LAKESIDE COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP

PO Box 389 Lakeside, CA 92040 / lakesidecpg@gmail.com

*** MEETING AGENDA***

WEDNESDAY, March 3, 2021 - 6:30 PM

Due to the restrictions on gatherings in response to COVID-19, participation at the Lakeside Community Planning Group meeting will be held via Zoom. The public can join the meetings live online using the following link or phone number.

Join Zoom Meeting: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82949295425

Meeting ID: 829 4929 5425

One tap mobile +16699006833,,82949295425# US (San Jose) Find your local number: https://us02web.zoom.us/u/kbPhblFJXJ

When appropriate, the Chair will ask for public comments. If you wish to speak, you may use the 'raise your hand' function on zoom. When called upon, please unmute your microphone and begin speaking. Unmute on a phone call is *6. If you do not have the raise hand function on Zoom, you may type the word 'speak' into the chat function and wait to be called upon. Please do not use the chat function for comments so that everyone may speak one at a time. Public comments are generally limited to 3 minutes per person. It is recommended that you download the Zoom app in advance of the meeting. If you would like to practice the raise hand function and mute/unmute, you may join the meeting at 6:00 P.M. before the official meeting begins at 6:30 P.M.

The Lakeside Community Planning Group is an elected body that acts in an advisory capacity to the Department of Planning & Development Services (PDS), the Planning Commission, the Board of Supervisors and other County departments. The Planning Group's recommendations are advisory only and are not binding on the County of San Diego.

1. CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

Seat 1 – Shari Cohen	P	Seat 2 – Liz Higgins	P-nv	Seat 3 – Ron Kasper	P
Seat 4 – Dan Moody	P	Seat 5 – Carol Hake	P	Seat 6 – Josef Kufa	P
Seat 7 – Sarai Johnson	P	Seat 8 – Jeff Spencer	P	Seat 9 – Connie O'Donnell	P
Seat 10 –VACANT	A	Seat 11 – Tony Santo	P	Seat 12 – Steve Robak	P
Seat 13 – Karolyn Smith	P	Seat 14 – Kristen Everhart	P	Seat 15 – Tiffany Maple	P

	Seat 13 – Karolyn Smith	P	Seat	t 14 – Kristen I	Everhart	P	Seat 15 – Tiff	fany Maple	P	
	Quorum reached with13	pre	sent.							
	Public present (approximate #)	:24_								
	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIC		/	Invocation	lead	by	NONE	Abstained	due	to
3.	APPROVAL OF THE MINU	U TES I	FOR	THE MEETI	NGS OF	: Fe	bruary 3, 2021			
	Continued to next month	ı		X_	Appro	ved a	s Presented			
	Motion: Steve Robak		-	Second _		_Shari	Cohen			
Vot	e: Aye:12 Nay:	_ Nar	me(s)	Absent:	1 Connie_	A	Abstain:	_		

4. ANNOUNCEMENTS

A. A. Video Recording – Notification is hereby provided that the LCPG virtual Zoom meeting will be recorded for purposes of preparation of the meeting minutes.

B. OPEN FORUM.

- a) Jitka Parez concerned about Zoom access issues, wants citizens to be allowed to join prior to 6:30 with video access.
- b) Kathleen Lippitt local healthcare worker. Has concerned about decisions made at the Supervisors meeting this week. The unincorporated areas shouldn't be treated the same as each area is unique. Feels that the public is being misled about the marijuana outlet policy, specifically mentioned absence of restrictions for advertising. Billboards can be put up wherever and there is not requirement for warnings or prevention.

5. COUNTY PRESENTATIONS (Possible Vote)

- A. Bridge Preventive Maintenance Projects Sambath Chrun (no vote)
- B. Los Coches Sewer Improvements Project LSMH0555 to LSMH0599 Ryan Lau

Motion: Shari Cohen
Second: Karolyn Smith
Action: Approved as presented.
Board Comments: None
Community Comments:
Janis Shackleford- How will the flow be disrupted? Presenter there will be bypasses installed.

Vote: Aye: __13___ Nay: ____ Name(s)_____
Absent: __1__ Abstain: _____

C. County of San Diego Cannabis & Social Equity Programs – County Presentation – Donald Chase (Group discussion and recommendation). January 27 Board of Supervisors instructed staff to create a plan to provide "Economic Access and Equity to the Cannabis industry". Deadline is April 2022 prior to when some cannabis businesses operating in the unincorporated areas may be shut down. Look in to permitting, enforcement, and social equity.

Carol Hake: Our supervisor wants our feedback on what the cannabis policy should look like in our area. Agriculture, consumption, retail, etc. This is already likely to go through so our focus should be on what we want it to look like.

Board Questions:

Shari Cohen – The impact of these businesses on the community is negative and what happens in front of the shop is not within their control. *Buying alcohol and cannabis right next door to each other *Within distance to bus stops *Locate in areas where they aren't going to cause harm

Liz Higgins – We have nothing in front of us from farmers or law enforcement guiding us. I would like their input and make a consensus. We don't want it in neighborhoods, if you have to make them legal keep them far away and not in areas with kids. Record the lease for these properties and ban sub-leasing. Need liability insurance to cover violations.

Ron Kasper- Keep away from kids - childcare, schools, parks, also away from bars and places of worship.

Dan- If this is forced upon us I hope that we retain greater input on the location of these outlets.

Presenter- conditional use permits? Dan – yes primarily on the location of those businesses.

Carol- nothing past 9pm and would like them restricted to industrial areas, limit the number of locations.

Josef- 9am to 9pm no delivery or pick-ups outside of operating hours.

Sarai- Check for warrants of people who go into. Insurance or a required bond and revenue recovery – special use permits I like this idea because it requires input from the community and I would like to see fees that benefit the community.

Tony- is the intent to regulate these outlets similar to how adult stores or alcohol outlets are regulated?

Steve- limit number of outlets in an area, specific suggestion like 1,000 ft from schools. Is there an insurance policy or program where the money goes into a pot where they are self-funding their enforcement and any impact the outlets may have on the enforcement resources of the community.

Kristen- Away from youth attended areas – schools, parks, bus stops, grocery stores, neighborhoods

Visibility – restrictions on placement of advertisements including billboards and store fronts. Consider strict restrictions on the content of signage – size of health warnings, limit on provocative images, ban on discount signs, ban on signs of product in combination with other youth targeting language.

Limit outlet density – operating hours after kids are in school and early evenings prior to when substance consumption rates jump.

Fees that go directly to the community where the outlets are located for prevention programming.

Parking with time restrictions so people aren't loitering in front of the businesses.

No outdoor seating or outdoor areas.

Community Concerns/Comments:

David Shorey – East County program manager for social policies and we direct the prevention programs in east county San Diego. Prevention provider system and behavioral health services has not been consulted yet. Has the county looked at the federal ordinance implementation guidelines? Currently enforcement abilities are very limited how will those be expanded to meet the increased need for regulation and enforcement of the adopted ordinance.

Kelly McCormick – These operations are disruptive to the air quality of the surrounding businesses and homes they put off a very strong odor – there are law suits in Santa Barbara over this issue. Health concerns about our youth and addictions

Terry Burke-Eiserling – We have to stop the illegal activities before we can think about regulating legal activities. We have to stop the black market first.

Kathy Lippitt- The county has been behind in prevention education for years and this area isn't any difference. This industry will be very difficult to regulate. I hope the county will do more prevention work.

Dallin Young- all current operators in Lakeside are illicit operations right now there are currently no legal alternatives. Based on the population size of Lakeside there aren't likely to be more than 4 or 5 retail outlets. The county is presenting an opportunity for you to say where these should be located. Typically because the cost of operating legally is higher the legal operators are likely to be the first to report on illegal activities so the legal operations will actually help reduce the illegal non-conforming operations.

Terriann Skelly- My kids need education and technical school opportunities not pot. Focus on providing those. Judi Strang- Whether permitted or not there are just not enough enforcement resources and the BCC bureau of cannabis controls. Chula Vista and other cities passed these types of policies and thought they would be able to regulate them but are struggling and the amount of time and resources being taken up by trying to regulate. The unincorporated areas are not equipped to take this on and with how far everything is the risk of driving and accidents is too great.

6. PUBLIC HEARING (Discussion & Vote)

- A. Time Extensions –None
- B. *no presenter* PDS2021-ZAP-92-001M5 Minor Use Permit Minor Deviation, Bradley Ave & Magnolia Ave, El Cajon –Bradley Sonnenburg

C. PDS2021-STP-21-006 Minor Use Permit - EnerSmart Los Coches – 14223 Olde Highw ay 80 El Cajon –James Beach

Motion: Steve Robak Second: Dan Moody

Action: Approval of minor use permit.

Board Ouestions:

Karolyn Smith: Any sounds from cooling the batteries? No- its liquid cooled

Kristen Everhart: Consider brown fencing instead of green so that it doesn't stand out so much.

Dan- where are your other sites located?

Ron - What kind of lights or alarms are there? P- none planned Ray- I recommend it in that area

	Community Concerns/Comments: Janis Shackleford- What is the current zoning on the site? P- heavy commercial So this is not a use by right this is a minor use permit? P- the use is allowed by right but the property is zone b-designator and needs a site plan. Liz- Off site monitoring
	Vote: Aye:11 Nay:1 Name(s)Sarai Johnson Absent:3 Abstain:
7.	GROUP BUSINESS (Discussion & Possible Vote) A. Announcements and Correspondence Review B. Required Training
8.	SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS: A. Design Review Board (DRB) - Tony Santo & Steve Robak B. County Service Area 69 (CSA 69) - Steve – the way this is being managed is poor feels like the county is just hoping people get tired of asking questions because they don't answer the questions and the county makes decision then lets the board know after. C. Trails – Kristen D. Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) - Open E. Park Land Dedication Ordinance (PLDO) - Sarai – presented a potential open space to convert to a park a Woodside Avenue and Marilla Drive. Motion: Dan Moody Second: Ron Kasper Action: Approval of putting this pocket park on the PLOD list of potential spaces.
	Vote: Aye:12 Nay:0_ Name(s) Absent:3 Abstain:
	F. Highway 67 Corridor (temp until 9/2021) - TiffanyG. Additional Projects for Discussion
9.	ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING: at 9:41pm by Carol Hake, Chair
No	te: The next meeting of the LCPG will be on Wednesday, April 7, 2021 at 6:30 p.m. – Location to be determined

Minutes prepared by __Kristen Everhart Seat 14_____