JUN 25 2024

PRESIDING

RESPONSE TO SAN DIEGO COUNTY GRAND JURY REPORT FORM

Report Title: Retired Senior Volunteer Programs (RSVP) at San Diego Law	
Enforcement Agencies	
Response Provided by:	Escondido Police Department

What is a Compliant Response?

Penal Code§ 933.05 is very specific in what is required in a response. First, a respondent must address the findings listed in the report. There are only two responses allowed by the penal code. However, additional information is required if the respondent disagrees with a finding. If a report only lists findings and there are no recommendations, a response agreeing or disagreeing with each finding is not necessary.

FINDINGS

For purposes of subdivision (b) of Penal Code§ 933.05, the respondent shall report one of the following two actions regarding each finding.

The respondent agrees with the finding.

The respondent disagrees wholly or partially with the finding; in which case the response shall specify the portion of the finding that is disputed and shall include an explanation of the reason(s) therefore.

- I (we) with the finding(s) numbered: F1, F2, F3 and F4
- I (we) <u>disagree</u> wholly or partially with the finding(s) numbered: <u>F5</u>

Describe any portions of the finding(s) that are disputed or not applicable; include an explanation of the reason(s).

It is common for police departments to have policies and procedures specific to local jurisdictions.

RECOMMENDATIONS

For purposes of subdivision (b) of Penal Code § 933.05, the respondent shall report one of the following four actions regarding each recommendation.

The recommendation <u>has been implemented</u> with a summary regarding the implemented action.

The recommendation <u>has not yet been implemented</u>, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for the implementation.

The recommendation <u>requires further analysis</u>, with an explanation and the scope and parameters of an analysis or study, and a timeframe for the matter to be prepared for discussion. This timeframe shall not exceed six months from the date of publication of the grand jury's report.

The recommendation <u>will not be implemented</u> because it is not warranted or is not reasonable, *with an explanation,* therefore.

•	Recommendations numbered <u>have been</u> implemented.
	(Describe the implemented actions.)
•	Recommendations numbered have not yet been implemented but will be implemented in the future, with a targeted completion date of have not yet
	Per Penal Code§ 933.05(b)(2), a time frame for implementation must be included.
•	Recommendations numbered R2 require further analysis. The further analysis will be completed by November 2024
	Describe the scope and parameters of an analysis or study, and a timeframe for the matter to be prepared for discussion by the officer or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed, including the governing body of the public agency when applicable. This timeframe shall not exceed six (6) months from the date of publication of the grand jury report.
	We will reach out to the other agencies in the county to explore the possibility of developing and sharing recruiting best practices.
•	Recommendations numbered R1 & R3 will not be implemented because they are not warranted or are not reasonable.
	Provide an explanation.
	R1 - It would be a burden to send unpaid volunteers out of our city for training.
	R3 - Our volunteer program is strongly supported by the City. We provide our volunteers with quality equipment including new vehicles.
Signature:	Edward Varso, Chief of Police
Number o	f pages attached 0