## I-234 Jimmie Kohler

**I-234-1** The commenter explains that they are submitting a letter for their neighbor, but the spelling of her name in a previous email was incorrect. The commenter requests that the response to the commenter letter be sent to the neighbor's home address.

This comment is included in the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. The Final EIR will be available prior to the Planning Commission hearing and can be reviewed by the commenter at that time. No further response is required or necessary

**I-234-2** The commenter explains that their quality of life is being compromised by the developer's profit motives. The commenter explains that they are not alone with this thought and asks if the County has heard the concerns of residents located along Deer Springs Road, Sarver Lane, Twin Oaks Valley Road, Buena Creek, and Gopher Canyon.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commenter, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

**I-234-3** The commenter asks who at the County would want to live at her address with the increased noise, pollution, and traffic. The commenter explains that the short term is not as bothersome as the long term effects.

The comment addresses traffic, air quality, and noise issues, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically in Sections 2.13 Transportation and Traffic, 2.3 Air Quality, and 2.10 Noise. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

**I-234-4** The comment states that a noisy environment is very stressful. The commenter asks how the County would mitigate for the addition of 4,000 more cars on the road, not including visitor and service vehicles.

The comment addresses traffic and noise issues, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically in Sections 2.13 Transportation and Traffic and 2.10 Noise. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

I-234-5 The comment states that it is a proven fact that sound walls reduce the noise, but also cut down pollution from cars and road debris. The commenter asks how the County does not mandate this for Deer Springs Road. The commenter mentions an article in LA Times which discusses a development that requires that air purifiers be installed in homes next to a freeway. The comment states that although Deer Springs Road is not a freeway yet, residents are also located near the I-15.

The comment addresses traffic, air quality, and noise issues, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically in Sections 2.13 Transportation and Traffic, 2.3 Air Quality, and 2.10 Noise. See also **Response to Comment O-1.15-11.** The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

**I-234-6** The comment states that although the developer claims that they are handling drainage on the Project site, the commenter is concerned that a nearby park would be inundated during a rainstorm. The commenter explains that the park was damaged previously during a rainstorm.

The comment addresses hydrology and water quality issues, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically in Sections 3.2 Hydrology and Water Quality. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

**I-234-7** The comment states that the Colorado River water agreement would expire in three years and as a result, water would be diminished or the cost would greatly rise.

Regarding the proposed projects impacts to water supply, please refer to **Topical Response UTL-1**. The Draft EIR analyzes water supply in Section 2.14.1, Water Supply and Service. The proposed project would increase overall demand for potable water; however, the Draft EIR compares the planned water usage for the project Site with the estimated water demand based on the proposed project land uses and water conservation measures and concludes the impacts to water supplies would be less than significant. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

**I-234-8** The commenter explains that they moved to the area for a rural lifestyle, but the Project would change this. The commenter asks why the Project is necessary and explains that rural areas will disappear, wildlife would be decimated, and people would be more unhealthy from the pollution and stress.

The comment addresses biological resources and air quality, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR, specifically in Sections 2.4 Biological Resources and 2.3 Air Quality. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.

**I-234-9** The commenter explains that change is expected, but it should not be on the backs of taxpayers and innocent people who would lose more than the developer gains.

The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commenter, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.

## INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK