

**From:** Katherine B  
**To:** AdvancePlanning\_PDS  
**Subject:** Comments Regarding NC18-A  
**Date:** Monday, February 12, 2018 3:35:46 PM

---

Dear Mr. Kevin Johnston,

I 20-1

As a resident of [REDACTED] in the Escondido area of San Diego County I would like to second the comments submitted to you by our neighbors Russel & Donna Hayes of 2144 Via Cascabel. We strongly oppose the rezoning of NC18-A. We own a small family farm as our primary source of income that borders NC18-A. A zoning change to NC18-A would undoubtedly negatively impact not only our small business, but also the businesses of our neighbors. Part of the reason that we recently moved to this property was that is allowed us the space and appropriate zoning to maintain our farm animals while being close enough to the city to afford to drive throughout San Diego to deliver our produce to restaurants, grocery stores, and farmer's markets. Rezoning and inevitably developing NC18-A would undoubtedly make a staple of our business into a nuisance, forcing our small farm out and further minimizing the amount of agricultural production in the area.

1

I 20-2

I 20-3

We strongly advocate for the NC18-A portion of this plan to be denied.

Sincerely,

Matt & Katherine Bookout-Varner  
Escondido, CA

## **Response to Comment Letter I20**

**Matt and Katherine Bookout-Varner**

**February 12, 2018**

- I20-1** This comment states the commenter agrees with comments submitted by Russell and Donna Hayes.
- Please refer to Responses to Comment Letter I18. The County acknowledges the commenter's opposition to changes proposed for the NC18A PSR Analysis Area.
- I20-2** This comment provides introductory remarks regarding the commenter's family farm. This comment also states that rezoning NC18-A would impact agriculture in the area.
- Section 2.2 of the Draft SEIR analyzes potential impacts to agricultural resources, including potential land use conflicts and indirect conversion of agricultural resources. This comment does not raise issues regarding the adequacy of the Draft SEIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I20-3** The County acknowledges the commenter's opposition to NC18-A.