I-80 Kent C. and Rosemary Colliander

- **I-80-1** The County acknowledges the comment as an introduction to comments that follow. This comment is included in the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.
- **I-80-2** The comment states that adding 2,135 homes would increase traffic congestion in the Deer Springs area which is already experiencing issues. The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR; specifically, in Section 2.13 Transportation and Traffic. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- **I-80-3** The comment states that the project will cause problems for the Mountain Meadow exit and surrounding streets as well. The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR; specifically, in Section 2.13 Transportation and Traffic. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- **I-80-4** The comment states that emergency vehicles will find it difficult to maintain necessary "response times" to address 911 calls. The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR; specifically, in Section 3.5 Public Services. Please see **Response to Comment I-73-2**. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- **I-80-5** The comment states that public funding would be required to pay for necessary infrastructure. The County acknowledges the comment and notes it raises an economic issue (use of public funds for public improvements) that does not appear to relate to any physical effect on the environment. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required because the comment does not raise an environmental issue.

Nonetheless, the County notes that the required improvements will be constructed and funded by the project applicant are estimated to cost approximately \$24 million and will be paid for by the developer and previously collected development impact fees.

Ongoing maintenance will be funded either through a CFD or through property taxes collected on the new residential development.

- **I-80-6** The comment states that "cumulative impacts of other planned and proposed developments in the region." Each section contained in the Draft EIR evaluates potential impacts from cumulative projects in the region. The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- **I-80-7** The comment states that there would be a major negative impact on local schools and maintaining an adequate supply of water. The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in the Draft EIR; specifically in Sections 3.5 Public Services, and 2.14 Utilities and Service Systems, respectively. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding that analysis and, therefore, no more specific response can be provided or is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project.
- **I-80-8** The comment states that they are not in favor of urban sprawl and they don't want to look like Los Angeles or Orange County. The County acknowledges the comment and notes it expresses the opinions of the commenter, and does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of any specific section or analysis of the Draft EIR. The County will include the comment as part of the Final EIR for review and consideration by the decision-makers prior to a final decision on the project. No further response is required or necessary.