LAKESIDE COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP

PO Box 389 Lakeside, CA 92040 / lakesidecpg@gmail.com

*** MEETING AGENDA***

WEDNESDAY, April 7, 2021 - 6:30 PM

Due to the restrictions on gatherings in response to COVID-19, participation at the Lakeside Community Planning Group meeting will be held via Zoom. The public can join the meetings live online using the following link or phone number.

Join Zoom Meeting: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82949295425
Meeting ID: 876 5719 3074
One tap mobile +16699006833,,82949295425# US (San Jose)
Find your local number: https://us02web.zoom.us/u/kbPhblFJXJ

When appropriate, the Chair will ask for public comments. If you wish to speak, you may use the 'raise your hand' function on zoom. When called upon, please unmute your microphone and begin speaking. Unmute on a phone call is *6. If you do not have the raise hand function on Zoom, you may type the word 'speak' into the chat function and wait to be called upon. Please do not use the chat function for comments so that everyone may speak one at a time. Public comments are generally limited to 3 minutes per person. It is recommended that you download the Zoom app in advance of the meeting. If you would like to practice the raise hand function and mute/unmute, you may join the meeting at 6:00 P.M. before the official meeting begins at 6:30 P.M.

The Lakeside Community Planning Group is an elected body that acts in an advisory capacity to the Department of Planning & Development Services (PDS), the Planning Commission, the Board of Supervisors and other County departments. The Planning Group's recommendations are advisory only and are not binding on the County of San Diego.

1. CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

Seat 1 – Shari Cohen	**P**	**Seat 2 – Liz Higgins**	**P**	**Seat 3 – Ron Kasper**	**P**
Seat 4 – Dan Moody	**P**	**Seat 5 – Carol Hake**	**P**	**Seat 6 – Josef Kufa**	**P**
Seat 7 – Sarai Johnson	**P**	**Seat 8 – Jeff Spencer**	**P**	**Seat 9 – Connie O'Donnell**	**P**
Seat 10 – Vacant	**V**	**Seat 11 – Tony Santo**	**P**	**Seat 12 – Steve Robak**	**P**
Seat 13 – Karolyn Smith	**P**	**Seat 14 – Kristen Everhart**	**P**	**Seat 15 – Tiffany Maple**	**P**

Quorum reached with ___14_____ present.

Public present (approximate #): __47___

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE / Invocation lead by: N/A Abstained due to Covid

3. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR THE MEETINGS OF: March 3, 2021

_____ Continued to next month **__X___ Approved as Presented**

Motion: ___Steve Robak_____ Second ___Dan Moody_____

Vote: Aye: 14_____ Nay: 0_____ Name(s) ____Absent: _____ Abstain: _____

4. ANNOUNCEMENTS

A. A. Video Recording – Notification is hereby provided that the LCPG virtual Zoom meeting will be recorded for purposes of preparation of the meeting minutes.

B. OPEN FORUM.

Becky Rapp: Is a concerned parent regarding the County marijuana ordinance. The Supervisors have extended the time on the hearing of the proposed ordinance. Her concerns are the proposed consumption lounges and the increased traffic accidents due to the consumption of marijuana.

Kathleen Lippitt: Without the planning group being given the entire picture it is hard to make an informed decision. Last month there was some misinformation (Chase- said they are reaching out to veterans) & Robert stated that the illegal market is twice as big as the legal market. Also, Terri mentioned working in tobacco prevention her whole life and a tobacco lounge seemed beyond belief. I just want to emphasize that it is difficult to work in public health especially during this time when there is such a focus on industry. The priority for communities needs to shift from industry to community health.

5. COUNTY PRESENTATIONS (Possible Vote)

A. Streamline Discretionary Permit Processing Project – Mike Johnson

Motion: No Vote Needed – Will put together board recommendations and chair will send.
Second:
Action:

Board Comments:
Sarai: I agree with streamlining and efficiency, but I am wondering is the County going toward digital submissions because that would help move things along too? -Presenter- Yes we want to work toward that in the future.

Community Comments:
Jitka Parez: How are you going to safe guard in 30 days that the form will account for all of the things that come along with the new building? -We are trying to increase awareness and checking boxes prior to the initial review, like a scoping letter and then would put everything into a letter that goes out to the applicants.
Frank Hilliker: When you get the new requirements rolling mail out to the applicants the procedures so applicants know how to get on the agendas and what the process is and what they need to have before they come before us. Presenter- Yes that is what we have the flow charts for and I will definitely talk to my staff about
Terry Burk-Eiserling: Concerned that there isn't enough staff to manage the reviews adequately.

B. DPW Annual Resurfacing Program - Prioritization Request 2021 - Frank Arebalo

Our program has increased funding from SB1 passing. We are looking for recommendations for the 2021/2022 resurfacing list. Here is the map that we use to identify roads etc. https://gis-portal.sandiegocounty.gov/arcgis/home/webmap/viewer.html?webmap=41097e0474f242cbbd9b1e0a3a74763f

Motion: No vote needed chair will collect recommendations and then send in.
Second:
Action:

Board Comments:
Liz Higgins: Woodside Avenue is a busy road and should be at the top of the list from Santee city limit up to Woodside is in horrible condition.
Dan Moody: I drove our list of 10 roads yesterday and that stretch of Woodside Liz is mentioning is the worst on our list. Specifically, the one mile section of Woodside Ave from Woodside Terrace, southbound to Daviann Street (N/B 67 on-ramp).
Karolyn Smith: Is there a place for input from community anywhere else besides here? -Mike yes there is a tell us now app.

Kristen Everhart: The commercial area on Olde hwy 80 between Flinn Springs up to Chocolate Summit is in terrible condition because of the heavy equipment trucks that run on it every day. Mike – we prefer not to get them from the board office but we do respond and look into things from the "tell us now app" we do not consider the type of traffic that drives the road more so population.

Community Comments:
Jitka Parez: I request that the whole subdivision on Winter Gardens sub-division map #1987 Includes Riverford, Almond, Walnut Rd, Creekford Rd, Golden Ridge Rd, Sapota, Gay Rio, etc. … specifically not on capital improvements but to be added to the list that Michael Aguilar has. Also want drainage – lists a few locations for drainage. Michael- We do look before resurfacing a road at the underlying integrity of the road and also the funding requires us to upgrade any ramps that are not to code.
Janis Shackleford: Blossom Valley Road is really deteriorating – sub-divisions went in and where those are it got done but not the rest. Blossom Valley Road from Lake Jennings Park Road to the very end where it connects to Quail Valley.
Bill Prorok: when they put new pavement in they didn't slope it properly for water drainage and so now the water doesn't go down the curb by Main Street and Laurel. By Mary's Donut there is a drainage colvert that went in there when it was resurfaced but it needs to be fixed. - Carol Hake we'll send you the information on who to contact with your complaints about the workmanship on a previously completed project. Michael reach out to me: Michael.aguilar@sdcounty.ca.gov
Joan Tilley: Gay Rio Drive the resurfacing that was done two weeks ago –it is rougher now than it was before. Mike- The is in PRD private road and not county maintained. The residents in the area contribute money and then there is a PRD special districts section that helps with the contract.

6. PUBLIC HEARING (Discussion & Vote)

A. Time Extensions –None

B. PDS2016-SPA-16-001 – Greenhills Ranch Phase II – Chris Dahrling. I have been the project manager since the beginning. In response to 10.23 acres will be dedicated to offsite mitigateion and we removed a 21.1 acrea parcel from the project. The projects pavement increased from 32 to 36 feet. Lot E is open space lot. A 27.5 ft retaining wall has been added to address grading concerns.

Motion: No Vote Needed - Just seeking input.

Board Comments:
Karolyn Smith: In 2018 this project was suspended indefinitely because of drainage problems. Have those been resolved? - Presenter: Basins that meet county requirements are included in the project and also the landscaping will resolve the sediment
Dan Moody: Where you connect into Lake Jennings Park Road is a 55 mph zone with poor visibility in either direction - that gets backed up in the late afternoon. Left hand turns need to be restricted from the proposed street – Greenhills Way - at the intersection with Lake Jennings Park Road. A left-hand turn from Greenhills Way to westbound Lake Jennings Park Road will be dangerous – especially in late afternoon traffic.
Rey Lyyjoki: No left turns there it is a double yellow agree with Dan Moody
Kristen Everhart: Trucks and trailers come over that hille quickly need to make sure that the roads are tied in sufficiently. Maybe a frontage road to connect the new exit to the existing exit.
Liz Higgins: Ingress and egress on Lake Jennings concerns have been mentioned a lot tonight and I echo those. Maybe you should consider speed bumps in the community. I also agree with the suggestions for street improvements to become the dedicated responsibility of the county.

Community Comments:
Steve Schiller: I live at 9252 Audobon. There is currently a chained gate there that keeps out motorcycle and quad activity. Are you going to put in a vehicle barrier there to prevent off-roading and illegal dumping? -Presenter we aren't doing anything about that road.
Steve- What are you going to do to improve the traffic flow on Lake Jennings I don't believe your traffic study because I see that road and it is packed. -Presenter: The County doesn't say we will affect traffic.

Nancy Schiller: Are you going to put in a light at the entry onto Lake Jennings? That is a dangerous hill and visibility is an issue. -Presenter: No county isn't requiring us to. Are you including Helix's dedicated open space in your 60%? Presenter- No its separate.
Jitka Parez: Is that road you widened who maintains that road? -Presenter: The homeowners. Jitka- How far down do you maintain Adelia and what is the distance from where you stop up to where the road connects to the next public maintained road. I recommend that the board require the development to pay for the road all the way up to the county-maintained road. Presenter- there is another development that recommends the county approve a 'development-maintenance" agreement.
Janis Shackelford: Adelia road is a private road and development has gone on around it without it ever being made public – this road needs to be made public. Second, the connection of the development to Lake Jennings Park Road – initially it was to be only right hand turns and now they are presenting turning both ways and without a light. That is dangerous. Also, people who are part of the traffic that backs up Lake Jennings will start to cut through this neighborhood on Adelai road. Third, trail from this development to Lakeview is part of this development – has that been done?
Vincent Martin: I echo concerns about traffic on that road and the danger of people cutting through.
Janis: Did your study include the additional run off that will occur from hard surfaces being installed in the community? -Presenter County Representative: With the county regulation and state discretionary projects that get processed by us that storm water quality and quantity are the same if not better with the project as before. * Thorough Conversation about drainage in the area *

C. PN 379-143-05 – County Drainage Easement Vacation Request – Riverside Drive – Mark Kennedy
Motion: Steve Robak
Second: Liz Higgins
Action: Approve easement vacation as presented.
Board Comments: None
Community Comments: None

Vote: Aye: ___14___ Nay: _____ Name(s)_____
Absent: ___0__ Abstain: _____

D. Capital Improvements Program – Jim Bolz –The coordinator on this project Molly will be sending out a request for priority lists. Bridge repair, sidewalks, pedestrian access, drainage, traffic signals, and roads are items included on this list. I just wanted to give you a heads up that this is coming and that hopefully group members can look at the list before the May meeting and perhaps by June have a draft list. Then I can rejoin, and we can review that list and hopefully by July have a vote to approve that priority list.
Board Comments:
Liz Higgins: How is the project on Ashwood proceeding? Jim- I don't have the update on that project they were in an environmental review.
Community Comments:
Jitka: Will community have input on those items? - Yes

E. PDS2021-ZAP-92-001M5 Minor Use Permit – Minor Deviation, Bradley Ave & Magnolia Ave, El Cajon – Bradley Sonnenburg
Motion: Steve Robak
Second: Shari Cohen
Action: Motion to approve as presented.
Board Comments: None
Community Comments:
Jim: Make sure it is not in the Caltrans right of way.

Vote: Aye: ___14___ Nay: _____ Name(s)_____
Absent: ___0__ Abstain: _____

7. **GROUP BUSINESS (Discussion & Possible Vote)**
   - **A. Announcements and Correspondence Review**
   - **B. Required Training**
     - **a. Ethics training**
     - **b. Form 700**
   - **C. Website Updates**
   - **D. Other SD County Library Site – Jacaranda Trees**

Motion: Sarai Johnson
Second: Karolyn Smith
Action: Motion to redesign the Lakeside Library landscape plan to retain at least one, or up to 22, of the Jacaranda trees currently on site.

Vote: Aye: ___9__Ron, Dan, Carol, Jeff, Connie, Steve, Karolyn, Kristen, Sarai_ Nay: __2__Josef, Tony__ Name(s)_____
Absent: ___3_Tiffany, Shari, Liz_ Abstain: _____

Board Comments:
Shari Cohen: Will there be a dedicated space set up for printing? - Presenter: We will have a 3D printer available for printing just not in a dedicated space.
Sarai: Make a motion that the board recommend retaining the Jacaranda trees on site that should have been in your original scope of work. These trees are definitely a land use issue because they are mature trees and were a gift to the town. Who was selected for the contract on this? *SHOWs screen of previous design presentations that show jacaranda in rendering. Shows screen of Lakeside Community Plan; page 21, Policy 3, "Enhance Lakeside's beauty and community identity by preserving existing street trees and planting additional trees, where feasible." and pg 30, Policy 9, "Encourage the preservation of mature trees on public and private property, and require equitable replacement of those removed."
Ron Kasper: Tree can be moved that is possible. How many have to be moved or are planned to be destroyed come Monday? Presented- On average it came to around 10,000 per tree. It hasn't been feasible. The trees don't fit into the overall plan or the pallet chosen for the library.
Toni Santo: Have you made any changes to the design since the July 27th presentation? Presenter: No we knew about the vegetation and the drainage issues back then. Toni: It's unfortunate that this is where we are but the trees aren't a land use issue when they are planting more vegetation that they are removing and they have very relevant justifications for why the current trees have to be removed.
Steve: How old are these trees? P: 10 years old Steve: I assume you have to compact the dirt. Presenter: Yes, explains, liquifaction explanation. In Terry's defense she bugged everyone to join the friends of the library and lots of information went out about the design. A lot of effort was put out to get peoples input.
Carol Hake: I don't think this falls within our jurisdiction as a land use issue. The planning group is not in a position to make a recommendation on this issue. If we were incorporated then we would have a city council that could speak to this, however as a planning group it is outside of our purview.

Community Comments:
Jitka: Please keep some of Betty's trees she has been such a part of the community and supports the preservations of the community and the history. If you take all the trees leave one and put a plaque there. Community character in the future should be respected and the building is too modern.
Candy Cowell: Not all of the aspects of this development were put out to the public. If we were given more time to give input and would have heard more about the design (looks like Star Wars) and that Betty's trees were going to be pulled out. This is just devasting and not the way to get community input.
Vincent Martin: I've been here since 1971, I grew up in the library by Lindo Lake Park. Reviews history that we have been steam rolled in the past. This doesn't fit with our community and standing up for trees is in our history.
Janis Shackelford: This is a design build the county sought designers there were three to pick from and they picked this. This is an architect's design doesn't fit the community and the trees should be saved.

Jill Ogilvie: The county is not listening to the heart of Lakeside and has an agenda that they'll move forward one way or another. So I propose that if the county won't consider saving the trees then the county consider taking a trunk of one of the larger trees and create an artistic impression that would commemorate as a fixture within the library.
Terry Burk-Eiserling: References tree facts that they are destructive and are not recommended for planting next to buildings or sidewalks. We have talked to many people and tried to get as many people as possible to review the designs in the library. I feel horrible for Betty and the trees but we have known about them for some time and they just can't stay.
Michelle Hames: If it will take time to move the dirt does that not give us more time to redesign the exterior to look more like our community? I have been here my whole life and this just doesn't look like our community. You need to figure out a way to save one tree.
Pick the healthiest tree and put it in a dedicated memorial area. What about the other buildings that were built in those areas they didn't need compact like you are proposing. County just planted 40 Jacaranda trees over at Lindo Lake so if these trees are so bad why did they plant those? You can save one tree.
Frank Hilliker: This is a design review board issue not a planning board issue – let design review handle this. Great suggestions here tonight but either way this is not your boards issue.

8. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS:

A. Design Review Board (DRB) - Tony Santo & Steve Robak

Motion: Steve
Second: Karolyn
Action: Approve Tony Santo to represent the board on the design review board.

Vote: Aye: ___11___ Nay: _____ Name(s)_____
Absent: _4__

B. County Service Area 69 (CSA 69) - Steve
C. Trails – Kristen
D. Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) - Liz
E. Park Land Dedication Ordinance (PLDO) - Sarai
F. Highway 67 Corridor (temp until 9/2021) - Tiffany
G. Homeless Task Force - Shari
H. Additional Projects for Discussion

9. ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING: at 10:44 pm by Carol Hake, Chair

Note: The next meeting of the LCPG will be on Wednesday, May 5, 2021 at 6:30 p.m. – Location to be determined

Minutes prepared by __Kristen Everhart Seat 14_____