

RESPONSE TO SAN DIEGO COUNTY GRAND JURY REPORT FORM

Report Title: RETIRED SENIOR VOLUNTEER PATROL

Response Provided by: WADE WALTERS, LT NCPD

What is a Compliant Response?

Penal Code § 933.05 is very specific in what is required in a response. First, a respondent must address the findings listed in the report. There are only two responses allowed by the penal code. However, additional information is required if the respondent disagrees with a finding. If a report only lists findings and there are no recommendations, a response agreeing or disagreeing with each finding is not necessary.

FINDINGS

For purposes of subdivision (b) of Penal Code § 933.05, the respondent shall report one of the following two actions regarding each finding.

The respondent **agrees** with the finding.

The respondent **disagrees** wholly or partially with the finding; in which case the **response shall specify the portion of the finding that is disputed and shall include an explanation of the reason(s) therefore.**

- I (we) **agree** with the finding(s) numbered: R1, R2, R3.
- I (we) **disagree** wholly or partially with the finding(s) numbered: _____.

Describe any portions of the finding(s) that are disputed or not applicable; include an explanation of the reason(s).

RECOMMENDATIONS

For purposes of subdivision (b) of Penal Code § 933.05, the respondent shall report one of the following four actions regarding each recommendation.

| |
|---|
| The recommendation has been implemented with a summary regarding the implemented action. |
| The recommendation has not yet been implemented , but will be implemented in the future, <i>with a timeframe for the implementation</i> . |
| The recommendation requires further analysis , <i>with an explanation and the scope and parameters of an analysis or study, and a timeframe</i> for the matter to be prepared for discussion. <i>This timeframe shall not exceed six months from the date of publication of the grand jury's report.</i> |
| The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable, <i>with an explanation</i> , therefore. |

- Recommendations numbered _____ **have been** implemented.
(Describe the implemented actions.)
- Recommendations numbered R1, R2, R3 **have not yet been** implemented but will be implemented in the future, with a targeted completion date of SEE ATTACHMENT
Per Penal Code § 933.05(b)(2), a time frame for implementation must be included.
- Recommendations numbered _____ **require further analysis**. The further analysis will be completed by _____.
Describe the scope and parameters of an analysis or study, and a timeframe for the matter to be prepared for discussion by the officer or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed, including the governing body of the public agency when applicable. This timeframe shall not exceed six (6) months from the date of publication of the grand jury report.
- Recommendations numbered _____ **will not be** implemented because they are not warranted or are not reasonable.
Provide an explanation.

Signature: 

Date: 06/25/24

Number of pages attached 1.

Grand Jury – Retired Senior Volunteer Program (RSVP) at San Diego Law Enforcement Agencies.

Response provided by the National City Police Department

R1- The National City Police Department agrees with the findings and will collaborate with other police agencies or law enforcement agencies in San Diego County to establish a centralized two-week RSVP (Retired Senior Volunteer Patrol) academy. This initiative aims to provide comprehensive training and strengthen cooperation among the participating agencies. Additionally, the National City Police Department will meet with other San Diego County law enforcement agencies to discuss these efforts.

R2- The National City Police Department agrees with the findings and will collaborate with other agencies to develop and share recruiting best practices. This initiative aims to enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of recruitment efforts across the participating organizations. Additionally, the National City Police Department will meet with other San Diego County law enforcement agencies to discuss these efforts.

R3- The National City Police Department agrees with the findings. NCPD will budget for RSVP equipment and will look into finding outside funding sources to better equip future RSVP members.

Additional Comment –

The National City Police Department currently does not have any RSVP members, as the program ended during the COVID-19 pandemic. Under our newly appointed Chief, Alejandro Hernandez, we look forward to actively recruiting new members and restoring our RSVP program to its former state. As a department, we understand the significant role an RSVP program plays in assisting our community and supporting our officers in their day-to-day duties. At this time, we do not have a date to get our program up and running.

Respectfully,

Wade Walters, Lieutenant

619-336-4544

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Wade Walters #398". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "W".