## I-177 Carol Hanchrow

- **I-177-1** The comment states that they are convinced they need a sound wall to prevent not only noise pollution but road-debris pollution. The comment concerns general topics, including noise, which received extensive evaluation in the Draft EIR at Section 2.10 Noise. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding analysis in the Draft EIR; therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. This comment is included in the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.
- **I-177-2** The comment states that traffic is already very heavy and with a minimum of 4,000 cars projected for the streets, they can't imagine what living in this area will be like. The comment concerns general topics, including traffic, which received extensive evaluation in the Draft EIR at Section 2.13 Transportation and Traffic. As stated in Section 2.13 Transportation and Traffic on page 2.13-48, the proposed project is expected to generate 28,862 new trips per day. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding analysis in the Draft EIR; therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. This comment is included in the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.
- **I-177-3** The comment asks the County to provide examples of how Newland will protect the retired folks from probable pollution caused by the project. Section 2.3 Air Quality, provides an extensive analysis on the impacts to air pollution as a result of the proposed project and mitigation measures are provided when necessary. This comment is included in the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.
- **I-177-4** The comment states that they had no intention of moving, but now they may have to for the sake of their health and where they will not experience horrendous impact and upheaval to the wildlife and habitat. The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commenter, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. Section 2.4 Biological Resources, provides an extensive analysis on the potential impacts to biological resources as a result of the project and mitigation measures are provided when necessary. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- **I-177-5** The comment asks on what basis the County has to refute the biological surveys completed for this area concluding this project will fragment the wildlife causing it to

disappear. Extensive analysis has been provided in the Draft EIR related to impacts to wildlife; specifically in Section 2.4 Biological Resources. Please refer also to **Topical Response BIO-2**. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding analysis in the Draft EIR, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

**I-177-6** The comment states that they look forward to a response and they hope that the County listens to the people who are most impacted by this project. The County acknowledges the comment and notes it provides concluding remarks that do not raise new or additional environmental issues concerning the adequacy of the Draft EIR. For that reason, the County provides no further response to this comment.