

I1 Ed and Donna Tisdale

- I1-1** The comment consists of a cover email requesting the attached documents be included as part of the public record for the Campo Wind Project with Boulder Brush Facilities (Project). In addition, the cover letter contains a forwarded email sent by the author to County of San Diego staff on July 8, 2019. This comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR); therefore, no further response is required.
- I1-2** The comment consists of a letter submitted to the Bureau of Indian Affairs and County of San Diego on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) prepared for the Project. The comment letter addresses various topics including visual impacts, biological impacts, and groundwater impacts. Please refer to Responses to Comments 1-1 through 1-30 in Appendix T, Response to Public Comments, of the Final EIS¹. No further response is required.
- I1-3** The comment consists of a report prepared by Snyder Geologic that provides a technical review of the groundwater impact analysis in the Draft EIS prepared for the Project. Please refer to Responses to Comments 1-31 through 1-57 in Appendix T of the Final EIS. Please also refer to Global Response GR-6, Groundwater, in the Final EIR.
- I1-4** The comment consists of figures prepared by Dudek and included in the Draft EIS and the Biological Technical Report (Appendix H to the Draft EIS). No specific commentary is provided by the commenter. This comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I1-5** The comment consists of a cover email requesting the inclusion of the attached documents as part of the public record for the Project. In addition, the cover letter contains a forwarded email sent by the author to County of San Diego staff on July 8, 2019. This comment does not raise a specific issue regarding the adequacy of the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.
- I1-6** The comment consists of a letter to the California Public Utilities Commission dated August 26, 2013. This letter was also submitted to the BIA during the public review period for the Draft EIS. The comments address water supply issues related to other projects including the ECO Substation, the Shu'luuk Wind Project, the Campo Solid Water Management Project, and other projects. Responses to the statements contained within this comment are provided in Responses to Comments 1-123 through 1-137 of Appendix T to the Final EIS. This comment does not raise a specific issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis in the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.

¹ The Final EIS can be found at <http://campowind.com/>.

Responses to Comments

- I1-7** The comment consists of excerpts from the 1992 Draft EIS for the Campo Solid Waste Management Project. These excerpts submitted are identical to those submitted during the public review period for the Draft EIS. Refer to Response to Comment 1-138 in Appendix T to the Final EIS. This comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the Draft EIR; therefore, on further response is required.
- I1-8** The comment consists of excerpts from the 2010 Draft EIS for the Campo Regional Landfill Project. These excerpts submitted are identical to those submitted during the public review period for the Draft EIS. Refer to Response to Comment 1-140 in Appendix T to the Final EIS. This comment does not raise an issue regarding the adequacy of the Draft EIR; therefore, on further response is required.
- I1-9** The comment consists of a report prepared by Wilson-Ihrig that provides a technical review of the noise analysis in the Draft EIS. This report was submitted to the Bureau of Indian Affairs during the public review period for the Draft EIS. Responses to the statements contained within this report are included in Responses to Comments 1-65 through 1-93 and J-46 through J-74 of Appendix T to the Final EIS. Also please refer to Global Response GR-4, Noise, in this Final EIR.