—
1993 Master Refunding – East Mesa Land	1,031,289	514,917	514,917	507,924	525,390	—
1993 Master Refunding - Housing	—	45,816	87,002	87,003	—	—
2001 Metropolitan Transit System Tower	2,545,349	2,276,537	—	—	—	—
2002 Motorola	2,851,933	—	—	—	—	—
2005 Regional Communications System	2,862,490	2,971,238	2,971,238	2,961,238	2,910,816	2,972,350
2005 North & East County Justice Facility Refinance	2,598,539	2,717,825	2,717,825	2,691,862	2,704,109	2,724,562
2009 County Operations Center Phase 1A	17,378	7,615,811	7,615,811	7,624,656	9,905,594	9,884,843
2009 Justice Facilities Refunding	5,849,054	5,973,842	5,971,842	5,851,088	6,040,892	3,734,409
2011 MTS Tower Refunding	—	—	2,276,537	2,202,618	2,251,941	2,224,850
Facilities Management (Lease Mgmt)	869	—	2,000	500	2,000	2,000
Total - General Fund	\$ 23,985,884	\$ 25,220,304	\$ 25,261,490	\$ 24,994,750	\$ 27,508,317	\$ 21,543,014
Charges to Other Districts						
2002 Motorola	\$ 622,955	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Charges to Other Districts	\$ 622,955	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Rents and Concessions						
1998 Hall of Justice	\$ 634,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
2001 Metropolitan Transit System Tower	577,264	466,064	—	—	—	—
2009 Justice Facilities Refunding	—	635,917	635,917	646,086	644,433	653,067

Funding Source						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
2011 MTS Tower Refunding	—	—	466,064	444,558	466,308	453,400
Total - Rents and Concessions	\$ 1,211,263	\$ 1,101,981	\$ 1,101,981	\$ 1,090,644	\$ 1,110,741	\$ 1,106,467
AB189						
1993 Master Refunding – Juvenile Hall	\$ 185,292	\$ 91,258	\$ 91,258	\$ 91,258	\$ 93,139	\$ —
1993 Master Refunding – SD Muni Building	326,804	160,953	160,953	160,953	164,271	—
1998 Hall of Justice	2,200,000	—	—	—	—	—
2009 Justice Facilities Refunding	—	2,200,000	2,200,000	2,200,000	2,200,000	2,200,000
Total - AB189	\$ 2,712,095	\$ 2,452,211	\$ 2,452,211	\$ 2,452,211	\$ 2,457,410	\$ 2,200,000
Aid from Redevelopment						
1998 Hall of Justice	\$ 800,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
2009 Justice Facilities Refunding	—	800,000	800,000	800,000	800,000	800,000
2011 CAC Waterfront Park	—	—	759,625	759,625	2,104,250	2,102,000
Total - Aid from Redevelopment	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 1,559,625	\$ 1,559,625	\$ 2,904,250	\$ 2,902,000
Fund Balance Component Decreases						
1993 Master Refunding – Housing	\$ 176,651	\$ 41,186	\$ 41,186	\$ 41,186	\$ —	\$ —
Total - Fund Balance Component Decreases	\$ 176,651	\$ 41,186	\$ 41,186	\$ 41,186	\$ —	\$ —
Operating Transfer Capital Outlay						
2005 Edgemoor	\$ 6,141,918	\$ 6,137,662	\$ 6,137,663	\$ 6,137,663	\$ 6,136,900	\$ 6,136,151
2006 Edgemoor	3,135,904	3,141,983	3,141,983	3,131,983	3,141,983	3,144,783
Total - Operating Transfer Capital Outlay	\$ 9,277,823	\$ 9,279,645	\$ 9,279,645	\$ 9,269,645	\$ 9,278,883	\$ 9,280,934
Total Lease Payments Funding Sources	\$ 38,786,670	\$ 38,895,327	\$ 39,696,138	\$ 39,408,061	\$ 43,259,601	\$ 37,032,415

Outstanding Capital Projects by Group/Agency

Public Safety Group

Project Name*	Project Number*	Project Established	Total Appropriations	Remaining Balance as of June 30, 2012
Women's Detention Facility (KK8032 - 4832)	1015195 - 54496	97/98	\$ 203,600,000	\$ 184,065,858
Pine Valley Substation (KK0687 - 4687)	1015198 - 54370	99/00	3,260,000	3,040,325
Rancho San Diego Sheriff Station (KK5485 - 4301)	1015199 - 54728	04/05	15,402,630	11,602,750
Medical Examiner and Forensic Center (KK5497 - 4497)	1006566 - 54684	04/05	68,666,694	969,578
Ramona Station Land Acquisition (KA5485 - 4485)	1014136 - 54060	07/08	1,000,000	1,000,000
Sheriff Defensive Tactics Building (1012261 - 54839)	1014135 - 54839	07/08	465,000	465,000
Downtown San Diego Law Library Remodel (1014074 - 54880)	1014128 - 54880	09/10	4,249,000	109,086
Juvenile Probation Complex (Meadowlark) Parking	1014144 - 54202	10/11	1,239,501	—
Regional Communication System Harmony Hill Site Acquisition	1014440 - 54012	10/11	203,500	19,962
Boulevard Fire Land Acquisition	1016224 - 54012	11/12	277,450	66,410
East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility	1016416 - 54202	11/12	10,464,000	10,464,000
Boulevard Fire Station	1016991 - 54202	11/12	3,500,000	3,500,000
Total - Public Safety Group			\$ 312,327,775	\$ 215,302,968

Health and Human Services Agency

Project Name*	Project Number*	Project Established	Total Appropriations	Remaining Balance as of June 30, 2012
Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility (KK0866 - 4866)	1000235 - 54526	99/00	\$ 123,080,292	\$ —
San Pasqual Academy Residences (1012286 - 54837)	1015140 - 54837	08/09	9,150,948	19,271
San Pasqual Academy Water Well (1012959 - 54846)	1014666 - 54846	08/09	450,000	108,662
San Pasqual Academy Sports Field (1014255 - 54858)	1014255 - 54858	09/10	60,000	8,700
San Pasqual (SPA) Administration Building (1013689 - 54874)	1014131 - 54874	09/10	900,600	900,600
Juvenile Hall (2011) Mental Health Services Office Building	1016139 - 54202	11/12	259,000	248,672
Total - Health and Human Services Agency			\$ 133,900,840	\$ 1,285,905

Land Use and Environment Group

Project Name*	Project Number*	Project Established	Total Appropriations	Remaining Balance as of June 30, 2012
Otay Lakes Park Restoration (KN7022 - 4741)	1000029 - 54414	96/97	\$ 2,457,979	\$ —
Waste Site Land Acquisitions (KA8950 - 4540)	1000011 - 54023	97/98	8,238,400	6,250,786

* Project Number represents the current number assigned in the County's financial system. The original project number, if different, has been included in parentheses after the Project Name for reference purposes.

Outstanding Capital Projects by Group/Agency ■ ■ ■

Land Use and Environment Group				
Project Name*	Project Number*	Project Established	Total Appropriations	Remaining Balance as of June 30, 2012
Guajome Regional Park Community Sports Fields and Visitor Center (KN8015-4815)	1000227 - 54479	97/98	265,000	211,198
Multiple Species Conservation Program Acquisitions (KA9500 - 4545)	Various - 54028	98/99	76,575,406	20,166,290
Otay Valley Regional Park Phase II Acquisition (KA0550 -4550)	1000246 - 54033	99/00	9,592,198	160,058
Escondido Creek Acquisitions (KA0551 - 4551)	1000249 - 54034	99/00	6,251,658	141,766
Trail Easement Acquisitions (KA2973 - 4973)	1000121 - 54017	01/02	84,211	64,540
Cactus Park Sports Fields (KN2968 - 4968)	1000193 - 54232	01/02	490,148	—
Sweetwater Regional Park Equestrian Center Phase I (KN2669 - 4669)	1000035 - 54352	01/02	3,000	—
Otay Valley Regional Park Fencing/Trees (KN2752 - 4752)	1000239 - 54425	01/02	119,160	—
Volcan Mountain West Acquisition (KA3438 - 4438)	1000040 - 54130	02/03	2,094,884	196,233
Sweetwater Summit Campground and Local Park Improvement (KN3106 - 4872)	1000207 - 54532	02/03	7,915,482	78,304
Sweetwater Park State Route 125 Mitigation (KN3406 - 4406)	1000138 - 54665	02/03	410,000	52,576
Lakeside Baseball Park (KN3412 - 4412)	1005258 - 54672	02/03	10,600,446	195,880
Felicita Park Improvements (KN3414 - 4414)	1004981 - 54674	02/03	2,387,613	1,242,766
Guajome Park Playground/Restroom/Dock Improvements (KN3415 - 4415)	1005259 - 54675	02/03	630,101	6,614
Sweetwater Trails/Bikeways Construction (KN3419 - 4419)	1005262 - 54679	02/03	2,721,105	8,314
San Luis Rey River Park Planning and Development (KN3432 - 4432)	1000036 - 54689	02/03	4,206,179	2,738,737
Valley Park Acquisition (KA4478 - 4478)	1005335 - 54047	03/04	500,000	493,773
I-122 Loss Allotment - Supervisorial District 5 Acquisitions (KA4480 - 4480)	1005337 - 54049	03/04	839,800	8,656
Simon Preserve/Trail Construction (KN4452 - 4452)	1014139 - 54697	03/04	75,000	75,000
San Elijo Lagoon Nature Center Expansion (KN4464 - 4464)	1000285 - 54701	03/04	5,658,896	154,380
San Dieguito Park Recycled Water Retrofit Improvements (KN4481 - 4481) ¹	1005106 - 54713	03/04	—	—
Stowe Trail Acquisition (KA5321 - 4321)	1006952 - 54051	04/05	565,000	497,788
Pine Valley Ball Field Improvements (KN5303 - 4303)	1006608 - 54640	04/05	450,000	343,004
Spring Valley Community Center Expansion (KN5498 - 4498)	1006572 - 54726	04/05	1,733,612	—
San Luis Rey River Park Acquisition (KA5325 - 4325)	1007108 - 54053	05/06	6,361,070	418,044
Otay Valley Regional Park Trail Improvements	1009268 - 54766	05/06	9,260,001	836,610
Lakeside Soccer Fields Acquisition	1009548 - 54059	05/06	800,000	785,389

¹ Project does not meet capitalization criteria; remaining appropriations were transferred out of the Capital Program.

* Project Number represents the current number assigned in the County's financial system. The original project number, if different, has been included in parentheses after the Project Name for reference purposes.

■ ■ ■ Outstanding Capital Projects by Group/Agency

Land Use and Environment Group				
Project Name*	Project Number*	Project Established	Total Appropriations	Remaining Balance as of June 30, 2012
Goodan Ranch Compound Improvements (KN6329 - 4329)	1007477 - 54744	05/06	1,575,222	—
Americans With Disabilities Act Ballfield	1008292 - 54749	05/06	1,769,574	—
East County Trail Acquisition	1008954 - 54057	05/06	231,016	179,848
Lindo Lake Boathouse Restoration	1009269 - 54767	05/06	64,286	2,772
Guajome Regional Park Restrooms	1010407 - 54777	06/07	407,000	6,347
Otay Valley Regional Park Staging Area	1010431 - 54778	06/07	218,214	211,981
Heritage Park Victorian Home Improvements	1010429 - 54780	06/07	3,999,898	—
Tijuana River Valley Sports Complex Concept Plan	1010973 - 54809	06/07	466,603	280,038
Otay Valley Regional Park Local Staging Area	1010977 - 54810	06/07	76,026	—
I 122 Loss Allotment Supervisorial District 2 Acquisitions (1011758 - 54063)	1014133 - 54063	07/08	240,600	240,600
Agua Caliente Pavilion Replacement (1011443 - 54796)	1015187 - 54796	07/08	249,083	—
Tijuana River Valley Trails Habitat Restoration (1011445 - 54797)	1015188 - 54797	07/08	470,000	91,249
Whaley Compound ADA Improvements (1011447 - 54799) ¹	1014138 - 54799	07/08	—	—
Ranger Housing Improvements (1011449 - 54802)	1015191 - 54802	07/08	2,500,000	241,575
Brodiaea Restoration Enhancement and Protection (1011473 - 54808)	1014137 - 54808	07/08	50,000	50,000
Fire Recovery Erosion Control Hellhole Canyon Open Space Preserve (1014440 - 54814)	1015192 - 54814	07/08	79,658	—
Fire Recovery Erosion Control William Heise Park (1011442 - 54816)	1015193 - 54816	07/08	161,033	—
Tijuana River Valley Trail Construction (1011723 - 54822)	1014134 - 54822	07/08	323,999	103,782
Mt Olympus Preserve Improvements (1011952 - 54824)	1015194 - 54824	07/08	350,000	338,216
Oakoasis Park Improvements (1012152 - 54838)	1015141 - 54838	08/09	1,380,267	549,920
Guajome Regional Park Improvements (1012922 - 54841)	1015143 - 54841	08/09	121,707	—
Lakeside Washrack (1012937 - 54842)	1015146 - 54842	08/09	25,550	—
County Reserve Fire Recovery (1012951 - 54844)	1015170 - 54844	08/09	417,993	311,327
Jess Martin Exercise Path and Park Improvements (1013102 - 54859)	1015172 - 54859	08/09	645,940	32,447
Lakeside Community Center Photovoltaic System (1013103- 54860)	1015178 - 54860	08/09	520,369	22,932
Guajome Park Photovoltaic System (1013257 - 54866)	1014252 - 54866	09/10	1,100,000	971,722
Sweetwater Lane Artificial Turf Improvements (1013258 - 54867)	1014253 - 54867	09/10	3,800,000	3,106,764
Bancroft Rock House Restoration and Improvements (1013259 - 54868)	1014254 - 54868	09/10	508,370	—
Heritage Park Improvements (1013360 - 54870)	1015185 - 54870	08/09	137,500	18,209

¹ Project does not meet capitalization criteria; remaining appropriations were transferred out of the Capital Program.

* Project Number represents the current number assigned in the County's financial system. The original project number, if different, has been included in parentheses after the Project Name for reference purposes.

Outstanding Capital Projects by Group/Agency ■ ■ ■

Land Use and Environment Group				
Project Name*	Project Number*	Project Established	Total Appropriations	Remaining Balance as of June 30, 2012
Oak Country II Trail (1013683 - 54873)	1014256 - 54873	09/10	533,275	13,848
MSCP Bonsall Land (1013479 - 54069)	1014261 - 54069	09/10	1,300,500	—
El Monte Trail and Staging Area (1013682 - 54872)	1014257 - 54872	09/10	411,346	—
Live Oak Park Recreational Area (1013683 - 54873)	1014259 - 54871	09/10	199,916	—
Mountain Empire (Campo) Community Center Playground (1013750 - 54875)	1014260 - 54875	09/10	569,700	128,757
Collier Park Soccer Area (1013817 - 54876)	1014130 - 54876	09/10	620,248	—
Live Oak Amphitheater (1013902 - 54877)	1014129 - 54877	09/10	492,000	433,378
Santa Ysabel Nature Center (1013906 - 54878)	1014142 - 54878	09/10	125,000	34,661
Energy Upgrades to Park Facilities Sweetwater Regional	1014124 - 54202	10/11	1,500,000	1,110,349
San Dieguito Park Improvements	1014146 - 54202	10/11	660,000	103,078
Tijuana River Valley Equestrian Center	1014147 - 54202	10/11	117,000	75,645
Swiss Park Trail Connection and Improvements	1014352 - 54202	10/11	400,000	239,780
Fallbrook Community Center Photovoltaic Improvements	1014353 - 54202	10/11	264,815	—
Aqua Caliente Water and Sewer Electrical Line Replacement	1014351 - 54202	10/11	1,275,000	971,000
Lincoln Acres Park Shade Structures Improvement	1014733 - 54202	10/11	290,000	200,521
Rainbow Park Improvements	1014734 - 54202	10/11	50,000	—
Collier Park Parking Lot Improvements	1014735 - 54202	10/11	145,661	—
Sweetwater Regional Park Equestrian Center Phase 3	1014849 - 54202	10/11	881,426	881,426
Rancho Guajome Adobe Volunteer Vehicle Pad	1015196 - 54202	10/11	60,000	60,000
Guajome Regional Park Volunteer Vehicle Pad	1015180 - 54202	10/11	60,000	60,000
Lamar Park Volunteer Vehicle Pad	1015181 - 54202	10/11	100,000	11,354
San Dieguito Park Shade Structures	1015197 - 54202	10/11	147,000	12,641
Agua Caliente Park Cabins	1015515 - 54202	11/12	525,917	511,224
Sweetwater Lane Park Exercise Path	1015516 - 54202	11/12	250,000	220,378
Goodland Acres Park Improvements	1015518 - 54202	11/12	100,000	79,023
Don Dussault Park Improvements	1015519 - 54202	11/12	80,000	52,188
Jess Martin Ball Field Improvements	1015520 - 54202	11/12	62,500	16,676
Sweetwater Parking Lot Improvement	1016213 - 54202	11/12	300,000	300,000
4S Ranch Sports Park Artificial Turf	1016576 - 54202	11/12	1,500,000	1,462,258
Potrero Park Fencing	1016665 - 54202	11/12	77,000	39,585
Otay Valley River Park Palm Avenue Multi-Use Trail Segment	1016742 - 54202	11/12	96,594	71,538
Hollister Pond Improvements	1017286 - 54202	11/12	75,000	75,000
Total - Land Use and Environment Group			\$ 196,446,155	\$ 49,050,742

* Project Number represents the current number assigned in the County's financial system. The original project number, if different, has been included in parentheses after the Project Name for reference purposes.

■ ■ ■ Outstanding Capital Projects by Group/Agency

Community Services Group				
Project Name*	Project Number*	Project Established	Total Appropriations	Remaining Balance as of June 30, 2012
Valley Center Branch Library (KL9023 - 4923)	1000177 - 54571	98/99	\$ 4,421,280	\$ 6,207
Bonita Branch Library (KL9540 - 4753)	1000173 - 54426	98/99	4,490,608	24,226
Fallbrook Branch Library (KL1974 -4974)	1000178 - 54616	00/01	10,559,346	—
Julian Shared Use Library (KL2981 - 4981)	1000179 - 54237	01/02	3,085,516	21,185
Alpine Branch Library (KL2983 - 4983)	1015202 - 54239	01/02	383,385	18,513
Ramona Branch Library (KL2987 - 4465)	1000286 - 54700	01/02	12,044,111	—
Descanso Branch Library Expansion (KL3460 - 4460)	1000262 - 54637	02/03	426,000	24,338
Campo Community Center Stormwater System Improvements	1010779 - 54795	06/07	185,000	32,635
County Operations Center and Annex Phase 1A	1011214 - 54811	07/08	185,834,944	10,322,336
HHSA PSG CSG Office Relocation (1012285 - 54835)	1015131 - 54835	08/09	66,051,035	40,862,835
Lincoln Acres Library and Community Center (1012133 - 54825)	1015200 - 54825	08/09	3,568,670	1,449,424
Imperial Beach Branch Library Expansion (1014083 - 54881)	1014143 - 54881	09/10	1,080,000	1,009,472
County Operations Center and Annex Phase 1B	1014125 - 54202	10/11	113,000,000	28,976,220
Animal Shelter Replacement Cat Housing Facility	1014126 - 54202	10/11	460,000	54,378
Animal Shelter Multi-Purpose Barn	1014127 - 54202	10/11	200,000	84,195
Long-Term Animal Care Facility	1015559 - 54202	11/12	460,000	438,834
Total - Community Services Group			\$ 406,249,894	\$ 83,324,796

Finance and General Government Group				
Project Name*	Project Number*	Project Established	Total Appropriations	Remaining Balance as of June 30, 2012
County Administration Center Waterfront Park (KK3421 - 4421)	1015204 - 54680	02/03	\$ 44,200,000	\$ 39,239,483
Cedar and Kettner Development	1015093 - 54202	10/11	660,000	13,224
Total - Finance and General Government Group			\$ 44,860,000	\$ 39,252,707

Total Outstanding Capital Projects				
			Total Appropriations	Remaining Balance as of June 30, 2012
Total Outstanding Capital Projects			\$ 1,093,784,663	\$ 388,217,119

* Project Number represents the current number assigned in the County's financial system. The original project number, if different, has been included in parentheses after the Project Name for reference purposes.

County of San Diego

Finance Other

Finance Other	485
---------------	-----

Finance Other

Description

Finance Other includes miscellaneous funds and programs that are predominantly countywide in nature, have no staffing associated with them or exist for proper budgetary accounting purposes. Responsibility for these funds and programs rests primarily with departments in the Finance and General Government Group.

The funding levels for these programs are explained below and shown in the table that follows.

Cash Borrowing

These appropriations fund the cost of financing the County's short-term cash borrowing program. During the course of the fiscal year, the County could experience temporary shortfalls in available cash due to the timing of expenditures and receipt of revenues. To meet these cash flow needs, the County issues Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANS). Typically, they are issued at the beginning of the fiscal year and mature at the end of that fiscal year. The TRAN amount for Fiscal Year 2012-13 is \$50.0 million. See also the section on Debt Management Policies and Obligations on page 98.

Community Enhancement

The Community Enhancement program provides grant funding for cultural activities, museums, visitor and convention bureaus, economic development councils and other similar institutions that promote and generate tourism and/or economic development at the regional and community levels throughout San Diego County. Per Board of Supervisors Policy B-58, *Funding of the Community Enhancement Program*, the amount of funding available for the Community Enhancement program approximately equals the amount of Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT) revenues estimated to be collected each fiscal year. Applications for grants are submitted to the Board of Supervisors by March 1 for the upcoming fiscal year, with approval of projects given through the budget adoption process. The funding level for Fiscal Year 2012-13 is budgeted at \$2.5 million.



Neighborhood Reinvestment Program

The Neighborhood Reinvestment Program (formerly Community Projects) is governed by Board of Supervisors Policy B-72, *Neighborhood Reinvestment Program*, and provides grant funds to County departments, public agencies, and to nonprofit community organizations for one-time community, social, environmental, educational, cultural or recreational needs. Resources available for the program are subject to budget priorities as established by the Board of Supervisors. Recommendations for grant awards are made throughout the year by individual Board members subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors as a whole. The funding source is General Fund fund balance. The funding level for Fiscal Year 2012-13 is budgeted at \$5.0 million.

Contributions to the County Library System

Historically, contributions from the General Fund have been provided to augment the resources of the County Library Fund. In Fiscal Year 2010-11, \$2.3 million of ongoing General Purpose Revenue (GPR) support was eliminated. However, a one-time appropriation of \$0.4 million was established based on a contribution from General Fund fund balance attributable to the Community Services Group to match donations for library materials received from the Friends of the Library for the new Ramona and Fallbrook branches. No appropriations are budgeted for Fiscal Year 2012-13 or projected for Fiscal Year 2013-14.

Contingency Reserve - General Fund

A Contingency Reserve of \$20.0 million is budgeted for Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$20.0 million for Fiscal Year 2013-14. These appropriations comply with the target of 2% of GPR for the Contingency Reserve as outlined in Board Policy B-71, *Fund Balance and Reserves*. At \$20.0 million, the Contingency Reserve represents 2.1% of the Fiscal Year 2012-13 budgeted GPR and Fiscal Year 2013-14 estimated GPR (\$967.1 million and \$974.5 million, respectively). These appropriations are a source of funding for unanticipated needs, events, or for various uncertainties that may occur during the fiscal year. It also provides a cushion in the event of revenue shortfalls.

Contributions to Capital Program

These appropriations represent the General Fund cost for new or augmented capital development or land acquisition projects. For Fiscal Year 2012-13, \$47.6 million is budgeted in the Contributions to Capital Program. Of this total, \$27.6 million is a loan for the East Mesa Detention Re-Entry and Rehabilitation Facility, \$10.0 million is for the acquisition of land under the Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP), \$8.0 million is for the HHSA, CSG, PSG Relocation Office project and \$2.0 million for Agua Caliente Park Water, Sewer and Electrical Line Replacement. The MSCP is funded by \$2.5 million of GPR and \$7.5 million of General Fund fund balance. The Agua Caliente Park Water, Sewer and Electrical Line Replacement will be funded by General Fund fund balance and the remaining \$38.1 million for future capital needs will be funded by GPR.

For Fiscal Year 2013-14, \$2.5 million is proposed for MSCP land acquisition.

Lease Payments - Capital Projects

The appropriations for this program are for the annual lease payments due to the San Diego County Capital Asset Leasing Corporation (SANCAL) and the San Diego Regional Building Authority (SDRBA) on the County's outstanding Certificates of Participation (COPs) and Lease Revenue Bonds, the proceeds of which were used to finance various capital projects. There is a net increase of \$4.4 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 as a result of the start of a lease payment for the 2011 CAC Waterfront Park and the increase in lease payment for the 2009 County Operation Center Phase 1A offset by decreases in certain scheduled lease payments.

The Fiscal Year 2013-14 payments are expected to decrease by a net of \$6.2 million. See the Capital Program

section for the detail on the lease purchase payments.

Commitment for Unforeseen Catastrophic Events

In Fiscal Year 2007-08, the Board of Supervisors established a Commitment for Unforeseen Catastrophic Events, previously identified as a General Reserve, for \$55.5 million. Once established, only changes to the commitment are shown in subsequent budgets. Government Code §§29085-29086 allows for the creation of this commitment and restricts decreasing the amount to the time of budget adoption. Subsequent to budget adoption, the commitment may be increased, but amounts may only be used for legally declared emergencies as defined in Government Code §29127. The amount of the County's Commitment for Unforeseen Catastrophic Events is governed by Board Policy B-71, *Fund Balance and Reserves*, which sets a target amount that is equivalent to 5% of budgeted GPR. At \$55.5 million, it equates to 5.7% of Fiscal Year 2012-13 budgeted GPR of \$967.1 million and, therefore, exceeds the 5% target level. No additional contribution to the commitment is projected for Fiscal Year 2013-14 because the balance will still be in excess of the 5% target.

General Fund Minimum Fund Balance for Economic Uncertainty

Board Policy B-71, *Fund Balance and Reserves*, requires that the County maintain prudent levels of fund balance and reserves to help ensure fiscal stability and establishes target levels for the Contingency Reserve, the Commitment for Unforeseen Catastrophic Events and a General Fund Minimum Fund Balance for Economic Uncertainty. The first two of these three components are discussed above. For the third component, the target requires that a minimum amount of unassigned fund balance equivalent to 10% of the budgeted GPR be available for economic uncertainty. In Fiscal Year 2009-10, the Board of Supervisors established a General Fund Minimum Fund Balance for Economic Uncertainty, previously identified as a Designation, at \$100.0 million, slightly above the 10% level. In accordance with GASB 54, the amount representing the Minimum Fund Balance for Economic Uncertainty was reported in the County of San Diego Comprehensive Annual Financial Report as unassigned fund balance starting in Fiscal Year 2010-11. For Fiscal Year 2012-13, the 10% target level is \$96.7 million, but the original \$100.0 million amount will remain in place. For Fiscal Year 2013-14, the 10% target level is projected to be \$97.4 million, under the \$100.0 million which will remain in place.

Countywide General Expenses

The primary objective of these appropriations is to fund countywide projects and other countywide needs. The major components of the Countywide General Expenses program in Fiscal Year 2012-13 are:

- Contribution to the Information Technology (IT) Internal Service Fund to support the countywide component of the IT outsourcing contract.
- Contribution to the Employee Benefits Internal Service Fund to support workers' compensation costs based on the transfer settlement between the County and the State for pre-calendar year 2000 workers' compensation cases for Court employees.
- A Pension Stabilization Fund in anticipation of significantly higher payments to the retirement fund in future years.
- Funds for future capital projects.
- Pension payments required by the County Employees Retirement Law that must be paid by the County in accordance with Internal Revenue Code section 415(m).
- Miscellaneous appropriations for unanticipated program needs.

Countywide Shared Major Maintenance

In Fiscal Year 2012-13, appropriations totaling \$2.0 million are budgeted for major maintenance projects at County facilities that are shared by departments from multiple groups. The funding level for Fiscal Year 2013-14 is projected to be \$2.0 million. Appropriations for major maintenance projects are otherwise budgeted by the department/group that directly benefits from the improvements.

Employee Benefits Internal Service Fund

In Fiscal Year 1994-95, the County established an Employee Benefits Internal Service Fund (ISF) to report all of its employee risk management activities. The appropriations for this fund support claim payments and administrative costs of the County's self-insured Workers' Compensation program and Unemployment Insurance program expenses.

Workers' Compensation rates (premiums) are charged to individual departments based on that department's ten-year experience (claim history) and the department's risk

factor based on its blend of occupational groups as established by the Workers' Compensation Insurance Rating Bureau (WCIRB) of California. WCIRB has developed a classification system based on potential risk of injury. An annual actuarial assessment is prepared by an actuary to estimate the liability and capture the costs associated with all reported and unreported claims. As of June 30, 2011, the estimated liability was \$106.6 million and the cash balance as of June 30, 2011 was \$103.4 million. The estimated liability as of June 30, 2012 increased to \$113.0 million and the cash balance as of June 30, 2012 is \$106.6 million. A \$2.0 million Contingency Reserve is included in the budget for Fiscal Year 2012-13 and planned for Fiscal Year 2013-14. Appropriations for Fiscal Year 2012-13 reflect a \$2.1 million increase in the Workers' Compensation budget from the Fiscal Year 2011-12 adopted budget primarily due to increased claims payments and increased liability.

The County is self-insured for unemployment benefit costs and therefore must reimburse the State for any unemployment benefit payments that are attributed to a claimant's previous employment with the County. County departments provide the funding source for these payments. Beginning in Fiscal Year 2010-11, unemployment insurance rates (premiums) are charged to departments based 70% on each department's five-year claims experience and 30% on budgeted staffing levels. Budgeted appropriations for Fiscal Year 2012-13 reflect a \$0.1 million increase over the Fiscal Year 2011-12 adopted budget primarily due to increased claims payments.

Local Agency Formation Commission Administration

These appropriations reflect the County's contribution to the San Diego Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) in accordance with provisions in State Law (Government Code §56381). LAFCo is a State chartered regulatory agency with countywide authority. LAFCo performs studies and renders jurisdictional decisions affecting the boundaries and government structure of cities and special districts. Through Fiscal Year 2000-01, LAFCo was funded exclusively by the County and user fees. Beginning with Fiscal Year 2001-02, funding for LAFCo is shared by the County, the 18 cities, 61 independent special districts in San Diego County as well as user fees. Appropriations of \$0.3 million are budgeted for Fiscal Year 2012-13 and \$0.4 million for Fiscal Year 2013-14.

Public Liability Internal Service Fund

In Fiscal Year 1994-1995, the County established the Public Liability Internal Service Fund (ISF) to report all of its public risk management activities. The County is self-insured through the ISF for premises liability at medical facilities, medical malpractice, errors and omissions, false arrest, forgery, automobile and general liability. The cost to General Fund departments, other funds and special districts is distributed based on a weighted risk factor: 90% allocated based on the last five years' loss experience and 10% based on staff hours of exposure. The County contracts with an actuary to annually assess the long-term liability of the fund to determine an adequate level of reserves. The estimated liability for June 30, 2011 was \$29.0 million. As of June 30, 2011, the fund had a cash balance of \$32.6 million. The estimated liability for June 30, 2012 decreased to \$25.9 million and the cash balance as of June 30, 2012 is \$35.5 million. The budgeted appropriations of \$15.8 million in Fiscal Year 2012-13 are offset by \$13.6 million in charges to departments, \$2.0 million of available fund balance to mitigate unanticipated expenses and \$0.2 million in interest earnings of the fund.

Pension Obligation Bonds

The appropriations for this debt service fund reflect the scheduled principal and interest payments and related administrative expenses for the 2002, 2004, and the 2008 Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds (POBs). With the final prepayment of eligible Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds, the remaining principal and interest payments are structured as level debt service in the amount of \$81 million annually. See the Debt Management Policies and Obligations section on page 98 for more information on the POBs, including the history, outstanding principal and scheduled payments.

Debt Service Local Boards

This represents the debt service payment for Majestic Pines, which was issued in January 1973 for the construction of a water distribution system in the Julian area. The cost was offset by a special assessment on properties located within the service area. The debt was paid off in January 2012, therefore no further appropriations will be budgeted for Majestic Pines.

Finance Other Appropriations/Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Cash Borrowing	\$ 3,061,197	\$ 7,700,000	\$ 7,700,000	\$ 1,141,222	\$ 7,700,000	\$ 7,700,000
Community Enhancement	2,723,500	2,500,000	2,529,372	2,510,200	2,500,000	2,500,000
Neighborhood Reinvestment Program	5,508,876	5,000,000	5,742,486	3,913,954	5,000,000	5,000,000
Contributions to County Library System	350,000	—	4,700	4,700	—	—
Contingency Reserve - General Fund	—	20,000,000	20,000,000	—	20,000,000	20,000,000
Contributions to Capital Program	58,778,547	80,960,000	437,937,768	118,441,828	47,600,000	2,500,000
Lease Payments - Capital Projects	38,786,670	38,895,327	39,654,952	39,366,875	43,259,601	37,032,414
Countywide General Expenses	6,585,139	41,327,025	42,690,488	10,463,175	51,284,149	62,954,792
Countywide Shared Major Maintenance	696,826	2,000,000	3,618,224	696,774	2,000,000	2,000,000
Employee Benefits ISF						
<i>Workers' Compensation Employee Benefits ISF</i>	39,125,728	31,574,879	31,574,879	35,951,513	33,665,286	33,665,286
<i>Unemployment Insurance Employee Benefits ISF</i>	2,337,704	2,762,748	2,762,748	1,763,869	2,902,803	2,902,803
Local Agency Formation Commission Administration	342,061	342,061	342,061	342,061	342,061	352,323
Public Liability ISF	22,591,133	16,542,649	17,442,649	12,557,499	15,776,890	15,776,890
Pension Obligation Bonds	81,451,018	81,354,997	81,384,692	81,375,988	81,467,380	81,460,697
Debt Service Local Boards	22,250	26,250	26,250	26,250	—	—
Total	\$ 262,360,649	\$ 330,985,936	\$ 693,411,269	\$ 308,555,908	\$ 313,498,170	\$ 273,845,205



County of San Diego

Appendices

Appendix A: All Funds - Budget Summary	493
Appendix B: Budget Summary and Changes in Fund Balance	506
Appendix C: General Fund Budget Summary	519
Appendix D: Health & Human Services - Regional Operations	523
Appendix E: Operational Plan Abbreviations and Acronyms	525
Appendix F: Glossary of Operational Plan Terms	527

Appendix A: All Funds - Budget Summary

Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Current Property	537,396,411	543,013,475	543,013,475	545,327,120	547,575,017	551,018,258
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	414,242,520	396,466,972	408,032,479	403,968,379	390,722,693	394,386,515
Licenses Permits & Franchises	51,823,672	49,916,345	49,916,345	52,518,901	49,636,523	51,970,696
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	51,438,734	53,973,349	58,309,057	51,806,223	54,001,089	50,913,609
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	52,345,701	54,328,095	54,294,920	46,984,638	59,034,366	57,677,997
Intergovernmental Revenues	2,118,781,811	2,280,856,974	2,384,072,197	2,226,455,066	2,349,858,111	2,330,166,792
Charges For Current Services	713,632,936	750,710,916	775,903,253	723,685,524	769,561,986	756,933,065
Miscellaneous Revenues	60,892,973	35,042,900	50,126,458	75,672,443	41,930,161	33,387,168
Other Financing Sources	375,713,220	386,460,097	878,304,894	500,697,857	386,298,213	309,177,106
Residual Equity Transfers In	405,598	—	—	600,531	—	—
Fund Balance Component Decreases	13,258,481	22,181,149	22,181,149	22,181,149	544,380	14,225,657
Use of Fund Balance	(70,466,137)	286,684,892	765,971,271	(38,253,977)	196,075,603	100,167,127
Total	\$4,319,465,921	\$4,859,635,164	\$5,990,125,498	\$4,611,643,854	\$4,845,238,142	\$4,650,023,990

Public Safety Group

Staffing						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Total		6,971.00			7,120.00	7,228.00

Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 762,640,443	\$ 821,655,256	\$ 828,258,641	\$ 800,265,325	\$ 852,241,822	\$ 894,905,415
Services & Supplies	213,900,946	260,086,075	305,242,892	238,755,541	282,596,799	254,835,427
Other Charges	103,152,753	107,896,621	112,575,195	103,217,516	108,256,087	105,263,197
Capital Assets Equipment	6,327,357	7,536,449	14,409,243	6,853,020	8,527,374	1,979,991
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(17,544,962)	(18,584,571)	(18,572,461)	(16,523,405)	(18,995,097)	(19,145,097)
Fund Balance Component Increases	—	18,300,000	18,300,000	18,300,000	1,883,000	—
Operating Transfers Out	190,447,314	207,937,164	216,608,913	209,269,844	231,425,755	232,325,923
Management Reserves	—	5,500,000	5,500,000	—	3,500,000	—
Total	\$1,258,923,852	\$1,410,326,994	\$1,482,322,423	\$1,360,137,841	\$1,469,435,740	\$1,470,164,856

Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Current Property	\$ 485,555	\$ 580,615	\$ 580,615	\$ 485,318	\$ 580,615	\$ 580,615
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	3,464	—	—	3,971	—	—
Licenses Permits & Franchises	590,881	593,101	593,101	597,067	593,101	593,101
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	22,765,200	25,608,872	29,944,580	24,578,937	25,782,769	22,896,997
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	12,044,540	9,619,112	9,619,112	11,846,943	9,908,466	10,047,174
Intergovernmental Revenues	409,264,662	407,868,724	445,835,727	442,321,453	463,701,114	455,410,310
Charges For Current Services	118,891,881	120,354,441	120,722,083	116,308,182	117,867,689	121,588,132
Miscellaneous Revenues	11,604,484	9,754,097	11,448,993	12,789,018	17,232,696	11,288,584
Other Financing Sources	190,351,480	207,828,018	209,768,294	209,145,111	231,289,600	232,189,768
Use of Fund Balance	(37,528,295)	64,210,014	89,899,918	(21,848,159)	22,604,643	5,762,278
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	530,450,000	563,910,000	563,910,000	563,910,000	579,875,047	609,807,897
Total	\$1,258,923,852	\$1,410,326,994	\$1,482,322,423	\$1,360,137,841	\$1,469,435,740	\$1,470,164,856

Appendix A: All Funds - Budget Summary

Health and Human Services Agency

Staffing						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Total		5,130.25			5,306.25	5,306.25

Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 422,101,784	\$ 451,457,939	\$ 451,782,671	\$ 433,567,605	\$ 465,563,524	\$ 478,410,724
Services & Supplies	876,471,577	978,379,247	1,006,622,454	938,000,336	1,004,666,110	982,329,861
Other Charges	393,736,290	432,090,753	426,890,753	361,907,444	410,122,999	412,193,067
Capital Assets Equipment	2,518,053	176,070	502,656	174,651	315,070	235,070
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(231,305)	(238,724)	(3,238,724)	(1,970,260)	(9,238,724)	(9,238,724)
Fund Balance Component Increases	—	19,600	19,600	19,600	78,400	78,400
Operating Transfers Out	36,531,323	37,999,180	37,999,180	36,621,876	37,396,433	37,396,433
Management Reserves	—	20,000,000	20,000,000	—	20,000,000	20,000,000
Total	\$1,731,127,722	\$1,919,884,065	\$1,940,578,590	\$1,768,321,253	\$1,928,903,812	\$1,921,404,831

Appendix A: All Funds - Budget Summary ■ ■ ■

Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Current Property	\$ 1,422,253	\$ 1,626,585	\$ 1,626,585	\$ 1,436,386	\$ 1,602,726	\$ 1,642,726
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	9,820	43,949	43,949	11,248	26,784	26,784
Licenses Permits & Franchises	1,398,423	1,457,039	1,457,039	1,383,856	879,039	879,039
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	5,551,810	5,848,681	5,848,681	5,498,683	5,848,681	5,848,681
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	6,603,221	12,404,294	12,404,294	4,498,219	12,397,694	12,397,694
Intergovernmental Revenues	1,521,976,525	1,673,091,122	1,679,178,215	1,561,734,791	1,691,481,659	1,690,579,778
Charges For Current Services	68,859,818	66,002,464	66,930,371	70,844,378	63,406,429	64,398,710
Miscellaneous Revenues	16,075,135	7,904,735	7,904,735	14,858,076	6,385,894	6,362,111
Other Financing Sources	24,482,246	24,200,000	24,200,000	24,336,822	24,200,000	24,200,000
Fund Balance Component Decreases	4,431,710	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	—	12,965,338
Use of Fund Balance	18,269,761	60,454,196	74,133,721	16,867,792	59,242,007	37,128,400
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	62,047,000	62,851,000	62,851,000	62,851,000	63,432,899	64,975,570
Total	\$1,731,127,722	\$1,919,884,065	\$1,940,578,590	\$1,768,321,253	\$1,928,903,812	\$1,921,404,831

Appendix A: All Funds - Budget Summary

Land Use and Environment Group

Staffing						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
		1,456.00			1,451.00	1,451.00
Total						

Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 147,808,586	\$ 162,922,096	\$ 161,993,451	\$ 150,504,583	\$ 160,421,275	\$ 163,820,788
Services & Supplies	152,165,608	176,554,634	244,601,155	148,901,290	168,208,048	144,831,317
Other Charges	24,345,196	37,096,447	52,978,343	30,262,863	27,610,512	26,731,173
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	70,460	20,543,500	34,307,241	—	6,717,800	7,590,000
Capital Assets Equipment	457,143	4,077,500	5,948,130	790,847	5,301,133	4,963,825
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(456,150)	(141,716)	(141,716)	(457,193)	(182,991)	(156,514)
Fund Balance Component Increases	32,144,972	50,000	50,000	50,000	11,765,898	300,000
Operating Transfers Out	11,935,067	17,857,579	100,285,095	96,871,856	13,041,334	11,145,181
Total	\$ 368,470,881	\$ 418,960,040	\$ 600,021,699	\$ 426,924,245	\$ 392,883,009	\$ 359,225,770

Appendix A: All Funds - Budget Summary ■ ■ ■

Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Current Property	\$ 6,995,988	\$ 7,082,619	\$ 7,082,619	\$ 7,018,519	\$ 6,991,168	\$ 6,991,168
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	9,427,898	10,008,994	23,178,899	13,017,013	4,304,567	4,304,942
Licenses Permits & Franchises	36,293,540	34,504,266	34,504,266	37,060,992	34,230,642	36,510,679
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	2,146,296	1,799,344	1,799,344	2,857,119	1,959,094	1,889,094
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	21,592,051	20,059,027	20,059,042	21,413,816	21,369,506	21,377,596
Intergovernmental Revenues	109,155,655	117,065,961	148,414,252	122,231,956	110,406,257	108,080,013
Charges For Current Services	83,505,858	90,304,622	90,434,339	80,315,305	88,086,187	90,657,211
Miscellaneous Revenues	3,400,272	3,929,420	4,785,127	7,824,446	5,026,894	2,841,549
Other Financing Sources	13,559,721	17,857,579	97,299,383	96,770,149	13,041,334	11,145,181
Fund Balance Component Decreases	8,650,112	16,853,394	16,853,394	16,853,394	544,380	—
Use of Fund Balance	19,155,491	57,009,204	113,125,424	(20,924,074)	63,319,121	30,051,561
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	54,588,000	42,485,610	42,485,610	42,485,610	43,603,859	45,376,776
Total	\$ 368,470,881	\$ 418,960,040	\$ 600,021,699	\$ 426,924,245	\$ 392,883,009	\$ 359,225,770

Appendix A: All Funds - Budget Summary

Community Services Group

Staffing						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Total		963.50			959.00	955.00

Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 85,261,397	\$ 93,137,271	\$ 93,137,271	\$ 87,395,833	\$ 91,714,912	\$ 93,626,554
Services & Supplies	122,898,089	149,485,512	165,714,795	139,115,271	154,763,824	148,742,715
Other Charges	21,264,284	21,529,934	31,616,031	21,850,658	19,357,392	17,409,306
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	(440,339)	—	—	—	2,000,000	—
Capital Assets Equipment	756,783	10,103,634	17,457,936	928,914	10,200,581	9,585,581
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(86,625)	(93,000)	(93,000)	(89,952)	(83,000)	(83,000)
Contingency Reserves	—	103,000	103,000	—	103,000	103,000
Fund Balance Component Increases	1,260,319	—	—	—	—	—
Operating Transfers Out	14,598,626	10,590,021	27,261,736	24,523,259	23,230,291	19,491,354
Management Reserves	—	4,250,000	4,250,000	—	4,250,000	4,250,000
Total	\$ 245,512,534	\$ 289,106,372	\$ 339,447,769	\$ 273,723,982	\$ 305,537,000	\$ 293,125,510

Appendix A: All Funds - Budget Summary ■ ■ ■

Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Current Property	\$ 27,304,052	\$ 27,909,997	\$ 27,909,997	\$ 27,461,840	\$ 27,909,997	\$ 27,909,997
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	4,345,159	4,766,679	3,162,281	3,872,382	2,619,276	2,719,929
Licenses Permits & Franchises	2,210,720	2,181,409	2,181,409	2,161,865	2,170,204	2,170,204
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	(33,421)	3,000	3,000	2,006	3,000	3,000
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	2,280,052	2,471,090	2,437,900	2,761,587	2,963,818	2,963,818
Intergovernmental Revenues	34,825,379	31,129,271	44,937,722	25,496,412	28,670,617	27,663,026
Charges For Current Services	139,828,308	158,336,774	172,931,359	148,789,724	166,432,991	164,448,281
Miscellaneous Revenues	9,997,027	3,740,325	3,465,076	4,234,248	3,343,886	2,865,106
Other Financing Sources	14,900,604	10,990,021	16,922,380	16,751,389	28,263,476	24,891,354
Residual Equity Transfers In	405,598	—	—	600,531	—	—
Fund Balance Component Decreases	—	1,260,319	1,260,319	1,260,319	—	1,260,319
Use of Fund Balance	(9,095,943)	27,572,487	45,491,326	21,586,678	23,825,819	16,395,112
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	18,545,000	18,745,000	18,745,000	18,745,000	19,333,916	19,835,364
Total	\$ 245,512,534	\$ 289,106,372	\$ 339,447,769	\$ 273,723,982	\$ 305,537,000	\$ 293,125,510

Appendix A: All Funds - Budget Summary

Finance and General Government Group

Staffing						
		Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget			Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Total		1,166.50			1,174.50	1,174.50

Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 117,847,443	\$ 126,336,042	\$ 124,229,190	\$ 120,752,154	\$ 127,355,719	\$ 130,636,088
Services & Supplies	195,391,697	234,884,230	271,349,630	201,677,432	211,749,514	190,233,751
Other Charges	2,421,150	1,000	1,000	2,420,705	1,000	1,000
Capital Assets Equipment	131,552	581,000	943,814	556,084	407,763	240,000
Expenditure Transfer & Reimbursements	(976,288)	(1,150,160)	(1,150,160)	(1,203,486)	(1,222,750)	(1,241,954)
Management Reserves	—	2,500,000	371,553	—	2,500,000	—
Total	\$ 314,815,553	\$ 363,152,112	\$ 395,745,027	\$ 324,202,889	\$ 340,791,246	\$ 319,868,885

Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Licenses Permits & Franchises	\$ 744,867	\$ 844,000	\$ 844,000	\$ 926,736	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	1,004,287	1,035,450	1,035,450	893,835	1,035,450	1,035,450
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	198,873	116,000	116,000	199,031	50,000	50,000
Intergovernmental Revenues	57,531	56,924	56,924	120,724	108,764	108,764
Charges For Current Services	175,433,123	187,496,637	196,639,429	176,733,881	203,575,486	185,044,210
Miscellaneous Revenues	7,885,533	8,686,018	8,686,018	7,581,789	8,913,016	8,997,706
Other Financing Sources	1,998,345	4,454,688	4,865,166	3,848,285	4,448,765	4,633,715
Use of Fund Balance	19,292,994	50,621,395	73,661,040	24,057,608	9,904,013	3,829,776
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	108,200,000	109,841,000	109,841,000	109,841,000	111,505,752	114,919,264
Total	\$ 314,815,553	\$ 363,152,112	\$ 395,745,027	\$ 324,202,889	\$ 340,791,246	\$ 319,868,885

Capital Program

Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Services & Supplies	\$ 9,681,601	\$ 663,000	\$ 6,000,412	\$ 5,406,545	\$ 608,000	\$ 608,000
Capital Assets/Land Acquisition	118,855,907	117,277,000	523,229,387	135,012,269	84,302,282	2,500,000
Capital Assets Equipment	435,400	—	89,276	89,276	—	—
Operating Transfers Out	9,277,823	9,279,645	9,279,645	9,269,645	9,278,883	9,280,933
Total	\$ 138,250,730	\$ 127,219,645	\$ 538,598,720	\$ 149,777,735	\$ 94,189,165	\$ 12,388,933

Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	\$ 296,767	\$ 321,012	\$ 321,012	\$ 273,509	\$ 7,123,197	\$ 5,624,304
Intergovernmental Revenues	7,023,844	10,000,037	12,780,797	21,481,495	11,625,968	4,264,629
Miscellaneous Revenues	450,504	—	5,695,914	409,250	—	—
Other Financing Sources	120,884,741	111,541,000	514,225,887	138,869,684	75,440,000	2,500,000
Use of Fund Balance	9,594,875	5,357,596	5,575,111	(11,256,203)	—	—
Total	\$ 138,250,730	\$ 127,219,645	\$ 538,598,720	\$ 149,777,735	\$ 94,189,165	\$ 12,388,933

Appendix A: All Funds - Budget Summary

Finance Other

Expenditures						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Services & Supplies	\$ 21,528,853	\$ 51,399,146	\$ 54,742,654	\$ 25,128,752	\$ 58,395,701	\$ 43,259,139
Other Charges	179,551,482	172,272,102	173,899,481	160,932,585	171,090,382	164,796,774
Contingency Reserves	—	21,700,000	21,700,000	—	22,000,000	22,000,000
Fund Balance Component Increases	4,000	—	—	—	—	—
Operating Transfers Out	61,280,313	85,614,688	443,069,134	122,494,571	62,012,087	43,789,292
Total	\$ 262,364,649	\$ 330,985,936	\$ 693,411,270	\$ 308,555,908	\$ 313,498,170	\$ 273,845,205

Revenues						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Current Property	\$ 22,031	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 26,166	\$ —	\$ —
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	36	—	—	81	—	—
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	2,712,081	2,452,211	2,452,211	2,452,202	2,457,410	2,200,000
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	2,138,060	1,812,130	1,812,130	1,772,939	1,783,741	1,779,467
Intergovernmental Revenues	800,301	800,000	12,023,625	1,715,489	2,904,250	2,902,000
Charges For Current Services	126,931,898	128,215,978	128,245,673	130,689,748	130,193,204	130,796,521
Miscellaneous Revenues	9,451,693	—	7,112,290	25,353,796	—	—
Other Financing Sources	9,536,082	9,588,791	11,023,785	10,976,416	9,615,038	9,617,088
Fund Balance Component Decreases	176,659	67,436	67,436	67,436	—	—
Use of Fund Balance	(69,974,194)	21,460,000	364,084,730	(46,737,620)	17,180,000	7,000,000
General Purpose Revenue Allocation	180,570,000	166,589,390	166,589,390	182,239,254	149,364,527	119,550,129
Total	\$ 262,364,649	\$ 330,985,936	\$ 693,411,270	\$ 308,555,908	\$ 313,498,170	\$ 273,845,205

Total General Purpose Revenue

General Purpose Revenue						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Current Property	\$ 501,166,532	\$ 505,813,659	\$ 505,813,659	\$ 508,898,891	\$ 510,490,511	\$ 513,893,752
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	400,456,144	381,647,350	381,647,350	387,063,683	383,772,066	387,334,860
Licenses Permits & Franchises	10,585,241	10,336,530	10,336,530	10,388,385	10,513,537	10,567,673
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	17,292,482	17,225,791	17,225,791	15,523,440	16,914,685	17,040,387
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	7,192,137	7,525,430	7,525,430	4,218,594	3,437,944	3,437,944
Intergovernmental Revenues	35,677,914	40,844,935	40,844,935	51,352,745	40,959,482	41,158,272
Charges For Current Services	182,049	—	—	4,306	—	—
Miscellaneous Revenues	2,028,327	1,028,305	1,028,305	2,621,819	1,027,775	1,032,112
Total	\$ 974,580,825	\$ 964,422,000	\$ 964,422,000	\$ 980,071,864	\$ 967,116,000	\$ 974,465,000

Appendix B: Budget Summary and Changes in Fund Balance

Appropriations/Expenditures by Fund Type

County Funds by Type						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
General Fund	\$3,261,867,191	\$3,742,809,210	\$4,240,880,938	\$3,450,875,408	\$3,718,903,529	\$3,637,596,249
Special Revenue Funds	360,961,953	389,393,890	451,381,421	376,233,007	398,179,679	393,629,502
Capital Project Funds	138,250,730	127,219,645	538,598,720	149,777,735	94,189,165	12,388,933
Debt Service County Family	81,451,018	81,354,997	81,384,692	81,375,988	81,467,380	81,460,697
County Proprietary Enterprise Funds	19,198,746	24,314,387	37,976,053	19,226,247	25,034,709	22,395,749
County Proprietary Internal Service Funds	338,636,779	362,767,837	398,398,941	340,581,721	399,396,565	380,565,650
Air Pollution Control District	35,997,811	45,088,021	60,722,070	39,956,096	44,274,271	45,179,512
County Service Areas	14,076,350	15,161,711	18,610,603	14,338,912	16,814,582	16,944,671
Miscellaneous Special Districts	9,204,168	14,968,662	26,685,051	18,822,547	9,995,021	11,757,882
Permanent Road Divisions	1,441,435	7,959,608	8,041,010	724,508	7,831,022	7,825,608
Sanitation Districts	51,460,074	40,065,136	111,341,148	90,933,908	39,637,059	30,569,892
Miscellaneous Local Agencies	6,919,666	8,532,060	16,104,853	28,797,776	9,515,160	9,709,645
Total	\$4,319,465,921	\$4,859,635,164	\$5,990,125,498	\$4,611,643,854	\$4,845,238,142	\$4,650,023,990

Appropriations/Expenditures by Group and Fund

Public Safety Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
General Fund	\$1,059,709,863	\$1,192,341,144	\$1,255,589,972	\$1,142,725,634	\$1,225,745,190	\$1,225,415,138
Sheriff's Asset Forfeiture Program	716,116	1,100,000	4,239,316	676,008	1,100,000	1,100,000
District Attorney Asset Forfeiture Program Fed	282,341	700,000	700,000	301,222	600,000	600,000
District Attorney Asset Forfeiture State	5,289	17,000	17,000	11,677	15,000	15,000
Probation Asset Forfeiture Program	72,215	50,000	50,741	18,999	50,000	50,000
Sheriff's Inmate Welfare	4,185,792	4,978,968	6,424,625	5,451,239	5,421,624	5,838,500
Probation Inmate Welfare	60,092	95,000	95,403	54,978	95,000	95,000
Public Safety Prop 172 Special Revenue	185,918,649	203,105,643	206,711,204	203,105,643	226,886,393	227,834,141
CSA 107 Elfin Forest Fire District	299,440	425,893	447,986	385,866	468,072	468,072
CSA 107 Elfin Forest Fire Mitigation Fee	9,360	—	—	—	—	—
CSA 109 Mt Laguna Fire Medical	73,315	72,647	72,647	70,094	42,647	—
CSA 109 Mt Laguna Fire Mitigation Fee	3,710	—	—	—	—	—
CSA 110 Mount Palomar Fire Medical	126,751	133,729	133,729	128,445	83,965	—
CSA 110 Mt Palomar Fire Mitigation Fee	1,935	—	—	—	—	—
CSA 111 Boulevard Fire District	179,290	84,891	91,609	26,750	54,891	—
CSA 111 Boulevard Fire Mitigation Fee	600	—	—	—	—	—
CSA 112 Campo Fire District	262,072	76,064	76,064	56,800	46,064	—
CSA 113 San Pasqual Fire District	78,357	107,889	107,889	90,692	77,889	—
CSA 113 San Pasqual Fire Mitigation Fee	14,116	—	—	—	—	—
CSA 115 Pepper Drive Fire District	281,013	364,269	364,269	283,290	364,269	364,269
CSA 135 Fire Protection / Emergency Medical Svcs	—	—	—	—	695,456	695,456
CSA 135 Regional 800 MHZ Radio System	622,955	622,955	622,955	256,704	622,955	622,955
CSA 135 Del Mar 800 MHZ Zone B	50,000	50,000	50,000	35,173	50,000	50,000

Appendix B: Budget Summary and Changes in Fund Balance

Public Safety Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
CSA 135 Poway 800 MHZ Zone F	155,502	155,502	135,191	119,961	155,502	155,502
CSA 135 Solana Beach 800 MHZ Zone H	44,300	45,400	45,400	27,868	45,400	45,400
Jail Stores Internal Service Fund	5,770,780	5,800,000	6,346,423	6,310,797	6,815,423	6,815,423
Total	\$1,258,923,852	\$1,410,326,994	\$1,482,322,423	\$1,360,137,841	\$1,469,435,740	\$1,470,164,856

Health and Human Services Agency						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
General Fund	\$1,698,733,690	\$1,883,670,132	\$1,903,327,852	\$1,735,205,726	\$1,891,354,447	\$1,883,434,475
Tobacco Securitization Special Revenue	24,371,225	27,500,000	27,500,000	24,329,478	27,500,000	27,500,000
CSA 17 San Dieguito Ambulance	2,642,615	2,754,749	3,575,376	3,264,281	3,815,715	3,815,715
CSA 69 Heartland Paramedic	5,380,192	5,959,184	6,175,362	5,521,768	6,233,650	6,654,641
Total	\$1,731,127,722	\$1,919,884,065	\$1,940,578,590	\$1,768,321,253	\$1,928,903,812	\$1,921,404,831

Land Use and Environment Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
General Fund	\$ 134,407,364	\$ 154,705,090	\$ 166,533,906	\$ 136,069,695	\$ 145,932,597	\$ 127,524,072
Road Fund	96,975,995	108,121,784	158,374,366	102,269,069	95,450,845	89,179,829
Air Pollution Control District Operations	18,598,989	20,855,870	21,472,330	19,438,761	21,095,414	22,000,655
APCD Air Quality Improvement Trust	6,833,544	10,510,179	10,580,091	7,230,658	10,000,000	10,000,000
Air Quality State Moyer Program	1,798,212	4,384,110	8,348,726	6,442,001	6,505,176	6,505,176
Air Quality Power General Mitigation	1,081,566	500,000	500,000	—	1,043,681	1,043,681
Air Quality School Bus Program	1,189,385	—	—	—	—	—
Air Quality Proposition 1B GMERP	5,551,636	6,986,362	14,011,362	1,569,475	5,330,000	5,330,000
Air Quality GMERP Early Grant	370,000	5,000	5,000	—	—	—
Air Quality State Lower Emission School Bus Prgm	423,339	1,330,000	5,288,061	5,275,201	—	—

Appendix B: Budget Summary and Changes in Fund Balance ■ ■ ■

Land Use and Environment Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Air Quality GMERP - Match Fund	151,141	516,500	516,500	—	300,000	300,000
San Diego County Lighting Maintenance District 1	1,567,594	1,797,313	1,804,288	1,601,782	1,990,079	1,990,079
Inactive Waste Site Management	6,646,382	6,852,862	7,676,856	5,885,344	6,304,679	6,359,131
Hillsborough Landfill Maintenance	145,218	247,757	247,877	124,207	—	—
Duck Pond Landfill Cleanup	10,881	16,645	16,645	2,952	16,978	17,317
Parkland Ded Area 4 Lincoln Acres	—	4,600	4,600	—	4,600	4,600
Parkland Ded Area 15 Sweetwater	3,804	9,600	9,600	3,172	9,600	9,600
Parkland Ded Area 16 Otay	—	2,000	2,000	—	2,000	2,000
Parkland Ded Area 19 Jamul	35	26,200	26,200	1,950	26,200	26,200
Parkland Ded Area 20 Spring Valley	5,394	5,400	5,400	5,226	27,400	27,400
Parkland Ded Area 25 Lakeside	62,859	23,500	24,373	22,048	32,000	32,000
Parkland Ded Area 26 Crest	36	10,500	10,500	286	10,500	10,500
Parkland Ded Area 27 Alpine	1,508	17,000	25,000	24,413	37,000	37,000
Parkland Ded Area 28 Ramona	1,996	44,000	291,000	156,999	44,000	44,000
Parkland Ded Area 29 Escondido	4,937	33,000	33,000	1,989	23,000	23,000
Parkland Ded Area 30 San Marcos	471	8,000	8,000	931	5,500	5,500
Parkland Ded Area 31 San Diego	8,690	140,000	440,000	219,913	215,000	215,000
Parkland Ded Area 32 Carlsbad	—	1,000	1,000	—	1,000	1,000
Parkland Ded Area 35 Fallbrook	18,813	104,000	379,000	80,481	129,000	129,000
Parkland Ded Area 36 Bonsall	24	23,000	23,000	12	13,000	13,000
Parkland Ded Area 37 Vista	1,065	22,000	233,198	742	22,000	22,000
Parkland Ded Area 38 Valley Center	526,938	21,000	79,964	44,153	30,000	30,000
Parkland Ded Area 39 Pauma Valley	12	14,000	14,000	827	5,000	5,000
Parkland Ded Area 40 Palomar Julian	32,118	14,600	28,209	9,533	14,600	14,600
Parkland Ded Area 41 Mountain Empire	156,990	7,000	45,569	6,602	7,000	7,000

Appendix B: Budget Summary and Changes in Fund Balance

Land Use and Environment Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Parkland Ded Area 42 Anza Borrego	3,104	5,000	5,000	465	5,000	5,000
Parkland Ded Area 43 Central Mountain	413	7,000	205,000	3,562	4,328	3,328
Parkland Ded Area 44 Oceanside	6	1,000	1,000	16	1,000	1,000
Parkland Ded Area 45 Valle de Oro	194	25,500	25,500	11,284	46,000	46,000
PRD 6 Pauma Valley	7,433	313,016	313,016	7,161	341,638	341,638
PRD 8 Magee Road Pala	9,052	147,216	147,216	5,009	175,334	175,334
PRD 9 Santa Fe Zone B	5,174	80,057	80,057	5,342	78,746	78,746
PRD 10 Davis Drive	3,843	31,427	31,427	3,046	32,400	32,400
PRD 11 Bernardo Road Zone A	3,915	31,994	31,994	3,489	36,609	36,609
PRD 11 Bernardo Road Zone C	4,229	26,683	26,683	2,911	25,263	25,263
PRD 11 Bernardo Road Zone D	3,840	31,384	31,384	2,853	34,481	34,481
PRD 12 Lomair	11,222	240,354	240,354	23,286	258,050	258,050
PRD 13 Pala Mesa Zone A	45,010	348,489	350,547	61,976	341,463	341,463
PRD 13 Stewart Canyon Zone B	11,889	54,603	54,603	5,152	52,951	52,951
PRD 14 Rancho Diego	—	776	1,168	1,168	1,163	1,163
PRD 16 Wynola	6,368	114,433	114,433	6,917	86,431	86,431
PRD 18 Harrison Park	15,010	191,472	192,372	21,609	266,684	266,684
PRD 20 Daily Road	584,470	411,052	411,052	35,473	345,642	345,642
PRD 21 Pauma Heights	374,403	145,471	145,471	35,429	220,776	220,776
PRD 22 West Dougherty St	3,452	16,935	16,935	2,830	15,117	15,117
PRD 23 Rock Terrace Road	3,609	18,812	18,812	2,671	22,401	22,401
PRD 24 Mt Whitney Road	3,862	58,943	58,943	4,600	19,926	19,926
CSA 26 Rancho San Diego	234,602	249,146	249,147	228,883	254,356	254,356
CSA 26 Cottonwood Village Zone A	86,300	127,555	140,178	100,082	159,890	159,890
CSA 26 Monte Vista Zone B	164,980	379,000	379,000	113,179	300,600	300,600
SD Landscape Maintenance Zone 1	186,500	159,499	159,499	158,697	139,364	139,364
Landscape Maintenance Dist Zone 2 - Julian	97,166	101,097	101,097	88,710	103,002	103,556
PRD 30 Royal Oaks Carroll	4,582	40,861	40,861	3,825	39,655	39,655
PRD 38 Gay Rio Terrace	5,501	70,245	70,245	3,123	55,444	55,444
PRD 39 Sunbeam Lane	3,405	13,013	13,013	4,287	12,049	12,049
PRD 45 Rincon Springs Rd	3,954	28,086	28,086	3,158	63,326	63,326
PRD 46 Rocos Road	10,421	40,987	40,987	3,425	31,438	31,438
PRD 49 Sunset Knolls Road	3,712	47,241	47,241	24,003	16,311	16,311

Appendix B: Budget Summary and Changes in Fund Balance ■ ■ ■

Land Use and Environment Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
PRD 50 Knoll Park Lane	3,773	126,052	126,052	3,023	127,888	127,888
PRD 53 Knoll Park Lane Extension	4,155	248,208	248,208	3,938	259,511	259,511
PRD 54 Mount Helix	4,958	121,875	121,875	3,846	160,079	160,079
PRD 55 Rainbow Crest Rd	7,040	228,296	234,804	5,872	271,380	271,380
PRD 60 River Drive	3,809	121,116	121,116	2,632	131,634	131,634
PRD 61 Green Meadow Way	3,619	192,293	192,293	2,861	198,174	198,174
PRD 63 Hillview Road	4,378	338,490	340,170	3,823	365,297	365,297
PRD 64 Lila Lane	—	2,680	2,737	2,737	2,727	2,727
PRD 70 El Camino Corto	3,916	55,475	55,475	2,739	29,264	29,264
PRD 75 Gay Rio Dr Zone A	8,000	193,675	193,675	3,020	205,949	205,949
PRD 75 Gay Rio Dr Zone B	3,986	375,213	375,213	3,474	389,967	389,967
PRD 76 Kingsford Court	3,677	29,132	29,132	2,785	35,440	35,440
PRD 77 Montiel Truck Trail	4,865	235,892	235,892	5,660	251,027	251,027
PRD 78 Gardena Way	3,458	42,744	42,744	2,661	47,278	47,278
PRD 80 Harris Truck Trail	5,385	271,503	271,503	92,872	171,420	171,420
CSA 81 Fallbrook Local Park	673,475	713,219	713,219	655,154	640,580	651,580
CSA 83 San Dieguito Local Park	655,900	734,713	2,240,510	872,019	683,283	687,283
CSA 83A Zone A45 Ranch Park 95155	629,992	663,300	863,300	627,561	643,002	626,002
CSA 86 Watson Place	—	—	8,059	8,059	—	—
PRD 88 East Fifth St	3,478	66,896	66,896	2,586	68,118	68,118
PRD 90 South Cordoba	3,715	48,584	48,584	3,773	22,423	22,423
PRD 94 Roble Grande Road	3,878	481,302	481,302	6,485	278,781	278,781
PRD 95 Valle Del Sol	4,409	263,187	263,187	5,226	283,006	283,006
PRD 99 Via Allondra Via Del Corvo	4,665	46,378	46,378	3,900	22,455	22,455
PRD 100 Viejas Lane View	3,724	29,091	29,091	2,927	11,505	11,505
PRD 101 Johnson Lake Rd	5,424	31,632	31,632	3,528	146,977	146,977
PRD 101 Hi Ridge Rd Zone A	25,604	14,640	14,640	3,189	14,148	14,148
PRD 102 Mountain Meadow	10,801	255,764	255,764	26,530	66,891	66,891
PRD 103 Alto Drive	8,850	265,152	265,152	30,963	190,004	190,004
PRD 104 Artesian Rd	4,738	74,447	74,447	4,325	91,033	91,033
PRD 105 Alta Loma Dr	4,185	16,307	16,307	3,531	63,335	63,335
PRD 105 Alta Loma Dr Zone A	4,203	16,924	16,924	3,876	89,153	89,153
PRD 106 Garrison Way Et Al	4,349	26,695	26,695	14,525	88,605	88,605
PRD 117 Legend Rock	9,220	14,607	14,607	3,798	9,975	9,975
CSA 122 Otay Mesa East	23,000	37,514	37,514	4,452	12,200	12,200
PRD 123 Mizpah Lane	4,206	60,258	60,258	3,125	66,509	66,509
PRD 125 Wrightwood Road	4,150	72,705	72,705	4,008	78,360	78,360
PRD 126 Sandhurst Way	4,025	34,845	34,845	3,982	35,344	35,344

Appendix B: Budget Summary and Changes in Fund Balance

Land Use and Environment Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
PRD 127 Singing Trails Drive	3,802	50,185	50,185	3,367	17,630	17,630
CSA 128 San Miguel Park Dist	1,082,256	1,097,285	1,774,392	1,194,448	1,073,262	1,089,262
PRD 130 Wilkes Road	13,184	156,184	156,184	9,133	113,307	113,307
PRD 133 Ranch Creek Road	7,090	8,407	70,907	64,175	18,747	18,747
PRD 134 Kenora Lane	3,494	59,860	59,860	2,985	68,654	68,654
CSA 136 Sundance Detention Basin	16,656	46,211	46,211	19,977	48,568	48,568
San Diego County Flood Control District	5,236,020	12,334,061	13,463,020	6,084,105	7,191,700	8,954,561
Blackwolf Stormwater Maint ZN 349781	12,511	9,328	9,328	1,285	10,634	10,634
Lake Rancho Viejo Stormwater Maint ZN 442493	71,386	189,800	196,765	62,250	197,000	197,000
Ponderosa Estates Maint ZN 351421	—	—	—	—	22,690	22,690
PRD 1001 Capra Way	3,536	—	1,800	1,525	1,030	374
PRD 1002 Sunny Acres	3,276	18,688	18,688	3,012	20,848	20,848
PRD 1003 Alamo Way	3,705	13,968	13,968	3,137	14,830	14,830
PRD 1004 Butterfly Lane	—	3	4	4	4	4
PRD 1005 Eden Valley Lane	3,704	59,744	59,744	3,097	64,715	64,715
PRD 1006 North View Lane	—	—	6	6	—	—
PRD 1007 Tumble Creek	4,685	70	5,570	2,776	4,976	218
PRD 1008 Canter	3,520	25,033	25,033	3,134	6,948	6,948
PRD 1009 Golf Drive	—	2,200	2,200	—	2,200	2,200
PRD 1010 Alpine High	5,885	263,711	263,711	18,463	289,395	289,395
PRD 1011 La Cuesta	3,633	43,494	43,494	3,435	50,654	50,654
PRD 1012 Millar Road	3,927	35,620	35,620	3,225	42,365	42,365
PRD 1013 Singing Trails	3,853	78,288	78,288	3,050	78,014	78,014
PRD 1014 Lavender Point Lane	13,969	86,539	86,539	2,624	78,570	78,570
PRD 1015 Landavo Drive	23,554	82,458	82,458	24,252	87,666	87,666
PRD 1016 El Sereno Way	29,621	69,548	69,548	28,147	23,514	23,514
Survey Monument Preservation Fund	88,922	400,000	400,000	219,698	200,000	200,000
Special Aviation	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000
Special Aviation Debt Service	343,597	342,389	342,389	342,388	340,484	337,880
County Fish and Game Propagation	10,209	37,000	37,000	13,699	18,000	18,000
Airport Enterprise Fund	13,222,762	17,393,288	31,016,526	13,081,709	17,970,224	15,458,025
Liquid Waste Enterprise Fund	5,975,983	6,921,099	6,959,527	6,144,539	7,064,485	6,937,724

Appendix B: Budget Summary and Changes in Fund Balance ■ ■ ■

Land Use and Environment Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Wintergardens Sewer Maintenance District	1,505,250	—	8,959,492	8,959,492	—	—
East Otay Mesa Sewer Maint Dist	83,026	—	499,508	499,508	—	—
CWSMD-Zone B (Campo Hills Water)	165,903	284,660	291,353	211,743	283,140	283,140
Campo Water Sewer Maint District - Sewer	347,740	—	1,107,797	1,107,797	—	—
Campo WSMD-Zone A (Rancho Del Campo Water)	214,739	353,500	353,500	294,584	299,778	299,778
Alpine Sanitation Maintenance and Operations	1,218,365	—	2,260,777	2,260,777	—	—
Julian Sanitation Maintenance and Operation	268,366	—	283,170	283,170	—	—
Lakeside Sanitation Maintenance and Operation	21,363,734	—	25,472,361	25,472,361	—	—
Lakeside Sanitation Debt Service	—	—	114	114	—	—
Pine Valley Sanitation Maintenance & Operation	61,972	—	114,852	114,852	—	—
San Diego County Sanitation District	—	40,065,136	42,313,485	22,208,413	39,637,059	30,569,892
DPW Equipment Internal Service Fund	5,503,788	5,005,616	5,521,317	5,374,116	5,926,138	5,926,138
DPW ISF Equipment Acquisition Road Fund	1,900,027	4,905,481	5,845,451	1,844,797	6,178,481	6,178,481
DPW ISF Equipment Acquisition Inactive Waste	40,765	200,801	200,801	45,014	93,513	93,513
DPW ISF Equipment Acquisition Airport Enterprise	131,595	234,316	296,539	131,448	197,585	197,585
DPW ISF Equipment Acquisition Liquid Waste	233,668	567,046	1,080,982	222,687	628,792	628,792
Spring Valley Sanitation Maintenance & Operation	28,547,638	—	40,888,074	40,585,906	—	—
South County Operations Center	—	—	8,316	8,316	—	—
Total	\$ 368,470,881	\$ 418,960,040	\$ 600,021,699	\$ 426,924,245	\$ 392,883,009	\$ 359,225,770

Appendix B: Budget Summary and Changes in Fund Balance

Community Services Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
General Fund	\$ 56,711,084	\$ 77,886,827	\$ 95,537,908	\$ 56,589,598	\$ 75,774,324	\$ 65,340,492
Housing & Community Development Special Rev Fund	4,500,000	—	—	—	—	—
Co Successor Housing Agy Gillespie Housing	—	—	7,955	7,955	30,000	30,000
Co Successor Housing Agy USDRIP Housing	—	—	11,611	11,611	75,000	75,000
County Library	35,749,624	35,209,942	36,577,885	32,781,801	33,415,948	33,720,976
Co Successor Agy Redev Obligation Ret Fund	—	—	106,000	18,757	2,244,276	2,344,929
Co Successor Agy Gillespie Red Obligation Ret Fd	—	—	—	—	1,444,276	1,664,929
Co Successor Agy USDRIP Red Obligation Ret Fund	—	—	—	—	550,000	550,000
05 Redev Gill Field - Special Revenue DS	2,601,113	2,689,110	2,944,156	2,944,156	—	—
Co Redev Agy 05 Gillespie Redev Debt Service Fd	1,143,450	1,152,089	1,152,089	762,810	—	—
Co Redev Agy 05 Gillespie Redev - Interest	783,450	767,089	767,089	387,810	—	—
Co Redev Agy 05 Gillespie Redev - Principal	360,000	375,000	375,000	375,000	—	—
Co Redev Agy 05 Gillespie Redev DS Reserve	—	10,000	1,154,993	1,154,993	—	—
Co Successor Agy Gillespie Fld Debt Srv	—	—	379,279	13,928,003	1,144,276	1,164,929
Co Successor Agy Gillespie Fld Interest Acct	—	—	379,279	379,279	749,276	749,929
Co Successor Agy Gillespie Fld Principal Acct	—	—	—	—	395,000	415,000
Co Redev Agy Gillespie Field Cap Admin Fund	110,119	280,350	441,956	441,956	—	—
Co Redev Agy Upper SD River Capital	1,812,652	2,353,000	2,366,431	2,366,431	—	—
Co Redev Agy Gillespie Fld Imprv Cap	—	—	100,124	100,124	—	—
Co Redev Agy Gillespie Housing Capital	33,710	545,822	2,080,501	2,080,501	—	—
Co Redev Agy Upper SD River Housg Cap	48,922	333,350	963,025	963,025	—	—
Co Successor Agy USDRIP	—	—	773,969	773,969	1,138,780	550,000
Co Successor Agy Gillespie Fld Spec Revenue Fund	—	—	379,279	379,279	1,444,276	1,664,929

Appendix B: Budget Summary and Changes in Fund Balance ■ ■ ■

Community Services Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Co Successor Agy Gillespie Fld Admin	—	—	150,000	150,000	300,000	500,000
Co Successor Agy Gillespie Housing	—	—	1,380,762	1,380,762	—	—
Co Successor Agy USDRIP Housing	—	—	165,105	165,105	—	—
Purchasing Internal Service Fund	9,511,551	11,187,167	11,507,665	10,191,373	14,020,588	9,613,745
Fleet Services Internal Service Fund	6,428,798	7,437,446	7,582,003	6,630,461	7,167,476	7,331,532
Fleet ISF Equipment Acquisition General	10,935,344	20,310,182	34,988,210	18,131,679	20,580,122	20,188,451
Fleet ISF Materials Supply Inventory	16,572,037	15,936,529	18,101,344	18,197,329	17,694,421	17,931,284
Fleet ISF Accident Repair	332,948	271,797	271,797	284,459	491,118	491,118
Fleet ISF Accidents Sheriff	476,566	330,843	330,843	376,504	649,096	649,096
Facilities Management Internal Service Fund	79,522,764	87,026,675	88,512,740	83,622,742	95,278,442	96,598,866
Major Maintenance Internal Service Fund	17,878,402	25,003,154	29,958,771	18,146,512	30,950,305	31,550,305
Total	\$ 245,512,534	\$ 289,106,372	\$ 339,447,769	\$ 273,723,982	\$ 305,537,000	\$ 293,125,510

Finance and General Government Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
General Fund	\$ 195,472,374	\$ 235,481,604	\$ 259,671,249	\$ 203,403,965	\$ 200,411,160	\$ 195,842,543
Information Technology Internal Service Fund	119,343,180	127,670,508	136,073,778	120,798,924	140,380,086	124,026,342
Total	\$ 314,815,553	\$ 363,152,112	\$ 395,745,027	\$ 324,202,889	\$ 340,791,246	\$ 319,868,885

Appendix B: Budget Summary and Changes in Fund Balance

Capital Program						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Capital Outlay Fund	\$ 98,491,217	\$ 37,277,000	\$ 262,201,355	\$ 108,689,121	\$ 46,702,282	\$ —
Capital MSCP Acquisition Fund	10,526,359	10,000,000	28,259,453	8,093,162	10,000,000	2,500,000
County Health Complex Capital Outlay Fund	—	—	259,000	10,328	—	—
Justice Facility Construction Capital Outlay Fnd	9,045,993	70,000,000	230,037,300	18,409,828	27,600,000	—
Library Projects Capital Outlay Fund	10,295,520	—	7,681,452	5,019,002	—	—
Edgemoor Development Fund	9,891,642	9,942,645	10,160,159	9,556,293	9,886,883	9,888,933
Total	\$ 138,250,730	\$ 127,219,645	\$ 538,598,720	\$ 149,777,735	\$ 94,189,165	\$ 12,388,933

Finance Other						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
General Fund	\$ 116,832,816	\$ 198,724,413	\$ 560,220,052	\$ 176,880,790	\$ 179,685,811	\$ 140,039,529
Pension Obligation Bonds	81,451,018	81,354,997	81,384,692	81,375,988	81,467,380	81,460,697
Employee Benefits Internal Service Fund	41,463,432	34,337,627	34,337,627	37,715,381	36,568,089	36,568,089
Public Liability Internal Service Fund	22,591,133	16,542,649	17,442,649	12,557,499	15,776,890	15,776,890
Majestic Pines County Service District Debt	26,250	26,250	26,250	26,250	—	—
Total	\$ 262,364,649	\$ 330,985,936	\$ 693,411,270	\$ 308,555,908	\$ 313,498,170	\$ 273,845,205

Changes in Components of Fund Balance (by Fund Group)

Beginning in Fiscal Year 2010-11, ending fund balance represents all components of fund balance as defined by Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) 54. This can be nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned or unassigned fund balance for the Governmental Funds or unrestricted net assets for the Proprietary Funds.

Ending Fund Balances (in millions)								
	General Fund	Special Revenue Funds	Debt Service Fund	Capital Funds	Enterprise Funds	Internal Service Funds	Special Districts	Misc. Category
Fiscal Year 2009-10 Ending Fund Balance ¹	\$ 1,220.1	\$ 661.5	\$ 3.4	\$ 13.1	\$ 13.8	\$ 44.3	\$ 108.1	\$ 5.6
Fiscal Year 2010-11 Ending Fund Balance ¹	1,394.4	661.8	2.1	9.0	17.0	40.3	105.1	6.1
Fiscal Year 2011-12 Ending Fund Balance ²	\$ 1,481.7	\$ 669.5	\$ 0.6	\$ 17.8	\$ 19.8	\$ 47.2	\$ 104.9	\$ 5.6

¹ Amounts may not agree with the category grouping in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) due to different budgetary roll-ups.

² Pending completion of the June 30, 2012 CAFR audit.

Fiscal Year 2012-13 (in millions)								
	General Fund	Special Revenue Funds	Debt Service Fund	Capital Funds	Enterprise Funds	Internal Service Funds	Special Districts	Misc. Category
Beginning Fund Balance	\$ 1,481.7	\$ 669.5	\$ 0.6	\$ 17.8	\$ 19.8	\$ 47.2	\$ 104.9	\$ 5.6
Add:								
Budgeted Revenue	3,603.8	365.5	80.9	94.2	19.3	386.9	88.6	9.4
Fund Balance Component Decrease	0.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total Available Funding	\$ 5,086.0	\$ 1,035.0	\$ 81.5	\$ 112.0	\$ 39.1	\$ 434.1	\$ 193.5	\$ 15.0
Less:								
Budgeted Expenditures	\$ (3,716.9)	\$ (398.2)	\$ (81.4)	\$ (94.2)	\$ (25.0)	\$ (399.4)	\$ (106.8)	\$ (9.5)
Fund Balance Component Increase	(2.0)	—	—	—	—	—	(11.8)	—
Projected Ending Fund Balance	\$ 1,367.1	\$ 636.8	\$ 0.1	\$ 17.8	\$ 14.1	\$ 34.7	\$ 74.9	\$ 5.5

Appendix B: Budget Summary and Changes in Fund Balance

Fiscal Year 2013-14 (in millions)								
	General Fund	Special Revenue Funds	Debt Service Fund	Capital Funds	Enterprise Funds	Internal Service Funds	Special Districts	Misc. Category
Beginning Fund Balance	\$ 1,367.1	\$ 636.8	\$ 0.1	\$ 17.8	\$ 14.1	\$ 34.7	\$ 74.9	\$ 5.5
Add:								
Budgeted Revenue	3,585.6	371.7	81.4	12.4	18.2	365.9	90.8	9.6
Fund Balance Component Decrease	14.2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total Available Revenue	\$ 4,966.9	\$ 1,008.5	\$ 81.5	\$ 30.2	\$ 32.3	\$ 400.6	\$ 165.7	\$ 15.1
Less:								
Budgeted Expenditures	\$ (3,637.6)	\$ (393.6)	\$ (81.4)	\$ (12.4)	\$ (22.4)	\$ (380.6)	\$ (111.9)	\$ (9.7)
Fund Balance Component Increase	0.1	—	—	—	—	—	0.3	—
Projected Ending Fund Balance	\$ 1,329.4	\$ 614.9	\$ 0.1	\$ 17.8	\$ 9.9	\$ 20.0	\$ 54.1	\$ 5.4



Appendix C: General Fund Budget Summary

Appropriations/Expenditures by Group

Public Safety Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Public Safety Executive Office	\$ 5,553,007	\$ 22,615,635	\$ 24,809,351	\$ 22,364,175	\$ 6,930,453	\$ 7,006,227
San Diego County Fire Authority	16,904,645	25,191,550	32,802,421	20,590,756	22,830,958	15,746,453
District Attorney	136,282,274	154,463,194	155,934,826	138,866,748	154,065,088	154,098,053
Sheriff	541,762,220	598,463,082	637,598,685	588,192,153	620,981,650	627,004,347
Child Support Services	47,580,640	51,122,040	51,377,669	47,153,883	50,956,406	52,843,995
Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board	503,776	589,485	589,485	533,228	588,111	601,799
Office of Emergency Services	6,536,737	10,471,121	12,842,501	6,690,949	9,180,674	5,392,696
Medical Examiner	8,325,129	8,897,649	9,260,155	8,552,853	8,951,213	9,199,329
Probation	164,209,118	179,528,491	188,148,594	172,640,351	206,964,589	208,641,029
Public Defender	61,789,635	69,460,410	70,541,826	67,292,988	72,757,375	73,342,537
Contribution for Trial Courts	70,262,842	71,538,487	71,684,458	69,847,550	71,538,673	71,538,673
Defense Attorney / Contract Administration	(160)	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$1,059,709,863	\$1,192,341,144	\$1,255,589,972	\$1,142,725,634	\$1,225,745,190	\$1,225,415,138

Health and Human Services Agency						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Regional Operations	\$ 449,184,601	\$ 464,314,986	\$ 464,729,090	\$ 425,717,725	\$ 467,713,267	\$ 471,967,479
Strategic Planning & Operational Support	188,609,481	225,162,212	247,426,248	247,444,685	235,222,731	234,408,045
Aging and Independence Services	304,611,832	309,757,401	307,349,227	300,959,318	312,514,970	313,187,286
Behavioral Health Services	373,690,616	427,734,706	432,364,165	381,396,291	431,072,490	433,581,666
Administrative Support	56,517,718	98,816,209	94,945,939	59,355,161	100,483,108	79,946,593
Child Welfare Services	226,655,143	258,626,410	253,782,611	222,813,488	248,498,925	252,210,994
Public Health Services	94,965,951	94,666,657	98,139,020	93,005,436	91,391,904	94,079,525
Public Administrator / Public Guardian	4,498,351	4,591,551	4,591,551	4,513,622	4,457,052	4,052,887
Total	\$1,698,733,690	\$1,883,670,132	\$1,903,327,852	\$1,735,205,726	\$1,891,354,447	\$1,883,434,475

Appendix C: General Fund Budget Summary

Land Use and Environment Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Land Use and Environment Executive Office	\$ 3,855,874	\$ 6,248,612	\$ 6,515,604	\$ 5,048,144	\$ 6,840,090	\$ 4,641,447
Agriculture, Weights and Measures	17,539,100	19,274,073	19,612,876	18,064,796	19,001,994	18,682,640
Environmental Health	37,629,562	47,848,861	48,519,018	37,738,207	46,123,629	42,983,376
Farm and Home Advisor	967,857	853,058	855,140	847,845	853,058	853,058
Parks and Recreation	31,520,527	29,520,207	36,261,896	31,929,584	29,499,373	28,280,195
Planning and Land Use	27,588,064	33,503,037	35,342,654	26,500,639	29,450,365	22,570,525
Public Works	15,306,379	17,457,242	19,426,719	15,940,481	14,164,088	9,512,831
Total	\$ 134,407,364	\$ 154,705,090	\$ 166,533,906	\$ 136,069,695	\$ 145,932,597	\$ 127,524,072

Community Services Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Community Services Executive Office	\$ 2,590,043	\$ 5,999,161	\$ 8,401,599	\$ 2,189,619	\$ 6,725,231	\$ 5,941,679
Animal Services	14,090,546	15,343,329	15,673,140	14,995,250	15,822,397	15,612,937
General Services	2,550,514	1,476,000	2,358,473	2,357,586	1,785,000	1,625,000
Housing & Community Development	19,306,535	24,139,672	37,229,554	19,571,997	24,351,940	20,269,105
Purchasing and Contracting	1,751,968	2,156,296	2,156,296	1,823,908	5,995,000	2,065,000
Registrar of Voters	16,421,478	28,772,369	29,718,846	15,651,238	21,094,756	19,826,771
Total	\$ 56,711,084	\$ 77,886,827	\$ 95,537,908	\$ 56,589,598	\$ 75,774,324	\$ 65,340,492

Appendix C: General Fund Budget Summary ■ ■ ■

Finance and General Government Group						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Finance & General Government Executive Office	\$ 26,788,011	\$ 49,337,237	\$ 63,731,770	\$ 33,071,818	\$ 10,174,656	\$ 7,692,754
Board of Supervisors	7,239,210	7,683,215	8,302,711	7,307,765	7,811,230	7,816,168
Assessor / Recorder / County Clerk	48,903,241	53,590,691	57,426,138	49,107,781	56,359,869	56,039,243
Treasurer - Tax Collector	17,355,006	20,854,463	22,171,747	18,062,867	20,475,327	20,689,825
Chief Administrative Office	4,099,283	4,303,059	4,411,670	4,227,665	4,312,234	4,385,417
Auditor and Controller	31,053,895	33,983,646	34,652,368	32,743,676	36,752,555	35,157,520
County Technology Office	8,602,266	9,251,411	10,501,084	8,850,949	10,750,954	10,113,400
Civil Service Commission	544,442	568,229	568,229	560,767	586,695	601,143
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	6,734,792	6,458,189	4,770,564	4,005,480	3,279,610	3,366,032
County Counsel	21,390,129	22,361,077	23,248,333	22,101,008	22,935,440	23,031,595
Grand Jury	533,382	601,232	624,291	529,878	588,080	591,003
Human Resources	19,670,804	23,335,014	25,867,916	20,011,251	23,323,953	23,241,768
County Communications Office	2,557,914	3,154,141	3,394,427	2,823,058	3,060,557	3,116,675
Total	\$ 195,472,374	\$ 235,481,604	\$ 259,671,249	\$ 203,403,965	\$ 200,411,160	\$ 195,842,543

Finance Other						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Cash Borrowing Program	\$ 3,061,197	\$ 7,700,000	\$ 7,700,000	\$ 1,141,222	\$ 7,700,000	\$ 7,700,000
Community Enhancement	2,723,500	2,500,000	2,529,372	2,510,200	2,500,000	2,500,000
Neighborhood Reinvestment Program	5,508,876	5,000,000	5,742,486	3,913,954	5,000,000	5,000,000
Contributions to County Library	350,000	—	4,700	4,700	—	—
Contingency Reserve - General Fund	—	20,000,000	20,000,000	—	20,000,000	20,000,000
Contributions to Capital Program	97,565,217	119,855,327	477,592,720	157,808,703	90,859,601	39,532,414
Countywide General Expense	7,281,965	43,327,025	46,308,712	11,159,949	53,284,149	64,954,792
Local Agency Formation Commission Administration	342,061	342,061	342,061	342,061	342,061	352,323
Total	\$ 116,832,816	\$ 198,724,413	\$ 560,220,052	\$ 176,880,790	\$ 179,685,811	\$ 140,039,529

Appendix C: General Fund Budget Summary

Total - Group/Agency						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Total	\$3,261,867,191	\$3,742,809,210	\$4,240,880,938	\$3,450,875,408	\$3,718,903,529	\$3,637,596,249

Financing Sources

Financing Sources By Category						
	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Taxes Current Property	\$ 501,166,532	\$ 505,813,659	\$ 505,813,659	\$ 508,898,891	\$ 510,490,511	\$ 513,893,752
Taxes Other Than Current Secured	400,456,144	381,647,350	381,647,350	387,063,683	383,772,066	387,334,860
Licenses Permits & Franchises	43,167,260	41,617,543	41,617,543	43,056,351	41,562,552	43,355,607
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	49,033,826	52,057,099	56,392,807	48,894,570	52,005,089	48,917,609
Revenue From Use of Money & Property	19,649,512	17,691,378	17,691,378	16,640,295	13,903,196	14,037,630
Intergovernmental Revenues	1,807,162,899	1,970,463,893	2,039,898,842	1,868,989,996	2,016,097,530	1,998,855,008
Charges For Current Services	283,079,460	290,357,634	291,830,027	286,295,694	289,941,603	290,211,071
Miscellaneous Revenues	48,006,235	25,744,581	33,657,474	54,694,259	31,556,491	23,390,278
Other Financing Sources	229,482,550	241,457,560	244,773,771	244,507,633	264,557,972	265,559,606
Total Revenues	\$3,381,204,417	\$3,526,850,697	\$3,613,322,852	\$3,459,041,372	\$3,603,887,010	\$3,585,555,421
Fund Balance Component Decreases	4,608,369	5,893,425	5,893,425	5,893,425	544,380	14,225,657
Use of Fund Balance	(123,945,595)	210,065,088	621,664,661	(14,059,389)	114,472,139	37,815,171
Total Financing Sources	\$3,261,867,191	\$3,742,809,210	\$4,240,880,938	\$3,450,875,408	\$3,718,903,529	\$3,637,596,249

Appendix D: Health & Human Services - Regional Operations

Health and Human Services - Regional Operations

This appendix provides a different view of the same information found in the Regional Operations section of the Health and Human Services Agency Operational Plan. Arriving at the same totals, these charts summarize the staffing and budget for the Regional Operations programs based on the type of program or administrative service rather than by location of services.



Staffing by Program

	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Regional Administration	25.00	25.00	25.00
Public Health Services	168.00	174.00	174.00
Family Resource Centers/ Assistance Payments	1,439.00	1,604.00	1,604.00
Child Welfare Services	637.00	621.00	621.00
Community Action Partnership (Central)	8.00	8.00	8.00
Total	2,277.00	2,432.00	2,432.00

Budget by Program

	Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Amended Budget	Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actuals	Fiscal Year 2012-13 Adopted Budget	Fiscal Year 2013-14 Approved Budget
Regional Administration	\$ 4,349,085	\$ 3,925,437	\$ 3,932,410	\$ 3,875,396	\$ 3,993,765	\$ 4,082,135
Public Health Services	18,148,230	20,067,052	20,114,068	18,937,067	21,233,642	21,387,041
Family Resource Centers/ Assistance Payments	357,962,935	368,542,303	368,734,995	337,325,145	371,517,459	375,177,794
Child Welfare Services	58,002,642	65,544,422	65,565,709	59,708,881	64,786,646	66,340,281
Community Action Partnership (Central)	10,721,709	6,235,772	6,381,908	5,871,236	6,181,755	4,980,228
Total	\$ 449,184,601	\$ 464,314,986	\$ 464,729,090	\$ 425,717,725	\$ 467,713,267	\$ 471,967,479



Appendix E: Operational Plan Acronyms and Abbreviations

AB: Assembly Bill
ACAO: Assistant Chief Administrative Officer
ADA: Americans with Disabilities Act
AIS: Aging and Independence Services
APCD: Air Pollution Control District
ARRA: American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009
AWM: Agriculture, Weights and Measures
BHS: Behavioral Health Services
BPR: Business Process Reengineering
CAC: County Administration Center
CAFR: Comprehensive Annual Financial Report
CAO: Chief Administrative Officer
CCO: County Communications Office
CDBG: Community Development Block Grant
CFO: Chief Financial Officer
CINA: Capital Improvement Needs Assessment
CLERB: Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board
COC: County Operations Center
COF: Capital Outlay Fund
COOP: Continuity of Operations
COPs: Certificates of Participation
CSA: County Service Area
CSAC: California State Association of Counties
CSG: Community Services Group
CTN: County Television Network
CTO: County Technology Office
CWS: Child Welfare Services
DAS: Department of Animal Services
DCAO: Deputy Chief Administrative Officer
DCSS: Department of Child Support Services
DEH: Department of Environmental Health
DGS: Department of General Services
DHR: Department of Human Resources
DIBBS: Do-It-Better-By-Suggestion
DPLU: Department of Planning and Land Use



DPW: Department of Public Works
ERAF: Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund
ERP: Enterprise Resource Planning
FEMA: Federal Emergency Management Agency
FGG: Finance and General Government Group
FHA: Farm and Home Advisor
FY: Fiscal Year
GAAP: Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
GASB: Governmental Accounting Standards Board
GDP: Gross Domestic Product
GFOA: Government Finance Officers Association
GIS: Geographic Information System
GMS: General Management System
GPR: General Purpose Revenue
GSR: Global Scale Rating
HCD: Housing and Community Development
HHS: Health and Human Services Agency
IHSS: In-Home Supportive Services
ISF: Internal Service Fund
IT: Information Technology
LRBs: Lease Revenue Bonds
LUEG: Land Use and Environment Group
MSCP: Multiple Species Conservation Program
NACo: National Association of Counties
OAAS: Office of Audits and Advisory Services
OES: Office of Emergency Services

Appendix E: Operational Plan Acronyms and Abbreviations

OPEB: Other Post Employment Benefit

PA/PG: Public Administrator/Public Guardian

PHS: Public Health Services

PLDO: Parkland Dedication Ordinance

POB: Pension Obligation Bond

PRD: Permanent Road Division

PSG: Public Safety Group

RFP: Request for Proposal

RPTT: Real Property Transfer Tax

SANCAL: San Diego County Capital Asset Leasing Corporation

SANDAG: San Diego Association of Governments

SanGIS: San Diego Geographic Information Source

SB: Senate Bill

SDCERA: San Diego County Employees Retirement Association

SDCFA: San Diego County Fire Authority

SDRBA: San Diego Regional Building Authority

SPOS: Strategic Planning and Operational Support

TABs: Tax Allocation Bonds

TOT: Transient Occupancy Tax

TRANS: Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes

UAAL: Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

USDRIP: Upper San Diego River Improvement Project

VLF: Vehicle License Fees

Appendix F: Glossary of Operational Plan Terms

Account: A distinct reporting category in a ledger used for budgeting or accounting purposes. All budgetary transactions, whether revenue or expenditure related, are recorded in accounts. Also called Object in the County's Budgeting, Reporting and Analysis Support System (BRASS).

Activity: A departmental effort that contributes to the accomplishment of specific identified program objectives.

Actuarial Accrued Liability: The actuarial accrued liability, as assessed by an actuary, generally represents the present value of fully projected benefits attributable to service credit that has been earned (or accrued) as of the valuation date; it is computed differently under different funding methods.

Actuals: The County's year-end actual dollars for expenditures and revenues for a fiscal year. Also, the year-end actual measures or results for statistical performance data for a fiscal year.

Actuary: A person professionally trained in the technical aspects of pensions, insurance and related fields. The actuary estimates how much money must be contributed to an insurance or pension fund in order to provide current and future benefits.

Adopted Budget: The annual budget formally approved by the Board of Supervisors for a specific fiscal year.

Adopted Operational Plan: The Board of Supervisors' two-year financial plan that allocates resources to specific programs and services that support the County's long-term goals; it includes the adopted budget for the first year and a tentative budget that is approved in principle for the second year.

Amended Budget: A budget that reflects the adopted budget plus the carry forward budget from the previous year and any mid-year changes authorized during the fiscal year.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA): A federal law that, among other provisions, requires modification of public buildings to ensure access for people with disabilities.

Appropriation: A legal authorization to make expenditures and to incur obligations for specific purposes.

Appropriation for Contingency: A budgetary provision representing that portion of the financing requirements set aside to meet unforeseen expenditure requirements or to offset revenue shortfalls.

Arbitrage: As defined by treasury regulations, the profit earned from investing low yielding tax-exempt proceeds in higher yielding taxable securities. In general, arbitrage profits earned must be paid to the United States Treasury as



rebate unless a specific exception to the rebate requirements applies.

Assessed Valuation: A valuation set upon real estate or other property by a government as a basis for levying taxes.

Asset: An item owned or a resource held that has monetary value.

Assigned Fund Balance: That portion of fund balance that reflects an intended use of resources. For nongeneral funds, it is the amount in excess of nonspendable, restricted and committed fund balance.

Assistant Chief Administrative Officer (ACAO): The County's second-highest ranking executive, the ACAO works with the Chief Administrative Officer in a chief operating officer capacity to implement the Board of Supervisors' policies and to manage the County's workforce and annual budget.

Balance Sheet: The financial statement disclosing the assets, liabilities and equity of an entity at a specified date in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP).

Balanced Budget or Balanced Operational Plan: A budget in which the planned expenditures and the means of financing them are equal. A balanced annual budget is required by the State of California per Government Code §29000, et seq.

Board of Supervisors: The five-member, elected governing body of the County authorized by the California State Constitution. Each Board member represents a specific geographic area (Supervisorial District) of the county.

Bond: A written promise to pay a specified sum of money, called the face value or principal amount, at a specified date or dates in the future, called the maturity date(s), together with periodic interest at a specified rate. Some-

times, however, all or a substantial portion of the interest is included in the face value of the bond. The sale of bonds is one mechanism used to obtain long-term financing.

Budget: A financial plan for a single fiscal year includes proposed expenditures for a given period and the proposed means of financing them. The annual budget is contained within the Operational Plan.

Business Process Reengineering (BPR): The fundamental rethinking and radical redesign of business processes to achieve dramatic improvements in critical measures of performance, such as cost, quality, service and speed. One goal of BPR is to generate budgetary savings to permit reallocations of resources to other priority needs and services.

California State Association of Counties (CSAC): An organization that represents county government before the California Legislature, administrative agencies and the federal government.

CalWIN: CalWORKs Information Network: A fully integrated online, real-time automated system to support eligibility and benefits determination, client correspondence, management reports, interfaces and case management for public assistance programs, such as the CalWORKs Program.

CalWORKs: California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids program. A welfare program that gives cash aid and services to eligible needy California families.

Capital Assets: Tangible and intangible assets acquired for use in operations that will benefit more than a single fiscal year. Typical examples of tangible assets are land, improvements to land, easements, buildings, building improvements, infrastructure, equipment, vehicles and machinery.

Capital Assets Equipment: Equipment that includes movable personal property of a relatively permanent nature (useful life of one year or longer) and of significant value, such as furniture, machines, tools, weapons and vehicles. An item costing \$5,000 or more is budgeted in the appropriate capital asset account and capitalized. When an individual item costs less than \$5,000 (including weapons and modular equipment) it is budgeted in the minor equipment account.

Capital Assets/Land Acquisition: Expenditure accounts that include expenditures for the acquisition of land and buildings and construction of buildings and improvements.

Capital Expenditures: Expenditures incurred for the improvement to or acquisition of land, facilities and infrastructure.

Capital Improvement Needs Assessment (CINA): An annually updated five-year list of planned capital projects, developed by the Department of General Services in compliance with Board of Supervisors Policies G-16 and B-37.

Capital Outlay Fund (COF): One of the Capital Program funds that is used exclusively to finance the acquisition, construction and completion of permanent public improvements including public buildings and for the costs of acquiring land and permanent improvements. Revenues are obtained from the sale of fixed assets, from lease or rental of County-owned facilities, and from other funds such as grants and contributions when allocated to the COF by the Board of Supervisors.

Capital Program Budget: A spending plan for improvements to or acquisition of land, facilities and infrastructure. The capital program budget balances revenues and expenditures, specifies the sources of revenues and lists each project or acquisition. Appropriations established in the capital program budget are carried forward until the project is completed.

Carry Forward Budget: The budget that captures encumbrances and appropriations related to the encumbrances, at the end of the fiscal year, that is carried over into the next fiscal year.

Cash Flow: The analysis of cash receipts (revenues) to required payments (expenditures) and reporting of net cash balance projections. The Auditor and Controller prepares cash flow reports that project the inflow, outflow and net balance of cash on a monthly, quarterly and annual basis.

Certificates of Participation (COPs): Certificates issued for the financing of capital assets. A certificate is similar to a bond and represents an undivided interest in the payments made by the public agency pursuant to a financing lease. Even though they are not treated as indebtedness of the issuer by California state law, the federal tax law treats the lease obligation as if it were a debt.

Charges for Current Services: Revenues received as a result of fees charged for certain services provided to citizens and other public agencies. This group of revenue accounts includes revenues resulting from: interfund transactions between governmental fund types; collection of taxes and special assessments and accounting and banking services for other governmental agencies; special district audits; election services provided to governmental agencies under contract, including charges for consolidating elections and rental of voting booths; planning and engineering services such as subdivision fees, traffic surveys, sale of plans and specifications and blueprints, and plan or map check fees; library services including special materials usage fees, book fines and lost or damaged books; park and recreational facilities usage including camping, parking and picnic area usage; document recording services, certified copies of vital statistics and fees for filing fictitious business names; animal services such as

vaccination and impound fees; law enforcement services provided under contract to governmental agencies; and reimbursement for hospital care and services for prisoners, juvenile court wards and juvenile hall.

Chief Administrative Officer of the County (CAO): The highest ranking County executive who provides policy-based program and financial decision making support to the Board of Supervisors. The CAO oversees the operation of over 40 departments and manages the allocation of personnel, capital and budgetary resources within the County organization. The position is appointed by the Board of Supervisors. The lines of authority flow from the Board of Supervisors to the CAO and Assistant CAO (ACAO) and then to the Deputy CAO of each Group.

Committed Fund Balance: Self-imposed limitations set on funds prior to the end of a period. Limitations imposed by the highest level of decision making, and requires formal action at that same level to remove.

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG): A federal grant administered by the County for housing and development activities that: (1) benefit lower income persons; (2) prevent/eliminate slums and blight; or (3) meet urgent community development needs.

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR): The annual audited financial statement of the County.

Contingency Reserve: Appropriations set aside to meet unforeseen economic and operational circumstances.

Cost Applied: The transfer of costs of services performed by one budget unit for the benefit of another budget unit within the same fund.

County Administration Center (CAC): The central County administration facility located at 1600 Pacific Highway, San Diego, California.

County Service Area (CSA): An assessment district comprised of unincorporated area property owners who pay for special services, such as park maintenance, fire suppression and paramedic services, through special assessments on their property tax bills.

County Television Network (CTN): The County's television station, which broadcasts Board of Supervisors meetings and programs of community interest.

Credit Rating: A rating determined by a credit rating agency that indicates the agency's opinion of the likelihood that a borrower such as the County will be able to repay its debt. The rating agencies include Standard & Poor's, Fitch and Moody's.

Current Assets: Assets which are available or can be made readily available to finance current operations or to pay cur-

rent liabilities. Those assets that will be used up or converted into cash within one year (i.e. temporary investments and taxes receivable that will be collected within one year).

Current Liabilities: Liabilities that are payable within one year. Liabilities are obligations to transfer assets (i.e. cash) or provide services to other entities in the future as a result of past transactions or events.

Custodian Bank: In finance, a custodian bank, or simply custodian, refers to a financial institution responsible for safeguarding a firm's or individual's financial assets. The role of a custodian in such a case would be the following: to hold in safekeeping assets, such as equities and bonds, arrange settlement of any purchases and sales of such securities, collect information on and income from such assets (dividends in the case of equities and interest in the case of bonds), provide information on the underlying companies and their annual general meetings, manage cash transactions, perform foreign exchange transactions where required and provide regular reporting on all their activities to their clients.

Debt Service: Annual principal and interest payments that local government owes on borrowed money.

Debt Service Fund: A fund established to account for the accumulation of resources for and the payment of principal and interest on long-term debt.

Deferred Revenue: Measurable revenue that has been earned but not yet collected until beyond 180 days of the end of the fiscal year.

Department: The basic organizational unit of government which is functionally unique in its delivery of services.

Depreciation: The decrease in the service life or estimated value of capital assets attributable to wear and tear, deterioration and the passage of time.

Deputy Chief Administrative Officer (DCAO): General Managers (GM) of one of three County functional groups: Public Safety, Community Services and Land Use and Environment. The GM of the Finance and General Government Group is the Chief Financial Officer, and the GM of the Health and Human Services Agency (HHS) is the Director. See General Manager.

Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF): The fund that was set up in each county at the direction of the State Legislature in the early 1990s to enable a shift of a portion of county, city and special district property taxes to school districts in response to State budget shortfalls.

Employee Benefits: The portion of an employee compensation package that is in addition to wages. Included are the employer's share of costs for Social Security and the various pension, medical and life insurance plans.

Encumbrance: A commitment within the County to use funds for a specific purpose.

Enterprise Fund: A fund established to account for operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises (e.g. water, gas and electric utilities; airports; parking garages; or transit systems). The governing body intends that the costs of providing goods and services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges.

Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)/Enterprise Systems (ES): New applications to replace, enhance and integrate existing Financial and Human Resources systems.

Entitlement Program: A program in which funding is allocated according to eligibility criteria; all persons or governments that meet the criteria specified by federal or State laws may receive the benefit.

Estimated Revenue: The amount of revenue expected to accrue or to be collected during a fiscal year.

Expenditure: A decrease in net financial resources. Expenditures include current operating expenses that require the present or future use of net current assets, debt service and capital outlays, and intergovernmental grants, entitlements and shared revenues.

Expenditure Transfers & Reimbursements: This expenditure account group, which is shown as a decrease in expenditures, consists of transfers of costs for services provided between budget units in the same governmental type fund. The cost of the service is transferred to the revenue earning department with an equal reduction in cost to the department providing the service.

Fiduciary Fund: A fund containing assets held in a trustee capacity or as an agent for others which cannot be used to support the County's own programs. For example, the County maintains fiduciary funds for the assets of the Investment Trust Fund. This trust fund holds the investments on behalf of external entities in either the County pool or specific investments.

Financial Planning Calendar: A timetable outlining the process and tasks to be completed during the financial planning and budget cycle.

Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties: A group of revenue accounts that includes vehicle code fines, other court fines, forfeitures and penalties, and penalties and costs on delinquent taxes.

Firestorm 2003: A devastating wildfire that occurred in San Diego County in October 2003 that financially affected every department and has resulted in continued programs and services to either recover from the damage or implement plans to avert another fire-related disaster.

Firestorm 2007: A devastating wildfire that occurred in San Diego County in October 2007 that financially affected the County and has resulted in the implementation of new programs or services to recover from the damage of the disaster.

Fiscal Year (FY): A 12-month period to which the annual operating budget applies and at the end of which a government determines its financial position and the results of its operations. The County of San Diego's fiscal year is July 1 through June 30.

Fund: A fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts in which cash and other financial resources, all related liabilities and equities or balances, and changes therein, are recorded and segregated to carry on specific activities or attain certain objectives in accordance with special regulations, restrictions or limitations.

Fund Balance: The difference between fund assets and fund liabilities of governmental funds. Fund Balance may be used in the budget by a Group or department for the upcoming year as a funding source for one-time projects/services.

Fund Balance Components: The classifications that segregate fund balance by constraints on purposes for which amounts can be spent. There are five classifications: Non-spendable Fund Balance, Restricted Fund Balance, Committed Fund Balance, Assigned Fund Balance and Unassigned Fund Balance.

Fund Balance Components Increases/Decreases: An expenditure or revenue account group that indicates that a fund balance component is to be augmented (increased) or used as a funding source (decreased). These two categories are only used for adjustments to Restricted, Committed or Assigned fund balance.

GASB 54: Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement Number 54 which establishes a fund balance classification hierarchy based on constraints that govern how the funds can be used.

General Fund: The County's primary operating fund, which is used to account for all financial resources, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

General Management System (GMS): The County's complete guide for planning, implementing, monitoring and rewarding all functions and processes that affect the delivery of services to customers. It links planning, execution, value management, goal attainment and compensation.

General Manager (GM): An executive management class reporting directly to the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) or Assistant CAO. Responsible for managing all financial, personnel, and operational functions for each of the

County's five business Groups (Community Services, Finance and General Government, Land Use and Environment, Health and Human Services, and Public Safety), and coordinating the Group initiatives in accordance with the CAO's Strategic Plan and County goals.

General Obligation Bonds: Bonds backed by the full faith and credit of a governmental entity.

General Plan Update: (Previously referred to as General Plan 2020). A multi-year project to revise the San Diego County Comprehensive General Plan that forms the framework for growth in the unincorporated communities.

General Purpose Revenue: Revenue derived from sources not specific to any program or service delivery that may be used for any purpose that is a legal expenditure of County funds. Examples of General Purpose Revenue include property taxes, sales taxes, property tax in lieu of vehicle license fees, court fines, real property transfer tax and miscellaneous other sources.

General Purpose Revenue Allocation: The amount of General Purpose Revenue that is budgeted to fund a group's or a department's services after all other funding sources for those services are taken into account; it is also commonly referred to as net county cost.

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP): The uniform minimum standards and guidelines for financial accounting and reporting. They govern the form and content of the financial statement of an entity.

Geographic Information System (GIS): A regional data warehouse providing electronic geographic data and maps to County and city departments and other users.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB): The authoritative accounting and financial reporting standard-setting body for government entities.

Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA): An organization comprised of government accounting and finance professionals throughout the United States and Canada, whose goals include but are not limited to improving financial management practices and encouraging excellence in financial reporting and budgeting by state and local governments.

Governmental Fund: The funds that are generally used to account for tax-supported activities; it accounts for the majority of funds, except for those categorized as proprietary or fiduciary funds.

Grant: Contributions of cash or other assets from another governmental agency or other organization to be used or expended for a specified purpose, activity or facility.

Group/Agency: The highest organizational unit, headed by a General Manager, to which a County department/program reports. There are four Groups and one Agency. Each department/program belongs to one of the following: Public Safety Group (PSG), Land Use and Environment Group (LUEG), Community Services Group (CSG), Finance and General Government Group (FGG) or Health and Human Services Agency (HHS).

Information Technology: A term that encompasses all forms of technology used to create, store, exchange and use information in its various forms including business data, conversations, still images and multimedia presentations.

Interfund Transfers: The transfer of resources between funds of the same government reporting entity.

Intergovernmental Revenue: Revenue received from other government entities in the form of grants, entitlements, shared revenues and payments in lieu of taxes. Examples of State revenue include Health and Social Services Realignment, Proposition 172 Public Safety Sales Tax, highway user tax, in-lieu taxes, public assistance administration, health administration and Homeowner's Property Tax Relief. Major federal revenue includes public assistance programs, health administration, disaster relief, grazing fees and Payments In-lieu of Taxes for federal lands.

Internal Service Fund (ISF): A proprietary type fund used to account for the financing of goods or services provided by one department to other departments of the County, or to other governmental units, on a cost-reimbursement basis.

Joint Powers Agreement (JPA): A contractual agreement between a city, county and/or special district in which services are agreed to be performed, or the County agrees to cooperate with or lend its powers to the other entity.

Lease: A contract granting use or occupation of property during a specified time for a specified payment.

Liability: As referenced in the section on Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting, a liability is a legal obligation to transfer assets or provide services to another entity in the future as a result of past transactions or events.

Licenses, Permits & Franchises: Revenue accounts that include revenue from animal licenses, business licenses, permits and franchises.

Managed Competition: A framework in which County departments compete with the private sector to determine the most cost-effective method of delivering services.

Mandate: A requirement from the State or federal government that the County perform a task, perform a task in a

particular way, or perform a task to meet a particular standard.

Management Reserves: An expenditure category unique to the County of San Diego. Management Reserves are intended to be used to handle unforeseen expenses that arise during the budget year or as a means to set aside funds for a planned future year use. The level of Management Reserves is generally dependent upon fund balance realized by a Group/Agency or department, but may be budgeted for General Fund departments based on ongoing General Purpose Revenue allocation or comparable revenue source in the case of special funds. No expenditures can be made from Management Reserves; instead appropriations must first be transferred to a sub-account under one of the other expenditures categories (e.g. Salaries and Benefits, Services and Supplies, etc.).

Miscellaneous Revenues: A group of revenue accounts that includes other sales, tobacco settlement and other monetary donations from private agencies, persons or other sources.

Mission: The general assignment of the organization. What the County is striving to do over a continuous period of time. The County's mission is "to efficiently provide public services that build strong and sustainable communities."

Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP): This program will preserve a network of habitat and open space, protecting biodiversity and enhancing the region's quality of life. The County is one of several entities participating in the MSCP.

National Association of Counties (NaCo): An organization that represents the interests of counties across the nation on Capitol Hill and throughout the federal bureaucracy.

Nonspendable Fund Balance: That portion of net resources that cannot be spent either because of its form or that it must be maintained intact.

Objective: A statement of anticipated accomplishment, usually measurable and time bound.

Objects (Line Items): A sub-classification (or roll-up account) of expenditures and revenues based on type of goods or services (Salaries and Benefits, Services and Supplies, Other Charges, Capital Assets, etc.) or by type of revenue (Fines, Forfeitures and Penalties, Taxes Current Property, Intergovernmental Revenue, etc.).

Operating Budget: A plan of current expenditures and the proposed means of financing them. The annual operating budget is the primary means by which most of the financ-

ing, acquisition, spending and service delivery activities of a government are controlled.

Operating Transfers: Operating transfers result when one fund provides a service on behalf of another fund. The providing fund budgets the amount required by the other fund in the "Operating Transfer Out" expenditure account. The receiving fund budgets the amount in one of the "Operating Transfer In" revenue accounts.

Operational Plan Document: The County's two-year financial plan. It is presented in a program budget format that communicates expenditure and revenue information as well as goals, objectives and performance measures for County departments.

Ordinance: A regulation, an authoritative rule, a statute.

Other Charges: A group of expenditure accounts that includes support and care of other persons (such as assistance payments), bond redemptions, retirement of other long-term debt, interest on bonds, other long-term debt and notes and warrants, judgments and damages, rights-of-way, taxes and assessments, depreciation, bad debts, income allocation, contributions to noncounty governmental agencies and inter fund expenditures.

Other Financing Sources: An increase in current financial resources that is reported separately from revenues to avoid distorting revenue trends. Examples include sale of capital assets, transfers in and long-term debt proceeds.

Parkland Dedication Ordinance (PLDO): The County ordinance that created a mechanism for funding local parks development and established the Parkland Dedication Fund.

Pension Obligation Bond (POB): Bonds issued to finance all or part of the unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities of the issuer's pension plan. The proceeds are transferred to the issuer's pension system as a prepayment of all or part of the unfunded pension liabilities of the issuer to ensure the soundness of the plan.

Performance Measures: Indicators of the amount of work accomplished, the efficiency with which tasks were completed and/or the effectiveness of a program, often expressed as the extent to which objectives were accomplished. Performance measures in this Operational Plan focus primarily on outcome measures (planned results).

Permanent Road Division: An assessment district comprised of unincorporated area property owners who pay for special road work, such as road improvements and maintenance, through special assessments on their property tax bills.

Program: A set of activities directed to attaining specific purposes or objectives.

Program Revenue: Revenue generated by programs and/or dedicated to offset a program's costs.

Proposed Budget: The budget document developed by the CAO and formally approved by the Board of Supervisors to serve as the basis for public hearings and deliberations prior to the determination of the adopted budget. May also be referred to as the Proposed Operational Plan.

Proprietary Funds: The classification used to account for a government's ongoing organizations and activities that are similar to those often found in the private sector (i.e., enterprise and internal service funds).

Public Hearings: Board of Supervisors meetings open to the public that provide citizens an opportunity to express their views on the merits of the County's proposals and services.

Public Liability: Claims against a public entity, its officers and employees, and/or agencies resulting in damages to a third party arising from the conduct of the entity or an employee acting within the course and scope of their employment.

Real Property Transfer Tax (RPTT): A tax assessed on property when ownership is transferred.

Reappropriation: The inclusion of a balance from the prior year's budget as part of the budget of the subsequent fiscal year. Reappropriation is common for encumbrances outstanding at the end of a fiscal year that a government intends to honor in the subsequent year.

Rebudget: To include funds for a project or services budgeted in the previous year but not spent within that year nor meeting the criteria for an encumbrance at year-end.

Request for Proposal (RFP): An official request for proposals to be submitted to the County to perform specified services. The RFP sets forth the services being sought and requests information from firms interested in procuring the engagement.

Required Disciplines: The key organizational disciplines set out in the County's Strategic Plan intended to ensure that the County maintains a high level of operational excellence in order to accomplish the Strategic Initiative goals. The required disciplines include: fiscal stability; customer satisfaction; regional leadership; skilled, adaptable and diverse workforce; essential infrastructure; accountability/transparency; continuous improvement; and information technology.

Restricted Fund Balance: That portion of fund balance subject to externally enforceable limitations on use

imposed by law, constitutional provision, or other regulation.

Revenue From Use of Money & Property: Revenue accounts that include investment income, rents and concessions and royalties.

Salaries and Benefits: A group of expenditure accounts that includes expenses related to compensation of County employees.

SANCAL: San Diego County Capital Asset Leasing Corporation. A nonprofit corporation governed by a five-member Board of Directors which is appointed by the County Board of Supervisors. SANCAL's purpose is to facilitate the issuance of low-cost financing instruments to fund the procurement of County buildings and equipment.

Securitization: A type of structured financing whereby an entity that is to receive future payments sells the right to that income stream to a third party in exchange for an upfront payment. For example, the County securitized the Tobacco Settlement Payments, receiving the revenue upfront and reducing the risk of not collecting all of the payments.

Services and Supplies: A group of expenditure accounts that includes nonpersonnel operating expenses such as contract services, office supplies, information technology services, minor equipment and facilities maintenance.

Special District: An area in which an independent unit of local government is set up to perform a specific function or a restricted number of related functions, such as street lighting or waterworks. A special district might be composed of cities, townships, or counties, or any part or combination of these.

Special Revenue Fund: A fund used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes.

Staff Year: In concept, one person working full-time for one year; the hours per year that a full-time employee is expected to work. A normal fiscal year equals 2,088 staff hours (occasionally 2,080 or 2,096 staff hours). Two workers, each working half that number of hours, together equal one staff year. County salaries and benefits costs are based on the number of staff years required to provide a service.

Strategic: Dealing with creation of overall plans and to determine how best to achieve the general goal of an entity.

Strategic Initiatives: As used by the County, the broad organizationwide goals that guide the allocation of resources and set programs. The County has three strategic initiatives: Safe Communities (Promote safe communities), Sustainable Environments (Support environments that foster viable, livable communities while bolstering eco-

conomic growth), and Healthy Families (Make it easier for residents to lead healthy lives while improving opportunities for children and adults).

Successor Agency: The agency responsible for managing the dissolution of a redevelopment agency as laid out in Assembly Bill X1 26, *Community Redevelopment Dissolution*. In most cases, the city or county that created the redevelopment agency has been designated as the successor agency. The County of San Diego is the Successor Agency for the County of San Diego Redevelopment Agency.

Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (TRANS): A short-term, interest bearing note used as a cash management tool. Public agencies often receive revenues on an uneven basis throughout a fiscal year. The borrowed funds allow the agency to meet cash requirements during periods of low revenue receipts and repay the funds when the revenues are greater.

Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT): A tax levied by the County on rental receipts for temporary lodging in a hotel or other similar facility doing business in the unincorporated area.

Trust Fund: A fund used to account for assets held by a government unit in a trustee capacity or as an agent for others and which, therefore, cannot be used to support the government's own programs. The County is sometimes required to segregate revenues it receives from certain sources into a trust fund, but these funds are accounted for in the financial statements as County assets.

Unassigned Fund Balance: Residual net resources. Total fund balance in the general fund in excess of nonspendable, restricted, committed and assigned fund balance.

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL): The present value of benefits earned to date that are not covered by plan assets; commonly used in pension fund discussions. The excess, if any, of the actuarial accrued liability over the actuarial value of assets. See also Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Vision: The image that an individual or organization has of itself or an end state. A picture of future desired outcomes. The County's vision is "A county that is safe, healthy and thriving."



Index

- A**
- Abbreviations and Acronyms, 525
 - Administrative Support, Health and Human Services Agency, 231
 - Aging & Independence Services, 201
 - Agriculture, Weights and Measures, 245
 - Air Pollution Control District, 253
 - Animal Services, 305
 - Assessor / Recorder / County Clerk, 365
 - Auditor and Controller, 389
 - Awards and Recognition, 107
- B**
- Behavioral Health Services, 207
 - Board of Supervisors, 3, 359
 - Budget, 8, 41, 493
 - 2012-13 Adopted Budget, 8, 41, 493
 - Calendar, 35
 - County Business Group, 8, 41, 495
 - Expenditure Category, 9, 47
 - Fund Balance, 517
 - Fund Type, 51, 506
 - General Fund, 66, 519
 - General Purpose Revenue, 77
 - Process, 32
 - Revenue Category/Source, 10, 60
 - Staffing, 11, 54
- C**
- Capital Program, 433
 - Capital Outlay Fund, 447
 - County Health Complex Fund, 464
 - Edgemoor Development Fund, 472
 - Justice Facility Construction Fund, 465
 - Lease Payments, 474
 - Library Projects Fund, 467
 - Multiple Species Conservation Program Fund, 470
 - New Appropriations and Projects, 436
 - Operating Impact, 439
 - Outstanding Capital Projects, 478
 - Capital Program (continued)
 - Summary, 444
 - Chief Administrative Office, 377
 - Chief Administrative Officer's Message, 5
 - Child Support Services, 135
 - Child Welfare Services, 215
 - Citizens' Law Enforcement Review Board, 141
 - Civil Service Commission, 403
 - Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, 407
 - Communications Office, 425
 - Community Services Group, 301
 - Community Services Group Executive Office, 302
 - County Counsel, 411
- D**
- Debt Management, 98
 - Demographics, 13, 17
 - District Attorney, 121
- E**
- Economic Indicators, 17, 20
 - Emergency Services, 145
 - Environmental Health, 259
- F**
- Farm and Home Advisor, 267
 - Finance and General Government Group, 353
 - Finance and General Government Group Executive Office, 355
 - Finance Other, 485
 - Fire Authority, 171
 - Funding Source, 10, 60, 494
 - See also Revenue
- G**
- General Management System, 29
 - General Services, 317
 - Glossary, 527
 - Governmental Structure, 29
 - Grand Jury, 417

Guide to the Operational Plan Content, 36

H

Health and Human Services Agency, 179

Housing & Community Development, 325

Human Resources, 419

L

Land Use and Environment Group, 239

Land Use and Environment Group Executive Office, 242

Library, 311

M

Medical Examiner, 151

Mission and Vision, 12

O

Organizational Chart, 4

P

Parks and Recreation, 273

Planning and Land Use, 281

Policies, 88, 98

Probation, 157

Public Administrator / Public Guardian, 227

Public Defender, 165

Public Health Services, 221

Public Safety Group, 115

Public Safety Group Executive Office, 117

Public Works, 289

Purchasing and Contracting, 333

R

Redevelopment Agency, 339

Regional Operations, Health and Human Services Agency, 185, 523

Registrar of Voters, 345

Reserves and Resources, 95

Revenue, 10, 60, 494

Category/Source, 10, 60

Fund Balance, 517

Fund Type, 51, 506

General Fund, 66, 519

General Purpose, 77

S

Sheriff, 127

Staffing, 11, 54

Strategic Planning & Operational Support, Health and Human Services Agency, 195

Successor Agency, 340

T

Technology Office, 397

Treasurer - Tax Collector, 371