

Majestic Otay Noise Impact Analysis County of San Diego

PROJECT APPLICANT:

Sunroad Otay Partners L.P. 8620 Spectrum Center Blvd., Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92123

LEAD AGENCY:

County of San Diego 5510 Overland Avenue, Third Floor San Diego, CA 92123

PREPARED BY:

William A. Maddux County-Approved Preparer bmaddux@urbanxroads.com (619) 778-1971

AUGUST 8, 2023

15250-02 Noise Study.docx

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABL	TABLE OF CONTENTS							
	NDICES DE EXHIBITS	 						
LIST	IST OF TABLES							
LIST C	DF ABBREVIATED TERMSI	II						
EXEC	UTIVE SUMMARY	2						
Nc	bise Sensitive Land Uses Affected By Airborne Noise	2						
Pro	oject-Generated Airborne Noise	2						
Gr		3						
1		4						
1.1	1 Project Description	4						
1.2	2 Environmental Settings and Existing Conditions	/ 6						
2	NOISE SENSITIVE LAND LISES AFFECTED BY AIRBORNE NOISE	2						
- 	1 Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	<u>ר</u> כ						
2.2	2 Potential Noise Impacts	2 5						
2.3	3 Off-site Direct and Cumulative Noise Impacts	5						
3.0	PROJECT-GENERATED AIRBORNE NOISE	4						
3.1	1 Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	4						
3.2	2 Potential Operational Noise Impacts (Non-Construction Noise)	6						
3.3	3 Potential General Construction Noise Impacts	4						
3.4	4 Potential Impulsive Noise Impacts	6						
3.5		0						
4.0	GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION AND NOISE IMPACTS	8						
4.1	1 Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	8						
4.2		9						
5.0	SUMMARY OF PROJECT IMPACTS, DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS, MITIGATION, AND CONCLUSION 5	2						
5.1	1 Noise Sensitive Land Uses Affected By Airborne Noise	2						
5.4 5.3	2 Project-Generated Airborne Noise	2 2						
6.0	CERTIFICATION	Δ						
7.0	REFERENCES	6						

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1.1: NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENT WORKSHEETS APPENDIX 2.1: OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS APPENDIX 3.1: CADNAA: OPERATIONAL NOISE MODEL INPUTS APPENDIX 3.2: CADNAA: CONSTRUCTION NOISE MODEL INPUTS



LIST OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP	5
EXHIBIT 1-B: SITE PLAN	6
EXHIBIT 1-C: TYPICAL NOISE LEVELS	8
EXHIBIT 1-D: NOISE LEVEL INCREASE PERCEPTION	. 11
EXHIBIT 1-E: TYPICAL LEVELS OF GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION	. 13
EXHIBIT 1-F: PROJECT SITE AND NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENT LOCATION	. 15
EXHIBIT 3-A: OPERATIONAL NOISE SOURCE LOCATIONS	. 40
EXHIBIT 3-B: CONSTRUCTION NOISE SOURCE AND NOISE RECEIVER LOCATIONS	. 45

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS
TABLE 1-1: 24-HOUR AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS 16
TABLE 1-2: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS 18
TABLE 1-3: OFF-SITE ROADWAY PARAMETERS
TABLE 1-4: AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES
TABLE 1-5: TIME OF DAY VEHICLE DISTRIBUTION AND VEHICLE MIX
TABLE 2-1: NOISE COMPATIBILITY GUIDELINES
TABLE 2-2: NOISE STANDARDS
TABLE 2-3: EXISTING NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS
TABLE 2-4: EXISTING PLUS PROJECT NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS
TABLE 2-5: EXISTING PLUS CUMULATIVE NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS 28
TABLE 2-6: EXISTING PLUS CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS 29
TABLE 2-7: EXISTING PLUS PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL INCREASES 31
TABLE 2-8: CUMULATIVE WITH PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE INCREASES 32
TABLE 3-1: COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO NOISE ORDINANCE SOUND LEVEL LIMITS
TABLE 3-2: COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO CODE SECTION 36.410, MAXIMUM SOUND LEVEL (IMPULSIVE)
MEASURED AT OCCUPIED PROPERTY IN DECIBELS
TABLE 3-3: COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO CODE SECTION 36.410, MAXIMUM SOUND LEVEL (IMPULSIVE)
MEASURED AT OCCUPIED PROPERTY IN DECIBELS FOR PUBLIC ROAD PROJECTS
TABLE 3-4: OPERATIONAL REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS
TABLE 3-5: PROJECT DAYTIME OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS
TABLE 3-6: PROJECT NIGHTTIME OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS 41
TABLE 3-7: OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE 42
TABLE 3-8: DAYTIME PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL INCREASES
TABLE 3-9: NIGHTTIME OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL INCREASES 43
TABLE 3-10: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVEL SUMMARY
TABLE 3-11: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE
TABLE 4-1: GUIDELINES FOR DETERMINING THE SIGNIFICANCE OF GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION AND
NOISE IMPACTS
TABLE 4-2: GUIDELINES FOR DETERMINING THE SIGNIFICANCE OF GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION AND
NOISE IMPACTS FOR SPECIAL BUILDINGS
TABLE 4-3: VIBRATION SOURCE LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT 50



LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS

(1)	Reference
ADT	Average Daily Traffic
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CNEL	Community Noise Equivalent Level
County	County of San Diego
County Guidelines	County of San Diego Guidelines for Determining Significance and
	Report Format and Content Requirements - Noise
dBA	A-weighted decibels
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
Hz	Hertz
INCE	Institute of Noise Control Engineering
L _{eq}	Equivalent continuous (average) sound level
L _{max}	Maximum level measured over the time interval
L _{min}	Minimum level measured over the time interval
mph	Miles per hour
NSLU	Noise Sensitive Land Use
OPR	Office of Planning and Research
PPV	Peak particle velocity
Project	Majestic Otay
REMEL	Reference Energy Mean Emission Level
RMS	Root-mean-square
VdB	Vibration Decibels



This page intentionally left blank



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Urban Crossroads, Inc. has prepared this noise study to determine the potential noise impacts and the necessary noise mitigation measures, if any, for the proposed Majestic Otay development (Project). The Project site is approximately 250 gross acres located in the East Otay Mesa area of unincorporated San Diego County.

The results of this Majestic Otay Noise Impact Analysis are summarized below based on the significance criteria in this report consistent with the *County of San Diego Guidelines for Determining Significance and Report Format and Content Requirements* (County Guidelines) for Noise and Appendix G of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines (1). Table ES-1 shows the findings of significance for each potential noise and/or vibration impact under CEQA.

Analysia	Report	Significance Findings				
Analysis	Section	Unmitigated	Mitigated			
On-Site Traffic	2	No Impact	N/A			
Off-Site Traffic Noise	2	Less Than Significant	N/A			
Operational Noise	2	Less Than Significant	N/A			
Construction Noise	5	Less Than Significant	N/A			
Construction Vibration 4		Less Than Significant	N/A			

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS

The Project proposes a Specific Plan Amendment (SPA) to the East Otay Mesa Business Park Specific Plan, Tentative Parcel Map, and a Site Plan to establish a new Light Industrial Master Planned Business Park. The SPA proposes 2,402,405 square feet of development spread out over 5 phases with 250 acres.

NOISE SENSITIVE LAND USES AFFECTED BY AIRBORNE NOISE

ON-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE

The Project is an industrial development, the Project does not include any noise sensitive land uses (NSLU). There would be **no impact** on NSLU.

OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE

Off-site traffic would result in noise level increase of less than two decibels (dB) along all affected roadways. Therefore, off-site traffic noise level increases would be **less than significant**.

PROJECT-GENERATED AIRBORNE NOISE

OPERATION

Stationary sources of concern include roof-top heating, ventilation, and air conditioning units, parking lot activity, trash enclosure activity, loading dock activities, and on-site truck and gate



movements. Based on predicted noise levels airborne noise levels would comply with the County of San Diego property line limits and impacts would be **less than significant**.

CONSTRUCTION

The construction noise analysis shows that the nearest receiver locations will range from 42.5 to 56.3 dBA L_{eq} at the nearest NSLU. Thus, construction activity would not exceed the 75 dBA L_{eq} significance threshold during Project construction activities. Therefore, typical construction noise would be **less than significant**.

GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION AND NOISE IMPACTS

There are no substantial vibration sources associated with Project operation. No pile driving or blasting is anticipated during building construction. Based on the distance to surrounding vibration sensitive land uses, vibration impacts associated with Project construction would not exceed County of San Diego vibration level limits, therefore, vibration impacts would be **less than significant**.



1 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this analysis is to characterize the existing noise conditions, identify applicable regulations (i.e., County of San Diego General Plan Noise Element (2) and the County Noise Ordinance (3)), assess noise impacts from construction and operation of the Project, and identify mitigation measures and/or design considerations to reduce potential noise impacts. This report was prepared in accordance with the County's Guidelines for Determining Significance and Report Format and Content Requirements, Noise (County Guidelines) (4) (5). The results of this noise report will be used to inform the final environmental documentation pursuant to CEQA.

1.1 **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The Project proposes a Specific Plan Amendment (SPA) to the East Otay Mesa Business Park Specific Plan, Tentative Parcel Map, and a Site Plan to establish a new Light Industrial Master Planned Business Park.

The Project site is approximately 250 gross acres in the East Otay Mesa area of the County of San Diego and is currently undeveloped. The SPA would designate the site for Light Industrial and Conservation land uses. The Project would allow for development on approximately 183.5 acres and include approximately 51.3 acres of permanent biological open space in the northeastern portion of the Project site. Additionally, the Project includes approximately 15.83 acres for conservation of vernal pools on-site. Grading would occur on approximately 183.5 acres of the Project site and would require an off-site sewer connection within the existing right-of-way in Zinser Road extending west of the Project site.

The SPA would allow for up to 2,850,000 square feet of Class A industrial buildings. However, it should be noted that the Site Plan proposes 2,402,405 square feet of development spread out over 5 proposed phases. The site plan is presented in Exhibit 1-B. Development would be phased as follows:

Although the Site plan proposes 2,402,405 square feet of development, for purposes of analysis, the maximum square footage allowed by the SPA (2,850,000 square feet) is evaluated herein.

Access to the Project site would be provided via Otay Mesa Road, Zinser Road, and Lone Star Road. The Project would include the construction of Harvest Road and Sunroad Boulevard onsite as well as construction of several private roadways for internal circulation.

The on-site Project-related noise sources are expected to include roof-top heating, ventilation, and air conditioning units, parking lot activity, trash enclosure activity, loading dock activities, and on-site truck and gate movements.

1.1.1 SITE LOCATION

The Project site is approximately 250 gross acres in the East Otay Mesa area of the County of San Diego and is currently undeveloped. The site is bordered on the south by Otay Mesa Rd., open space to the east, and industrial development to the west. The Project site is located northeast of Interstate 905 (I-905) and State Route 125 (SR-125) The Project area is presented in Exhibit 1-A.





EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP



EXHIBIT 1-B: SITE PLAN





1.2 Environmental Settings and Existing Conditions

1.2.1 Settings and Location

The Project site is located within the East Otay Mesa Business Park Specific Plan (EOMBPSP). Land uses north and east of the site are designated for Technology Business Park. West of the Project site is SR-125 and land designated for Technology Business Park. Land uses southwest of the Project are designated for Commercial Overlay uses. South of the Project site is land within the City of San Diego designated for light industrial and office uses. Under existing conditions land immediately north of the Project site is undeveloped, beyond which id the Richard J. Donovan Correctional Facility. The area west of the Project site are light industrial uses. East of the Project site is undeveloped land. The nearest noise sensitive land use (NSLU) is located approximately 1,066 feet to the north.

1.2.2 NOISE TERMINOLOGY

Noise is simply defined as "unwanted sound." Sound becomes unwanted when it interferes with normal activities, when it causes actual physical harm or when it has adverse effects on health. Noise is measured on a logarithmic scale of sound pressure level known as a decibel (dB). A-weighted decibels (dBA) approximate the subjective response of the human ear to broad frequency noise source by discriminating against very low and very high frequencies of the audible spectrum. They are adjusted to reflect only those frequencies which are audible to the human ear. Exhibit 1-C presents a summary of the typical noise levels and their subjective loudness and effects that are described in more detail below.

RANGE OF NOISE

Since the range of intensities that the human ear can detect is so large, the scale frequently used to measure intensity is a scale based on multiples of 10, the logarithmic scale. The scale for measuring intensity is the decibel scale. Each interval of 10 decibels indicates a sound energy ten times greater than before, which is perceived by the human ear as being roughly twice as loud (6). The most common sounds vary between 40 dBA (very quiet) to 100 dBA (very loud). Normal conversation at three feet is roughly at 60 dBA, while loud jet engine noises equate to 110 dBA at approximately 100 feet, which can cause serious discomfort (7). Another important aspect of noise is the duration of the sound and the way it is described and distributed in time.

NOISE DESCRIPTORS

Environmental noise descriptors are generally based on averages, rather than instantaneous, noise levels. The most used figure is the equivalent level (L_{eq}). Equivalent sound levels are not measured directly but are calculated from sound pressure levels typically measured in A-weighted decibels (dBA). The equivalent sound level (L_{eq}) represents a steady state sound level containing the same total energy as a time varying signal over a given sample period (typically one hour) and is commonly used to describe the "average" noise levels within the environment.



COMMON OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES	COMMON INDOOR ACTIVITIES	A - WEIGHTED SOUND LEVEL dBA	SUBJECTIVE LOUDNESS	EFFECTS OF NOISE	
THRESHOLD OF PAIN		140			
NEAR JET ENGINE		DMMON INDOOR ACTIVITIES A - WEIGHTED SOUND LEVEL dBA SUBJECTIVE LOUDNESS 140 140 Initial Council Counci Council Council Counc	INTILERABLE OR		
		120	DEAFENING	ILEASING LOSS	
JET FLY-OVER AT 300m (1000 ft)	ROCK BAND	110			
LOUD AUTO HORN		100			
GAS LAWN MOWER AT 1m (3 ft)		90	VERY NOISY		
DIESEL TRUCK AT 15m (50 ft), at 80 km/hr (50 mph)	FOOD BLENDER AT 1m (3 ft)	80	_		
NOISY URBAN AREA, DAYTIME	VACUUM CLEANER AT 3m (10 ft)	70	LOUD	SPEECH	
HEAVY TRAFFIC AT 90m (300 ft)	NORMAL SPEECH AT 1m (3 ft)	60			
QUIET URBAN DAYTIME	LARGE BUSINESS OFFICE	50	MODERATE		
QUIET URBAN NIGHTTIME	THEATER, LARGE CONFERENCE ROOM (BACKGROUND)	40	incontraction in a second s	DISTURBANCE	
QUIET SUBURBAN NIGHTTIME	LIBRARY	30			
QUIET RURAL NIGHTTIME	BEDROOM AT NIGHT, CONCERT HALL (BACKGROUND)	20	FAINT		
	BROADCAST/RECORDING STUDIO	10	VERY FAINT	NO EFFECT	
LOWEST THRESHOLD OF HUMAN HEARING	LOWEST THRESHOLD OF HUMAN HEARING	0	VERT PAINT		

EXHIBIT 1-C: TYPICAL NOISE LEVELS

Source: Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control, Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety (EPA/ONAC 550/9-74-004) March 1974.

Peak hour or average noise levels, while useful, do not completely describe a given noise environment, however. Noise levels lower than peak hour may be disturbing if they occur during times when quiet is most desirable, namely evening and nighttime (sleeping) hours. To account for this, the Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL), representing a composite 24-hour noise level is utilized. The CNEL is the weighted average of the intensity of a sound, with corrections for time of day, and averaged over 24 hours. The time-of-day corrections require the addition of 5 decibels to dBA L_{eq} sound levels in the evening from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m., and the addition of 10 decibels to dBA L_{eq} sound levels at night between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. These additions are made to account for the noise sensitive time periods during the evening and night hours when sound appears louder. CNEL does not represent the actual sound level heard at any time, but rather represents the total sound exposure. The County of San Diego relies on the 24-hour CNEL level to assess land use compatibility with transportation related noise sources.

SOUND PROPAGATION

When sound propagates over a distance, it changes in level and frequency content. Based on guidance from the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Office of Environment and Planning, Noise and Air Quality Branch, the way noise reduces with distance depends on the following factors.



GEOMETRIC SPREADING

Sound from a localized source (i.e., a stationary point source) propagates uniformly outward in a spherical pattern. The sound level attenuates (or decreases) at a rate of 6 dB for each doubling of distance from a point source. Highways consist of several localized noise sources on a defined path and hence can be treated as a line source, which approximates the effect of several point sources. Noise from a line source propagates outward in a cylindrical pattern, often referred to as cylindrical spreading. Sound levels attenuate at a rate of 3 dB for each doubling of distance from a line source (6).

GROUND ABSORPTION

The propagation path of noise from a highway to a receiver is usually very close to the ground. Noise attenuation from ground absorption and reflective wave canceling adds to the attenuation associated with geometric spreading. Traditionally, the excess attenuation has also been expressed in terms of attenuation per doubling of distance. This approximation is usually sufficiently accurate for distances of less than 200 feet. For acoustically hard sites (i.e., sites with a reflective surface between the source and the receiver, such as a parking lot or body of water), no excess ground attenuation is assumed. For acoustically absorptive or soft sites (i.e., those sites with an absorptive ground surface between the source and the receiver such as soft dirt, grass, or scattered bushes and trees), an excess ground attenuation value of 1.5 dB per doubling of distance is normally assumed. When added to the cylindrical spreading, the excess ground attenuation results in an overall drop-off rate of 4.5 dB per doubling of distance from a line source (8).

ATMOSPHERIC EFFECTS

Receivers located downwind from a source can be exposed to increased noise levels relative to calm conditions, whereas locations upwind can have lowered noise levels. Sound levels can be increased at large distances (e.g., more than 500 feet) due to atmospheric temperature inversion (i.e., increasing temperature with elevation). Other factors such as air temperature, humidity, and turbulence can also have significant effects (6).

SHIELDING

A large object or barrier in the path between a noise source and a receiver can substantially attenuate noise levels at the receiver. The amount of attenuation provided by shielding depends on the size of the object and the frequency content of the noise source. Shielding by trees and other such vegetation typically only has an "out of sight, out of mind" effect. That is, the perception of noise impact tends to decrease when vegetation blocks the line-of-sight to nearest residents. However, for vegetation to provide a substantial, or even noticeable, noise reduction, the vegetation area must be at least 15 feet in height, 100 feet wide and dense enough to completely obstruct the line-of sight between the source and the receiver. This size of vegetation may provide up to 5 dBA of noise reduction. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) does not consider the planting of vegetation to be a noise abatement measure (8).



REFLECTION

Field studies conducted by the FHWA have shown that the reflection from barriers and buildings does not substantially increase noise levels (8). If all the noise striking a structure was reflected back to a given receiving point, the increase would be theoretically limited to 3 dBA. Further, not all the acoustical energy is reflected back to same point. Some of the energy would go over the structure, some is reflected to points other than the given receiving point, some is scattered by ground coverings (e.g., grass and other plants), and some is blocked by intervening structures and/or obstacles (e.g., the noise source itself). Additionally, some of the reflected energy is lost due to the longer path that the noise must travel. FHWA measurements made to quantify reflective increases in traffic noise have not shown an increase of greater than 1-2 dBA; an increase that is not perceptible to the average human ear.

NOISE CONTROL

Noise control is the process of obtaining an acceptable noise environment for an observation point or receiver by controlling the noise source, transmission path, receiver, or all three. This concept is known as the source-path-receiver concept. In general, noise control measures can be applied to these three elements.

NOISE BARRIER ATTENUATION

Effective noise barriers can reduce noise levels by up to 10 to 15 dBA, cutting the loudness of traffic noise in half. A noise barrier is most effective when placed close to the noise source or receiver. Noise barriers, however, do have limitations. For a noise barrier to work, it must be high enough and long enough to block the path of the noise source (8).

LAND USE COMPATIBILITY WITH NOISE

Some land uses are more tolerant of noise than others. For example, schools, hospitals, churches, and residences are more sensitive to noise intrusion than are commercial or industrial developments and related activities. Sensitive receivers are generally defined as locations where people reside or where the presence of unwanted sound could otherwise adversely affect the use of the land. Noise-sensitive land uses are generally considered to include schools, hospitals, single-family dwellings, mobile home parks, churches, libraries, recreation areas or buildings where people normally sleep. As ambient noise levels affect the perceived amenity or livability of a development, so too can the mismanagement of noise impacts impair the economic health and growth potential of a community by reducing the area's desirability as a place to live, shop and work. For this reason, land use compatibility with the noise environment is an important consideration in the planning and design process. The FHWA encourages State and Local government to regulate land development in such a way that noise-sensitive land uses are either prohibited from being located adjacent to a highway, or that the developments are planned, designed, and constructed in such a way that noise impacts are minimized (9).



COMMUNITY RESPONSE TO NOISE

Community responses to noise varies depending upon everyone's susceptibility to noise and personal attitudes about noise. Several factors are related to the level of community annoyance including:

- Fear associated with noise producing activities;
- Socio-economic status and educational level;
- Perception that those affected are being unfairly treated;
- Attitudes regarding the usefulness of the noise-producing activity;
- Belief that the noise source can be controlled.

Approximately ten percent of the population has a very low tolerance for noise and will object to any noise not of their making. Consequently, even in the quietest environment, some complaints will occur. Twenty-five percent of the population will not complain even in very severe noise environments. Thus, a variety of reactions can be expected from people exposed to any given noise environment (10). Surveys have shown that about ten percent of the people exposed to traffic noise of 60 dBA will report being highly annoyed with the noise, and each increase of one dBA is associated with approximately two percent more people being highly annoyed. When traffic noise exceeds 60 dBA or aircraft noise exceeds 55 dBA, people may begin to complain (10). Despite this variability in behavior on an individual level, the population can be expected to exhibit the following responses to changes in noise levels as shown on Exhibit 1-D. A change of 3 dBA is considered *barely perceptible*, and changes of 5 dBA are considered *readily perceptible*. (8)





VIBRATION

As defined in the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual* (11) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) *Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual* (12), vibration is the periodic oscillation of a medium or object. The rumbling sound caused by the vibration of room surfaces is called structure-borne noise. Sources of ground-borne vibrations include natural phenomena (e.g., earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, sea waves, landslides) or human-made causes (e.g., explosions, machinery, traffic, trains, construction equipment). Vibration sources may be continuous, such as factory machinery, or transient, such as explosions. As is the case with



airborne sound, ground-borne vibrations may be described by amplitude and frequency. Typical outdoor sources of vibration waves that can propagate through the ground and may create perceptible ground-borne vibration in nearby buildings include construction equipment, steel-wheeled trains, and traffic on rough roads. If the roadway is fairly smooth, the vibration from rubber-tired traffic is rarely perceptible (11).

Additionally, in contrast to airborne noise, ground-borne vibration outdoors is not a common environmental problem and annoyance from ground-borne vibration is almost exclusively an indoor phenomenon (11). Therefore, the effects of vibrations should only be evaluated at a structure and the effects of the building structure on the vibration should be considered. Woodframe buildings, such as typical residential structures, are more easily excited by ground vibration than heavier buildings. In contrast, large masonry buildings with spread footings have a low response to ground vibration (11). In general, the heavier a building is, the lower the response will be to the incident vibration energy. However, all structurers reduce vibration levels due to the coupling of the building to the soil.

There are several different methods that are used to quantify vibration. The peak particle velocity (PPV) is defined as the maximum instantaneous peak of the vibration signal (11). The PPV is most frequently used to describe vibration impacts to buildings but is not always suitable for evaluating human response (annoyance) because it takes some time for the human body to respond to vibration signals. Instead, the human body responds to average vibration amplitude often described as the root mean square (RMS). The RMS amplitude is defined as the average of the squared amplitude of the signal and is most frequently used to describe the effect of vibration on the human body (11). However, the RMS amplitude and PPV are related mathematically, and the RMS amplitude of equipment is typically calculated from the PPV reference level. The RMS amplitude is approximately 70% of the PPV (12). Thus, either can be used in the description of vibration impacts.

While not universally accepted, vibration decibel notation (VdB) is another vibration notation developed and used by the FTA in their guidance manual to describe vibration levels and provide a background of common vibration levels and set vibration limits (13). Decibel notation (VdB) serves to reduce the range of numbers used to describe vibration levels and is used in this report to describe vibration levels.

As stated in the FTA guidance manual, the background vibration-velocity level in residential areas is generally 50 VdB. Ground-borne vibration is normally perceptible to humans at approximately 65 VdB. For most people, a vibration-velocity level of 75 VdB is the approximate dividing line between barely perceptible and distinctly perceptible levels. Typical outdoor sources of perceptible ground-borne vibration are construction equipment, steel-wheeled trains, and traffic on rough roads. If a roadway is smooth, the ground-borne vibration is rarely perceptible. The range of interest is from approximately 50 VdB, which is the typical background vibration-velocity level, to 100 VdB, which is the general threshold where minor damage can occur in fragile buildings. Exhibit 1-E illustrates common vibration sources and the human and structural response to ground-borne vibration.





EXHIBIT 1-E: TYPICAL LEVELS OF GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION

* RMS Vibration Velocity Level in VdB relative to 10⁻⁶ inches/second

Source: Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual.

1.2.3 EXISTING NOISE CONDITIONS

To assess the existing noise level environment, three 24-hour noise level measurements were taken in the Project area. The receiver locations were selected to describe and document the existing noise environment within the Project study area. Exhibit 1-F provides the boundaries of the Project study area and the noise level measurement location. To fully describe the existing noise conditions, noise level measurement was conducted by Urban Crossroads, Inc. on Thursday, July 27, 2023.

1.2.3.1 NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS

The long-term noise level measurements were used to assess the existing ambient hourly noise levels in the Project area. Both Caltrans and the FTA recognize that it is not reasonable to collect noise level measurements that can fully represent every part of a private yard, patio, deck, or balcony normally used for human activity when estimating impacts for new development projects. This is demonstrated in the Caltrans general site location guidelines which indicate that sites must be free of noise contamination by sources other than sources of interest. Avoid sites located near sources such as barking dogs, lawnmowers, pool pumps, and air conditioners unless it is the express intent of the analyst to measure these sources. (6) Further, FTA guidance states, that it is not necessary nor recommended that existing noise exposure be determined by measuring at every noise-sensitive location in the project area. Rather, the recommended approach is to characterize the noise environment for clusters of sites based on measurements or estimates at representative locations in the community. (14)

Based on recommendations of Caltrans and the FTA, it is not necessary to collect measurements at each individual building or residence, because each receiver measurement represents a group of buildings that share acoustical equivalence. (14) In other words, the area represented by the receiver shares similar shielding, terrain, and geometric relationship to the reference noise source. Receivers represent a location of noise sensitive areas and are used to estimate the future noise level impacts. Collecting reference ambient noise level measurements at the nearby sensitive receiver locations allows for a comparison of the before and after Project noise levels and is necessary to assess potential noise impacts due to the Project's contribution to the ambient noise levels.

1.2.3.4 NOISE MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The noise measurement presented below focuses on the average or equivalent sound levels (L_{eq}). The equivalent sound level (L_{eq}) represents a steady state sound level containing the same total energy as a time varying signal over a given sample period. Table 1-1 identifies the hourly daytime (7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.) and nighttime (10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.) noise levels at each noise level measurement location. Appendix 1.1 provides a summary of the existing hourly ambient noise levels.





EXHIBIT 1-F: PROJECT SITE AND NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENT LOCATION

LEGEND:



Location ¹	Description		Average e Level A L _{eq}) ²			
		Daytime Nigh				
L1	Near Holiday Inn Express & Suites San Diego Otay Mesa, 2296 Niels Bohr Court	63.3	56.9			
L2	Near Residence at 8691 Loanstar Road	65.2	52.3			
L3	South of Richard J. Donovan Correctional Facility	67.4	47			

TABLE 1-1: 24-HOUR AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

¹ See Exhibit 1-F for the noise level measurement locations.

² Energy (logarithmic) average levels. The long-term 24-hour measurement worksheets are included in Appendix 5.2. "Daytime" = 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.; "Nighttime" = 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.

Table 1-1 provides the (energy average) noise levels used to describe the daytime and nighttime ambient conditions. These daytime and nighttime energy average noise levels represent the average of all hourly noise levels observed during these time periods expressed as a single number. Appendix 1.1 provides summary worksheets of the noise levels for each hour as well as the minimum, maximum, L₁, L₂, L₅, L₈, L₂₅, L₅₀, L₉₀, L₉₅, and L₉₉ percentile noise levels observed during the daytime and nighttime periods. The background ambient noise levels in the Project study area are dominated by the transportation-related noise associated with surface streets in addition to background residential land use activities. This includes the auto and heavy truck activities on study area roadway segments near the noise level measurement locations.

1.3 METHODOLOGY AND EQUIPMENT

1.3.1 NOISE MEASURING METHODOLOGY AND PROCEDURES

To describe the existing noise environment, the hourly noise levels were measured during typical weekday conditions over a 24-hour period. By collecting individual hourly noise level measurements, it is possible to describe the daytime and nighttime hourly noise levels and calculate the 24-hour CNEL. The long-term noise readings were recorded using a Piccolo Type 2 integrating sound level meter and datalogger. The Piccolo sound level meters were calibrated using a Larson-Davis calibrator, Model CAL 150. All noise meters were programmed in "slow" mode to record noise levels in "A" weighted form. The sound level meters and microphones were equipped with a windscreen during all measurements. All noise level measurement equipment satisfies the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standard specifications for sound level meters ANSI S1.4-2014/IEC 61672-1:2013. (15) During the measurement period, the weather was dry and slightly breezy (>3.5 miles per hour), and the temperature ranged between 72 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) and 76°F.



1.3.2 Noise Modeling Software

To fully describe the exterior operational noise levels from the Project, Urban Crossroads, Inc. developed a noise prediction model using the CadnaA (Computer Aided Noise Abatement) computer program. CadnaA can analyze multiple types of noise sources using the spatially accurate Project site plan, georeferenced Nearmap aerial imagery, topography, buildings, and barriers in its calculations to predict outdoor noise levels.

Using the ISO 9613 protocol, CadnaA calculates the distance from each noise source to the noise receiver locations, using the ground absorption, distance, and barrier/building attenuation inputs to provide a summary of noise level at each receiver and the partial noise level contributions by noise source. Consistent with the ISO 9613 protocol, the CadnaA noise prediction model relies on the reference sound power level (L_w) to describe individual noise sources. Sound power is the acoustical energy emitted by the sound source and is an absolute value that is not affected by the environment. Sound pressure levels (i.e., dBA L_{eq}) vary substantially with distance from the source and diminish because of intervening obstacles and barriers, atmospheric absorption, wind, and other factors.

The operational noise level calculations provided in this noise study account for the distance attenuation provided due to geometric spreading, when sound from a localized stationary source (i.e., a point source) propagates uniformly outward in a spherical pattern. A default ground attenuation factor of 0.5 was used in the noise analysis to account for mixed ground representing a combination of hard and soft surfaces.

Vehicle traffic noise levels near the Project area were modeled using the FHWA Highway Traffic Noise Model (TNM) algorithms and traffic data provided by the Project traffic report. Existing traffic noise modeling is intended to establish a baseline for existing noise conditions generated from traffic operations adjacent to the project area. The FHWA model is based on reference noise emission factors for automobiles, medium trucks, heavy trucks, motorcycles, and buses with consideration given to vehicle volume, speed, roadway configuration, distance to the receiver, and ground type. Truck usage and vehicle speeds on study area roadways were estimated from field observations.

1.3.3 NOISE FORMULAS AND CALCULATIONS

TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS

To describe construction noise activities, this construction noise analysis was prepared using reference construction equipment noise levels from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) published the Roadway Construction Noise Model (RCNM), which includes a national database of construction equipment reference noise emission levels. (16) The reference construction noise levels used in this analysis are provided in Table 1-2. The RCNM equipment database, provides a comprehensive list of the noise generating characteristics for specific types of construction equipment. In addition, the database provides an acoustical usage factor to estimate the fraction of time each piece of construction equipment is operating at full power (i.e., its loudest condition) during a construction operation.



Construction Stage	Reference Construction Activity ¹	Reference Noise Level @ 50 Feet (dBA L _{eq})	Combined Reference Noise Level (dBA L _{eq})		
	Crawler Tractors	77			
Site	Hauling Trucks	71	79		
reparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	71			
	Graders	79			
Grading	Excavators 64		79		
	Compactors	67			
A 11 11	Cranes	67			
Building	Tractors 72		74		
construction	Welders	65			
	Pavers	70			
Paving	Paving Equipment	69	74		
	Rollers	69			
	Cranes	67			
Architectural	Air Compressors	67	72		
Coating	Generator Sets	67			

TABLE 1-2: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS

 1 Update of noise database for prediction of noise on construction and open site expressed in hourly average L_{eq} based on estimated usage factor.

TRAFFIC REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS

Off-site traffic noise level increases were calculated using accepted mathematical correlations between traffic volume changes and noise levels. Stationary source noise levels were calculated and attenuated based on standard equipment reference data and hard site propagation characteristics. Table 1-3 identifies the seventeen off-site study area roadway segments, the distance from the centerline to adjacent land use based on the functional roadway classifications per the County of San Diego General Plan, and the posted vehicle speeds. Consistent with the *Transportation Study for the Majestic Otay Project* prepared by Linscott, Law, and Greenspan, Inc. (17) the off-site traffic noise analysis includes the following traffic scenarios.

- Existing (E)
- Existing Plus Project (EP)
- Existing Plus Cumulative (EC)
- Existing Plus Cumulative with Project Conditions (ECP)

The average daily traffic (ADT) volumes used for this study are presented on Table 1-4. Table 1-5 provides the time of day (daytime, evening, and nighttime) vehicle splits and the vehicle classification mix.



ID	Roadway	Segment	Receiving Land Use ¹	Classification ²	Centerline Distance to Receiving Land Use (Feet) ³	Vehicle Speed (mph)
1	La Media Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	Commercial	Prime Arterial	61'	45
2	La Media Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	Commercial	Prime Arterial	61'	45
3	Piper Ranch Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	Commercial	Collector	30'	40
4	Harvest Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	Commercial	Collector	30'	40
5	Sanyo Ave	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	Commercial	Collector	30'	45
6	Vann Cantre Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	Commercial	Collector	30'	40
7	Enrico Fermi Dr	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	Commercial	Major	49'	40
8	Enrico Fermi Dr	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	Commercial	Major	49'	40
9	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o La Media Rd	Commercial	Prime Arterial	61'	40
10	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Piper Ranch Rd	Commercial	Prime Arterial	61'	40
11	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 SB Off Ramp	Commercial	Prime Arterial	61'	40
12	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 NB Off Ramp	Commercial	Prime Arterial	61'	40
13	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Harvest Rd	Commercial	Prime Arterial	61'	40
14	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Sanyo Ave	Commercial	Prime Arterial	61'	40
15	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Sanyo Ave	Commercial	Prime Arterial	61'	40
16	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Vann Cantre Rd	Commercial	Prime Arterial	61'	40
17	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Enrico Fermi Dr	Commercial	Major	49'	40

TABLE 1-3: OFF-SITE ROADWAY PARAMETERS

¹ Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

² City of Fresno General Plan Circulation Element.

³ Based upon the right-of-way distances for each roadway classification provided in the General Plan Circulation Element.



			Average Daily Traffic Volumes ¹				
п	Peadway	Sogmont	Exis	ting	Cumulative		
טו	Koauway	Segment	Without Project	With Project	With Project	Without Project	
1	La Media Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	1,510	1,530	1,600	1,620	
2	La Media Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	1,920	2,480	2,030	2,590	
3	Piper Ranch Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	3,030	3,070	3,210	3,250	
4	Harvest Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	20	20	20	20	
5	Sanyo Ave	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	2,290	2,400	2,430	2,540	
6	Vann Cantre Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	440	440	460	460	
7	Enrico Fermi Dr	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	2,540	2,540	2,690	2,690	
8	Enrico Fermi Dr	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	1,600	1,890	1,690	1,980	
9	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o La Media Rd	3,380	3,620	3,580	3,820	
10	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Piper Ranch Rd	3,480	4,300	3,690	4,510	
11	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 SB Off Ramp	3,290	4,150	3,490	4,350	
12	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 NB Off Ramp	3,750	4,890	3,970	5,110	
13	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Harvest Rd	2,170	3,310	2,300	3,440	
14	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Sanyo Ave	2,300	2,890	2,430	3,020	
15	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Sanyo Ave	2,270	2,780	2,410	2,920	
16	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Vann Cantre Rd	1,580	1,890	1,670	1,980	
17	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Enrico Fermi Dr	4,120	4,140	4,360	4,380	

TABLE 1-4: AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES

¹ LLG, Inc.



		Total of Time of		
venicie rype	Daytime	Evening	Nighttime	Day Splits
Autos	77.50%	12.90%	9.60%	100.00%
Medium Trucks	84.80%	4.90%	10.30%	100.00%
Heavy Trucks	86.50%	2.70%	10.80%	100.00%

TABLE 1-5: TIME OF DAY VEHICLE DISTRIBUTION AND VEHICLE MIX

¹ Typical Southern California vehicle mix.

"Daytime" = 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.; "Evening" = 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.; "Nighttime" = 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.

Modeling conservatively assumes a ground elevation of 0.0 feet for all sources and 5 feet for all receivers. All receivers were modeled with a clear line-of-sight to all roadways and the model did not account for attenuation from structures.



2 NOISE SENSITIVE LAND USES AFFECTED BY AIRBORNE NOISE

2.1 GUIDELINES FOR THE DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE

Guidelines for the determination of significance of environmental noise impacts for this and other impact sections were promulgated by the County in January 2009 in the County's Noise Guidelines (4).

A proposed project would result in a significant impact if the implementation would result in the exposure of any on-site or off-site existing or reasonably foreseeable future NSLUs to exterior or interior noise (including noise generated from a project, together with noise from roads, railroads, airports, heliports, and all other noise sources) in excess of any of the following:

- A. Exterior Locations:
 - i. 60 dB (CNEL); or
 - ii. An increase of 10 dB CNEL over preexisting noise.

In the case of single-family residential detached NSLUs, exterior noise shall be measured at an outdoor living area that adjoins and is on the same lot as the dwelling, and that contains at least the following minimum area:

- (1) Net lot area up to 4,000 square feet: 400 square feet
- (2) Net lot area 4,000 square feet to 10 acres: 10% of net lot area
- (3) Net lot area over 10 acres: 1 acre

For all projects, exterior noise shall be measured at all exterior areas provided for group or private usable open space.

B. Interior Locations:

45 dB (CNEL) except for the following cases:

- i. Rooms which are usually occupied only a part of the day (schools, libraries, or similar facilities), the interior 1 hour average sound level due to noise outside should not exceed 50 decibels (A).
- ii. Corridors, hallways, stairwells, closets, bathrooms, or any room with a volume less than 490 cubic feet.

COUNTY GENERAL PLAN

The General Plan Update (GPU) was adopted by the County on August 3, 2011. Revisions to the General Plan Noise Element have not been updated in the Guidelines at this time; however, the new GPU noise compatibility guidelines and standards as contained in the GPU are applicable to the Project. Table 2-1 provides County's current noise compatibility guidelines and Table 2-2 provides the County's noise standards.

		Exterior Noise Levels								
	Land Use Category	5	5	6	0	6	5 7	' 0 7	/5 8	30
А	Residential—single family residences, mobile homes, senior housing, convalescent homes									
В	Residential—multi-family residences, mixed-use (commercial/residential)									
с	Transient lodging—motels, hotels, resorts									
D	Schools, churches, hospitals, nursing homes, childcare facilities									
E	Passive recreational parks, nature preserves, contemplative spaces, cemeteries									
F	Active parks, golf courses, athletic fields, outdoor spectator sports, water recreation									
G	Office\professional, government, medical\dental, commercial, retail, laboratories									_
н	Industrial, manufacturing, utilities, agriculture, mining, stables, ranching, warehouse, maintenance/repair									
	ACCEPTABLE—Specified land use is satisfactory, based upon the assumption that any buildings involved are of normal construction, without any special noise insulation requirements.									
	CONDITIONALLY ACCEPTABLE—New construction or development should be undertaken only after a detailed noise analysis is conducted to determine if noise reduction measures are necessary to achieve acceptable levels for land use. Criteria for determining exterior and interior noise levels are listed in Table 8, Noise Standards. If a project cannot mitigate noise to a level deemed Acceptable, the appropriate county decision-maker must determine that mitigation has been provided to the greatest extent practicable or that extraordinary circumstances exist.									
	UNACCEPTABLE—New construction or develo	pmen	it shall	not be	e undei	rtaker	ı.			

TABLE 2-1: NOISE COMPATIBILITY GUIDELINES

* Denotes facilities used for part of the day; therefore, an hourly standard would be used rather than CNEL, refer to Table 8.

1	The exterior noise level (as defined in Item 3) standard for Category A shall be 60 CNEL,					
	and the interior noise level standard for indoor habitable rooms shall be 45 CNEL.					
2	The exterior noise level standard for Categories B and C shall be 65 CNEL, and the interior					
	noise level standard for indoor habitable rooms shall be 45 CNEL.					
3	The exterior noise level standard for Categories D and G shall be 65 CNEL and the interior					
	noise level standard shall be 50 dBA Leq (one hour average).					
4	For single-family detached dwelling units, "exterior noise level" is defined as the noise level					
	measured at an outdoor living area which adjoins and is on the same lot as the dwelling,					
	and which contains at least the following minimum net lot area:					
	(i) for lots less than 4,000 square feet in area, the exterior area shall include 400					
	(ii) square feet,					
	(iii) for lots between 4,000 square feet to 10 acres in area, the exterior area shall					
	include 10 percent of the lot area;					
	for lots over 10 acres in area, the exterior area shall include 1 acre.					
5	For all other residential land uses, "exterior noise level" is defined as noise measured at					
	exterior areas which are provided for private or group usable open space purposes.					
	"Private Usable Open Space" is defined as usable open space intended for use of occupants					
	for Drivate Usable Open Space cannot be met, then a Croup Usable Open Space that meets					
	Tor Private Usable Open Space cannot be met, then a Group Usable Open Space that meets					
	as usable open space intended for common use by occupants of a development, either					
	privately owned and maintained or dedicated to a public agency normally including					
	swimming pools recreation courts natios open landscaped areas and groopholts with					
	pedestrian walkways and equestrian and bicycle trails, but not including off-street parking					
	and loading areas or driveways.					
6	For non-residential noise sensitive land uses, exterior noise level is defined as noise					
	measured at the exterior area provided for public use.					
7	For noise sensitive land uses where people normally do not sleep at night, the exterior and					
	interior noise standard may be measured using either CNEL or the one-hour average noise					
	level determined at the loudest hour during the period when the facility is normally					
	occupied.					
8	The exterior noise standard does not apply for land uses where no exterior use area is					
	proposed or necessary, such as a library.					
9	For Categories E and F the exterior noise level standard shall not exceed the limit defined					
	as "Acceptable" in Table N-1 or an equivalent one-hour noise standard.					

TABLE 2-2: NOISE STANDARDS

NOTE: Exterior Noise Level compatibility guidelines for Land Use Categories A-H are identified in Table 2-1, Noise Compatibility Guidelines.



2.2 POTENTIAL NOISE IMPACTS

The closest airport is a public airport, the Brown Field Municipal Airport, located approximately 0.75 miles west of the Project site. The western portion of the Project site is located within the 60 dBA CNEL noise level contour. However, the Project is an industrial development, which according to the Brown Field Municipal Airport, Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan indicates the Project would be considered compatible the noise levels up to 70 dBA CNEL. Additionally, the Project does not include any on-site NSLU. Therefore, the Project site is would not expose NSLU to airport noise and the Project is considered compatible with airport noise levels, thus the issue is not further addressed.

2.3 OFF-SITE DIRECT AND CUMULATIVE NOISE IMPACTS

The Project would increase traffic volumes on local roadways. Noise level increases would be greatest nearest the Project site, which would represent the greatest concentration of Project-related traffic. Traffic noise is primarily a function of volume, vehicle mix, speed, and proximity. For purposes of this evaluation, the vehicle mix, speed, and proximity are assumed to remain constant in the future. Thus, the primary factor affecting noise levels would be increased traffic volumes.

Impacts were determined by comparing existing average daily traffic volumes with the existing condition plus the Project at full build-out and the Cumulative Condition with and without the Project.

2.3.1 DIRECT NOISE IMPACTS

Noise level contours were used to assess the Project's incremental traffic-related noise impacts at land uses adjacent to roadways conveying Project traffic. The noise level contours represent the distance to noise levels of a constant value and are measured from the center of the roadways for the 70, 65, and 60 dBA noise levels. The noise level contours do not consider the effect of any existing noise barriers or topography that may attenuate ambient noise levels. In addition, because the noise level contours reflect modeling of vehicular noise on area roadways, they appropriately do not reflect noise contributions from the surrounding stationary noise sources within the Project study area. Tables 2-3 to 2-6 present a summary of the exterior traffic noise levels and noise level contour distances for each traffic condition. Appendix 2.1 includes the traffic noise level contours worksheets for each traffic condition.



10	Deed	Comment	CNEL at Nearest	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)		
U	Road	Segment	Land Use (dBA) ²	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	La Media Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	57.5	RW	RW	RW
2	La Media Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	58.6	RW	RW	RW
3	Piper Ranch Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	63.4	RW	RW	51
4	Harvest Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	41.6	RW	RW	RW
5	Sanyo Ave	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	63.5	RW	RW	51
6	Vann Cantre Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	55.0	RW	RW	RW
7	Enrico Fermi Dr	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	59.9	RW	RW	RW
8	Enrico Fermi Dr	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	57.9	RW	RW	RW
9	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o La Media Rd	59.8	RW	RW	RW
10	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Piper Ranch Rd	59.9	RW	RW	RW
11	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 SB Off Ramp	59.7	RW	RW	RW
12	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 NB Off Ramp	60.2	60.2 RW		63
13	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Harvest Rd	57.8	57.8 RW		RW
14	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Sanyo Ave	58.1 RW		RW	RW
15	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Sanyo Ave	58	RW	RW	RW
16	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Vann Cantre Rd	56.5	RW	RW	RW
17	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Enrico Fermi Dr	62	RW RW 66		66

TABLE 2-3: EXISTING NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS

¹ Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

² The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of each roadway and the property line of the nearest receiving land use. "RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.



10	Deed	Comment	CNEL at Nearest	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)		
טו	Road	Segment	Land Use (dBA) ²		65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	La Media Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	57.8	RW	RW	RW
2	La Media Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	58.8	RW	RW	RW
3	Piper Ranch Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	63.7	RW	RW	53
4	Harvest Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	41.6	RW	RW	RW
5	Sanyo Ave	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	63.7	RW	RW	53
6	Vann Cantre Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	55.2	RW	RW	RW
7	Enrico Fermi Dr	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	60.1	RW	RW	50
8	Enrico Fermi Dr	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	58.1	RW	RW	RW
9	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o La Media Rd	60.0	RW RW		61
10	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Piper Ranch Rd	60.2	RW RW		62
11	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 SB Off Ramp	59.9	RW RW		RW
12	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 NB Off Ramp	60.5	RW	RW	66
13	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Harvest Rd	58.1	.1 RW		RW
14	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Sanyo Ave	58.3	58.3 RW		RW
15	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Sanyo Ave	58.3	RW	RW	RW
16	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Vann Cantre Rd	56.7	RW	RW	RW
17	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Enrico Fermi Dr	62.2	RW RW 69		69

TABLE 2-4: EXISTING PLUS PROJECT NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS

¹ Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

² The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of each roadway and the property line of the nearest receiving land use.

"RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

10	Deed	Comment	CNEL at Nearest Receiving	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)		
טו	Road	Land Use (dBA) ²		70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	La Media Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	57.6	RW	RW	RW
2	La Media Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	59.7	RW	RW	RW
3	Piper Ranch Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	63.5	RW	RW	51
4	Harvest Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	41.6	RW	RW	RW
5	Sanyo Ave	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	63.7	RW	RW	53
6	Vann Cantre Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	55.0	RW	RW	RW
7	Enrico Fermi Dr	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	59.9	RW	RW	RW
8	Enrico Fermi Dr	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	58.6	RW	RW	RW
9	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o La Media Rd	60.1	RW RW		62
10	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Piper Ranch Rd	60.8	RW	RW	69
11	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 SB Off Ramp	60.7	RW	RW	68
12	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 NB Off Ramp	61.4	61.4 RW		75
13	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Harvest Rd	59.7	59.7 RW		RW
14	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Sanyo Ave	59.1	59.1 RW		RW
15	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Sanyo Ave	58.9	RW	RW	RW
16	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Vann Cantre Rd	57.2	RW	RW	RW
17	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Enrico Fermi Dr	62.0	RW RW 67		67

TABLE 2-5: EXISTING PLUS CUMULATIVE NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS

¹ Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

² The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of each roadway and the property line of the nearest receiving land use.

"RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

10	Dead	Cormont	CNEL at Nearest Receiving	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)		
U	Road	Segment	Land Use (dBA) ²		65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	La Media Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	57.8	RW	RW	RW
2	La Media Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	59.9	RW	RW	RW
3	Piper Ranch Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	63.7	RW	RW	53
4	Harvest Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	41.6	RW	RW	RW
5	Sanyo Ave	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	63.9	RW	RW	55
6	Vann Cantre Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	55.2	RW	RW	RW
7	Enrico Fermi Dr	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	60.1	RW	RW	50
8	Enrico Fermi Dr	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	58.8	RW	RW	RW
9	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o La Media Rd	60.3	RW	RW	64
10	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Piper Ranch Rd	61.0	RW	RW	71
11	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 SB Off Ramp	60.9	60.9 RW		70
12	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 NB Off Ramp	61.6 RW		RW	78
13	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Harvest Rd	59.8 RW		RW	RW
14	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Sanyo Ave	59.3	59.3 RW P		RW
15	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Sanyo Ave	59.1	RW	RW	RW
16	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Vann Cantre Rd	57.4	RW	RW	RW
17	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Enrico Fermi Dr	62.2	RW	RW	69

TABLE 2-6: EXISTING PLUS CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS

¹ Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

² The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of each roadway and the property line of the nearest receiving land use.

"RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

2.3.2 EXISTING PLUS PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

An analysis of existing traffic noise levels plus traffic noise generated by the proposed Project has been included in this report to fully analyze all the existing traffic scenarios identified in the *Transportation Study for the Majestic Otay Project* (17). This condition is provided solely for informational purposes and will not occur, since the Project will not be fully developed and occupied under existing conditions. Table 2-4 shows the Existing conditions CNEL noise levels. The Existing exterior noise levels are expected to range from 41.6 to 63.5 dBA CNEL, without accounting for any noise attenuation features such as noise barriers or topography. Table 2-5 shows the Existing Plus Project conditions will range from 41.6 to 73.7 dBA CNEL. Table 2-7 shows that the Project off-site traffic noise level impacts will range from less than 0.1 to 1.9 CNEL. Based on the significance criteria for off-site traffic noise, land uses adjacent to the study area roadway segments would experience *less than significant* noise level increases on receiving land uses due to the Project-related traffic.

2.3.3 EXISTING PLUS CUMULATIVE PLUS PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

Table 2-4 presents the Existing Plus Cumulative condition CNEL noise levels. The EC exterior noise levels are expected to range from 41.6 to 63.7 CNEL, without accounting for any noise attenuation features such as noise barriers or topography. Table 2-6 shows the ECP conditions will range from 43.6 to 63.9 CNEL. Table 2-8 shows that the Project off-site traffic noise level increases will range from less than 0.1 to 1.7 CNEL. Based on the significance criteria for off-site traffic noise, land uses adjacent to the study area roadway segments would experience *less than significant* noise level increases on receiving land uses due to the Project-related traffic.

2.3.4 DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS AND TEMPORARY MITIGATION MEASURES

As identified in the preceding analysis, traffic-related noise level increases would not exceed County standards or result in a substantial increase in ambient noise levels without mitigation. Therefore, no traffic noise impacts are anticipated, and no mitigation measures are required.



ID	Road	Segment	CNEL at Receivi Land Use (dBA		ving A) ¹	Incremental Noise Level Increase Threshold	
		E	E+P	Increase	Limit (dBA)	Exceeded?	
1	La Media Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	57.5	57.6	0.1	10	No
2	La Media Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	58.6	59.7	1.1	10	No
3	Piper Ranch Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	63.4	63.5	0.1	3	No
4	Harvest Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	41.6	41.6	0.0	10	No
5	Sanyo Ave	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	63.5	63.7	0.2	3	No
6	Vann Cantre Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	55.0	55.0	0.0	10	No
7	Enrico Fermi Dr	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	59.9	59.9	0.0	10	No
8	Enrico Fermi Dr	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	57.9	58.6	0.7	10	No
9	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o La Media Rd	59.8	60.1	0.3	3	No
10	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Piper Ranch Rd	59.9	60.8	0.9	3	No
11	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 SB Off Ramp	59.7	60.7	1.0	3	No
12	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 NB Off Ramp	60.2	61.4	1.2	3	No
13	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Harvest Rd	57.8	59.7	1.9	10	No
14	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Sanyo Ave	58.1	59.1	1.0	10	No
15	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Sanyo Ave	58.0	58.9	0.9	10	No
16	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Vann Cantre Rd	56.5	57.2	0.7	10	No
17	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Enrico Fermi Dr	62.0	62.0	0.0	3	No

TABLE 2-7: EXISTING PLUS PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

¹ The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of each roadway and the property line of the receiving land use.

² Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.
ID	Road	Segment	CNEL at Receiving Land Use (dBA) ¹			Incremental Noise Level Increase Threshold	
			ΟΥ	OY+P	Increase	Limit (dBA)	Exceeded?
1	La Media Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	57.8	57.8	0.0	10	No
2	La Media Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	58.8	59.9	1.1	10	No
3	Piper Ranch Rd	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	63.7	63.7	0.0	3	No
4	Harvest Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	41.6	41.6	0.0	10	No
5	Sanyo Ave	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	63.7	63.9	0.2	3	No
6	Vann Cantre Rd	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	55.2	55.2	0.0	10	No
7	Enrico Fermi Dr	n/o Otay Mesa Rd	60.1	60.1	0.0	3	No
8	Enrico Fermi Dr	s/o Otay Mesa Rd	58.1	58.8	0.7	10	No
9	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o La Media Rd	60.0	60.3	0.3	3	No
10	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Piper Ranch Rd	60.2	61.0	0.8	3	No
11	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 SB Off Ramp	59.9	60.9	1.0	3	No
12	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o SR-125 NB Off Ramp	60.5	61.6	1.1	3	No
13	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Harvest Rd	58.1	59.8	1.7	10	No
14	Otay Mesa Rd	w/o Sanyo Ave	58.3	59.3	1.0	10	No
15	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Sanyo Ave	58.3	59.1	0.8	10	No
16	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Vann Cantre Rd	56.7	57.4	0.7	10	No
17	Otay Mesa Rd	e/o Enrico Fermi Dr	62.2	62.2	0.0	3	No

TABLE 2-8: CUMULATIVE WITH PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE INCREASES

¹ The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of each roadway and the property line of the receiving land use.

² Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

3.0 PROJECT-GENERATED AIRBORNE NOISE

3.1 GUIDELINES FOR THE DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE

The County Noise Ordinance, Section 36.404, sets limits on the noise levels generated from one property to another, such as from mechanical equipment. Unless a variance has been applied for by an applicant and granted by the County, it is unlawful for a person to cause or allow noise generated on a particular property to exceed the 1-hour average sound level, at any point on or beyond the boundaries of the property, as shown in Table 3-1.

Section 36.409 states:

Except for emergency work, it shall be unlawful for any person to operate construction equipment or cause the construction equipment to be operated, exceeding an average sound level of 75 dBA for an 8-hour period, between 7 a.m. and 7 p.m., when measured at the boundary line of the property where the noise source is located or on any occupied property where the noise is being received.

Section 36.410 states:

In addition to the general limitations on sound levels in Section 36.404 and the limitations on construction equipment in Section 36.409, the following additional sound level limitations shall apply:

- (a) Except for emergency work or work on a public road project, no person shall produce or cause to be produced an impulsive noise that exceeds the maximum sound level shown in Table 15, when measured at the boundary line of the property where the noise source is located or on any occupied property where the noise is received, for 25 percent of the minutes in the measurement period, as described in subsection (c) below. The maximum sound level depends on the use being made of the occupied property. The uses in Table 3-2 are as described in the County Zoning Ordinance.
- (b) Except for emergency work, no person working on a public road project shall produce or cause to be produced an impulsive noise that exceeds the maximum sound level shown in Table 16, when measured at the boundary line of the property where the noise source is located or on any occupied property where the noise is received, for 25 percent of the minutes in the measurement period, as described in subsection (c) below. The maximum sound level depends on the use being made of the occupied property. The uses in Table 3-3 are as described in the County Zoning Ordinance.

Zone	Applicable Hours	Sound Level Limit dBA L _{eq} (1 hour)
(1) RS, RD, RR, RMH, A70, A72, S80, S81, S90, S92, RV, and RU with a General Blan Land Lice Designation density of loss than	7 a.m. to 10 p.m.	50
10.9 dwelling units per acre.	10 p.m. to 7 a.m.	45
(2) RRO, RC, RM, S86, V5, RV and RU with a General Plan Land	7 a.m. to 10 p.m.	55
Use Designation density of 10.9 or more dwelling units per acre.	10 p.m. to 7 a.m.	50
$(2) \in O(4) \setminus (4)$ and all other commercial zener	7 a.m. to 10 p.m.	60
(5) 5-94, V4 and an other commercial zones.	10 p.m. to 7 a.m.	55
(4) V1, V2	7 a.m. to 10 p.m.	55
V1	10 p.m. to 7 a.m.	55
V2	10 p.m. to 7 a.m.	50
	7 a.m. to 10 p.m.	70
V3	10 p.m. to 7 a.m.	65
(5) M-50, M-52, and M-54	Anytime	70
(6) S82, M56 and M58	Anytime	75
(7) S88 (see subsection (c) below)		

TABLE 3-1: COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO NOISE ORDINANCE SOUND LEVEL LIMITS

Source: County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, Section 36.404

Notes:

(a) Except as provided in section 36.409 of this chapter, it shall be unlawful for any person to cause or allow the creation of any noise, which exceeds the one-hour average sound level limits in Table 36.404, when the one-hour average sound level is measured at the property line of the property on which the noise is produced or at any location on a property that is receiving the noise.

(b) Where a noise study has been conducted and the noise mitigation measures recommended by that study have been made conditions of approval of a Major Use Permit, which authorizes the noise-generating use or activity and the decision making body approving the Major Use Permit determined that those mitigation measures reduce potential noise impacts to a level below significance, implementation and compliance with those noise mitigation measures shall constitute compliance with subsection (a) above.

(c) S88 zones are Specific Planning Areas which allow for different uses. The sound level limits in Table 14 above that apply in an S88 zone depend on the use being made of the property. The limits in Table 14, subsection (1) apply to property with a residential, agricultural, or civic use. The limits in subsection (3) apply to property with a commercial use. The limits in subsection (5) apply to property with an industrial use that would only be allowed in an M50, M52 or M54 zone. The limits in subsection (6) apply to all property with an extractive use or a use that would only be allowed in an M56 or M58 zone.
(d) If the measured ambient noise level exceeds the applicable limit in Table 36.404, the allowable one-hour average sound level shall be the one-hour average ambient noise level, plus three decibels. The ambient noise level shall be measured when the alleged noise violation source is not operating.

(e) The sound level limit at a location on a boundary between two zones is the arithmetic mean of the respective limits for the two zones. The one-hour average sound level limit applicable to extractive industries, however, including but not limited to borrow pits and mines, shall be 75 decibels at the property line regardless of the zone in which the extractive industry is located.

(f)A fixed-location public utility distribution or transmission facility located on or adjacent to a property line shall be subject to the sound level limits of this section, measured at or beyond 6 feet from the boundary of the easement upon which the facility is located.

TABLE 3-2: COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO CODE SECTION 36.410, MAXIMUM SOUND LEVEL (IMPULSIVE)MEASURED AT OCCUPIED PROPERTY IN DECIBELS

Occupied Property Use	Decibels (dBA)
Residential, village zoning or civic use	82
Agricultural, commercial, or industrial use	85

TABLE 3-3: COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO CODE SECTION 36.410, MAXIMUM SOUND LEVEL (IMPULSIVE)MEASURED AT OCCUPIED PROPERTY IN DECIBELS FOR PUBLIC ROAD PROJECTS

Occupied Property Use	Decibels (dBA)
Residential, village zoning or civic use	85
Agricultural, commercial, or industrial use	90

(c) The minimum measurement period for any measurements conducted under this section shall be 1 hour. During the measurement period a measurement shall be conducted every minute from a fixed location on an occupied property. The measurements shall measure the maximum sound level during each minute of the measurement period. If the sound level caused by construction equipment or the producer of the impulsive noise exceeds the maximum sound level for any portion of any minute, it will be deemed that the maximum sound level was exceeded during that minute.

The Project would also result in a significant impact if it would result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity. A substantial noise increase is defined as an increase of 10 CNEL above existing conditions as stated in the County of San Diego Noise Report Guidelines Section 4.1-A (ii).

3.2 POTENTIAL OPERATIONAL NOISE IMPACTS (NON-CONSTRUCTION NOISE)

3.2.1 POTENTIAL BUILD-OUT NOISE CONDITIONS WITHOUT MITIGATION

This section analyzes the potential stationary-source operational noise impacts at the nearest receiver locations resulting from the operation of the proposed Project.

This operational noise analysis is intended to describe noise level impacts associated with the expected typical of daytime, and nighttime activities at the Project site. To present the potential worst-case noise conditions, this analysis assumes the Project would be operational 24 hours per day, seven days per week. The on-site Project-related noise sources are expected to include roof-top heating, ventilation, and air conditioning units, parking lot activity, trash enclosure activity, loading dock activities, and on-site truck and gate movements.

ROOF-TOP AIR CONDITIONING UNITS

To assess the noise levels created by the roof-top air conditioning units, reference noise levels were taken from equipment specifications for a commercial 10-ton roof-top packaged air conditioning unit (Trane YHD180G3RLD), which generates a sound power level of 92.0 dBA L_w.

Fourteen points representing 140 tons of cooling were modeled for the on-site land uses. For this noise analysis, the air conditioning units are expected to be located on the roof of the proposed buildings. The roof-top air conditioning units are anticipated to be located 3 feet above the roof. At a uniform reference distance of 50 feet, the air conditioning units would generate a reference noise level of 60.4 dBA L_{eq}.

While operating at full power air conditioners would operate approximately 15-30 minutes out of an hour in multiple cycles during the nighttime as compared to the daytime where the units would typically operate 20-45 minutes in multiple cycles. To be conservative, it was assumed the air conditioners would operate 45 minutes during the daytime and 30 minutes at night. This is equivalent to the air conditioning units operating at full capacity. However, for this analysis, the roof-top air conditioning units were conservatively modeled as operating 60 minutes per hour during the daytime and nighttime.

PARKING LOT ACTIVITY

To determine the noise levels associated with parking lots and fueling stations, Urban Crossroads collected reference noise level measurements over a 24-hour period on May 17^{th} , 2017, at the parking lot for the Panasonic Avionics Corporation in the City of Lake Forest. The peak hour of activity measured over the 24-hour noise level measurement period occurred between 12:00 p.m. to 1:00 p.m., or the typical lunch hour for employees working in the area. The measured reference noise level at 68 feet from the center of the parking lot vehicle movements was measured at 44.7 dBA L_{eq}. The parking lot noise levels are mainly due to cars pulling in and out of spaces during peak lunch hour activity and employees talking. Noise associated with parking lot vehicle movements is expected to operate for the entire hour (60 minutes).

TRASH ENCLOSURE ACTIVITY

To describe the noise levels associated with a trash enclosure activity, Urban Crossroads, Inc. collected a reference noise level measurement at an existing trash enclosure containing two dumpster bins. The trash enclosure noise levels describe metal gates opening and closing, metal scraping against concrete floor sounds, dumpster movement on metal wheels, and trash dropping into the metal dumpster. The reference noise levels describe trash enclosure noise activities when trash is dropped into an empty metal dumpster, as would occur at the Project site. The measured reference noise level at the uniform 50-foot reference distance is 57.4 dBA L_{eq} for the trash enclosure activity. The reference noise level describes the expected noise source activities associated with the trash enclosures for the Project's proposed building.

LOADING DOCK ACTIVITIES

The reference loading dock activities are intended to describe the typical outdoor operational noise activities associated with the Project. This includes truck idling, reefer activity (refrigerator truck/cold storage), deliveries, backup alarms, trailer docking including a combination of tractor trailer semi-trucks, two-axle delivery trucks, and background operation activities. Since the noise levels generated by cold storage loading dock activity can be slightly higher due to the use of refrigerated trucks or reefers this analysis conservatively assumes that all loading dock activity is

associated with cold storage facilities. The reference noise level measurement was taken in the center of the loading dock activity area and represents multiple concurrent noise sources resulting in a combined noise level of 79.9 dBA L_{eq} at a uniform distance of 50 feet. Specifically, the reference noise level measurement represents one truck located approximately 30 feet from the noise level meter with another truck passing by to park roughly 20 feet away, both with their engines idling. Throughout the reference noise level measurement, a separate docked and running reefer truck was located approximately 50 feet east of the measurement location. Additional background noise sources included truck pass-by noise, truck drivers talking to each other next to docked trucks, and air brake release noise when trucks parked.

TRUCK AND GATE MOVEMENTS

The truck movements reference noise level measurement was collected over a period of 1 hour and 28 minutes and represents multiple heavy trucks entering and exiting at the gate of an outdoor loading dock area producing a reference noise level of 59.8 dBA L_{eq} at 50 feet. The noise sources included at this measurement location account for trucks entering and existing the Project driveways and maneuvering in and out of the outdoor loading dock activity area.

3.2.2 REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS

To estimate the operational noise impacts, reference noise level measurements were collected from similar types of activities to represent the noise levels expected with the development of the proposed Project. While sound pressure levels (e.g., L_{eq}) quantify in decibels the intensity of given sound sources at a reference distance, sound power levels (L_w) are connected to the sound source and are independent of distance. Sound pressure levels vary substantially with distance from the source and diminish because of intervening obstacles and barriers, air absorption, wind, and other factors. Sound power is the acoustical energy emitted by the sound source and is an absolute value that is not affected by the environment. The reference project operational noise levels are based on the Project related noise sources shown on Exhibit 3-A. The reference Project operational sound power levels are summarized in Table 3-4.

Noise Sourcel	Noise Min./H Source		Hour ²	Reference Noise Level	Sound Power	
Noise Source	Height (Feet)	Day	Night	(dBA L _{eq}) @ 50 Feet	Level (dBA) ³	
Roof-Top Air Conditioning Units	5'	38	29	57.3	88.9	
Parking Lot Vehicle Movements	5'	60	60	42.7	74.3	
Trash Enclosure Activity	8'	10	10	57.4	89.0	
Loading Dock Activity	5'	60	60	72.1	103.7	

TABLE 3-4: OPERATIONAL REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS

¹ As measured by Urban Crossroads, Inc.

² Anticipated duration (minutes within the hour) of noise activity during typical hourly conditions expected at the Project site. ³ Sound power level represents the total amount of acoustical energy (noise level) produced by a sound source independent of distance or surroundings. Sound power levels calculated using the CadnaA noise model at the reference distance to the noise source. Numbers may vary due to size differences between point and area noise sources. "Dautinon" = 7:00 a m = 10:00 n m = 7:00 n m = 7:00 n m

"Daytime" = 7:00 a.m. - 10:00 p.m.; "Nighttime" = 10:00 p.m. - 7:00 a.m.

3.2.3 CADNAA NOISE PREDICTION MODEL

To fully describe the exterior operational noise levels from the Project, Urban Crossroads, Inc. developed a noise prediction model using the CadnaA (Computer Aided Noise Abatement) computer program. CadnaA can analyze multiple types of noise sources using the spatially accurate Project site plan, georeferenced Nearmap aerial imagery, topography, buildings, and barriers in its calculations to predict outdoor noise levels.

Using the ISO 9613 protocol, CadnaA will calculate the distance from each noise source to the noise receiver locations, using the ground absorption, distance, and barrier/building attenuation inputs to provide a summary of noise level at each receiver and the partial noise level contributions by noise source. Consistent with the ISO 9613 protocol, the CadnaA noise prediction model relies on the reference sound power level (L_w) to describe individual noise sources. While sound pressure levels (e.g., L_{eq}) quantify in decibels the intensity of given sound sources at a reference distance, sound power levels (L_w) are connected to the sound source and are independent of distance. Sound pressure levels vary substantially with distance from the source and diminish because of intervening obstacles and barriers, air absorption, wind, and other factors. Sound power is the acoustical energy emitted by the sound source and is an absolute value that is not affected by the environment.

The operational noise level calculations provided in this noise study account for the distance attenuation provided due to geometric spreading, when sound from a localized stationary source (i.e., a point source) propagates uniformly outward in a spherical pattern. A default ground attenuation factor of 0.5 was used in the noise analysis to account for mixed ground representing a combination of hard and soft surfaces. Appendix 3.1 includes the detailed noise model inputs used to estimate the Project operational noise levels presented in this section.

Project operational noise impacts were evaluated by review of the most recent Project plans, proposed operations, and noise data. Traffic noise impacts were evaluated by review of data in the Project traffic report, *Transportation Study Majestic Otay Project* (17).



EXHIBIT 3-A: OPERATIONAL NOISE SOURCE LOCATIONS

3.2.4 PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS

Using the reference noise levels to represent the proposed Project operations that include rooftop heating, ventilation, and air conditioning units, parking lot activity, trash enclosure activity, loading dock activities, and on-site truck and gate movements, Urban Crossroads, Inc. calculated the unmitigated operational source noise levels that are expected to be generated at the Project site and the Project-related noise level increases that would be experienced at each of the sensitive receiver locations. Table 3-5 shows the Project operational daytime noise levels. The hourly noise levels at the off-site receiver locations are expected to range from 38.0 to 42.1 dBA L_{eq}. Appendix 3.1 includes the detailed noise model inputs used to estimate the Project operational noise levels presented in this section.

	Operational Noise Levels by Receiver Location (dBA L_{eq})					
Noise Source-	R1	R2	R3			
Roof-Top Air Conditioning Units	30.0	32.9	32.0			
Parking Lot Vehicle Movements	15.3	16.3	16.2			
Trash Enclosure Activity	19.6	24.0	21.0			
Loading Dock Activity	37.1	41.5	41.3			
Total (All Noise Sources)	38.0	42.1	41.8			

TABLE 3-5: PROJECT DAYTIME OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS

¹ See Exhibit 3-A for the noise source locations. CadnaA noise model calculations are included in Appendix 3.1.

Table 3-6 shows the Project operational noise levels during the nighttime hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. The nighttime hourly noise levels at the off-site receiver locations are expected to range from 37.7 to 41.9 dBA L_{eq} . The differences between the daytime and nighttime noise levels are largely related to the duration of noise activity (Table 3-4). Appendix 3.1 includes the detailed noise model inputs including the existing perimeter walls used to estimate the Project operational noise levels presented in this section.

Noise Source ¹	Operational Noise Levels by Receiver Location (dBA CNEL)				
	R1	R2	R3		
Roof-Top Air Conditioning Units	27.9	30.7	29.8		
Parking Lot Vehicle Movements	15.3	16.3	16.2		
Trash Enclosure Activity	18.7	23.0	20.1		
Loading Dock Activity	37.1	41.5	41.3		
Total (All Noise Sources)	37.7	41.9	41.6		

TABLE 3-6: PROJECT NIGHTTIME OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS

¹ See Exhibit 3-A for the noise source locations. CadnaA noise model calculations are included in Appendix 3.1.

3.2.5 PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE

Table 3-7 shows the Project operational noise levels during the daytime and nighttime hours. The daytime hourly noise levels at the off-site receiver locations are expected to range from 38.0 to 42.1 dBA $L_{eq.}$ The nighttime hourly noise levels at the off-site receiver locations are expected to range from 37.7 to 41.9 dBA $L_{eq.}$. The differences between the daytime and nighttime noise levels are largely related to the duration of noise activity. Appendix 3.1 includes the detailed noise model inputs used to estimate the Project operational noise levels.

Receiver	Project Operational Noise Levels ²		Noise Stan	e Level dards	Noise Level Standards Exceeded? ³	
Location	Daytime (dBA L _{eq})	Nighttime (dBA L _{eq})	Daytime (dBA L _{eq})	Nighttime (dBA L _{eq})	Daytime (dBA L _{eq})	Nighttime (dBA L _{eq})
R1	38.0	37.7	50.0	45.0	No	No
R2	42.1	41.9	60.0	55.0	No	No
R3	41.8	41.6	60.0	55.0	No	No

TABLE 3-7: OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE

¹ See Exhibit 1-f for the receiver locations.

² Proposed Project operational noise levels as shown on Tables 3-5 and 3-6.

³ Do the estimated Project operational noise source activities exceed the noise level standards?

"Daytime" = 7:00 a.m. - 10:00 p.m.; "Nighttime" = 10:00 p.m. - 7:00 a.m.

3.2.6 PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

To describe the Project operational noise level increases, the Project operational noise levels are combined with the existing ambient noise levels measurements for the nearest receiver locations potentially impacted by Project operational noise sources. Since the units used to measure noise, decibels (dB), are logarithmic units, the Project-operational and existing ambient noise levels cannot be combined using standard arithmetic equations. (6) Instead, they must be logarithmically added using the following base equation:

 $SPL_{Total} = 10log_{10}[10^{SPL1/10} + 10^{SPL2/10} + \dots 10^{SPLn/10}]$

Where "SPL1," "SPL2," etc. are equal to the sound pressure levels being combined, or in this case, the Project-operational and existing ambient noise levels. The difference between the combined Project and ambient noise levels describes the Project noise level increases to the existing ambient noise environment.

As indicated on Tables 3-8 and 3-9, the Project will generate an unmitigated daytime and nighttime operational noise level increases less than 0.1 dBA L_{eq} at the nearest receiver locations. Based on the significance criteria presented in Section 4.2, the Project-related operational noise level increases will satisfy the operational noise level increase criteria at the nearest sensitive receiver locations and the impact will be *less than significant*.

Receiver Location ¹	Total Project Operational Noise Level ²	Measurement Location ³	Reference Ambient Noise Levels ⁴	Combined Project and Ambient ⁵	Project Increase ⁶	Increase Criteria ⁷	Increase Criteria Exceeded?
R1	38.0	L1	63.3	63.3	0.0	3.0	No
R2	42.1	L2	65.2	65.2	0.0	1.5	No
R3	41.8	L3	67.4	67.4	0.0	1.5	No

TABLE 3-8: DAYTIME PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

¹ See Exhibit 1-F for the receiver locations.

² Total Project daytime operational noise levels as shown on Table 3-5.

³ Reference noise level measurement locations as shown on Exhibit 1-A.

⁴ Observed daytime ambient noise levels as shown on Table 1-1.

⁵ Represents the combined ambient conditions plus the Project activities.

⁶ The noise level increase expected with the addition of the proposed Project activities.

TABLE 3-9: NIGHTTIME OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

Receiver Location ¹	Total Project Operational Noise Level ²	Measurement Location ³	Reference Ambient Noise Levels ⁴	Combined Project and Ambient ⁵	Project Increase ⁶	Increase Criteria ⁷	Increase Criteria Exceeded?
R1	37.7	L1	63.3	63.3	0.0	3.0	No
R2	41.9	L2	65.2	65.2	0.0	1.5	No
R3	41.6	L3	67.4	67.4	0.0	1.5	No

¹ See Exhibit 1-F for the receiver locations.

² Total Project daytime operational noise levels as shown on Table 3-6.

³ Reference noise level measurement locations as shown on Exhibit 1-A.

⁴ Observed daytime ambient noise levels as shown on Table 1-1.

⁵ Represents the combined ambient conditions plus the Project activities.

⁶ The noise level increase expected with the addition of the proposed Project activities.



3.2.7 DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS AND TEMPORARY MITIGATION MEASURES

As identified in the preceding analysis, operational-related noise levels would not exceed County standards or result in a substantial increase in ambient noise levels without mitigation. Therefore, no operational noise impacts are anticipated, and no mitigation measures are required.

3.3 POTENTIAL GENERAL CONSTRUCTION NOISE IMPACTS

3.3.1 POTENTIAL TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION NOISE IMPACTS WITHOUT MITIGATION

Noise generated by the Project construction equipment will include a combination of trucks, power tools, concrete mixers, and portable generators that when combined can reach high levels. The number and mix of construction equipment are expected to occur in the following stages:

- Site Preparation
- Grading
- Building Construction
- Paving
- Architectural Coating

3.3.2 Typical Construction Noise Analysis

Using the reference construction equipment noise levels and the CadnaA noise prediction model, calculations of the Project construction noise level impacts at the nearest NSLU locations were completed. To assess the worst-case construction noise levels, the Project construction noise analysis relies on using the loudest phase of construction (i.e., the loudest three pieces of equipment) to determine noise level impacts with the equipment operating as a moving point source throughout the construction area (Project site boundary). As shown on Table 3-10, the construction noise levels are expected to range from 42.5 to 56.3 dBA L_{eq}, and the highest construction levels are expected to range from 50.1 to 56.3 dBA L_{eq} at the nearest property line with an occupied structure. Appendix 3.2 includes the detailed CadnaA unmitigated construction noise model inputs.

Descharge	Construction Noise Levels (dBA Leq)								
Location ¹	Site Preparation	Grading	Building Construction	Paving	Architectural Coating	Highest Levels ²			
R1	49.5	50.1	44.5	44.8	42.5	50.1			
R2	53.5	54.1	48.5	48.8	46.5	54.1			
R3	55.7	56.3	50.7	51.0	48.7	56.3			

TABLE 3-10: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVEL SUMMARY

¹Construction noise source and receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 3-B.

² Construction noise level calculations based on distance from the project site boundaries (construction activity area) to nearby receiver locations. CadnaA construction noise model inputs are included in Appendix 3.2.





EXHIBIT 3-B: CONSTRUCTION NOISE SOURCE AND NOISE RECEIVER LOCATIONS

LEGEND:

P Receiver Locations → Distance from receiver to Project site boundary (in feet) 2
 Construction Activity

Ņ



3.3.3 CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE

To evaluate whether the Project will generate potentially significant short-term noise levels at nearest property lines, a construction-related noise level threshold of 75 dBA L_{eq} is used as the threshold to assess the construction noise level impacts. The construction noise analysis shows that the nearest receiver locations will not exceed the 75 dBA L_{eq} significance threshold during Project construction activities as shown on Table 3-11. Therefore, typical construction noise would be **less than significant**.

_ .	Construction Noise Levels (dBA L _{eq})					
Receiver Location ¹	Highest Construction Noise Levels ²	Threshold ³	Threshold Exceeded? ⁴			
R1	50.1	75	No			
R2	54.1	75	No			
R3	56.3	75	No			

TABLE 3-11: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE

¹Noise receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 3-B.

² Highest construction noise level operating at the Project site boundary to nearby receiver locations (Table 3-10).

³ Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual.

⁴ Do the estimated Project construction noise levels exceed the construction noise level threshold?

3.3.4 Design Considerations and Temporary Mitigation Measures

As identified in the preceding analysis, construction-related noise level would not exceed County standards or result in a substantial increase in ambient noise levels without mitigation. Therefore, no construction noise impacts are anticipated, and no mitigation measures are required.

3.4 POTENTIAL IMPULSIVE NOISE IMPACTS

3.4.1 POTENTIAL IMPULSIVE NOISE IMPACTS WITHOUT MITIGATION

There are no significant known sources of impulsive noise sources associated with Project operation. No on-site rock crushing or blasting is anticipated during the grading or site preparation activities. No pile driving is anticipated during building construction. Thus, impulsive noise levels are anticipated to be below the County's impulsive noise level threshold. No impulsive noise impacts are anticipated, and no mitigation measures are required.

3.5 CUMULATIVE OR COMBINED NOISE IMPACTS

3.5.1 POTENTIAL COMBINED NOISE IMPACTS

Noise is a localized occurrence and attenuates rapidly with distance. Therefore, only future development projects in the direct vicinity (1,000 feet) of the Project site could add to construction noise generated by the Project and result in a cumulative noise impact. No projects are known within 1,000 feet of Project construction. Beyond this distance construction noise levels would conform to the most restrictive daytime noise level limits. Therefore, even if



multiple projects at this distance were perceivable, they would not combine to exceed the County of San Diego noise level standards.

3.5.2 DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

No cumulative noise impacts are anticipated, and no mitigation measures are required.

4.0 GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION AND NOISE IMPACTS

4.1 GUIDELINES FOR THE DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE

Project implementation could expose the uses listed in Tables 4-1 and 4-2 to groundborne vibration and noise levels equal to or in excess of the levels shown.

TABLE 4-1: GUIDELINES FOR DETERMINING THE SIGNIFICANCE OF GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION AND NOISE IMPACTS

	Groundbo Impa (inches	rne Vibration ct Levels /sec RMS)	Groundborne Noise Impact Levels (dB re 20 micro Pascals)			
Land Use Category	Frequent Events ¹	Occasional or Infrequent Events ²	Frequent Events ¹	Occasional or Infrequent Events ²		
Category 1: Buildings where low ambient vibration is essential for interior operations (research & manufacturing facilities with special vibration constraints) ⁶	0.0018 ³	0.0018 ³	Not applicable ^{4,5}	Not applicable ^{4,5}		
Category 2: Residences and buildings where people normally sleep (hotels, hospitals, residences, & other sleeping facilities) ⁶	0.0040	0.010	35 dBA	43 dBA		
Category 3: Institutional land uses with primarily daytime use (schools, churches, libraries, other institutions, & quiet offices) ⁶	0.0056	0.014	40 dBA	48 dBA		

RMS = root mean square; re = relative

¹ "Frequent Events" is defined as more than 70 vibration events per day. Most rapid transit projects fall into this category.

² "Infrequent Events" is defined as fewer than 70 vibration events per day. This category includes most commuter rail systems.

³ This criterion limit is based on levels that are acceptable for most moderately sensitive equipment such as optical microscopes. Vibration-sensitive manufacturing or research will require detailed evaluation to define acceptable vibration levels. Ensuring lower vibration levels in a building often requires special design of the HVAC systems and stiffened floors.

⁴ Vibration-sensitive equipment is not sensitive to groundborne noise.

⁵ There are some buildings, such as concert halls, TV and recording studios, and theaters that can be very sensitive to vibration and noise but do not fit into any of the three categories. Table 14 gives criteria for acceptable levels of groundborne vibration and noise for these various types of special uses.

⁶ For Categories 2 and 3 with occupied facilities, isolated events such as blasting are significant when the peak particle velocity (PPV) exceeds 1 inch per second. Non transportation vibration sources such as impact pile drivers or hydraulic breakers are significant when their PPV exceeds 0.1 inch per second. More specific criteria for structures and potential annoyance were developed by Caltrans (2004) and will be used to evaluate these continuous or transient sources in the County of San Diego. SOURCE: FTA 2006.





TABLE 4-2: GUIDELINES FOR DETERMINING THE SIGNIFICANCE OF GROUNDBORNE VIBRATIONAND NOISE IMPACTS FOR SPECIAL BUILDINGS

	Groundbo Impa (inches	rne Vibration ct Levels s/sec rms)	Groundborne Noise Impact Levels (dB re 20 micro Pascals)			
Type of Building or Room	Frequent Events ¹	Occasional or Infrequent Events ²	Frequent Events ¹	Occasional or Infrequent Events ²		
Concert Halls, TV Studios, and Recording Studios	0.0018	0.0018	25 dBA	25 dBA		
Auditoriums	0.0040	0.010	30 dBA	38 dBA		
Theaters	0.0040	0.010	35 dBA	43 dBA		

RMS = root mean square; re = relative

¹ "Frequent Events" is defined as more than 70 vibration events per day. Most rapid transit projects fall into this category.

² "Infrequent Events" is defined as fewer than 70 vibration events per day. This category includes most commuter rail systems. SOURCE: ETA 2006.

Impacts from general construction would occur if vibration levels exceed 0.0056 in/sec rms at any institutional structures with primarily daytime use (12). There are no residential or special buildings near the Project site that could be affected by Project related vibrations. The nearest institutional land use is the Richard J. Donovan Correctional Facility approximately 1,066 feet north of the Project site.

4.2 POTENTIAL GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION AND NOISE IMPACTS

4.2.1 POTENTIAL GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION AND NOISE IMPACTS WITHOUT MITIGATION

4.2.1.1 OPERATIONS

No operational components of the Project include significant groundborne noise or vibration sources and no significant vibrations sources currently exist, or are planned, in the Project area. Thus, no significant groundborne noise or vibration impacts would occur with the operation of the proposed Project.

4.2.1.1 CONSTRUCTION

Construction activity can result in varying degrees of ground vibration, depending on the equipment and methods used, distance to the affected structures and soil type. It is expected that ground-borne vibration from Project construction activities would cause only intermittent, localized intrusion. Ground-borne vibration levels resulting from typical construction activities occurring within the Project site were estimated by data published by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) (14). However, while vehicular traffic is rarely perceptible, construction has the potential to result in varying degrees of temporary ground vibration, depending on the specific construction activities and equipment used.





Ground vibration levels associated with various types of construction equipment are summarized on Table 4-3. Based on the representative vibration levels presented for various construction equipment types, it is possible to estimate the potential Project construction vibration levels using the following vibration assessment methods defined by Caltrans. Caltrans provides the following equation: $PPV_{equip} = PPV_{ref} x (25/D)^{1.5}$

Equipment	PPV (in/sec) at 25 feet
Small bulldozer	0.003
Jackhammer	0.035
Loaded Trucks	0.076
Large bulldozer	0.089

TABLE 4-3: VIBRATION SOURCE LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

Source: Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual

The expected Project related typical construction activity vibration levels at the nearest vibration receiver location would be 0.0003 RMS (in/sec). Vibration receiver locations are conservatively assessed at locations closer than actual structures. This is considered conservative since outdoor vibrations from construction activities are not typically an environmental impacts issue. At a distance of 1,066 feet from the Project construction activity are estimated to range up to 0.0002 RMS in/sec. Based on maximum acceptable continuous vibration threshold of 0.0056 RMS (in/sec) the typical Project construction vibration levels will satisfy the thresholds at all the nearest receiver locations. Therefore, the vibration impacts due to the typical Project construction activities are considered *less than significant*.



5.0 SUMMARY OF PROJECT IMPACTS, DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS, MITIGATION, AND CONCLUSION

The proceeding analysis provides an evaluation of compatibility of the proposed land uses with the existing and future noise environment of the Project site, potential noise and vibration impacts due to construction of the Project, and the direct and indirect noise generated by operation of the Project.

5.1 NOISE SENSITIVE LAND USES AFFECTED BY AIRBORNE NOISE

ON-SITE

The Project is an industrial development, the Project does not include any noise sensitive land uses (NSLU). There would be **no impact**.

OFF-SITE

Off-site traffic would result in noise level increase of less than two dBA CNEL along all affected roadways. Therefore, off-site traffic noise level increases would be **less than significant**.

5.2 PROJECT-GENERATED AIRBORNE NOISE

OPERATIONS

Stationary sources of concern include mechanical equipment, such as roof-top heating, ventilation, and air conditioning units, parking lot activity, trash enclosure activity, loading dock activities, and on-site truck and gate movements. Based on predicted noise levels airborne noise levels would comply with the County of San Diego noise level limits and would not result in substantial noise level increases over the existing condition, thus impacts would be **less than significant**.

CONSTRUCTION

The construction noise analysis shows that construction noise at the nearest receiver locations will range from 42.5 to 56.3 dBA L_{eq} . Thus, construction activity would not exceed the 75 dBA L_{eq} significance threshold during Project construction activities. Therefore, typical construction noise would be **less than significant**.

5.3 GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION AND NOISE

There are no substantial vibration sources associated with Project operation. No pile driving or blasting is anticipated during building construction. Based on the distance to surrounding vibration sensitive land uses, vibration impacts associated with Project construction would not exceed County of San Diego vibration level limits, therefore, vibration impacts would be **less than significant**.





6.0 CERTIFICATION

The following is a list of preparers, persons, and organizations involved with the noise assessment.

Urban Crossroads, Inc.

• William Maddux, Senior Associate, County-approved Noise Consultant



7.0 REFERENCES

- 1. **State of California.** *California Environmental Quality Act, Appendix G.* 2020.
- 2. County of San Diego. General Plan Noise Element. 2011.
- 3. —. *Noise Ordinance*. 2009.
- 4. —. *Guidelines for Determining Significance*. s.l. : County of San Diego, 2009.
- 5. —. Report Format and Content Requirement -- Noise. 2009.
- 6. California Department of Transportation Environmental Program. *Technical Noise Supplement A Technical Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol.* Sacramento, CA : s.n., September 2013.
- 7. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control. Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety. March 1974. EPA/ONAC 550/9/74-004.
- 8. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Environment and Planning, Noise and Air Quality Branch. *Highway Traffic Noise Analysis and Abatement Policy and Guidance*. December 2011.
- 9. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. *Highway Traffic Noise in the United States, Problem and Response.* April 2000. p. 3.
- 10. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control. *Noise Effects Handbook-A Desk Reference to Health and Welfare Effects of Noise.* October 1979 (revised July 1981). EPA 550/9/82/106.
- 11. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration. *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual, FTA Report No. 0123.* September 2018.
- 12. California Department of Transportation. *Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual*. April 2020.
- 13. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration. *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual, FTA-VA-90-1003-06.* May 2006.
- 14. —. Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual. September 2018.
- 15. American National Standards Institute (ANSI). Specification for Sound Level Meters ANSI S1.4-2014/IEC 61672-1:2013.
- 16. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Environment and Planning. FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model. January, 2006.
- 17. Linscott, Law, and Greenspan, Inc. *Transportation Study for the East Otay Specific Plan Amandment.* 2023.







APPENDIX 1.1:

NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENT WORKSHEETS





	24-Hour Noise Level Measurement Summary															
Date: Project:	Thursday, J East Otay N	uly 27, 2023 Najestic			Location: Source:	L1 - Near Ho	liday Inn Expr	ess & Suites	San Diego O	tay Mesa	Meter:	Piccolo II			JN: Analyst:	15250 B. Maddux
	Hourly L _{eq} dBA Readings (unadjusted)															
85	85.0															
₹ ^{80.}	.0															
e 70.	.0															
- 65. - 60.	.0											u				
₹ 55.	.0		m	1.8	4	1.9 2.1	2.4	63.C		52.3	<u> </u>		.4	4	<u>▶</u>	
P 45.	0 - -	1.4	S 8	-25	28								- ² ²		- <mark>5</mark>	
35.																
	0	1 2	3	4 5	6	7 8	91	.0 11	12 1	13 14	15 1	6 17	18 19	20	21 22	23
								Hour B	eginning							
Timeframe	Hour	L _{eq}	L max	L _{min}	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	L _{eq}	Adj.	Adj. L _{eq}
	0	50.1	58.8	46.3	58.4	57.7	56.0	54.2	49.2	47.7	46.7	46.5	46.3	50.1	10.0	60.1
Night	2	53.9	65.2	47.1	64.7	63.8	60.9	58.2	51.3	49.1	47.5	47.3	47.2	53.9	10.0	63.9
	3	58.3	68.6	49.7	68.0	67.6	65.8	62.9	57.5	53.0	50.2	50.0	49.7	58.3	10.0	68.3
	4	55.5	64.9	50.4	64.6	64.0	61.9	59.9	54.5	52.1	50.8	50.7	50.5	55.5	10.0	65.5
	5	61.8	74.0	51.4	73.1	72.5	69.5	66.2	58.9	54.5	51.9	51.8	51.5	61.8	10.0	71.8
	6	58.4	67.3	53.2	67.0	66.4	64.3	62.5	58.0	55.7	53.7	53.5	53.3	58.4	10.0	68.4
	8	62.1	73.0	55.4	73.1	72.3	68.1	66.3	62.0	58.7	56.1	55.8	55.5	62.1	0.0	62.1
	9	62.4	70.1	57.0	69.7	69.1	67.6	66.4	62.9	60.3	57.7	57.4	57.1	62.4	0.0	62.4
	10	64.3	74.7	56.8	74.1	73.3	70.6	68.7	63.5	60.4	57.5	57.2	56.9	64.3	0.0	64.3
	11	63.0	72.7	56.0	72.2	71.4	69.3	67.3	62.8	59.6	56.8	56.4	56.1	63.0	0.0	63.0
	12	67.7 64.0	79.7	59.2	79.2	78.6	74.9 60 F	71.3	64.9 62.0	61.9	59.8	59.6 50.2	59.3	67.7 64.0	0.0	67.7
Dav	13	62.3	72.2	55.4	71.6	70.8	68.1	66.2	62.0	59.3	56.3	55.9	55.5	62.3	0.0	62.3
	15	66.0	75.5	60.5	74.7	73.7	71.2	69.5	66.1	63.7	61.0	60.8	60.6	66.0	0.0	66.0
	16	62.1	71.4	55.9	70.9	70.2	67.9	66.2	61.9	59.2	56.7	56.3	56.0	62.1	0.0	62.1
	17	65.5	74.6	59.6	74.1	73.3	71.2	69.7	65.3	62.8	60.2	60.0	59.7	65.5	0.0	65.5
	18	62.3	73.6	54.6	73.0	72.0	68.8	66.0	60.8	58.3	55.3	55.0	54.7	62.3	0.0	62.3
	20	58.4 57.4	66.2	53.1	65.9	65.3	63 3	61.5	57.8	55.4 54.7	53.7	53.4 52.9	53.1	58.4 57.4	5.0	62.4
	20	57.7	69.2	52.4	68.5	67.4	63.8	61.4	55.3	53.9	53.0	52.7	52.5	57.7	5.0	62.7
Night	22	55.9	65.1	49.6	64.8	64.2	62.3	60.7	55.1	51.8	49.9	49.8	49.7	55.9	10.0	65.9
Night	23	55.1	64.1	48.5	63.8	63.5	62.0	60.2	54.1	51.2	49.0	48.8	48.6	55.1	10.0	65.1
Timeframe	Hour			L _{min}	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	24-Hour	Leq	(dBA)
Day	Max	67.7	79.7	60.5	79.2	78.6	74.9	71.3	66.1	63.7	61.0	60.8	52.5 60.6	CNEL	(7am-10pm)	(10pm-7am)
Energy	Average	63.3	Ave	erage:	71.9	71.1	68.5	66.4	61.7	59.1	56.7	56.4	56.1			
Night	Min	50.1	58.8	46.3	58.4	57.7	56.0	54.2	49.2	47.7	46.7	46.5	46.3	65.3	63.3	56.9
	Max	61.8	74.0	53.2	73.1	72.5	69.5	66.2	58.9	55.7	53.7	53.5	53.3			
Energy	Average	56.9	Ave	erage:	64.8	64.2	62.2	60.0	54.4	51.6	49.8	49.6	49.4			



	24-Hour Noise Level Measurement Summary															
Date: Project:	Thursday, J East Otay M	uly 27, 2023 Najestic			Location: Source:	L2 - Near Res	sidence at 869	91 Loanstar I	Road		Meter:	Piccolo II			JN: Analyst:	15250 B. Maddux
							Hourly L _{eq} a	IBA Readings	(unadjusted)							
85.	0															
2 80. 2 75.	0															
5 70.	0							<mark>4</mark>								
	0					_				1 4 · ·	e 4.3	4.0			<mark>თ</mark>	
in 50.	0 0	5.4	4	4 v		58.0	<u>.</u>	9	29.0	20 20	<u> </u>	0	59.	57.4	<u>6</u> 0.	6.
± 40.	0 - 6 -	5		52		- <u>-</u> -										- 20
	0	1 2	3	4 5	6	7 8	9 1	.0 11	12 1	13 14	15 16	5 17	18 19	20	21 22	23
								Hour Be	eginning							
Timeframe	Hour	L _{eq}	L _{max}	L _{min}	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	L _{eq}	Adj.	Adj. L _{eq}
Night	0	47.9	57.3	44.3	57.2 65.2	56.3 65.1	53.2	50.6	47.2	45.6	44.6	44.5	44.3	47.9	10.0	57.9 65.4
	2	51.5	62.7	43.9	62.4	61.8	59.4	56.8	47.3	45.0	44.1	44.0	43.8	51.5	10.0	61.5
	3	48.4	55.1	45.4	54.7	54.4	53.1	51.7	48.1	47.0	45.8	45.7	45.5	48.4	10.0	58.4
	4	46.4	49.4	44.7	49.1 64.2	48.9	48.3	48.0	47.0	46.0	44.9	44.8	44.7	46.4	10.0	56.4 62.5
	6	56.6	69.5	46.2	69.0	67.8	64.1	61.2	51.4	47.4	46.5	46.4	46.2	56.6	10.0	66.6
	7	54.1	65.6	45.8	65.3	64.8	62.4	59.7	48.7	47.2	46.2	46.0	45.9	54.1	0.0	54.1
	8	58.0 59.7	69.6 71.9	44.6 44.6	69.3 71.6	68.7 71.0	66.2 68.2	64.0 64.7	53.5 55.2	47.4 49.5	44.9 46.6	44.8 44.8	44.6 44.6	58.0 59.7	0.0	58.0 59.7
	10	74.9	87.4	44.9	86.8	86.1	82.7	81.0	68.6	63.1	47.1	45.8	44.9	74.9	0.0	74.9
	11	65.1	77.9	44.6	77.6	76.8	73.6	70.3	58.7	50.8	45.7	44.9	44.6	65.1	0.0	65.1
	12	59.0 59.1	70.4	45.4 44.4	70.0 70.4	69.3 69.9	66.6 67.8	64.3 65.0	57.5 53.7	51.0 47 3	46.7 44.8	46.0 44.6	45.5 44.4	59.0 59.1	0.0	59.0 59.1
Day	14	64.2	75.4	45.3	74.9	74.0	71.7	69.8	63.2	53.4	46.0	45.6	45.4	64.2	0.0	64.2
	15	64.3	76.4	44.6	75.8	75.0	72.1	70.4	61.2	50.1	45.4	44.9	44.6	64.3	0.0	64.3
	16 17	60.6 64.5	72.6	45.5 47 4	72.2 73.1	71.5	68.8 71.1	66.1 69.8	56.9 65.1	50.0 60.1	46.0 49.5	45.8 48.2	45.5 47.5	60.6 64.5	0.0	60.6 64.5
	18	59.7	70.3	44.8	69.6	68.7	65.8	64.1	60.2	56.2	45.4	45.1	44.8	59.7	0.0	59.7
	19	57.3	69.3	44.9	68.9	68.3	65.6	62.5	53.1	49.2	45.7	45.4	44.9	57.3	5.0	62.3
	20	57.4 59.9	70.2	45.3 45.8	69.8 70.7	69.0 70.2	65.5 68.4	62.1 66.5	51.8 55.3	47.9 48.2	45.7 46.2	45.5 46.0	45.4 45.8	57.4 59.9	5.0 5.0	62.4 64.9
Night	22	50.0	57.7	44.9	57.5	57.1	56.0	55.1	50.2	46.4	45.1	45.0	44.9	50.0	10.0	60.0
	23	50.9	62.8	43.7	62.5	61.9	58.4	55.1	46.8	45.0	43.9	43.8	43.7	50.9	10.0	60.9
Timeframe	Min	L _{eq} 54.1	65.6	L _{min} 44.4	65.3	64.8	62.4	L8% 59.7	48.7	47.2	44.8	44.6	44.4	24-Hour	Leq (Davtime	авА) Niahttime
Day	Max	74.9	87.4	47.4	86.8	86.1	82.7	81.0	68.6	63.1	49.5	48.2	47.5	CNEL	(7am-10pm)	(10pm-7am)
Energy	Average	65.2	Ave	erage:	72.4	71.7	69.1	66.7	57.5	51.4	46.1	45.6	45.2	GA G	65.2	E2 2
Night	Max	46.4	69.5	43.7	49.1 69.0	48.9 67.8	48.3 64.1	48.0 62.2	46.8 51.8	45.0	43.9	43.8 49.0	43.7	04.0	05.2	52.3
Energy	Average	52.3	Ave	rage:	60.2	59.4	56.9	54.9	48.9	46.5	45.3	45.2	45.1			



	24-Hour Noise Level Measurement Summary															
Date: Project:	Thursday, J East Otay N	luly 27, 2023 Majestic			Location: Source:	L3 - Richard .	J. Donovan Co	orrectional Fa	acility		Meter	Piccolo II			JN: Analyst:	15250 B. Maddux
	Hourly L _{eq} dBA Readings (unadjusted)															
85.	.0															
8 0. 1 75.	.0															
9 /0.	.0									-	75.4					
- 60. <u>ح</u> 55.	.0					_		- 4-		2.4		<u> </u>				
in 50. o 45.	.0 .0 .0	2.7	0. 0. 0.	5.8	8.0	0.6		<u> </u>	5.5			58.	<mark>58.</mark>	<mark>3.1</mark>	2.1	9.3
▲ 40. 35.	.0 77	4 4	F	4 4	4	- <mark>0 - 0</mark> -	<u>0</u>		- <u>10</u>	<u></u>			<u>v</u>		2	- 4
	0	1 2	2 3	4 5	6	7 8	9 1	.0 11 Hour Be	12 eginning	13 14	15 1	.6 17	18 19	20	21 22	23
Timeframe	Hour	L _{eq}	L _{max}	L _{min}	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	L _{eq}	Adj.	Adj. L _{eq}
	0	45.5	50.1	42.4	49.9	49.7	49.0	48.4	45.9	44.6	43.1	42.9	42.6	45.5	10.0	55.5
	1	47.7	52.7	42.3	52.5 51.5	52.3	51.8 50.8	51.5 50.2	48.9	45.9	43.2	42.9	42.6	47.7	10.0	57.7
Night	3	46.9	49.8	44.7	49.5	49.2	48.6	48.3	47.3	46.6	45.6	45.4	44.9	46.9	10.0	56.9
	4	46.8	49.2	44.6	49.0	48.8	48.5	48.2	47.4	46.6	45.3	45.1	44.8	46.8	10.0	56.8
	5	47.7	54.9 56.0	45.3 43.7	54.6 55.7	54.2	52.7 54.6	50.8 52.8	47.0	46.4	45.7	45.5 44.0	45.4 43.8	47.7	10.0	57.7 58.0
	7	52.6	64.2	43.1	63.5	62.6	59.7	58.4	49.4	45.8	43.6	43.4	43.2	52.6	0.0	52.6
	8	50.6	59.5	42.1	59.0	58.6	57.3	56.0	50.5	45.5	42.8	42.5	42.2	50.6	0.0	50.6
	9 10	52.1 76.1	64.9 88.7	40.0 42.6	63.9 88.1	62.8 87.5	59.7 85.2	57.8 82.5	47.8 69.8	43.2 58.6	40.7	40.5 43.6	40.1 42.8	52.1 76.1	0.0	52.1 76.1
	11	59.4	69.8	41.3	69.4	68.7	67.8	66.1	57.5	47.5	42.7	42.0	41.4	59.4	0.0	59.4
	12	52.5	62.2	42.2	61.7	61.0	59.2	57.9	51.6	48.4	43.9	43.3	42.4	52.5	0.0	52.5
Dav	13	50.6	60.2 72 9	40.3	59.7 72 7	58.8 72 5	57.8 71.3	56.1	50.2	45.4	41.4	40.9	40.5	50.6	0.0	50.6
Day	14	75.4	87.3	42.2	87.1	87.0	85.4	82.0	61.4	45.9	43.4	42.5	42.5	75.4	0.0	75.4
	16	57.7	68.2	42.6	67.8	67.4	66.2	64.5	54.1	46.5	43.6	43.2	42.8	57.7	0.0	57.7
	17	58.3	65.9	44.0	65.6	65.4	64.4	63.0	59.9	54.5	46.3	45.4	44.4	58.3	0.0	58.3
	18	58.1	67.3	42.0	66.3	65.9	64.4	62.8 EE 0	59.4	55.5	42.8	42.5	42.2	58.1	0.0	58.1
	20	53.1	63.7	41.7	63.2	62.4	61.0	59.2	50.7	45.5	42.0	42.2	41.8	53.1	5.0	55.5
	21	52.7	62.9	43.4	62.5	62.3	60.7	58.3	50.5	46.8	44.0	43.8	43.5	52.7	5.0	57.7
Night	22	47.1	52.4	42.0	52.0	51.8	51.0	50.5	48.6	45.8	42.8	42.4	42.1	47.1	10.0	57.1
Timeframe	23 Hour	46.3	55.0 L	40.5	54.5 L1%	54.0 L2%	52.2 L5%	51.0 L8%	45.8 L25%	42.5 L50%	41.1 L90%	40.9 L95%	40.6 L99%	46.3	10.0	(dBA)
Day	Min	50.3	58.6	40.0	58.2	57.8	56.9	55.9	47.8	43.2	40.7	40.5	40.1	24-Hour	Daytime	Nighttime
Engra	Max	76.1	88.7	44.0	88.1	87.5	85.4	82.5	69.8	58.6	46.3	45.4	44.4	CNLL	(7am-10pm)	(10pm-7am)
Energy	Min	67.4	49 2	40 5	49.0	48.8	48.5	63.3 48.2	54.7 45.8	48.3	43.2	42.7	42.2	65 6	67 4	47 O
Night	Max	48.0	56.0	45.3	55.7	55.2	54.6	52.8	48.9	46.6	45.7	45.5	45.4	05.0		-77.U
Energy	Average	47.0	Ave	erage:	52.1	51.8	51.0	50.2	47.3	45.4	43.9	43.6	43.4			





APPENDIX 2.1:

OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS





FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL

Scenario: Existing Road Name: La Media Rd Road Segment: n/o Otay Mesa Rd Project Name: Majestic Otay 200 Job Number: 15250

Noau Seymen		esa Ku									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUTS	S	
Highway Data				9	Site Cond	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,510 vehicle	s					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Med	lium Tr	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	151 vehicle	s		Hea	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	45 mph		1	/ehicle N	lix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet	50 feet			cleType	Evening	ening Night Daily			
Site Data						1	Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	dium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Н	leavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		/	Voise So	urce E	levatio	ns (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		-		Auto	s 0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediun	n Truck	s: 2	.297			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	/ Truck	s: 8	.006	Grade Ad	justment.	: 0.0
Pa	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet										
Roa	1	ane Equ	ivalen	t Distar	ice (in i	teet)					
			Auto	s: 55	.866						
		Mediun	n Truck	s: 55	.707						
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heavy	/ Truck	's: 55	.723			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	tance	Finite I	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	68.46	-10.16		-0.83	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-27.40		-0.81	1	-1.20		-4.88	-4.88 0.0		0.000
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-31.36		-0.81	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	out Topo and	barrie	er atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	/	Leq Ev	/ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	Cl	NEL
Autos:	56.	.3	54.4		52.6		46	6	55.2	2	55.8
Medium Trucks:	50.	.0	48.5		42.2		40.	6	49.1	l	49.3
Heavy Trucks:	50.	.9	49.5		40.4		41	7	50.0)	50.2
Vehicle Noise:	<i>Vehicle Noise:</i> 58.1 56.4						48	5	57.1		57.5
Centerline Distand	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)							1	
				70 c	dBA 65 dBA		6	60 dBA	55	55 dBA	
			Ldn:	8	5	1	18		39	8	34
		С	NEL:	9		1	19		42	ę	90

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL

Scenario: Existing Road Name: La Media Rd Road Segment: s/o Otay Mesa Rd

Project Name: Majestic Otay 200 Job Number: 15250

Road Seyme	ni. s/o Olay Mesa	ака										
SITE	SPECIFIC INP	JT DATA					NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S		
Highway Data				5	Site Con	ditions	: (Hard	= 10, So	oft = 15)			
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 1,	920 vehicles						Autos:	15			
Peak Hour	Percentage: 10).00%			Me	dium T	rucks (2	? Axles):	15			
Peak H	lour Volume:	192 vehicles			He	avy Tru	ıcks (3+	Axles):	15			
Ve	hicle Speed:	45 mph		١	/ehicle	Mix						
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet			VehicleType Day Evening Night							
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%	
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			M	edium T	Frucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%	
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			I	Heavy T	Frucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%	
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		1	Voise So	ource E	levatio	ns (in fe	eet)			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet				Auto	os: (.000	,			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucl	ks: 2	2.297				
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	vy Truck	ks: 8	3.006	Grade Ad	justment	: 0.0	
	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			ono Fa		+ Diata	noo (in j	faa4)			
Ro	ad Elevation:	L	_ane Eq	uivaien	it Dista		ieet)					
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Madiu		DS. 5:					
		Mealu.	m Truci	(S. 5)	5.707							
	Right view.	90.0 degrees	5		Tiea	y muci	NS. 03	5.725				
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations											
VehicleType	REMEL T	raffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	snel	Barrier Att	en Ber	rm Atten	
Autos:	68.46	-9.12		-0.83	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000	
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-26.36		-0.81	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000	
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-30.31		-0.81	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000	
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	t Topo and b	oarrier	atten	uation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day		Leq Ev	/ening	Leq	ı Night		Ldn	С	NEL	
Autos:	57.3	5	5.4		53.7		47	.6	56.2	2	56.8	
Medium Trucks:	51.1	4	9.6		43.2		41	.7	50.1	1	50.4	
Heavy Trucks:	51.9	5	0.5		41.5		42	.7	51.1	1	51.2	
Vehicle Noise:		54.3		49	.6	58.1	1	58.6				
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Cont	tour (in feet)										
				70 c	dBA 65 dBA		e	60 dBA	55	55 dBA		
		L	.dn:	1(0		21		46	1	99	
		CN	EL:	1	1		23		49	1	06	
Scenario: Existing *Road Name:* Piper Ranch Rd *Road Segment:* n/o Otay Mesa Rd

Road Seyme	ni. n/o Olay Mi	esa Ru									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				Ν	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	ditions	(Hard :	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,030 vehicle	S					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Tr	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	303 vehicle	S		He	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph			Vehicle I	Nix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet			Vehi	cleType	9	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						,	Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet			Noise Sc	ource E	levatio	ns (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet				Auto	s: (0.000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediur	n Truck	s: 2	.297			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Truck	:s: 8	.006	Grade Ad	justment	: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet									
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	Lane Equ	uivalen	t Distal	nce (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 29	9.816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediur	n Truck	:s: 29	9.518			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Truck	:s: 29).547			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance	Finite	Road	Fres	snel	Barrier Att	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-6.63		3.2	6	-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-23.86		3.3	3	-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-27.82		3.3	2	-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	er atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	/	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos:	62	.0	60.1		58.3		52	.2	60.9	9	61.5
Medium Trucks:	56	.0	54.5		48.1		46	.6	55.0)	55.3
Heavy Trucks:	57	.3	55.9		46.8		48	.1	56.4	1	56.6
Vehicle Noise:	64	.0	62.3		59.0		54	.4	63.0)	63.4
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet	t)								
				70 0	dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	1	0	2	22		47	1	02
		С	NEL:	1	1	2	23		51	1	09

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Harvest Rd Road Segment: s/o Otay Mesa Rd

Road Segme	ni. s/o Otay Mes	ако									
SITE	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA				1	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				5	Site Con	ditions	(Hard	= 10, Se	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	20 vehicles	6					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage: 1	0.00%			Me	dium Ti	rucks (2	? Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	2 vehicles	6		He	avy Tru	ıcks (3+	- Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		1	Vehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet			Veh	icleTyp	e	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			M	ədium T	Frucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		1	Voise So	ource E	levatio	ns (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet				Auto	os: (0.000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	ks:	2.297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Truck	ks: 8	3.006	Grade Ad	justment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet				· .					
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	Lane Eq	uivalen	t Dista	nce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 2	9.816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Truck	(s: 2	9.518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Truck	(s: 2	9.547			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations										
VehicleType	REMEL 7	Fraffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fre	snel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-28.43		3.26	6	-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-45.67		3.33	3	-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-49.62		3.32	2	-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (withou	It Topo and	barrier	atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	' I	Leq Ev	/ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	40.1	:	38.2		36.5		30).4	39.0)	39.7
Medium Trucks:	34.2	:	32.7		26.3		24	.8	33.2	2	33.5
Heavy Trucks:	35.5		34.1		25.0		26	5.3	34.6	6	34.8
Vehicle Noise:	42.2		40.4		37.2		32	2.6	41.2	2	41.6
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet))								
				70 c	<i>IBA</i>	65	dBA	(60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	0)		1		2		4
		Cl	VEL:	0)		1		2		4

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Sanyo Ave Road Segment: s/o Otay Mesa Rd

Road Segme	nt: s/o Otay Me	esa Ro									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				١	NOISE	MODE	L INPUTS	S	
Highway Data				,	Site Cond	ditions	(Hard	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,290 vehicle	s					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Med	dium Tr	rucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak F	lour Volume:	229 vehicle	s		Hea	avy Tru	icks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	45 mph		_	Vehicle N	lix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet			Vehio	cleType	Э	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	dium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Н	leavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet			Noise So	urce E	levatio	ns (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet				Auto	ns [.] (000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediun	n Truck	(s: 2	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Truck	(s: E	006	Grade Ad	iustment	t: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Tioury	, maon			,		
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	Lane Equ	iivalen	t Dista	nce (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 29	9.816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediun	n Truck	(s: 29	9.518			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heavy	y Truck	(s: 29	9.547			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	tance	Finite I	Road	Fres	snel	Barrier Atte	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos:	68.46	-8.35		3.2	6	-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-25.59		3.3	3	-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-29.55		3.3	2	-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	er atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	/	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	62	.2	60.3		58.5		52	.5	61.1	1	61.7
Medium Trucks:	56	.0	54.5		48.1		46	.6	55.0)	55.3
Heavy Trucks:	56	.8	55.4		46.4		47	.6	56.0)	56.1
Vehicle Noise:	64	.0	62.3		59.1		54	.5	63.0)	63.5
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70 (dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	1	0	2	22		48	1	02
		C	NEL:	1	1		24		51	1	10

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Vann Cantre Rd Road Segment: s/o Otay Mesa Rd

Noau Seyme		anu									
SITE	SPECIFIC INF	PUT DATA				1	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	ditions	: (Hard	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	440 vehicles	6					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage: 1	0.00%			Me	dium Ti	rucks (2	? Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	44 vehicles	5		He	avy Tru	ıcks (3+	- Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		,	Vohiclo	Miv					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	12 feet			Veh	icleTyp	e	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			M	edium 7	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			I	Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	30.0 feet			Noise So	ource E	levatio	ns (in fe	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet				Auto	os: (0.000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucl	ks:	2.297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	vy Truck	ks: 8	3.006	Grade Ad	justment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		_		·	(D'- (-		(
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	Lane Eq	uivaien	t Dista	nce (in i	teet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 2	9.816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Truck	(s: 2	9.518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	/y Truci	(s: 2	9.547			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	tance	Finite	Road	Fre	snel	Barrier Att	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-15.00		3.2	6	-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-32.24		3.3	3	-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-36.20		3.3	2	-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (witho	ut Topo and I	barrie	r atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day		Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	53.6	; ;	51.7		49.9		43	8.9	52.5	5	53.1
Medium Trucks:	47.6	; 4	46.1		39.7		38	3.2	46.6	6	46.9
Heavy Trucks:	48.9)	47.5		38.5		39).7	48.1		48.2
Vehicle Noise:	55.6	5	53.9		50.6		46	5.0	54.6	6	55.0
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Cor	ntour (in feet))					1		1	
				70 0	dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	3	3		6		13	:	28
		CN	IEL:	3	3		6		14	:	30

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Enrico Fermi Dr Road Segment: n/o Otay Mesa Rd

Road Segme	nt: n/o Otay Mes	a Ro								
SITE	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA				NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Site Co	nditions	s (Hard	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 2	,540 vehicles	5				Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage: 1	0.00%		M	edium T	rucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	254 vehicles	;	H	eavy Tru	ıcks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	38 feet		Vei	hicleTyp	е	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet		٨	ledium T	Trucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy T	Trucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	49.0 feet		Noise S	ource E	levatio	ns (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	49.0 feet			Auto	<u></u>	000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Medii	im Truci	ks:	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Hea	wy Truc	10. <u>-</u> ks [.] 8	3 006	Grade Ad	iustment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1100	vy maon	<i></i>				
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Ed	quivaler	nt Dista	nce (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Auto	os: 4	5.442			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S	Mediu	ım Trucl	ks: 4	5.247			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	s	Hea	vy Trucl	ks: 4	5.266			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	ce Finite	e Road	Fres	snel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-7.39		0.52	-1.20		-4.64	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-24.63		0.55	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-28.59		0.54	-1.20		-5.44	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (withou	It Topo and I	barrier a	ttenuation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Le	eq Evening	Leq	Night		Ldn	Cl	NEL
Autos:	58.4	Ę	56.5	54.8	3	48	.7	57.3	3	57.9
Medium Trucks:	52.4	Ę	50.9	44.6	6	43	.0	51.5	5	51.7
Heavy Trucks:	53.8	Ę	52.3	43.3	3	44	.5	52.9	9	53.0
Vehicle Noise:	60.5	Ę	58.7	55.4	1	50	.9	59.4	1	59.9
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet)								
				70 dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
		l	Ldn:	10		21		45	9	97
		CN	IEL:	10		22		48	1	04

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Enrico Fermi Dr Road Segment: s/o Otay Mesa Rd

Road Seyme	nt. s/o Otay Mes	sa Ru									
SITE	SPECIFIC INF	PUT DATA				1	NOISE	MODE	L INPUTS	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,600 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Ti	rucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	160 vehicles	3		He	avy Tru	ıcks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph			Vehicle I	Nix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	38 feet			Vehi	cleType	e	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium T	Trucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			F	leavy T	Trucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	49.0 feet			Noise So	ource E	levatior	ns (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	49.0 feet				Auto	$\frac{1}{100}$ $\frac{1}{100}$ $\frac{1}{100}$ $\frac{1}{100}$	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediur	n Truck	(s [.] 2	297			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Truck	(s [.] 8	006	Grade Ad	iustment	: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			near	y 11001		.000	0.0007.00		
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		I	Lane Equ	uivalen	t Distar	ice (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 45	.442			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediur	n Trucł	ks: 45	.247			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Truck	ks: 45	.266			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-9.40		0.52	2	-1.20		-4.64	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-26.64		0.5	5	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-30.59		0.54	4	-1.20		-5.44	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	ut Topo and	barrie	r atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	· Leq Day	,	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	CI	NEL
Autos:	56.4	4	54.5		52.8		46.	7	55.3	3	55.9
Medium Trucks:	50.4	4	48.9		42.6		41.	0	49.5	5	49.7
Heavy Trucks:	51.7	7	50.3		41.3		42.	5	50.9	9	51.0
Vehicle Noise:	58.4	4	56.7		53.4		48.	9	57.4	ļ	57.9
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Col	ntour (in feet)					1		1	
				70 c	dBA	65	dBA	e	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	7	,	,	15		33	-	71
		Cl	VEL:	8	3		16		35	-	76

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: w/o La Media Rd

cuu oogino									
SITE	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA			NC	ISE MOE	DEL INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (H	lard = 10,	Soft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 3,	380 vehicles				Auto	os: 15		
Peak Hour	Percentage: 10	0.00%		Me	dium Truc	ks (2 Axles	s <i>):</i> 15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	338 vehicles		He	avy Truck	s (3+ Axles	s <i>):</i> 15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	40 mph	-	Vehicle	Mix				
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	50 feet	_	Veh	icleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data					AL	tos: 77.5	5% 12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet		M	ədium Tru	<i>cks:</i> 84.8	3% 4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0		ŀ	l eavy Tru	cks: 86.5	5% 2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet	_	Noiso Sa	urco Elo	ations (in	foot)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet	-	10136 50			ieelj		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Modiu	Aulos. m Trucko:	2 207			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Hoo	TI TTUCKS.	2.297	Grada Ad	liustmont	0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		neav	y TTUCKS.	0.000	Uldue Au	justinent.	0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent E	Distance (i	n feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	55.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediu	m Trucks:	55.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heav	y Trucks:	55.723			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations								
VehicleType	REMEL T	raffic Flow D	istance	Finite	Road	Fresnel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-6.15	-0.8	3	-1.20	-4.6	9 0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-23.39	-0.8	31	-1.20	-4.8	8 0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-27.34	-0.8	31	-1.20	-5.3	3 0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (withou	t Topo and bar	rier atter	nuation)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq E	vening	Leq N	ight	Ldn	Cl	VEL
Autos:	58.3	56.4	ŀ	54.7		48.6	57.2	2	57.8
Medium Trucks:	52.3	50.8	3	44.4		42.9	51.4	4	51.6
Heavy Trucks:	53.6	52.2	2	43.2		44.4	52.8	3	52.9
Vehicle Noise:	60.3	58.6	5	55.3		50.8	59.3	3	59.8
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet)							
			70	dBA	65 dl	BA	60 dBA	55	dBA
		Ldn	: 1	2	26		55	1	19
		CNEL	: 1	3	27		59	1:	27

Scenario: Existing *Road Name:* Otay Mesa Rd *Road Segment:* w/o Piper Ranch Rd

Road Ocymen											
SITE	SPECIFIC INF	PUT DATA				Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	ditions	(Hard	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,480 vehicles	S					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Tr	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	348 vehicles	S		He	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		_	Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet			Veh	icleType)	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			M	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		_	Noiso Sa	urco E	lovatio	ns (in f	oot)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		_	10130 30						
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madiu	n Truck	s. (207			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heau	n Truck		3 006	Grade Ad	iustment	·· 0 0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			near	y maon	0. C				
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Lane Eq	uivalen	t Dista	nce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 55	5.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Truck	s: 58	5.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Truck	rs: 58	5.723			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	tance	Finite	Road	Fres	snel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-6.02		-0.8	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-23.26		-0.8	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-27.22		-0.8	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	ut Topo and	barrie	er atter	nuation)					-	
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	'	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	58.5	5	56.6		54.8		48	.7	57.4	1	58.0
Medium Trucks:	52.4	1	50.9		44.6		43	.0	51.5	5	51.7
Heavy Trucks:	53.8	3	52.3		43.3		44	.6	52.9)	53.0
Vehicle Noise:	60.5	5	58.7		55.5		50	.9	59.8	5	59.9
Centerline Distand	ce to Noise Cor	ntour (in feet)								
				70	dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	1	2	2	26		56	1	21
		Cl	NEL:	1	3	2	28		60	1	29

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: w/o SR-125 SB Off Ramp

		Bonnamp							
SITE	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA			N	DISE MC	DEL INPL	JTS	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (Hard = 10), Soft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 3,	290 vehicles				Au	<i>tos:</i> 15		
Peak Hour	Percentage: 10	0.00%		Mee	dium Tru	cks (2 Axl	es <i>):</i> 15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	329 vehicles		Hea	avy Truci	ks (3+ Axl	es): 15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph	-	Vehicle I	<i>liy</i>				
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet	-	Venicie i Vehi	cleTvne	Da	av Evenin	a Nio	ht Daily
Site Data					A	utos: 77	.5% 12.9°	9 · ··9 % 9	.6% 97.42%
Ba	rrior Hoight:	0.0 foot		Me	edium Tru	icks: 84	.8% 4.9	% 10	.3% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all_1-Rerm) [.]			F	leavy Tru	icks: 86	5.5% 2.79	% 10	.8% 0.74%
Centerline Di	st to Barrier:	61.0 feet	-						
Centerline Dist	to Observer:	61 0 feet	-	Noise So	urce Ele	vations (in feet)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Autos	0.000	0		
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Mediur	n Trucks	2.29	7	A <i>U i</i>	
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Heav	y Trucks	8.000	6 Grade	Aajustn	nent: 0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet	-	Lane Equ	uivalent	Distance	(in feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%	-		Autos	55.86	6		
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediur	n Trucks	55.70	7		
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heav	y Trucks	55.72	3		
EHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations								
VehicleType	REMEI T	raffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road	Fresnel	Barrier	Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-6.27	-0.8	33	-1.20	-4	.69	0.000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-23.51	-0.8	31	-1.20	-4	.88	0.000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-27.46	-0.8	31	-1.20	-5	.33	0.000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	t Topo and ba	rrier atte	nuation)					
VehicleType	Leg Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leg E	vening	Leg N	light	Ldn		CNEL
Autos:	58.2	56	.3	54.6		48.5	5	7.1	57.7
Medium Trucks:	52.2	50	.7	44.3		42.8	5	1.2	51.5
Heavy Trucks:	53.5	52	.1	43.1		44.3	5	2.7	52.8
Vehicle Noise:	60.2	58	.5	55.2		50.7	5	9.2	59.7
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet)							
			70	dBA	65 d	BA	60 dBA		55 dBA
		Ld	n:	12	25	;	54	·	116
		CNE	L: '	12	27		58		125

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: w/o SR-125 NB Off Ramp

				1							
SITE	SPECIFIC INF	PUT DATA				1	VOISE	MODE	LINPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	ditions	(Hard :	= 10, Se	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,750 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Tr	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	375 vehicles	5		He	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		_	Vehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet			Veh	icleType	Э	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			M	ədium T	rucks:	84.8%	<i>4.9</i> %	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	ь́ 2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	61.0 feet			Noise Sa	urce F	lovatio	ns (in f	(a t)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		-	10/30 00			000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	n Truck	13. U	207			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hoa	n Truck	13. Z	.237	Grade Ad	liustmen	t [.] 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neav	y much	.s. c	.000	Crado / la	juotinon	0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	Lane Eq	uivalen	t Distar	nce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 55	.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediul	m Truck	ks: 55	.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	s		Heav	y Truck	ks: 55	.723			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-5.70		-0.8	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-22.94		-0.8	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-26.89		-0.8	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	ut Topo and	barrie	r atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day		Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	58.8	3 :	56.9		55.1		49	.1	57.	7	58.3
Medium Trucks:	52.8	3 !	51.3		44.9		43	.4	51.8	3	52.0
Heavy Trucks:	54.1	1 :	52.7		43.6		44	.9	53.2	2	53.4
Vehicle Noise:	60.8	3 !	59.1		55.8		51	.2	59.8	8	60.2
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Cor	ntour (in feet)									
				70 0	dBA	65	dBA	(60 dBA	55	i dBA
			Ldn:	1	3	2	27		59		127
		Cl	IEL:	1	4		29		63		136

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: w/o Harvest Rd

Ruau Seyme	ni. w/o narvest r	Ru									
SITE	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA]	NOISE	MODE	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data					Site Con	ditions	(Hard	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 2	2,170 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage: 1	0.00%			Me	dium Ti	rucks (2	? Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	217 vehicles	5		He	avy Tru	ıcks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph			Vehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet			Veh	icleTyp	e	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium 1	Trucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy T	Frucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		_	Noise So	ource E	levatio	ns (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		_		Auto	os: (0.000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	n Truck	ks: 2	2.297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Truck	ks: 8	3.006	Grade Ad	ustment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		_		,					
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		_	Lane Eq	uivalen	t Dista	nce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 5	5.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	n Trucl	ks: 5	5.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucł	ks: 5	5.723			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	tance	Finite	Road	Fres	snel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-8.07		-0.8	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-25.31		-0.8	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-29.27		-0.8	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (withou	ut Topo and	barrie	er atter	nuation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	,	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	56.4		54.5		52.7		46	5.7	55.3	5	55.9
Medium Trucks:	50.4	. ,	48.9		42.5		41	.0	49.4	ŀ	49.7
Heavy Trucks:	51.7		50.3		41.3		42	.5	50.9		51.0
Vehicle Noise:	58.4		56.7		53.4		48	5.9	57.4	ŀ	57.8
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet))					I		T	
				70	dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	ę	9		19		41	ä	88
		CI	VEL:	9	9		20		44	9	94

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: w/o Sanyo Ave

Road Segmen	nt. w/o Sanyo A	Ave									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				1	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				3	Site Con	ditions	: (Hard	= 10, Se	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,300 vehicles	S					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Ti	rucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	230 vehicles	5		He	avy Tru	ıcks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph			Vehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet			Veh	icleType	е	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	ы́ 12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Bai	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium 1	Trucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy T	Frucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		1	Voise Sc	ource E	levatio	ns (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet				Auto	os: (.000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediui	n Truck	ks: 2	2.297			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	y Truck	ks: 8	3.006	Grade Ad	justment	: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		_			4 D:-1-		fa = ()		
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	Lane Eq	uivaien	t Dista	nce (In	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 5	5.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediui	m Truck	ks: 5	5.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Truck	ks: 5	5.723			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	6									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	tance	Finite	Road	Fres	snel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-7.82		-0.83	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-25.06		-0.8′	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-29.02		-0.81	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	'	Leq E	/ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	56.	.7	54.8		53.0		46	.9	55.6	5	56.2
Medium Trucks:	50.	.6	49.1		42.8		41	.2	49.7	7	49.9
Heavy Trucks:	52.	.0	50.5		41.5		42	.8	51.1	1	51.2
Vehicle Noise:	58	.7	56.9		53.7		49	.1	57.7	7	58.1
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)					I		1	
				70 c	<i>IBA</i>	65	dBA	(60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	9)	:	20		43	9	92
		Ci	VEL:	10	0	:	21		46	9	98

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: e/o Sanyo Ave

Road Segme	nt. e/o Sanyo A	Ave									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				1	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,270 vehicle	s					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Ti	rucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	227 vehicle	s		He	avy Tru	ıcks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		-	Vehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet		_	Vehi	icleType	Э	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium T	Trucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	61.0 feet			Noise So	ource E	levatio	ns (in fe	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet				Auto	os: 0	.000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediur	n Trucł	(s: 2	.297			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Truck	(s: 8	.006	Grade Ad	justment	: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet				, 		/•			
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Lane Equ	uivalen	t Distar	ice (in i	teet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 55	.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediur	n Truck	(s: 55	.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Truck	ks: 55	.723			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Ber	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-7.88		-0.8	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-25.12		-0.8	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-29.07		-0.8	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	er atter	nuation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Da	У	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	56	.6	54.7		52.9		46.	9	55.5	5	56.1
Medium Trucks:	50	.6	49.1		42.7		41.	2	49.6	6	49.9
Heavy Trucks:	51	.9	50.5		41.5		42.	7	51.1	1	51.2
Vehicle Noise:	58	.6	56.9		53.6		49.	1	57.6	6	58.0
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in fee	t)							1	
				70	dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	9	9	:	20		42	9	91
		С	NEL:	1	0	:	21		45	9	97

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: e/o Vann Cantre Rd

Noau Seyme		lile Ku								
SITE	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA]	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Site Col	nditions	: (Hard	= 10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 1	,580 vehicles					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage: 1	0.00%		M	ədium Ti	rucks (2	? Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	158 vehicles		H	eavy Tru	ıcks (3+	- Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet		Vel	nicleTyp	e	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						Autos:	77.5%	ы́ 12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet		N	1edium 7	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy 7	Frucks:	86.5%	ы́ 2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		Noise S	ource F	levatio	ns (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		10/30 0						
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Medii	im Truck	(s. (2 297			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Hea	vv Truck	(S' 8	3 006	Grade Ad	iustment	: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet								
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Ec	uivalen	t Dista	nce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Auto	os: 5	5.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediu	ım Trucl	ks: 5	5.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Hea	vy Trucł	ks: 5	5.723			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distanc	e Finite	Road	Fre	snel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-9.45	-(0.83	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-26.69	-(0.81	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-30.65	-	0.81	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	It Topo and b	arrier at	tenuation)	1				-	
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leo	q Evening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	55.0	53	3.1	51.4	Ļ	45	5.3	53.9	9	54.5
Medium Trucks:	49.0	47	7.5	41.1		39	9.6	48.2	l	48.3
Heavy Trucks:	50.3	48	3.9	39.9)	41	.1	49.5	5	49.6
Vehicle Noise:	57.0	55	5.3	52.0)	47	.5	56.0)	56.5
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet)			T					
				70 dBA	65	dBA		60 dBA	55	dBA
		Le	dn:	7		15		33		71
		CN	EL:	8		16		35		76

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: e/o Enrico Fermi Dr

Road Segme										
SITE	SPECIFIC INF	PUT DATA			N	OISE I	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Site Co	onditions	(Hard =	: 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 4	1,120 vehicles					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage: 1	0.00%		٨	ledium Tru	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak F	lour Volume:	412 vehicles		ŀ	leavy Truc	cks (3+ .	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	38 feet		Venick	hicleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data					A	Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Medium Ti	ucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy Ti	ucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	49.0 feet		Noiso	Sourco El	ovation	c (in fr			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	49.0 feet		NOISE						
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mod	Aulos ium Trucki	s. 0.	207			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Ho	ann Truck	ς. Ζ. α. β	297	Grade Ad	iustment	· 0 0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		110	avy much	s. 0.	000	Crado riaj	aounoni	. 0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane E	quivalent	Distan	ce (in t	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos	s: 45	.442			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees	6	Med	ium Truck	s: 45	.247			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees	6	He	avy Truck	s: 45	.266			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	ce Fini	te Road	Fresi	nel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-5.29		0.52	-1.20		-4.64	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-22.53		0.55	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-26.48		0.54	-1.20		-5.44	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	ut Topo and b	arrier a	ttenuation)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Le	eq Evening	Leq	Night		Ldn	Cl	NEL
Autos:	60.5	5 5	8.6	56	9	50.	8	59.4	1	60.0
Medium Trucks:	54.5	5 5	3.0	46	7	45.	1	53.6	6	53.8
Heavy Trucks:	55.9) 5	4.4	45	4	46.	6	55.0)	55.1
Vehicle Noise:	62.6	6 6	0.8	57	5	53.	0	61.5	5	62.0
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Cor	ntour (in feet)							1	
				70 dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
		L	dn:	13	2	9		62	1	34
		CN	EL:	14	3	1		66	1	43

Scenario Road Name Road Segmen	o: E + P e: La Media R t: n/o Otay Me	d esa Rd				Project Job Ni	Name: umber:	Majest 15250	tic Otay 200)	
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	OISEN	NODE		S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, Se	oft = 15)		
Average Daily 7	Traffic (Adt):	1,530 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour F	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Tru	ıcks (2 /	Axles):	15		
Peak Ho	our Volume:	153 vehicles	5		He	avy Truc	:ks (3+ /	Axles):	15		
Veh	icle Speed:	45 mph		v	ehicle l	Mix					
Near/Far Lan	e Distance:	50 feet			Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	lutos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Bari	rier Height:	0.0 feet			M	edium Tr	ucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-Wa	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	Heavy Tr	ucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Dis	t. to Barrier:	61.0 feet			laise Sc	ource El	ovation	s (in f	oot)		
Centerline Dist. te	o Observer:	61.0 feet		~				000			
Barrier Distance to	o Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	Autos m Trucks	s. 0.	207			
Observer Height (A	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Loo	III TTUCKS	s. 2.	291	Grado Ad	iustmon	H 0 0
Pa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet			neav	y Trucks	s. o.	000	Orace Au	usunen	. 0.0
Roa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in	feet)		
R	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 55.	866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	s		Mediu	m Trucks	s: 55.	707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heav	y Trucks	s: 55.	723			
FHWA Noise Mode	l Calculation:	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fresr	nel	Barrier Att	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	68.46	-10.10		-0.83		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-27.34		-0.81		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-31.30		-0.81		-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	L	.eq Ev	ening	Leq I	Night		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos:	56	.3	54.4		52.7		46.6	6	55.2	2	55.8
Medium Trucks:	50	.1 '	48.6		42.2		40.7	7	49.1	1	49.4
Heavy Trucks:	50	.9 4	49.5		40.5		41.7	7	50.1	1	50.2
Vehicle Noise:	58	.2	56.4		53.3		48.6	6	57.2	1	57.6
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)			T						
				70 d	BA	65 0	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	8		1	8		39		85
		CI	IEL:	9		2	0		42		91

Scenaric Road Name Road Segmen	o: E + P e: La Media R t: s/o Otay Me	d esa Rd				Project Job N	Name: umber:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 200	0	
SITE S	PECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	OISEN	NODE		S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily T	Traffic (Adt):	2,480 vehicles						Autos:	15		
Peak Hour F	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Tru	ıcks (2 /	Axles):	15		
Peak Ho	our Volume:	248 vehicles			He	avy Truc	cks (3+ /	Axles):	15		
Veh	icle Speed:	45 mph		V	ehicle l	Mix					
Near/Far Lan	e Distance:	50 feet			Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Barı	rier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Me	ədium Tı	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-Wa	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Ti	ucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Dist	t. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		N	loise Sc	ource Fl	evation	s (in fi	oot)		
Centerline Dist. to	o Observer:	61.0 feet			0/30 00		erulion er 0	000			
Barrier Distance to	o Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	n Truck	s. 0.	207			
Observer Height (A	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	n Truck	s. 2. s [.] 8	006	Grade Ad	liustmen	t [.] 0.0
Pa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet			neav	y much	<i>s.</i> 0.	000	erade ridj	jaounon	
Road	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		Li	ane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in	feet)		
R	load Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 55.	866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediui	m Trucks	s: 55.	707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heav	y Trucks	s: 55.	723			
FHWA Noise Mode	I Calculation:	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distar	nce	Finite	Road	Fresr	nel	Barrier Att	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	68.46	-8.01		-0.83		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-25.24		-0.81		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-29.20		-0.81		-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	attenu	uation)						
VehicleType I	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	L	eq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	58	.4 :	56.5		54.8		48.7	7	57.3	3	57.9
Medium Trucks:	52	.2 5	50.7		44.3		42.8	3	51.2	2	51.5
Heavy Trucks:	53	.0 .	51.6		42.6		43.8	3	52.2	2	52.3
Vehicle Noise:	60	.3 !	58.5		55.4		50.7	7	59.2	2	59.7
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)						I		I	
				70 dł	BA	65 (dBA	e	60 dBA	55	i dBA
		1	_dn:	12		2	5		54		117
		CN	IEL:	13		2	7		58		125

Scena Road Nar Road Segme	rio: E + P ne: Piper Rancl ent: n/o Otay Me	n Rd esa Rd				Project Job N	Name: umber:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 200)	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				Ν	IOISE N	NODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				5	Site Con	nditions	(Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,070 vehicles	S					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	r Percentage:	10.00%			Ме	dium Tru	ucks (2)	Axles):	15		
Peak I	Hour Volume:	307 vehicles	S		He	avy Truc	cks (3+)	Axles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		,	Vehicle	Miv					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	12 feet			Venicie I Veh	nicleTvpe		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	orrior Hoight:	0.0 feet			М	edium Ti	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy Ti	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		,	Noise Si	ource El	ovation	s (in fa	(\mathbf{t})		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet		-	10/30 00		evalion e· 0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madiu	m Truck	s. 0. s [.] 2	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	N Truck	s. 2. s [.] 8	006	Grade Ad	iustment	t: 0.0
F	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet			nou	ly maona	5. 0.	000			
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	Lane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in t	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 29.	816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Truck	s: 29.	518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	vy Truck	s: 29.	547			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresr	nel	Barrier Att	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-6.57		3.26	6	-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-23.81		3.33	3	-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-27.76		3.32	2	-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barriei	r atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	/	Leq Ev	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos:	62	.0	60.1		58.3		52.3	3	60.9)	61.5
Medium Trucks:	56	.0	54.5		48.2		46.6	6	55.2	l	55.3
Heavy Trucks:	57	.4	55.9		46.9		48.′		56.5	5	56.6
Vehicle Noise:	64	.0	62.3		59.0		54.8	5	63.0)	63.5
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70 c	dBA	65	dBA	6	80 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	1(0	2	2		48	1	03
		CI	NEL:	1	1	2	24		51	1	10

Scenar Road Nam Road Segmei	io: E + P ne: Harvest Rd nt: s/o Otay Me	esa Rd			Project N Job Nur	lame: Ma nber: 15	ijestic Otay 20 250	00	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA			NC	ISE MC	DEL INPUT	ГS	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (H	lard = 10), Soft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	20 vehicles				Au	<i>tos:</i> 15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%		Me	dium Truc	ks (2 Axl	es): 15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	2 vehicles		He	avy Truck	s (3+ Axl	es): 15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		Vehicle I	Mix				
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet		Vehi	icleTvpe	Da	av Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data					Au	tos: 77	.5% 12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Bai	rrier Height:	0.0 feet		Me	edium Tru	<i>cks:</i> 84	.8% 4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0		ŀ	leavy Tru	cks: 86	.5% 2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		Noise Sc	urce Flev	vations (in foot)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet		10130 30			າ ກ		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	n Trucks	2 29	7		
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heav	v Trucks:	8.00	Grade A	diustment	: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		, iour	y maone.	0.00			
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Equ	uivalent D	Distance	(in feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	29.81	6		
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediur	n Trucks:	29.51	8		
	Right View:	90.0 degrees	;	Heav	y Trucks:	29.54	7		
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	s							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road	Fresnel	Barrier A	tten Ber	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-28.43	3.	26	-1.20	-4	.49 0	.000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-45.67	3.	33	-1.20	-4	.86 0	.000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-49.62	3.	32	-1.20	-5	.77 0	.000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and b	arrier atte	nuation)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	Leq	Evening	Leq N	ight	Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	40	.1 38	3.2	36.5		30.4	39	.0	39.7
Medium Trucks:	34	.2 32	2.7	26.3		24.8	33	.2	33.5
Heavy Trucks:	35	.5 34	4.1	25.0		26.3	34	.6	34.8
Vehicle Noise:	42	2.2 40	0.4	37.2		32.6	41	.2	41.6
Centerline Distance	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)						 _	
		,	70) dBA	65 dE	BA	60 dBA	55	dBA
			un: =1 ·	0	1		2		4
		CN	=L:	U	1		2		4

Scenari Road Nam Road Segmei	io: E + P le: Sanyo Ave nt: s/o Otay Me	sa Rd				Project N Job Nur	ame: nber:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 200	0	
SITES	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				NC	ISE N	/IODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Si	te Con	ditions (H	lard =	10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,400 vehicles					1 - 10	Autos:	15		
Peak Hour Peak H	Percentage: Iour Volume:	10.00% 240 vehicles			Me He	aium Truc avv Truck	KS (2 A S (3+ A	Axies): Axies):	15 15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	45 mph		Ve	hiala	Mix	5 (017		10		
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet		VE	Veh	icleType		Dav	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						Au	tos:	, 77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	5 97.42%
Bai	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium True	cks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			I	Heavy True	cks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		No	oise So	ource Elev	vation	s (in fe	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet				Autos:	0.0	000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks:	2.2	297			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	/v Trucks:	8.0	006	Grade Ad	justmen	t: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		_	_						
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		La	ne Eq	uivalent D	listand	ce (în i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	29.	816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediu	m Trucks:	29.	518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	5		Heav	/y Trucks:	29.	547			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	;									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	ce	Finite	Road	Fresh	el	Barrier Att	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	68.46	-8.15		3.26		-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-25.39		3.33		-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-29.34		3.32		-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	out Topo and L	oarrier a	ttenua	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Le	eq Eve	ening	Leq Ni	ght		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	62.	4 6	0.5		58.7		52.7	•	61.3	3	61.9
Medium Trucks:	56.	2 5	4.7		48.3		46.8	}	55.2	2	55.5
Heavy Trucks:	57.	0 5	5.6		46.6		47.8	3	56.2	2	56.3
Vehicle Noise:	64.	2 6	52.5		59.3		54.7		63.2	2	63.7
Centerline Distance	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)						1		-	
		-	. 📖	70 dB	BA	65 dE	BA	6	60 dBA	55	ō dBA
		L	.dn:	11		23			49		106
		CN	EL:	11		24			53		113

Scenal Road Nar Road Segme	rio: E + P ne: Vann Cantr ent: s/o Otay Me	re Rd esa Rd				Projec Job N	t Name. Number:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 20	0	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				ſ	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				ł	Site Con	nditions	(Hard	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	440 vehicle	s					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	r Percentage:	10.00%			Me	edium Ti	rucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak I	Hour Volume:	44 vehicle	s		He	eavy Tru	ıcks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		,	Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	12 feet			Veh	icleTyp	Э	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6%	6 97.42%
Ba	nrier Height:	0.0 feet			M	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.39	% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			I	Heavy T	Trucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.89	% 0.74%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	30.0 feet			Noise So	ource E	levatio	ns (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet				Auto	os: ().000	/		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	(s: 2	2.297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	vy Truck	(S: E	3.006	Grade Ad	ljustmer	nt: 0.0
P	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet		_		, ,	(D'= (=		(
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Lane Eq	uivaien	t Dista		reet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Madiu	AUto AUto	os: 29	9.816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Meaiu.		(S) = 2	9.518 5.547			
	Right view.	90.0 degree	es		near	vy mucr	ιο. Ζ:	9.347			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	snel	Barrier Att	ten Be	erm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-15.00		3.2	6	-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-32.24		3.3	3	-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-36.20		3.3	2	-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ur Leq Day	/	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	(CNEL
Autos:	53	9.6	51.7		49.9		43	.9	52.	5	53.1
Medium Trucks:	47	. .6	46.1		39.7		38	.2	46.	6	46.9
Heavy Trucks:	48	9.9	47.5		38.5		39	.7	48.	1	48.2
Vehicle Noise:	55	5.6	53.9		50.6		46	.0	54.	6	55.0
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70 0	dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	5	5 dBA
		-	Ldn:	3	3		6		13		28
		G			2		n		14		.50

Scenario: E + P Road Name: Enrico Fermi Dr Road Segment: n/o Otay Mesa Rd

SITE	SPECIFIC INPL	JT DATA			N	DISE MODE	EL INPUTS	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (Hard = 10, S	oft = 15)	
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 2,	540 vehicles				Autos	: 15	
Peak Hour	Percentage: 10	0.00%		Me	dium Tru	cks (2 Axles)	: 15	
Peak H	our Volume:	254 vehicles		He	avy Truci	ks (3+ Axles)	: 15	
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph	-	Vehicle I	Mix			
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	38 feet		Veh	icleType	Day	Evening	Night Daily
Site Data					A	utos: 77.5%	% 12.9%	9.6% 97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet		Me	ədium Tru	ucks: 84.8%	6 4.9%	10.3% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0		ŀ	leavy Tru	ucks: 86.5%	% 2.7%	10.8% 0.74%
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	49.0 feet		Noiso Sa	urco Ele	vations (in t	foot)	
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	49.0 feet		10130 30			eelj	
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Modiu	Autos m Trucko	· 0.000		
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Hoay	n Trucks	· 2.237	Grade Adiu	istment: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		neav	y Trucks	. 0.000	Crado riaja	
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent	Distance (in	feet)	
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos	: 45.442		
	Left View:	90.0 degrees		Mediur	n Trucks	: 45.247		
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heav	y Trucks	: 45.266		
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations							
VehicleType	REMEL T	raffic Flow Di	istance	Finite	Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atte	n Berm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-7.39	0.5	2	-1.20	-4.64	0.00	0.000 0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-24.63	0.5	5	-1.20	-4.87	0.00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-28.59	0.5	4	-1.20	-5.44	0.00	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (withou	t Topo and barr	ier atter	uation)				
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq E	vening	Leq N	light	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	58.4	56.5		54.8		48.7	57.3	57.9
Medium Trucks:	52.4	50.9		44.6		43.0	51.5	51.7
Heavy Trucks:	53.8	52.3		43.3		44.5	52.9	53.0
Vehicle Noise:	60.5	58.7		55.4		50.9	59.4	59.9
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Cont	our (in feet)						
		- *	70	dBA	65 a	'BA	60 dBA	55 dBA
		Ldn:	1	0	21		45	97
		CNEL:	1	0	22	2	48	104

Scena Road Nar	rio: E + P me: Enrico Fern	ni Dr				Project I Job Nu	Vame: ımber:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 20	0	
Road Segme	ent: s/o Otay Me	esa Rd									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	DISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	Site Con	ditions (Hard =	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	v Traffic (Adt):	1,890 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hou	r Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Tru	cks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak	Hour Volume:	189 vehicles	5		He	avy Trucl	ks (3+	Axles):	15		
V	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		V	ehicle (Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	38 feet		-	Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	arrier Height:	0.0 feet			M	edium Tru	ucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			I	Heavy Tru	ucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	49.0 feet			laisa Sa	ouroo Ela	wation	o (in f			
Centerline Dist	. to Observer:	49.0 feet		N	ioise sc				el)		
Barrier Distance	e to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madiu	Autos. m Trucko	. 0. . 2	207			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Meaiu	m Trucks.	; Z	.297	Grade Ad	liustmon	H 0 0
F	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet			пеал	/y TTUCKS.	. 0	.006	Grade Au	jusunem	. 0.0
Ro	oad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos.	: 45	.442			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucks.	: 45	.247			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	/y Trucks	: 45	.266			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos	: 66.51	-8.67		0.52	2	-1.20		-4.64	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks	: 77.72	-25.91		0.55	;	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks	: 82.99	-29.87		0.54	ļ	-1.20		-5.44	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r attenu	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	'	Leq Ev	rening	Leq N	light		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos	: 57	.2	55.3		53.5		47.	4	56.1	1	56.7
Medium Trucks	: 51	.1	49.6		43.3		41.	7	50.2	2	50.4
Heavy Trucks	: 52	.5	51.0		42.0		43.	3	51.6	6	51.7
Vehicle Noise	: 59	.2	57.4		54.2		49.	6	58.2	2	58.6
Centerline Distan	ice to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)								
				70 d	BA	65 d	BA	e	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	8		17	7		37		79
		CI	NEL:	9		18	3		39		85

Scenal Road Nan Road Segme	rio: E + P ne: Otay Mesa ent: w/o La Med	Rd ia Rd				Projec Job N	t Name: Number:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 20	C	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				ľ	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	nditions	(Hard :	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,620 vehicles	6					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	r Percentage:	10.00%			Ме	dium Ti	rucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak I	Hour Volume:	362 vehicles	6		He	eavy Tru	ıcks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		1	Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	50 feet			Veh	icleType	9	Day	Evening	Night	t Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6	% 97.42%
Ba	orrier Height [.]	0.0 feet			М	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3	% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8	% 0.74%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet			Noise Su	ource F	levatio	ns (in f	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		-		<u> </u>		000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	(s [.] 2	.297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	vv Truck	(s: 8	.006	Grade Ad	justme	nt: 0.0
F	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet		_							
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	Lane Eq	uivalen	t Distar	ice (în	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 55	.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Truck	ks: 55	.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	Vy Truck	(S: 55	0.723			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculations	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en B	erm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-5.85		-0.8	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-23.09		-0.8	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-27.05		-0.8	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	' L	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CNEL
Autos:	58.	.6	56.7		55.0		48	.9	57.	5	58.1
Medium Trucks:	52.	.6	51.1		44.7		43	2	51.7	7	51.9
Heavy Trucks:	53.	.9	52.5		43.5		44	.7	53.1	1	53.2
Vehicle Noise:	60.	.6	58.9		55.6		51	.1	59.0	6	60.1
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70 d	dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	ł	55 dBA
			Ldn:	1	2	2	27		58		124
		CI	VEL:	1	3		29		62		133

Scenar Road Nam Road Segmen	io: E + P be: Otay Mesa nt: w/o Piper R	Rd anch Rd				Project Job N	Name: umber:	Majes 15250	tic Otay 20	0	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Cor	nditions	(Hard =	= 10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	4,300 vehicles	S					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	edium Tru	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	430 vehicles	S		He	eavy Truc	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph			Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet			Veh	nicleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						/	Autos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6	% 97.42%
Bai	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			М	ledium Tr	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3	% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy Tr	rucks:	86.5%	ы́ 2.7%	10.8	% 0.74%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	61.0 feet			Noise S	ource El	evatior	ns (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		-			s [.] 0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks	s [.] 2	.297			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	vv Trucks	s: 8	.006	Grade Ad	ljustme	<i>nt:</i> 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet									
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	Lane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 55	.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucks	s: 55	.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Hea	vy Trucks	s: 55	.723			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	tance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	ten B	erm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-5.10		-0.83	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-22.34		-0.8	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-26.30		-0.8	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	/	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CNEL
Autos:	59	.4	57.5		55.7		49.	7	58.3	3	58.9
Medium Trucks:	53	.4	51.9		45.5		44.	0	52.4	4	52.6
Heavy Trucks:	54	.7	53.3		44.2		45.	5	53.8	8	54.0
Vehicle Noise:	61	.4	59.7		56.4		51.	8	60.4	4	60.8
Centerline Distance	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70 c	dBA	65 0	dBA	(60 dBA	ł	55 dBA
			Ldn:	1.	4	3	0		65		139
		Cl	NEL:	1	5	3	2		69		149

Scenal Road Nan Road Segme	rio: E + P ne: Otay Mesa ent: w/o SR-125	Rd SB Off Ramp				Projec Job I	t Name: Number:	Majes 15250	tic Otay 20	0		
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				ſ	NOISE	MODE	EL INPUT	S		
Highway Data				S	Site Con	ditions	; (Hard =	= 10, S	oft = 15)			
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	4,150 vehicles	S					Autos.	15			
Peak Hour	r Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Ti	rucks (2	Axles).	15			
Peak I	Hour Volume:	415 vehicles	S		He	avy Tru	ıcks (3+	Axles).	15			
Ve	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		L	/ehicle	Mix						
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	50 feet			Veh	icleTyp	e	Day	Evening	Nigi	ht	Daily
Site Data						,,	Autos:	77.5%	6 12.9%	9.	6%	97.42%
Ba	orrier Height	0.0 feet			М	edium 1	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.	3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall. 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy T	Frucks:	86.5%	<i>2.7%</i>	10.	8%	0.74%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet			laisa S	ouroo E	lovation	no (in f	001			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		T	voise so			000	eel)			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	AUIC m Truol	v_{α} v_{α}	207				
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Wediu Loo		x_{0}	.297	Grade Ac	liustm	ont i	<u> </u>
P	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Tiear	y nucr	NS. 0	.000	0/440 / 16	guotin	ont.	0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	.ane Eq	uivalen	t Distar	ice (in	feet)			
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 55	.866				
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucl	ks: 55	.707				
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	/y Trucł	ks: 55	.723				
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculations	6										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier At	ten	Berm	Atten
Autos:	66.51	-5.26		-0.83	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.	000		0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-22.50		-0.81		-1.20		-4.88	0.	000		0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-26.45		-0.81		-1.20		-5.33	0.	000		0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r atteni	uation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	'	Leq Ev	rening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CNI	ΞL
Autos:	59.	.2	57.3		55.6		49.	5	58.	1		58.7
Medium Trucks:	53.	.2	51.7		45.3		43.	8	52.	3		52.5
Heavy Trucks:	54.	.5	53.1		44.1		45.	3	53.	7		53.8
Vehicle Noise:	61.	.2	59.5		56.2		51.	7	60.	2		60.7
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)					T				
				70 d	IBA	65	dBA		60 dBA		55 d	BA
			Ldn:	14	1		29		63		13	6
		CI	NEL:	15	5		31		68		14	5

Scenar Road Nan Road Segme	rio: E + P ne: Otay Mesa nt: w/o SR-125	Rd 5 NB Off Ramp				Projec Job I	t Name. Number.	Majes 15250	tic Otay 20	0	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA]	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	Site Con	ditions	: (Hard	= 10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	4,890 vehicles	6					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	· Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Ti	rucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	489 vehicles	6		He	avy Tru	ıcks (3+	Axles).	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		V	/ehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet		-	Vehi	icleTvp	е	Dav	Evening	Nigh	t Daily
Site Data						,,	Autos:	77.5%	6 12.9%	9.6	% 97.42%
Ba	rrier Heiaht	0.0 feet			Me	ədium 1	Frucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3	% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			F	leavy T	Trucks:	86.5%	<i>б</i> 2.7%	10.8	% 0.74%
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		•	loiso Sa	urco E	lovatio	nc (in f			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		N	10/38 30				eel)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	Aulo m Truol		207			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	n nucr v Trucl	13. 2 ke [,] 2	2006	Grade Ac	liustme	nt [.] 0 0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neav	y much	(J. C	.000	0,440,744	jaouno	
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	uivalen	t Dista	nce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 55	5.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediur	m Trucl	ks: 55	5.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucł	ks: 55	5.723			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fres	snel	Barrier At	ten E	Berm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-4.55		-0.83	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-21.78		-0.81		-1.20		-4.88	0.	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-25.74		-0.81		-1.20		-5.33	0.	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ir Leq Day	Ľ	.eq Ev	rening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CNEL
Autos:	59	.9	58.0		56.3		50	.2	58.	8	59.4
Medium Trucks:	53	.9	52.4		46.1		44	.5	53.	0	53.2
Heavy Trucks:	55	.2	53.8		44.8		46	.0	54.	4	54.5
Vehicle Noise:	62	.0	60.2		56.9		52	.4	60.	9	61.4
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet									
				70 d	'BA	65	dBA	(60 dBA	4	55 dBA
			Ldn:	15	5		33		70		152
		CI	VEL:	16	6	:	35		75		162

Scenario: E + P Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: w/o Harvest Rd

SITE	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA			N	DISE MC	DELIN	PUTS	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (Hard = 10), Soft = 1	15)	
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 3,	,310 vehicles				Au	tos: 18	5	
Peak Hour	Percentage: 10	0.00%		Ме	dium Tru	cks (2 Axl	es): 15	5	
Peak H	lour Volume:	331 vehicles		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ Axl	es): 15	5	
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		Vehicle	Mix				
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet		Veh	icleTvne	De	av Eve	nina Ni	ight Dailv
Site Data					A	utos: 77	.5% 12	2.9%	9.6% 97.42%
Ba	rrior Hoight:	0.0 foot		М	edium Tri	ucks: 84	.8% 4	1.9% 1	0.3% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all_1-Rerm) [.]			I	Heavy Tri	ucks: 86	5.5% 2	2.7% 1	0.8% 0.74%
Centerline Di	st to Barrier	61 0 feet	_						
Centerline Dist	to Observer:	61.0 feet	F	NOISE SC	ource Ele	evations (in feet)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Autos	: 0.000	0		
Observer Height /	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks	: 2.29	7		
P:	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Heav	/y Trucks	: 8.000	6 Grad	de Adjust	ment: 0.0
Roz	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet	F	Lane Ea	uivalent	Distance	(in feet)		
100	Road Grade:	0.0%	F	- 1	Autos	: 55.86	<u></u> 6		
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediu	m Trucks	: 55.70	7		
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heav	/v Trucks	: 55.72	3		
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations								
VehicleType	REMEL T	raffic Flow D	istance	Finite	Road	Fresnel	Barri	er Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-6.24	-0.8	33	-1.20	-4.	.69	0.000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-23.48	-0.8	31	-1.20	-4.	.88	0.000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-27.44	-0.8	31	-1.20	-5.	.33	0.000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	t Topo and barr	ier atter	nuation)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq E	vening	Leq N	light	Ldn		CNEL
Autos:	58.2	56.3		54.6		48.5		57.1	57.8
Medium Trucks:	52.2	50.7		44.4		42.8		51.3	51.5
Heavy Trucks:	53.5	52.1		43.1		44.3		52.7	52.8
Vehicle Noise:	60.3	58.5		55.2		50.7		59.2	59.7
Centerline Distand	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet)							
			70	dBA	65 a	IBA	60 dB	A	55 dBA
		Ldn:	1	12	25	5	54		117
		CNEL:	1	13	27	7	58		125

Scenario: E + P Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: w/o Sanyo Ave

	,									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS						
Highway Data				Site Cor	ditions	(Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,890 vehicles				,	Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%		Me	dium Tri	ucks (2 A	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	289 vehicles		He	avy Truc	cks (3+ A	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet		Veh	icleType)	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data					A	Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet		М	edium Ti	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy Ti	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		Noise S	ource El	lovation	s (in fa	(a, b)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		140136 30						
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Madiu	Aulos m Truck	$S_{i} = 0.0$				
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Mediu		S. Z.4	297	Grade Ad	iustmont	· 0 0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		пеа	y Truck	5. 0.0	006	Graue Auj	usuneni.	0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent	t Distand	e (in t	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Auto	s: 55.8	866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediu	m Truck	s: 55.	707			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Hear	/y Truck	s: 55.	723			
EHWA Noise Mod	ol Calculations									
VehicleType	REMEI	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Rarrier Atte	on Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-6.83	-0	83	-1 20	110011	-4 69	0.0		0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-24.07	-0.	81	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-28.02	-0.	81	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
IInmitigated Nois	o Lovols (witho	ut Topo and ba	rrior atte	nustion)						
VehicleType	Lea Peak Hour	· Lea Dav	l ea	Evenina	Lea	Niaht		l dn	C	VFI
Autos:	57.	7 55	.8		209	47.9)	56.6))	57.2
Medium Trucks:	51.0	6 50	.1	43.8		42.2		50.7	7	50.9
Heavy Trucks:	53.0	0 51	.5	42.5		43.8	5	52.1		52.2
Vehicle Noise:	59.	7 57	.9	54.7		50.1		58.6	6	59.1
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)								
			70) dBA	65	dBA	6	0 dBA	55	dBA
		Ld	n:	11	2	23	1	50	1	07
		CNE	L:	11	2	25		53	1	14

Scenario: E + P Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: e/o Sanyo Ave

Noau Seymen	ni. e/o Sanyo Av	e									
SITE	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA				١	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	ditions	(Hard	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 2	2,780 vehicles						Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage: 1	0.00%			Me	dium Tr	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	278 vehicles			He	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph			Vehicle I	/iv					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet			Vehi	cleType	Э	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Me	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	l eavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		_	Noiso Sa	urco E	lovatio	ne (in f			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		-	NUISE SU				eel)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modium	AUIC n Truck		0.000			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hoay	n nuck v Truck	ιδ. 2 γο· β	2006	Grade Ad	iustment	+· 0 0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Tieav	y Truch	.s. c	.000	Crade ridj	uotinoni	0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	Lane Equ	uivalen	t Distal	nce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 55	5.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediur	n Truck	ks: 55	5.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heav	y Truck	is: 55	5.723			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	snel	Barrier Att	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-7.00		-0.8	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-24.24		-0.8	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-28.19		-0.8	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	ut Topo and l	barrie	r atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day		Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	57.5	5 5	5.6		53.8		47	.8	56.4	1	57.0
Medium Trucks:	51.5	5 5	0.0		43.6		42	.1	50.5	5	50.7
Heavy Trucks:	52.8	5	51.4		42.3		43	.6	51.9	9	52.1
Vehicle Noise:	59.5	5 5	57.8		54.5		49	.9	58.5	5	58.9
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet)								1	
				70 0	dBA	65	dBA	e	60 dBA	55	dBA
		L	.dn:	1	0		22		48	1	104
		CN	IEL:	1	1		24		52	1	111

Scenario: E + P Road Name: Otay Mesa R Road Segment: e/o Vann Ca	d ntre Rd			Project Na Job Nun	ame: N nber: 1	lajestic 5250	: Otay 200)	
SITE SPECIFIC INF	PUT DATA			NO	ISE M	ODEL	INPUT	S	
Highway Data		:	Site Con	nditions (H	ard = 1	0, Sof	ft = 15)		
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 1	,890 vehicles				A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour Percentage: 1	0.00%		Ме	dium Truck	ks (2 A)	xles):	15		
Peak Hour Volume:	189 vehicles		He	eavy Trucks	: (3+ A)	xles):	15		
Vehicle Speed:	40 mph		Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far Lane Distance:	50 feet		Veh	icleType	Ľ	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data				Aut	os: 7	7.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Barrier Height:	0.0 feet		М	edium Truc	ks: 8	4.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy Truc	ks: 8	6.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Dist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet	1	Noise So	ource Elev	ations	(in fee	et)		
Centerline Dist. to Observer:	61.0 feet			Autos:	0.0	00	,		
Barrier Distance to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks:	2.2	97			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heav	vy Trucks:	8.0	06 (Grade Adj	iustment	: 0.0
Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet	_	l ono Ea	wiwalant D	intono	o (in fo	a ()		
Road Elevation:	0.0 feet	1	Lane Eq				et)		
Road Grade:	0.0%		Madiu	Autos:	55.8	00 07			
Leit View: Pight View:	-90.0 degrees		Meulu Heav	M Trucks.	55.7	07 23			
Night view.	Solo degrees		neur	ry maono.	00.7	20			
FHWA Noise Model Calculations									
VehicleType REMEL	Traffic Flow D	istance	Finite	Road	Fresne	el E	Barrier Atte	en Ber	rm Atten
<i>Autos:</i> 66.51	-8.67	-0.83	3	-1.20	-	4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks: 77.72	-25.91	-0.8	1	-1.20	-	4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks: 82.99	-29.87	-0.87	1	-1.20		5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise Levels (without	ut Topo and barr	ier atten	uation)						
VehicleType Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Ev	vening	Leq Nig	ght	i	Ldn	C	NEL
Autos: 55.8	3 53.9		52.1		46.1		54.7	7	55.3
Medium Trucks: 49.8	48.3		41.9		40.4		48.8	3	49.1
Heavy Trucks: 51.1	49.7		40.7 52.8		41.9		50.3	3	50.4
	5 50.1		52.0		40.5		50.0)	51.2
Centerline Distance to Noise Con	itour (in feet)	70 0	IRA	65 dR	Δ	6() dBA	55	dBA
	I dn	ייין איין	}	17		00	37		80
	CNEL:	- <u>c</u>)	19			40		86

Scenario: E + P Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: e/o Enrico Fermi Dr

Road Seymen											
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				Ν	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	Site Con	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	4,140 vehicles	6					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Tr	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	414 vehicles	6		He	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		V	/ehicle I	/ix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	38 feet		-	Vehi	cleType	9	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	49.0 feet		۸	loise So	urce E	levatior	ns (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	49.0 feet				Auto	s: 0	.000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediur	n Truck	is: 2	.297			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Truck	.s: 8	.006	Grade Ad	justment	: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			_	, 					
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	livalen	t Distar	ice (in i	reet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 45	.442			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediur	n Truck	s: 45	.247			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	у т гиск	:S: 45	.266			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-5.27		0.52	2	-1.20		-4.64	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-22.51		0.55	5	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-26.46		0.54	Ļ	-1.20		-5.44	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day		Leq Ev	rening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	60.	.6	58.7		56.9		50.	8	59.5	5	60.1
Medium Trucks:	54.	.6	53.0		46.7		45.	1	53.6	5	53.8
Heavy Trucks:	55.	.9	54.5		45.4		46.	7	55.0)	55.1
Vehicle Noise:	62.	.6	60.8		57.6		53.	0	61.6	6	62.0
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)							1	
				70 d	BA	65	dBA	E	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	13	3	2	29		62	1	34
		CI	VEL:	14	1	3	31		67	1	44

Scenario: EAC Road Name: La Media Ro Road Segment: n/o Otay Mes	sa Rd			Project Na Job Nun	ame: Maj nber: 152	jestic Otay 20 250	00	
SITE SPECIFIC INF	PUT DATA			NO	ISE MO	DEL INPUT	S	
Highway Data		S	Site Con	ditions (H	lard = 10,	, Soft = 15)		
Average Daily Traffic (Adt):	1,600 vehicles				Aut	os: 15		
Peak Hour Percentage:	10.00%		Me	dium Truci	ks (2 Axle	es <i>):</i> 15		
Peak Hour Volume:	160 vehicles		He	avy Trucks	s (3+ Axle	es <i>):</i> 15		
Vehicle Speed:	45 mph	L.	/ehicle	Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance:	50 feet		Veh	icleType	Da	y Evening	Night Da	aily
Site Data				Au	tos: 77	.5% 12.9%	9.6% 97.4	42%
Barrier Height:	0.0 feet		Μ	edium Truc	cks: 84.	.8% 4.9%	10.3% 1.	84%
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm):	0.0		I	Heavy Truc	cks: 86	.5% 2.7%	10.8% 0.	74%
Centerline Dist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet	Λ	voise So	ource Elev	vations (i	n feet)		
Centerline Dist. to Observer:	61.0 feet			Autos:	0.000)		
Barrier Distance to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks:	2.297	,		
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heav	/y Trucks:	8.006	Grade A	djustment: 0.0	
Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet		F a	- uivelent D	istones	(in foot)		
Road Elevation:	0.0 feet		.ane Eq					
Road Grade:	0.0%		Modiu	Aulos. m Trucks:	55,707	7		
Leit view. Pight View:	-90.0 degrees		Heau	N Trucks	55 722	2		
Night View.	30.0 degrees		nour	ly maone.	00.720	,		
FHWA Noise Model Calculations	1							
VehicleType REMEL	Traffic Flow Di	istance	Finite	Road	Fresnel	Barrier A	tten Berm At	ten
Autos: 68.46	-9.91	-0.83	3	-1.20	-4.	69 0.	.000 0	.000
Medium Trucks: 79.45	-27.15	-0.81		-1.20	-4.	88 0	.000 0	.000
Heavy Trucks: 84.25	-31.10	-0.81		-1.20	-5.	33 0	.000 0	.000
Unmitigated Noise Levels (witho	ut Topo and barr	ier atten	uation)					
VehicleType Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Ev	rening	Leq Ni	ght	Ldn	CNEL	
Autos: 56.	54.6		52.9		46.8	55	.4	56.0
Medium Trucks: 50.3	3 48.8 48.7		42.4		40.9	49	.3	49.6
Heavy Trucks: 51."	1 49.7 1 56.6		40.7 53.5		41.9	50	.3	50.4 57.8
Contorlino Distance to Naise Con			00.0		40.0		.0	07.0
		70 d	IBA	65 dE	BA	60 dBA	55 dBA	
	Ldn:	9		19	-	41	87	
	CNEL:	9	1	20		43	94	

Scenal Road Nan Road Segme	rio: EAC ne: La Media R ent: s/o Otay M	td esa Rd				Project Job N	t Name: lumber:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 20	0	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,030 vehicles						Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	r Percentage:	10.00%			Ме	dium Tr	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	Hour Volume:	203 vehicles			He	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	45 mph		V	ehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	50 feet		-	Veh	icleTvpe)	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data					-		Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	orrier Height	0.0 feet			Μ	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			I	Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		N	loise So	ource El	levatior	ns (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet				Auto	s: 0	.000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	s: 2	.297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	/y Truck	's: 8	.006	Grade Ad	ljustmen	t: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			ano Ea	uivalon	t Distar	nco (in t	foot)		
RO	Bood Crodo:			L ,	апе сч		<i>Distan</i>	866	<i>ceij</i>		
	L off View:	0.0%	c		Mediu	n Truck	5. 55 's' 55	.000			
	Right View:		5 9		Heav	/v Truck	s. 55	723			
			0								
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	S	D'- (-	D /	-		DenterAt		A
Venicie i ype	REMEL		Dist	tance	Finite	Road	Fres	nei	Barrier Att	ien Be	rm Atten
Aulos. Medium Trucks:	79.45	-0.00		-0.63		-1.20		-4.09	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-30.07		-0.81		-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and I	oarrie	r attenu	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day		Leq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	57	7.6 5	5.7		53.9		47.	8	56.	5	57.1
Medium Trucks:	51	.3 4	9.8		43.5		41.	9	50.4	4	50.6
Heavy Trucks:	52	2.2 5	6.0		41.7		43.	0	51.3	3	51.4
Vehicle Noise:	59).4 5	57.7		54.5		49.	8	58.4	4	58.8
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)									
				70 dl	BA	65	dBA	6	0 dBA	55	5 dBA
		L	.dn:	10		2	22		48		102
		CN	IEL:	11		2	24		51		110

Scena Road Nar Road Segme	rio: EAC ne: Piper Ranch ent: n/o Otay Me	Rd sa Rd				Projec Job N	t Name: lumber:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 20	0	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				ľ	VOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	Site Con	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, Se	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	r Traffic (Adt):	3,210 vehicles						Autos:	15		
Peak Hou	r Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Ti	rucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak I	Hour Volume:	321 vehicles			He	avy Tru	icks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		V	/ehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	12 feet			Veh	icleType	Э	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.69	% 97.42%
Ba	arrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			M	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.39	% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			I	Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.89	% 0.74%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		Λ	Voise So	ource E	levatior	ns (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist	to Observer:	30.0 feet				Auto	os: 0	.000	-		
Barrier Distance	e to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	ks: 2	.297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	/y Truck	(s: 8	.006	Grade Ad	ljustmei	nt: 0.0
F	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet				-			fact		
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	.ane Eq				ieet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%	_		Madiu	AUIC	os: 29	.010			
	Leit View: Dight View:	-90.0 degrees	5		Hoa	n nucr N Truck	(S. 29	547			
	Night view.	90.0 degree	5		near	ly much	10. Z9	.547			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculations										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	ice	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier At	ten Be	erm Atten
Autos.	: 66.51	-6.37		3.26	5	-1.20		-4.49	0.	000	0.000
Medium Trucks		-23.61		3.33	3	-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks.	82.99	-27.57		3.32	2	-1.20		-5.77	0.	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (witho	ut Topo and b	arrier a	tten	uation)	[
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	- Leq Day	Le	əq Ev	rening	Leq	Night		Ldn	(CNEL
Autos.	. 62.	26	0.3		58.5		52.	5	61.	1	61.7
Medium Trucks	: 56.:	2 5	4.7		48.4		46.	8	55.	3	55.5
Heavy Trucks	57.	5 5	6.1		47.1		48.	3	56.	7	56.8
Vehicle Noise.	: 64.	2 6	2.5		59.2		54.	7	63.	2	63.7
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)				• -					
			, 💷	70 d	IBA	65	dBA	(50 dBA	5	5 dBA
		L	.dn:	11	1		23		49		106
		CN	EL:	11	1		24		53		113

Scenario: EAC Road Name: Harvest Ro	ł		Project Name: I Job Number: 1	Majestic Otay 200 15250							
Road Segment: s/o Otay M	esa Rd										
SITE SPECIFIC IN	NPUT DATA		NOISE N	ODEL INPUTS							
Highway Data		Site Co	nditions (Hard =	10, Soft = 15)							
Average Daily Traffic (Adt):	20 vehicles			Autos: 15							
Peak Hour Percentage:	10.00%	N	ledium Trucks (2 A	A <i>xles):</i> 15							
Peak Hour Volume:	2 vehicles	H	leavy Trucks (3+ A	A <i>xles):</i> 15							
Vehicle Speed:	40 mph	Vohicle	Mix								
Near/Far Lane Distance:	12 feet	Venicie Ve	hicleType	Day Evening	Night Daily						
Site Data			Autos:	77.5% 12.9%	9.6% 97.42%						
Barrier Height:	0.0 feet	1	Medium Trucks:	84.8% 4.9%	10.3% 1.84%						
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm):	0.0		Heavy Trucks:	86.5% 2.7%	10.8% 0.74%						
Centerline Dist. to Barrier:	30.0 feet	Noise S	Source Elevations	s (in feet)							
Centerline Dist. to Observer:	30.0 feet			00							
Barrier Distance to Observer:	0.0 feet	Medi	um Trucks: 22	297							
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet	Hea	avv Trucks: 2.2	-07 006 Grade Adiu	stment: 0.0						
Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet										
Road Elevation:	0.0 feet	Lane E	quivalent Distand	ce (in feet)							
Road Grade:	0.0%		Autos: 29.8	816							
Left View:	-90.0 degrees	Medi	um Trucks: 29.	518							
Right View:	90.0 degrees	Hea	avy Trucks: 29.	547							
FHWA Noise Model Calculation	S										
VehicleType REMEL	Traffic Flow D	istance Finit	e Road Fresn	el Barrier Atte	n Berm Atten						
Autos: 66.51	-28.43	3.26	-1.20	-4.49 0.00	0.000						
Medium Trucks: 77.72	-45.67	3.33	-1.20	-4.86 0.00	0.000						
Heavy Trucks: 82.99	-49.62	3.32	-1.20	-5.77 0.00	0.000						
Unmitigated Noise Levels (with	out Topo and barr	ier attenuation)	1							
VehicleType Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL						
Autos: 40).1 38.2	36.	5 30.4	. 39.0	39.7						
Medium Trucks: 34	1.2 32.7	26.	3 24.8	33.2	33.5						
Heavy Trucks: 35	5.5 34.1	25.	0 26.3	34.6	34.8						
Vehicle Noise: 42	2.2 40.4	37.	2 32.6	6 41.2	41.6						
Centerline Distance to Noise C	ontour (in feet)	70 -0 4									
	I do:		1 00 UDA	2 00 0DA	35 UDA						
	CNEL:	0	1	2	4						
Scenari Road Nam	io: EAC le: Sanyo Ave					Project Job Ni	Name: umber:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 200)	
---------------------	--------------------------	-----------------	-----------	--------	----------	--------------------------	-------------------	-----------------	--------------	----------	---------
Road Segmen	IL. S/O Olay IVIE										
SITE :	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA		6	ito Con	N	OISE N (Hard -	NODE	L INPUTS	S	
nigiiway Dala		<u> </u>		3	ne con		naru =	10, 30	m = 15		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,430 vehicles	6			<i>v</i> -	. (2)	Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	aium Tru -	ICKS (2 /	4xies):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	243 vehicles	6		He	avy Truc	:ks (3+)	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	45 mph		V	ehicle l	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet			Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Bai	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Me	ədium Tr	ucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tr	ucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	loise Sc	ource El	evation	s (in fi	oot)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet			0/30 00		· 0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	n Trucks	5. 0. 	207			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hoay	n Trucks	ς. 2. γ. β	006	Grade Adi	iustment	· 0 0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neav	y mucha	<i>.</i> 0.	000	Crado Maj	dounon	. 0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 29.	816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediui	m Trucks	s: 29.	518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucks	s: 29.	547			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distar	nce	Finite	Road	Fresr	nel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	68.46	-8.09		3.26	1	-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-25.33		3.33		-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-29.29		3.32		-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	attenu	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	L	eq Eve	ening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	Cl	NEL
Autos:	62	.4	60.5		58.8		52.7	7	61.3	3	61.9
Medium Trucks:	56	.2	54.7		48.4		46.8	3	55.3	3	55.5
Heavy Trucks:	57	.1	55.7		46.6		47.9)	56.2	2	56.4
Vehicle Noise:	64	.3	62.5		59.4		54.7	7	63.3	3	63.7
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
			L	70 dl	BA	65 c	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
		-	Ldn:	11		2	3		49	1	07
		Ci	VEL:	11		2	5		53	1	14

Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	Scenario: EAC Road Name: Vann Cantre Rd Road Segment: s/o Otay Mesa Rd					Project Job N	t Name: lumber:	Majes 15250	tic Otay 20	0	
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				Ν	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard :	= 10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	460 vehicles	5					Autos.	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Tr	ucks (2	Axles).	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	46 vehicles	5		He	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles).	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		V	ehicle	Mix					
Near/Far Lai	ne Distance:	12 feet			Veh	icleType	9	Day	Evening	Nigh	t Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	6 12.9%	9.6	5% 97.42%
Bai	rier Height:	0.0 feet			M	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3	3% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			I	Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	<i>6</i> 2.7%	10.8	3% 0.74%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	oise So	ource F	levatio	ns (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet					1014101	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	(s. 2	297			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	/v Truck	(s. 8	006	Grade Ad	liustme	ent: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet								,	
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Li	ane Eq	uivalen	t Distai	nce (in	feet)		
ŀ	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 29	.816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Truck	(s: 29	0.518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	/y Truck	as: 29	0.547			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	ten E	Berm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-14.81		3.26		-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-32.05		3.33		-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-36.01		3.32		-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Evels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	' L	Leq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CNEL
Autos:	53	.8	51.9		50.1		44	.0	52.	7	53.3
Medium Trucks:	47	.8	46.3		39.9		38	.4	46.	8	47.1
Heavy Trucks:	49	.1	47.7		38.7		39	.9	48.	3	48.4
Vehicle Noise:	55	.8	54.1		50.8		46	.2	54.	8	55.2
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70 dł	BA	65	dBA		60 dBA		55 dBA
			Ldn:	3			6		13		29
		CI	VEL:	3			7		14		31

Scenal Road Nan Road Segme	<i>rio:</i> EAC ne: Enrico Fern ent: n/o Otay Me	ni Dr esa Rd				Projec Job N	t Name. lumber.	Majest 15250	ic Otay 20	0	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				Γ	VOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	nditions	(Hard	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	r Traffic (Adt):	2,690 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	r Percentage:	10.00%			Ме	edium Tr	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak I	Hour Volume:	269 vehicles	5		He	eavy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		1	Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	38 feet		_	Veh	nicleType	9	Day	Evening	Nigh	t Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6	5% 97.42%
Ba	orrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3	3% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8	3% 0.74%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	49.0 feet			Noise Si	ource F	levatio	ns (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	49.0 feet						000			
Barrier Distance	e to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	(s. 2	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	vv Truck	(S: E	3.006	Grade Ad	ljustm	ent: 0.0
P	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet		_)		
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	Lane Eq	uivalen	t Dista	nce (in	teet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 45	5.442			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Truck	(S: 45	5.247			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	у тиск	(S: 45	0.266			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	tance	Finite	Road	Fres	snel	Barrier At	ten l	Berm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-7.14		0.5	2	-1.20		-4.64	0.	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-24.38		0.5	5	-1.20		-4.87	0.	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-28.34		0.5	4	-1.20		-5.44	0.	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	er atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	'	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CNEL
Autos:	58	.7	56.8		55.0		49	.0	57.	6	58.2
Medium Trucks:	52	.7	51.2		44.8		43	.3	51.	7	52.0
Heavy Trucks:	54	.0	52.6		43.5		44	.8	53.	1	53.3
Vehicle Noise:	60	.7	59.0		55.7		51	.1	59.	7	60.1
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70 0	dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA		55 dBA
			Ldn:	1	0		22		47		101
		Cl	VEL:	1	1		23		50		108

Scena Road Nar Road Segme	rio: EAC ne: Enrico Ferm ent: s/o Otay Me	ni Dr esa Rd				Projec Job N	t Name: Number:	Majes 15250	tic Otay 20	0	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				ľ	NOISE	MODE	EL INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	nditions	(Hard =	= 10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	r Traffic (Adt):	1,690 vehicles	5					Autos	: 15		
Peak Hou	r Percentage:	10.00%			Ме	edium Tr	rucks (2	Axles)	: 15		
Peak I	Hour Volume:	169 vehicle	5		He	eavy Tru	ıcks (3+	Axles).	: 15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		_	Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	38 feet		-	Veh	nicleType	e	Day	Evening	Nig	ht Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	6 12.9%	9.	6% 97.42%
Ba	orrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	6 4.9%	10.	3% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	6 2.7%	10.	8% 0.74%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	49.0 feet		_	Noise Si	ource F	lovatio	ns (in f	oot)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	49.0 feet		-	10130 00	Διιτο		000			
Barrier Distance	e to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	/s. 0 /s [.] 2	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	vy Truck	(s [.] 8	006	Grade Ad	liustm	ent: 0.0
F	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet								,	
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		_	Lane Eq	uivalen	t Distar	ice (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 45	.442			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Truck	ks: 45	.247			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	vy Truck	ks: 45	.266			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculations	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier At	ten	Berm Atten
Autos.	66.51	-9.16		0.5	2	-1.20		-4.64	0.	000	0.000
Medium Trucks.	77.72	-26.40		0.5	5	-1.20		-4.87	0.	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks.	82.99	-30.35		0.5	4	-1.20		-5.44	0.	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barriei	r atter	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	'	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CNEL
Autos.	56	.7	54.8		53.0		47.	0	55.	6	56.2
Medium Trucks.	50	.7	49.2		42.8		41.	2	49.	7	49.9
Heavy Trucks.	52	.0	50.6		41.5		42.	8	51.	1	51.3
Vehicle Noise.	58	.7	57.0		53.7		49.	1	57.	7	58.1
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70	dBA	65	dBA		60 dBA		55 dBA
			Ldn:		7		16		34		74
		C	VEL:	ł	8		17		37		79

Scenal Road Nan Road Segme	rio: EAC ne: Otay Mesa ent: w/o La Med	Rd ia Rd				Project Job N	Name: lumber:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 20	0	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	Site Con	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,580 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Tri	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	358 vehicles	5		He	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		N	/ehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	50 feet			Veh	icleTvpe	9	Dav	Evenina	Niahi	t Dailv
Site Data						,	Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6	% 97.42%
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			M	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3	% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall. 1-Berm):	0.0			I	Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8	% 0.74%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet			laise S	ouroo El	lovotio	aa (in fi			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		N	voise so				eet)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madiu	AUIO.	s: 0	.000			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Wealu.	III TTUCK	S. 2	.297	Grade Ac	hiustma	$nt^{i} \cap 0$
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Tiea	y much	s. o	.000	Orade At	justino	111. 0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	.ane Eq	uivalent	t Distar	nce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 55	.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediu	m Truck	s: 55	.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	s		Heav	/y Truck	s: 55	5.723			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier At	ten B	Berm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-5.90		-0.83	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-23.14		-0.81		-1.20		-4.88	0.	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-27.09		-0.81		-1.20		-5.33	0.	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	atten	uation)	-					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day		Leq Ev	rening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CNEL
Autos:	58.	.6	56.7		54.9		48	.9	57.	5	58.1
Medium Trucks:	52.	6	51.1		44.7		43	.2	51.	6	51.8
Heavy Trucks:	53.	.9 .	52.5		43.4		44.	.7	53.	0	53.2
Vehicle Noise:	60.	.6	58.9		55.6		51	.0	59.	6	60.0
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet))			• -					
				70 d	IBA	65	dBA	6	50 dBA	1	o5 dBA
			Ldn:	12	2	2	27		57		123
		CI	VEL:	13	5	2	20		61		132

Scena Road Na Road Segm	Scenario: EAC Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: w/o Piper Ranch Rd						t Name. Number.	Majest 15250	ic Otay 20	0	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	NPUT DATA				1	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Cor	nditions	(Hard	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Dail	y Traffic (Adt):	3,690 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hou	r Percentage:	10.00%			Me	edium Ti	rucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak	Hour Volume:	369 vehicles	5		He	avy Tru	icks (3+	Axles):	15		
ν	ehicle Speed:	40 mph			Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far L	ane Distance:	50 feet			Veh	icleType	e	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6	% 97.42%
B	arrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3	% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-	Wall, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8	% 0.74%
Centerline E	Dist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet			Noise So	ource E	levatio	ns (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dis	t. to Observer:	61.0 feet				Auto	os: C	.000	,		
Barrier Distanc	e to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	(s: 2	.297			
Observer Height	t (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hear	vy Truck	(s: 8	.006	Grade Ad	ljustme	nt: 0.0
	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet		_	1 ana 5a	-	4 Diata		fact		
R	oad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Lane Eq	uivaien	t Distai		reet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Madiu	AUIC	S. 55				
	Leit View:	-90.0 degree	es		Hoa		(S.)(.707			
	Right view.	90.0 degree	5		Tiea	ly Huch	13. J.	0.725			
FHWA Noise Mo	del Calculation	S				r				1	
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	tance	Finite	Road	Fres	snel	Barrier Att	ten B	erm Atten
Autos	66.51	-5.77		-0.8	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks	: 77.72	-23.01		-0.8	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks	: 82.99	-26.96		-0.8	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	se Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	er atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ur Leq Day	,	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CNEL
Autos	: 58	3.7	56.8		55.1		49	.0	57.	6	58.2
Medium Trucks	52	2.7	51.2		44.8		43	.3	51.	7	52.0
Heavy Trucks	54	1.0	52.6		43.6		44	.8	53.	2	53.3
venicie ivoise	e: 6l)./	59.0		55.7		51	.2	59.	/	60.2
Centerline Dista	nce to Noise C	ontour (in feet)	70		05				· ·	
			l dr:	100	UBA 2	65	UBA 27	6		5	126
			Lan:	1	ა ი		∠ <i>1</i> ⊃0		58 60		120 125
		U	VEL.	1	ა	4	29		02		130

Scenario Road Namo Road Segmen	o: EAC e: Otay Mesa at: w/o SR-125	Rd SB Off Ramp				Projec Job N	t Name lumber	: Majest : 15250	ic Otay 20	0	
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				ſ	VOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,490 vehicle	S					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour I	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Ti	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak He	our Volume:	349 vehicle	S		He	avy Tru	icks (3+	Axles):	15		
Veł	nicle Speed:	40 mph		V	abiala I	Mix					
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	50 feet		V	Veh	icleTvne	ç	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	5 97.42%
Bar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	5 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-Wa	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	6 0.74%
Centerline Dis	t. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		N	laisa Sa	urco E	lovatio	ne (in f			
Centerline Dist. t	o Observer:	61.0 feet		1	0/38 30						
Barrier Distance t	o Observer:	0.0 feet			Madiu	Aulo m Truol		207			
Observer Height (/	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hoo	TI TTUCK	(S. 2	2.297	Grada An	liustmon	t· 0.0
Pa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet			пеач	y Thuck	<i>S.</i> (5.000	Ulaue Au	justinen	<i>l.</i> 0.0
Roa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalen	t Dista	nce (in i	feet)		
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 58	5.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediui	m Truck	(s: 5	5.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Truck	(s: 5	5.723			
FHWA Noise Mode	l Calculations	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	snel	Barrier Att	ten Be	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-6.01		-0.83		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-23.25		-0.81		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-27.21		-0.81		-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	′ L	Leq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	58.	5	56.6		54.8		48	.8	57.4	4	58.0
Medium Trucks:	52.	5	51.0		44.6		43	.0	51.	5	51.7
Heavy Trucks:	53.	8	52.4		43.3		44	.6	52.	9	53.1
Vehicle Noise:	60.	5	58.8		55.5		50	.9	59.	5	59.9
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)					I			
				70 dl	BA	65	dBA	e	60 dBA	55	5 dBA
			Ldn:	12		:	26		56		121
		C	NEL:	13		:	28		60		130

Scenario Road Namo Road Segmen	o: EAC e: Otay Mesa nt: w/o SR-125	Rd 5 NB Off Ramp				Project N Job Nu	lame: mber:	Majesti 15250	c Otay 20	0	
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NC	DISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (l	Hard =	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,970 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Truc	cks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak He	our Volume:	397 vehicles	5		He	avy Truck	ks (3+	Axles):	15		
Vel	hicle Speed:	40 mph		V	ahicle I	Mix					
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	50 feet			Vehi	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						Αι	utos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Bar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	ədium Tru	icks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-Wa	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	l eavy Tru	icks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		Δ	laise Sc	urco Elo	vatior	ns (in fe	(at)		
Centerline Dist. t	to Observer:	61.0 feet		/			· 0				
Barrier Distance t	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madiu	n Trucks	· 2	297			
Observer Height (/	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	n Trucks: w Trucks:	- 8	006	Grade Ad	liustmen	t: 0.0
Pa	nd Elevation:	0.0 feet			near	y maana.	0	.000			
Roa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	uivalent l	Distar	ice (in f	eet)		
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	55	.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediur	m Trucks:	55	.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heav	y Trucks:	55	.723			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier At	ten Be	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-5.45		-0.83		-1.20		-4.69	0.	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-22.69		-0.81		-1.20		-4.88	0.	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-26.65		-0.81		-1.20		-5.33	0.	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	r attenu	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day		Leq Ev	ening	Leq N	light		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos:	59	.0	57.1		55.4		49.	3	57.	9	58.5
Medium Trucks:	53	.0	51.5		45.1		43.	6	52.	1	52.3
Heavy Trucks:	54	.3	52.9		43.9		45.	1	53.	5	53.6
Vehicle Noise:	61	.0	59.3		56.0		51.	5	60.	0	60.5
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)						1			
				70 d	BA	65 di	BA	6	0 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	13	}	28			61		132
		CI	IEL:	14	ŀ	30)		66		141

Scenario: EAC Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: w/o Harvest Rd

SITE	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA			N	DISE	NODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 2	,300 vehicles					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage: 1	0.00%		Me	dium Tru	cks (2 /	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	230 vehicles		He	avy Trucl	ks (3+ /	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph	-	Vohiclo	Miv					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet		Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data					A	utos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet		M	ədium Tru	ıcks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0		ŀ	leavy Tru	ıcks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	61.0 feet	_	Noise Sc	ource Fle	vation	s (in fa	oot)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet				· 0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Modiu	Auios. m Trucko	. 0. . ว	207			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Mediui Loop	n Trucks	. 2. . 0	291	Grade Ad	iustment	· 0 0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Tieav	y muchs.	. 0.	000	Orado Maj	Juotinoni	. 0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos.	: 55.	866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediul	m Trucks.	: 55.	707			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heav	y Trucks.	55.	723			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow Di	stance	Finite	Road	Fresr	nel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-7.82	-0.8	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-25.06	-0.8	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-29.02	-0.8	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	it Topo and barri	ier atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq E	vening	Leq N	light		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	56.7	54.8		53.0		46.9	9	55.6	6	56.2
Medium Trucks:	50.6	49.1		42.8		41.2	2	49.7	7	49.9
Heavy Trucks:	52.0	50.5		41.5		42.8	3	51.1	1	51.2
Vehicle Noise:	58.7	56.9		53.7		49.′	1	57.7	7	58.1
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet)								
			70	dBA	65 d	BA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
		Ldn:	9	9	20)		43	9	92
		CNEL:	1	0	21			46 98		

Scenario: EAC Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: w/o Sanyo Ave

3	-									
SITE SPECIFIC II	NPUT DATA				N	IOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data			S	Site Con	ditions	(Hard	= 10, Se	oft = 15)		
Average Daily Traffic (Adt):	2,430 vehicles	s					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Tru	ucks (2	? Axles):	15		
Peak Hour Volume:	243 vehicles	S		He	avy Truc	cks (3+	- Axles):	15		
Vehicle Speed:	40 mph			/ohiclo	Mix					
Near/Far Lane Distance:	50 feet			Veh	icleTyne		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data				VCII		Autos'	77 5%	L 12 9%	9.6%	97 42%
	0.0.6			M	, edium Ti	ucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1 84%
Barrier Height:	0.0 feet			, in the second s	-leavy Ti	ucks:	86.5%	2 7%	10.8%	0.74%
Barrier Type (U-Wall, T-Berrin).	0.0					0.01.01	00.07	2.1.70	1010 /0	011 1/0
Centerline Dist. to Observer:	61.0 feet		Λ	Voise So	ource El	evatio	ns (in f	eet)		
Barrier Distance to Observer:					Autos	s: (0.000			
Observer Height (Above Red):	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	s: 2	2.297			
Observer Height (Above Fau).	5.0 feet			Heav	y Truck	s: 8	3.006	Grade Ad	justment.	0.0
Pad Elevation:			1	ane Fo	uivalent	Dista	nce (in	feet)		
Road Elevation.			-		Auto	2.0ta	5 866	1000		
Loft View:	0.0%	~~		Madiu	m Truck	s. 5	5 707			
Pight View:	-90.0 degree	25 00		Heau	N Truck	s. 5	5 723			
Night view.	90.0 degree	55		near	y much	<i>.</i> .	5.725			
FHWA Noise Model Calculation	าร									
VehicleType REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fre	snel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos: 66.51	-7.58		-0.83	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks: 77.72	-24.82		-0.81	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks: 82.99	-28.78		-0.81	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise Levels (with	hout Topo and	barrier	atten	uation)						
VehicleType Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Day	/	Leq Ev	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	Cl	VEL
Autos: 5	6.9	55.0		53.2		47	.2	55.8	3	56.4
Medium Trucks: 5	0.9	49.4		43.0		41	.5	49.9	9	50.2
Heavy Trucks: 5	2.2	50.8		41.7		43	8.0	51.4	1	51.5
Vehicle Noise: 5	8.9	57.2		53.9		49).4	57.9	9	58.3
Centerline Distance to Noise C	contour (in feet)								
			70 a	IBA	65	dBA	(60 dBA	55	dBA
		Ldn:	10	C	2	0	·	44	ç	95
	Ci	NEL:	10	C	22 47				1	02

Scenar	<i>io:</i> EAC				Project	Name:	Majest	ic Otay 200)	
Road Nan	<i>ne:</i> Otay Mesa F	۶d			Job N	lumber:	15250			
Road Segme	<i>nt:</i> e/o Sanyo A	ve								
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA			Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				Site C	onditions	(Hard =	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,410 vehicles					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Medium Tr	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	241 vehicles			Heavy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		Vehic	la Miy					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet		Venici	e hicleTvpe	9	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data						Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrior Hoight:	0.0 foot		_	Medium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		Noise	Source El	levatio	ns (in f	oot)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		110/30		s [.] 0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mor	Jium Truck	3. 0 s [.] 2	207			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		He	avy Truck	s. 2 s: 8	.006	Grade Adj	ustment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet						(
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane	quivalent	t Distar	nce (in	teet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Auto	s: 55	.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees	i	Mec	lium Truck	s: 55	0.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		He	avy Truck	s: 55	.723			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distanc	e Fin	ite Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-7.62	-().83	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-24.86	-().81	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-28.81	-().81	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (witho	out Topo and b	arrier at	tenuation	n)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	r Leq Day	Leq	ı Evening	Leq	Night		Ldn	Cl	NEL
Autos:	56.	9 5	5.0	53	3.2	47.	.1	55.8		56.4
Medium Trucks:	50.	9 49	9.3	43	8.0	41.	.4	49.9	1	50.1
Heavy Trucks:	52.	2 50	0.7	41	.7	43.	.0	51.3		51.4
Vehicle Noise:	58.	9 5	7.1	53	8.9	49.	.3	57.9		58.3
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)								
			7	70 dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
		L	dn:	9	2	20		44	ę	95

CNEL:

10

22

47

101

Scena Road Nar Road Segme	Scenario: EAC Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: e/o Vann Cantre Rd					Project N Job Nul	lame: mber:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 20	0	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NC	DISEN	NODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Sit	te Con	ditions (H	lard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	· Traffic (Adt):	1,670 vehicles						Autos:	15		
Peak Hou	r Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Truc	:ks (2 /	Axles):	15		
Peak I	Hour Volume:	167 vehicles			He	avy Truck	:s (3+ /	Axles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		Ve	hicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	50 feet			Vehi	icleTvpe		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data						AL	itos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	arrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	ədium Tru	cks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	5 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tru	cks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		No	oise Sc	ource Elev	vation	s (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet				Autos:	0.	000			
Barrier Distance	e to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediur	n Trucks:	2.	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	y Trucks:	8.	006	Grade Ad	ljustmen	t: 0.0
F F	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			no Ea	uivalant I	Victor	oo (in i	fact		
Ro	ad Elevation:			La	пе Ец		JISLAIN		eel)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%	_		Modiu	Aulos. m Trucks:	55.	000 707			
	Right View:		5		Heav	n Trucks. v Trucks:	55	723			
	Tught Now.		5		nour	y maene.		120			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	S						-			-
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	nce	Finite	Road	Fresr	nel	Barrier Att	en Be	rm Atten
Autos.	66.51	-9.21		-0.83		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks	82.99	-20.45 -30.41		-0.81		-1.20		-4.00	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	o Lovols (with	out Topo and k	orrior a	ottonus	ation)			0.00			0.000
VehicleType	Lea Peak Hou	Ir Lea Dav		ea Eve	nina	Lea N	iaht		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos.	55	.3 5	3.4		51.6	- 1	45.6	5	54.2	2	54.8
Medium Trucks.	: 49	.3 4	7.7		41.4		39.8	3	48.3	3	48.5
Heavy Trucks.	50	.6 4	9.2		40.1		41.4	1	49.7	7	49.9
Vehicle Noise.	57	.3 5	5.6		52.3		47.7	7	56.3	3	56.7
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)									
				70 dB	A	65 dl	BA	6	60 dBA	55	5 dBA
		L	.dn:	7		16			34		74
		CN	EL:	8		17			37		79

Scenario: EAC Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: e/o Enrico Fermi Dr

SITE	SPECIFIC INF	PUT DATA			N	OISE MOD	EL INPUTS	S	
Highway Data				Site Cor	nditions ((Hard = 10, S	Soft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 4	1,360 vehicles				Auto	s: 15		
Peak Hour	r Percentage: 1	0.00%		Me	edium Tru	ıcks (2 Axles): 15		
Peak I	Hour Volume:	436 vehicles		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ Axles): 15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		Vehicle	Miv				
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	38 feet		Venicie	ivii. nicleTvne	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data					Δ	utos: 77.5	% 12.9%	9.6%	97 42%
		0.0.6		M	ledium Tr	ucks: 84.8	% 4.9%	10.3%	1 84%
Ba	nrier Height:	0.0 feet		101	Heavy Tr	ucks: 86.5	% 2.7%	10.8%	0 74%
Barrier Type (U-V	vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			noavy n	00.0	/0 2.170	10.070	0.1470
	ist. to Barrier:	49.0 feet		Noise S	ource Ele	evations (in	feet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	49.0 feet			Autos	s: 0.000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks	s: 2.297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Hea	vy Trucks	s: 8.006	Grade Adj	iustment:	0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet				D'	(
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivaient	Distance (II	i feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos	s: 45.442			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees	5	Mediu	m Trucks	s: 45.247			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees	5	Hea	vy Trucks	s: 45.266			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculations								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distanc	e Finite	Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atte	en Beri	n Atten
Autos:	66.51	-5.04	().52	-1.20	-4.64	4 0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-22.28	().55	-1.20	-4.87	7 0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-26.24	().54	-1.20	-5.44	4 0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (witho	ut Topo and b	arrier att	enuation)					
VehicleType	Leg Peak Hour	Leg Day	Leq	Evening	Leg I	Night	Ldn	CN	JEL
Autos:	. 60.8	3 58	8.9	57.1		51.1	59.7	7	60.3
Medium Trucks:	54.8	3 53	3.3	46.9		45.4	53.8	3	54.1
Heavy Trucks:	56.1	54	4.7	45.6		46.9	55.2	2	55.4
Vehicle Noise:	62.8	3 6	1.1	57.8		53.2	61.8	}	62.2
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Con	ntour (in feet)							
L		- *	7	'0 dBA	65 0	dBA	60 dBA	55	dBA
		L	dn:	14	3	0	64	1:	39
		CNI	EL:	15	3	2	69	1	49

Scen Road Na Road Segm	ario: EAPC Ime: La Media F Ient: n/o Otay M	Rd Iesa Rd				Project Na Job Nun	ame: nber:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 20	0	
SITE	E SPECIFIC IN	NPUT DATA				NO	ISE N	ЛОDE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	Site Con	nditions (H	ard =	10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Dai	y Traffic (Adt):	1,620 vehicle	S					Autos:	15		
Peak Ho	ur Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Truci	ks (2 A	Axles):	15		
Peak	Hour Volume:	162 vehicle	S		He	avy Trucks	s (3+ A	Axles):	15		
1	/ehicle Speed:	45 mph			/ehicle	Mix					
Near/Far I	ane Distance:	50 feet		-	Veh	nicleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						Aut	tos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
E	arrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium Truc	cks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-	Wall, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy Truc	cks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline I	Dist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		٨	Voise So	ource Elev	ation	s (in fe	eet)		
Centerline Dis	t. to Observer:	61.0 feet				Autos:	0.0	000			
Barrier Distanc	e to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks:	2.2	297			
Observer Heigh	t (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	vy Trucks:	8.0	006	Grade Ad	justmen	t: 0.0
	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet				, 		/:	f== ()		
F	oad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	.ane Eq		istand		ieet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	55.	866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Meaiu	m Trucks:	55.	707			
	Right view:	90.0 degre	es		nea	y TTUCKS.	55.	123			
FHWA Noise Mo	del Calculation	IS									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	nel	Barrier Att	en Be	rm Atten
Autos	s: 68.46	-9.86		-0.83	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Truck	s: 79.45	-27.09		-0.81	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks	s: 84.25	-31.05		-0.81	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noi	se Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	r atteni	uation)	T				-1	
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Day	/	Leq Ev	<i>vening</i>	Leq Ni	ght		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos	s: 56	6.6	54.7		52.9		46.9)	55.	5	56.1
Medium Truck	s: 50).3	48.8		42.5		40.9)	49.4	4	49.6
Heavy Truck	s: 5°	1.2	49.8		40.7		42.0)	50.3	3	50.5
Vehicle Noise	e: 58	3.4	56.7		53.5		48.8	3	57.4	4	57.8
Centerline Dista	nce to Noise C	ontour (in feet)			[
			🗋	70 a	IBA	65 dB	A	e	60 dBA	55	5 dBA
		_	Ldn:	9		19			41		88
		C	NEL:	9		20			44		94

Scena Road Nai Road Segme	rio: EAPC me: La Media R ent: s/o Otay Me	d esa Rd				Project N Job Nu	lame: mber:	Majest 15250	tic Otay 20	0	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NC	DISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (l	Hard =	= 10, Se	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	/ Traffic (Adt):	2,590 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hou	r Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Truc	cks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak	Hour Volume:	259 vehicles	6		He	avy Truck	(3+	Axles):	15		
V	ehicle Speed:	45 mph		V	ehicle	Mix					
Near/Far L	ane Distance:	50 feet			Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						AL	ıtos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6%	6 97.42%
B	arrier Height	0.0 feet			M	edium Tru	icks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	6 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Nall, 1-Berm):	0.0			I	Heavy Tru	icks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	6 0.74%
Centerline D	oist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		N	nisa Sa	ource Ele	vatior	ns (in f	oot)		
Centerline Dist	. to Observer:	61.0 feet			0/30 00	Autos	0	000			
Barrier Distance	e to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madiu	n Trucks	2	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	n Trucks. N Trucks	2	006	Grade Ad	diustmer	<i>nt[.]</i> 0 0
F	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet			near	ly mucho.	0	.000	0/440/10	.jaourron	
Ro	oad Elevation:	0.0 feet		La	ane Eq	uivalent l	Distan	ce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	55	.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucks:	55	.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	/y Trucks:	55	.723			
FHWA Noise Mod	del Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distar	nce	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier At	ten Be	erm Atten
Autos	: 68.46	-7.82		-0.83		-1.20		-4.69	0.	000	0.000
Medium Trucks	: 79.45	-25.06		-0.81		-1.20		-4.88	0.	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks	: 84.25	-29.01		-0.81		-1.20		-5.33	0.	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	se Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	L	eq Eve	ening	Leq N	light		Ldn	(ONEL
Autos	: 58	.6	56.7		55.0		48.	9	57.	5	58.1
Medium Trucks	: 52	.4	50.9		44.5		43.	0	51.	4	51.7
Heavy Trucks	: 53	.2	51.8		42.8		44.	0	52.	4	52.5
Vehicle Noise	: 60	.5	58.7		55.6		50.	9	59.	4	59.9
Centerline Distar	nce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet									
				70 dE	BA	65 d	BA	(60 dBA	5	5 dBA
			Ldn:	12		26			56		120
		CI	VEL:	13		28			60		129

Scenario: EAPC Road Name: Piper Ranch Rd Road Segment: n/o Otay Mesa Rd

i tead oogino									
SITE	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA			NC	DISE MC	DELINE	PUTS	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (l	Hard = 10), Soft = 1	5)	
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 3	,250 vehicles				Au	<i>tos:</i> 15	i	
Peak Hour	Percentage: 1	0.00%		Me	dium Truc	cks (2 Axl	es): 15	i	
Peak F	lour Volume:	325 vehicles		He	avy Truck	ks (3+ Axl	es): 15	i	
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph	_	Vehicle	Mix				
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet	-	Veh	icleType	Da	ay Ever	ning Ni	ght Daily
Site Data					Au	utos: 77	.5% 12	.9% 9	9.6% 97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet		M	edium Tru	<i>icks:</i> 84	.8% 4	.9% 10	0.3% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0		I	Heavy Tru	icks: 86	.5% 2	.7% 10	0.8% 0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet	_	Noise Sc	ource Fle	vations (in feet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet	_	10.00 00		0.00	ווייס <i>פון</i> ר		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks	2 297	5 7		
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heav	/v Trucks:	8.006	6 Grad	le Adjusti	ment: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet	_					,	
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet	-	Lane Eq	uivalent l	Distance	(in feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	29.81	6		
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediu	m Trucks:	29.51	8		
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heav	y Trucks:	29.54	7		
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow D	Distance	Finite	Road	Fresnel	Barrie	er Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-6.32	3.2	26	-1.20	-4.	.49	0.000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-23.56	3.3	33	-1.20	-4.	.86	0.000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-27.51	3.3	32	-1.20	-5.	.77	0.000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	it Topo and bari	rier atter	nuation)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq E	vening	Leq N	light	Ldn		CNEL
Autos:	62.3	60.4	ŀ	58.6		52.5		61.2	61.8
Medium Trucks:	56.3	54.8	3	48.4		46.9		55.3	55.6
Heavy Trucks:	57.6	56.2	2	47.1		48.4		56.7	56.9
Vehicle Noise:	64.3	62.6	5	59.3		54.7		63.3	63.7
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet)							
			70	dBA	65 di	BA	60 dBA	4	55 dBA
		Ldn	: 1	1	23		50		107
		CNEL	: 1	1	25		53		114

Scenario Road Name Road Segmen	o: EAPC e: Harvest Rd t: s/o Otay Me	esa Rd				Project Job N	t Name: lumber:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 20	0	
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily T	Traffic (Adt):	20 vehicles	;					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour I	Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Tr	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak He	our Volume:	2 vehicles	5		He	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Veł	nicle Speed:	40 mph		V	ahiala	Mix					
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	12 feet			Veh	icleType)	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						,	Autos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6%	6 97.42%
Bar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			M	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	5 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-Wa	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	6.74%
Centerline Dis	t. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	nisa Sr	ource F	lovation	ns (in f	oot)		
Centerline Dist. t	o Observer:	30.0 feet		~	0/30 00			000			
Barrier Distance t	o Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	Auto m Truck	s. 0	207			
Observer Height (A	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hoay	n Truck	3. Z	006	Grade Ad	liustmen	t [.] 0.0
Pa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet			neav	y Huck	3. 0	.000	erade rid	jaounion	0.0
Roa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lä	ane Eq	uivalen	t Distan	ce (in	feet)		
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 29	.816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediul	m Truck	s: 29	.518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heav	/y Truck	's: 29	.547			
FHWA Noise Mode	I Calculation:	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	ten Be	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-28.43		3.26		-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-45.67		3.33		-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-49.62		3.32		-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and I	barrier	r attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day		Leq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	40	.1 :	38.2		36.5		30.	4	39.0	0	39.7
Medium Trucks:	34	.2 :	32.7		26.3		24.	8	33.2	2	33.5
Heavy Trucks:	35	.5 3	34.1		25.0		26.	3	34.0	6	34.8
Vehicle Noise:	42	.2 4	40.4		37.2		32.	6	41.2	2	41.6
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)	1							I	
				70 dE	BA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	5 dBA
		1	Ldn:	0			1		2		4
		CN	IEL:	0			1		2		4

Scena Road Nai Road Segme	nrio: EAPC me: Sanyo Ave ent: s/o Otay Me	esa Rd				Project N Job Nu	lame: mber:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 20	0	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NC	DISEI	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (l	Hard =	: 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	/ Traffic (Adt):	2,540 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hou	r Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Truc	cks (2 .	Axles):	15		
Peak	Hour Volume:	254 vehicles	5		He	avy Truck	(3+)	Axles):	15		
V	ehicle Speed:	45 mph		V	ehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far L	ane Distance:	12 feet		-	Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						Αι	itos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6%	6 97.42%
Ba	arrier Height:	0.0 feet			M	edium Tru	icks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	ы́ 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Nall, 1-Berm):	0.0			I	Heavy Tru	icks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	6 0.74%
Centerline D	oist. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	loise Sc	ource Ele	vation	s (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist	to Observer:	30.0 feet			0.00 00	Autos:	0	000	,		
Barrier Distance	e to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks:	2	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	w Trucks:	8	006	Grade Ad	liustmen	t: 0.0
F	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet					0.	000			
Ro	oad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent L	Distan	ce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	29	816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	s		Mediu	m Trucks:	29	518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	/y Trucks:	29.	547			
FHWA Noise Mod	del Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fresi	nel	Barrier At	ten Be	rm Atten
Autos	: 68.46	-7.90		3.26		-1.20		-4.49	0.	000	0.000
Medium Trucks	: 79.45	-25.14		3.33		-1.20		-4.86	0.	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks	: 84.25	-29.10		3.32		-1.20		-5.77	0.	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	se Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	L	eq Eve	ening	Leq N	light		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos	: 62	.6	60.7		59.0		52.9	9	61.	5	62.1
Medium Trucks	: 56	.4	54.9		48.6		47.0	C	55.	5	55.7
Heavy Trucks	: 57	.3	55.9		46.8		48.	1	56.	4	56.6
Vehicle Noise	: 64	.5	62.7		59.6		54.9	9	63.	5	63.9
Centerline Distar	nce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)					1			
				70 dl	BA	65 dl	BA	e	60 dBA	55	5 dBA
			Ldn:	11		24			51		110
		CI	VEL:	12		25			55		118

Scenario: EAPC Road Name: Vann Cantre Rd Road Segment: s/o Otay Mesa Rd

Read Bogine	11. 3/0 Oldy MC3	unu						
SITE	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA			NC	DISE MODE	EL INPUTS	6
Highway Data				Site Co	onditions (l	Hard = 10, S	oft = 15)	
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	460 vehicles				Autos	: 15	
Peak Hour	Percentage: 1	0.00%		٨	ledium Truc	cks (2 Axles)	: 15	
Peak H	lour Volume:	46 vehicles		F	leavy Truck	(3+ Axles)	: 15	
Ve	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		Vehicle	Miv			
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	12 feet		Veniek	hicleType	Day	Evening	Night Daily
Site Data					AL	utos: 77.5%	6 12.9%	9.6% 97.42
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Medium Tru	icks: 84.8%	6 4.9%	10.3% 1.84
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy Tru	icks: 86.5%	6 2.7%	10.8% 0.74
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		Noiso	Source Ele	vations (in	faat)	
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet		NUISE			eel)	
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mod	Autos. ium Trucks:	2 207		
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Ho	ann Trucks. ann Trucks:	8.006	Grade Adi	ustment: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		110	avy muchs.	0.000	Crado riaj	
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane E	quivalent l	Distance (in	feet)	
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	29.816		
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S	Med	ium Trucks:	29.518		
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S	He	avy Trucks:	29.547		
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations							
VehicleType	REMEL 7	Traffic Flow	Distan	ce Fini	te Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atte	en Berm Atter
Autos:	66.51	-14.81		3.26	-1.20	-4.49	0.0	00 0.00
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-32.05		3.33	-1.20	-4.86	0.0	00 0.00
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-36.01		3.32	-1.20	-5.77	0.0	00 0.00
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (withou	It Topo and I	barrier a	ttenuation)			
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Le	eq Evening	Leq N	light	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	53.8	5	51.9	50.	1	44.0	52.7	53
Medium Trucks:	47.8	2	46.3	39.	9	38.4	46.8	47
Heavy Trucks:	49.1	2	17.7	38.	7	39.9	48.3	48
Vehicle Noise:	55.8	Ę	54.1	50.	.8	46.2	54.8	55
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet)						
				70 dBA	65 di	BA	60 dBA	55 dBA
		L	_dn:	3	6		13	29
		CN	IEL:	3	7		14	31

Scenario: EAPC Road Name: Enrico Fermi Dr Road Segment: n/o Otay Mesa Rd

Road Ocymen		bailtu									
SITE	SPECIFIC INF	PUT DATA				Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 2	2,690 vehicles	S					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage: 1	0.00%			Me	dium Tri	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	269 vehicles	S		He	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		_	Vehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	38 feet		_	Vehi	icleType)	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						/	Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	49.0 feet			Noise So	ource El	levatior	ns (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	49.0 feet				Auto	s: 0	.000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediur	n Truck	s: 2	.297			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	y Truck	s: 8	.006	Grade Ad	justment	: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet				· · · ·	D'		(
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	Lane Equ	livalen	t Distar	ice (in i	reet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 45	.442			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediur	n Truck	s: 45	.247			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Truck	s: 45	.266			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-7.14		0.5	2	-1.20		-4.64	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-24.38		0.5	5	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-28.34		0.5	4	-1.20		-5.44	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	ut Topo and	barrie	er atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	/	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	58.7	7	56.8		55.0		49.	0	57.6	6	58.2
Medium Trucks:	52.7	7	51.2		44.8		43.	3	51.7	7	52.0
Heavy Trucks:	54.0)	52.6		43.5		44.	8	53.′		53.3
Vehicle Noise:	60.7	7	59.0		55.7		51.	1	59.7	7	60.1
Centerline Distance	ce to Noise Cor	ntour (in feet)							1	
				70 (dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	1	0	2	22		47	1	01
		Cl	NEL:	1	1	2	23		50	1	08

Scenario: EAPC Road Name: Enrico Fermi Dr Road Segment: s/o Otay Mesa Rd

Road Segmen	ni. S/O Olay Me										
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				,	Site Con	ditions	(Hard :	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,980 vehicle	s					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Mee	dium Tri	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	198 vehicle	S		Hea	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		-	Vehicle I	<i>lix</i>					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	38 feet		_	Vehi	cleType)	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						/	Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	dium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	49.0 feet		-	Noise So	urce El	levatio	ns (in fe	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	49.0 feet				Auto	s [.] 0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediur	n Truck	s: 2	.297			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Truck	s [.] 8	006	Grade Ad	iustment	t: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet				<i>j</i> maon	0. 0				
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Lane Equ	uivalent	t Distar	nce (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 45	.442			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediur	n Truck	s: 45	5.247			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Truck	s: 45	.266			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-8.47		0.5	2	-1.20		-4.64	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-25.71		0.5	5	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-29.67		0.5	4	-1.20		-5.44	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	er atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	Ir Leq Dag	V	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos:	57	.4	55.5		53.7		47.	.6	56.3	3	56.9
Medium Trucks:	51	.4	49.8		43.5		41	.9	50.4	1	50.6
Heavy Trucks:	52	.7	51.3		42.2		43	.5	51.8	3	51.9
Vehicle Noise:	59	.4	57.6		54.4		49	.8	58.4	1	58.8
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in fee	t)								
				70	dBA	65	dBA	e	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	8	3	1	8		38		82
		С	NEL:	ę	9	1	9		41		88

Scenario: EAPC Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: w/o La Media Rd

		i tu								
SITE	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA			NC	DISE MO	DDEL	INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (l	Hard = 10	0, Sof	t = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 3,	,820 vehicles				Aι	utos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage: 10	0.00%		Me	dium Truc	cks (2 Ax	les):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	382 vehicles		He	avy Truck	(3+ Ax	les):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph	_	Vehicle	Miv					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet		Venicie i Veh	icleTvne	D	av I	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data				1011	Ai	utos: 7		12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rriar Haight:	0.0 foot		M	ədium Tru	icks: 84	4.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-M	/all_1_Rerm) [.]			ŀ	leavy Tru	icks: 86	6.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	ist to Barrier:	61.0 feet	_				<i></i>			
Centerline Dist	to Observer:	61.0 feet	_	Noise So	ource Ele	vations ((in fee	et)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Autos:	0.00	00			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks:	2.29)7			
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Heav	y Trucks:	8.00	6 6	Frade Adj	ustment:	0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent l	Distance	(in fe	et)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	55.86	6	-		
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediu	m Trucks:	55.70)7			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heav	y Trucks:	55.72	23			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations				<u> </u>		/ D		-	A
Vehicle I ype		raffic Flow Di	stance	Finite	Road	Fresnel		arrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51 77.70	-5.62	8.0-	3	-1.20	-4	4.69 4.00	0.0	00	0.000
	11.12	-22.80	-0.8) 	-1.20	-4	4.88 - 00	0.0		0.000
	82.99	-20.81	-0.8		-1.20	-0	0.33	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	t Topo and barri	ier atter	nuation)					1	
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq E	vening	Leq N	light	L	_dn	Cl	VEL
Autos:	58.9	57.0		55.2		49.1		57.8	8	58.4
Medium Trucks:	52.9	51.3		45.0		43.4		51.9)	52.1
Heavy Trucks:	54.2	52.8		43.7		45.0		53.3	8	53.4
Vehicle Noise:	60.9	59.1		55.9		51.3		59.9)	60.3
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet)								
			70	dBA	65 d	BA	60	dBA	55	dBA
		Ldn:	1	3	28			60	1	29
		CNEL:	1	4	30	1		64	1	38

Scenar Road Nam Road Segme	io: EAPC ne: Otay Mesa I nt: w/o Piper Ra	Rd anch Rd			Project Nai Job Numl	me: Majes ber: 15250	tic Otay 200		
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA			NOIS	se mode	EL INPUTS	ò	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (Ha	rd = 10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	4,510 vehicles				Autos	: 15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%		Me	dium Trucks	s (2 Axles)	: 15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	451 vehicles		He	avy Trucks	(3+ Axles)	: 15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		Vehicle	Mix				
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet		Veh	icleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data					Auto	s: 77.5%	6 12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet		M	edium Truck	s: 84.8%	6 4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0		/	Heavy Truck	s: 86.5%	6 2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		Noise So	ource Eleva	tions (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet			Autos:	0.000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks:	2.297			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heav	vy Trucks:	8.006	Grade Adjı	ustment:	0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			· · · · ·				
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent Dis	stance (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	55.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediu	m Trucks:	55.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heav	/y Trucks:	55.723			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	;							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road F	resnel	Barrier Atte	en Bern	n Atten
Autos:	66.51	-4.90	-0	.83	-1.20	-4.69	0.00	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-22.14	-0	.81	-1.20	-4.88	0.00	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-26.09	-0	.81	-1.20	-5.33	0.00	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	out Topo and ba	rrier atte	enuation)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Leq	Evening	Leq Nigi	ht	Ldn	CN	EL
Autos:	59.	6 57	.7	55.9		49.9	58.5		59.1
Medium Trucks:	53.	6 52	.1	45.7		44.2	52.6		52.9
Heavy Trucks:	54.	9 53	.5	44.4		45.7	54.0		54.2
Vehicle Noise:	61.	6 59	.9	56.6		52.0	60.6		61.0
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)							
			70	0 dBA	65 dBA		60 dBA	55 a	IBA
		Ld	n:	14	31		67	14	4

CNEL:

15

33

71

154

Scena Road Nar Road Segme	rio: EAPC ne: Otay Mesa ent: w/o SR-125	Rd SB Off Ramp				Project Job Ni	Name: umber:	Majest 15250	ic Otay 20	0	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				Ν	OISE N	NODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				5	Site Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	rTraffic (Adt):	4,350 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hou	r Percentage:	10.00%			Ме	edium Tru	icks (2 /	Axles):	15		
Peak	Hour Volume:	435 vehicles	5		He	avy Truc	:ks (3+ /	Axles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		-	Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	50 feet			Veh	nicleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	lutos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	arrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	ledium Tr	ucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			I	Heavy Tr	ucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		1	Noise So	ource El	evation	s (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist	to Observer:	61.0 feet				Autos	s: 0.	000			
Barrier Distance	e to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks	s: 2.	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	vy Trucks	s: 8.	006	Grade Ad	ljustmen	t: 0.0
	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			l ano Ea	uivələnt	Distan	co (in t	foot)		
	Road Grade:			-			2. 55	866			
	Left View:	-90 0 degree	20		Mediu	m Trucks	s. 55. s [.] 55	707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	vy Trucks	s: 55.	723			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	tance	Finite	Road	Fresr	nel	Barrier Att	ten Be	rm Atten
Autos	66.51	-5.05		-0.83	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks	77.72	-22.29		-0.8	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks	82.99	-26.25		-0.8′	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	er atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	,	Leq E	vening	Leq I	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos	59	.4	57.5		55.8		49.7	7	58.3	3	58.9
Medium Trucks.	53	.4	51.9		45.5		44.()	52.	5	52.7
Heavy Trucks	54	.7	53.3		44.3		45.5	5	53.9	9	54.0
Vehicle Noise	: 61	.4	59.7		56.4		51.9	9	60.4	4	60.9
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
			L	70 c	dBA	65 0	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
		-	Ldn:	14	4	3	0		65		140
		CI	VEL:	1:	5	3	2		70		150

Scena Road Nar Road Segme	rio: EAPC ne: Otay Mesa ent: w/o SR-125	Rd NB Off Ramp				Project I Job Nu	Name: Imber:	Majest 15250	tic Otay 20	0	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	DISEI	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (Hard =	10, Se	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	5,110 vehicles	;					Autos:	15		
Peak Hou	r Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Tru	cks (2 .	Axles):	15		
Peak I	Hour Volume:	511 vehicles	;		He	avy Truci	ks (3+ .	Axles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		V	abiala	Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	50 feet		V	Veh	icleType		Dav	Evenina	Niah	t Daily
Site Data					Von	A	utos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6	5% 97.42%
Ba	prrior Hoight:	0.0 foot			M	edium Tru	icks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3	3% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall 1-Berm) [.]	0.0			I	Heavy Tru	ıcks:	86.5%	ы́ 2.7%	10.8	3% 0.74%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet						- /: f			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		N	oise So	ource Ele	vation		eet)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet				Autos	: 0.	000			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks	: 2.	297	Crada Aa	liuoteo	ont: 0.0
F	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Heav	/y Trucks	: 8.	006	Grade Ad	justme	ent: 0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 55	866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediu	m Trucks	: 55.	707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heav	/y Trucks	: 55	723			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculations	6									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distar	nce	Finite	Road	Fresi	nel	Barrier Att	ten E	Berm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-4.36		-0.83		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-21.59		-0.81		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-25.55		-0.81		-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	L	eq Eve	ening	Leq N	light		Ldn		CNEL
Autos:	60	.1 :	58.2		56.5		50.4	4	59.0	0	59.6
Medium Trucks:	54	.1 5	52.6		46.2		44.	7	53.2	2	53.4
Heavy Trucks:	55	.4 .	54.0		45.0		46.2	2	54.0	6	54.7
Vehicle Noise:	62	.1 (60.4		57.1		52.	6	61.	1	61.6
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)									
				70 dl	BA	65 d	BA	(60 dBA		55 dBA
			Ldn:	16		34	ŀ		72		156
		CN	IEL:	17		36	6		78		167

Scenario: EAPC Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: w/o Harvest Rd

Road Ocyme		i tu									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				9	Site Cond	litions	(Hard =	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,440 vehicles						Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Mea	lium Tru	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	344 vehicles			Hea	vy Truc	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		1	/ehicle M	lix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet			Vehic	in leType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						ŀ	Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	dium Ti	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Н	eavy Ti	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	61.0 feet			Voise So	urce Fl	evation	ns (in fi	oot)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		-	10/30 001		s [.] 0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Medium	Truck	s. 0 s [.] 2	297			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heavy	/ Truck	s. 2 s. 8	006	Grade Ad	iustment	t: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Tiouty	nuon	<i>.</i> 0	.000			
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	ivalent	Distar	nce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 55	.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Medium	Truck	s: 55	.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heavy	/ Truck	s: 55	.723			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations	;									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distar	nce	Finite F	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-6.07		-0.83	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-23.31		-0.81	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-27.27		-0.81	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	out Topo and k	oarrier a	atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	L	eq Ev	/ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos:	58.	4 5	6.5		54.7		48.	7	57.3	3	57.9
Medium Trucks:	52.	4 5	0.9		44.5		43.	0	51.4	1	51.7
Heavy Trucks:	53.	7 5	2.3		43.3		44.	5	52.9	9	53.0
Vehicle Noise:	60.	4 5	8.7		55.4		50.	9	59.4	1	59.8
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)			T					T	
				70 a	IBA	65	dBA	ť	60 dBA	55	dBA
		L	.dn:	12	2	2	6		56	1	20
		CN	EL:	13	3	2	8		60	1	128

Scenario: EAPC Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: w/o Sanyo Ave

Noad Oegine	na. w/o Ganyo P									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA			Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions	(Hard :	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,020 vehicles					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	· Percentage:	10.00%		Me	dium Tr	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	302 vehicles		He	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet		Veh	icleType)	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data					/	Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet		M	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0		ŀ	leavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		Noiso Sa		lovatio	ns (in fa			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		NUISE SC						
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Modiu	Auio m Truck	5. U	207			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heav	n nuck v Truck	.s. 2 .s. 8		Grade Ad	iustment	· 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		ncar	y much	J. U	.000	Crado raj	dourioni	
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalen	t Distar	nce (in t	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Auto	s: 55	5.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediu	m Truck	is: 55	5.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heav	y Truck	's: 55	5.723			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations	;								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-6.64	-0.8	83	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-23.88	-0.8	81	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-27.83	-0.8	81	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	out Topo and ba	nrrier atte	nuation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Leq E	Evening	Leq	Night		Ldn	Cl	VEL
Autos:	57.	8 55	.9	54.2		48	.1	56.7	,	57.4
Medium Trucks:	51.	8 50	.3	44.0		42	.4	50.9)	51.1
Heavy Trucks:	53.	2 51	.7	42.7		43	.9	52.3	3	52.4
Vehicle Noise:	59.	9 58	5.1	54.8		50	.3	58.8	3	59.3
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)		T					1	
			70	dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
		La	In:	11	2	24		51	1	10
		CNE	E:	12	2	25		55	1	18

Scenario: EAPC Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: e/o Sanyo Ave

Road Ocyme	nt. e/o Gallyo A	ve									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Cond	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,920 vehicles						Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	10.00%			Med	lium Tri	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	292 vehicles			Hea	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		,	Vehicle N	lix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	50 feet			Vehic	cleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						/	Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	dium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Н	leavy Ti	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	61.0 feet			Noise So	urce El	evatio	ns (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet		-		Auto	s [.] 0	000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediun	n Truck	s [.] 2	.297			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	/ Truck	s: 8	.006	Grade Ad	justmen	t: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		_							
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	Lane Equ	ivalent	Distar	ice (în i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 55	.866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediun	n Truck	s: 55	.707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heavy	/ Truck	s: 55	.723			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations	;									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite I	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-6.79		-0.8	3	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-24.02		-0.8	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-27.98		-0.8	1	-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	ut Topo and L	barrier	atten	uation)					-	
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	r Leq Day	l	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	57.	7 5	5.8		54.0		48	0	56.6	6	57.2
Medium Trucks:	51.	7 5	0.2		43.8		42	3	50.7	7	51.0
Heavy Trucks:	53.0	0 5	51.6		42.5		43.	8	52.2	2	52.3
Vehicle Noise:	59.	7 5	8.0		54.7		50	2	58.7	7	59.1
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)									
				70 d	dBA	65	dBA	e	60 dBA	55	dBA
		L	.dn:	1	1	2	3		50		107
		CN	IEL:	1	2	2	25		53		115

Scena Road Nar	rio: EAPC ne: Otay Mesa	Rd				Project N Job Nui	lame: mber:	Majest 15250	tic Otay 20	0	
Road Segme	ent: e/o Vann C	antre Rd									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				NC	DISE N	NODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (H	lard =	10, Se	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,980 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hou	r Percentage:	10.00%			Me	dium Truc	ks (2 /	Axles):	15		
Peak I	Hour Volume:	198 vehicles	5		He	avy Truck	s (3+ /	Axles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		V	ehicle (Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	50 feet			Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						Au	itos:	77.5%	5 12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	nrrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			M	edium Tru	cks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			I	Heavy Tru	cks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	61.0 feet		N	laise Sa	ource Elev	vation	s (in f	oot)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	61.0 feet				Autos:	0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	n Trucks:	2	207			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hoay	M Trucks.	2.	006	Grade Ad	liustment	· 0.0
F	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet			near	ly mucho.	0.	000	erade rid	juotinoni	. 010
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent L	Distan	ce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	55.	866			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucks:	55.	707			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	/y Trucks:	55.	723			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculations	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fresr	nel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos.	66.51	-8.47		-0.83		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks	77.72	-25.71		-0.81		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks.	82.99	-29.67		-0.81		-1.20		-5.33	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	attenu	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	' L	.eq Eve	ening	Leq N	ight		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos.	56	.0	54.1		52.3		46.3	3	54.9	9	55.5
Medium Trucks.	50	.0	48.5		42.1		40.6	6	49.0)	49.3
Heavy Trucks.	51	.3	49.9		40.9		42.′	1	50.8	5	50.6
Vehicle Noise.	58	.0	56.3		53.0		48.5	5	57.0)	57.4
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70 dl	BA	65 dE	BA	(60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	8		18			39	:	83
		CI	VEL:	9		19			41	1	89

Scenario: EAPC Road Name: Otay Mesa Rd Road Segment: e/o Enrico Fermi Dr

Noau Seyme		ום ווח							
SITE	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA			NOIS	e mode	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				Site Condi	tions (Har	d = 10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 4,	380 vehicles				Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage: 10	0.00%		Media	um Trucks	(2 Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	438 vehicles		Heav	ry Trucks (3+ Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph	_	Vehicle Mi	x				
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	38 feet		Vehicl	еТуре	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data					Autos	: 77.5%	6 12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet		Med	ium Trucks	: 84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-N	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0		He	avy Trucks	: 86.5%	<i>2.7</i> %	10.8%	0.74%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	49.0 feet	_	Noise Sou	rco Flovat	ions (in f	oot)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	49.0 feet	_	10130 000					
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Medium	Trucks	2 297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heavy	Trucks:	8 006	Grade Adi	ustment:	0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet	_	neary	Traono.	0.000			
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet	_	Lane Equi	valent Dis	ance (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	45.442			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Medium	Trucks:	45.247			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heavy	Trucks:	45.266			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations								
VehicleType	REMEL T	raffic Flow	Distance	Finite R	oad Fr	esnel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	-5.02	0.5	52 ·	-1.20	-4.64	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-22.26	0.5	5 ·	-1.20	-4.87	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-26.22	0.5	.4	-1.20	-5.44	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	t Topo and ba	arrier atter	nuation)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq E	vening	Leq Nigh	t	Ldn	Cl	VEL
Autos:	60.8	58	3.9	57.1	!	51.1	59.7	•	60.3
Medium Trucks:	54.8	53	3.3	46.9	4	45.4	53.8	3	54.1
Heavy Trucks:	56.1	54	4.7	45.7	4	16.9	55.3	8	55.4
Vehicle Noise:	62.8	61	1.1	57.8	!	53.3	61.8	3	62.2
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet)							
			70	dBA	65 dBA		60 dBA	55	dBA
		Lo	<i>dn:</i> 1	4	30		65	1	39
		CNE	<i>EL:</i> 1	5	32		69	1	49

APPENDIX 3.1:

CADNAA: OPERATIONAL NOISE MODEL INPUTS



This page intentionally left blank.



15250 - Majestic Otay

CadnaA Noise Prediction Model: 15250-02_Operation.cna Date: 08.08.23 Analyst: B. Maddux

Calculation Configuration

Configurat	ion
Parameter	Value
General	
Max. Error (dB)	0.00
Max. Search Radius (#(Unit,LEN))	2000.01
Min. Dist Src to Rcvr	0.00
Partition	
Raster Factor	0.50
Max. Length of Section (#(Unit,LEN))	999.99
Min. Length of Section (#(Unit,LEN))	1.01
Min. Length of Section (%)	0.00
Proj. Line Sources	On
Proj. Area Sources	On
Ref. Time	
Daytime Penalty (dB)	0.00
Recr. Time Penalty (dB)	5.00
Night-time Penalty (dB)	10.00
DTM	
Standard Height (m)	0.00
Model of Terrain	Triangulation
Reflection	
max. Order of Reflection	2
Search Radius Src	100.00
Search Radius Rcvr	100.00
Max. Distance Source - Rcvr	1000.00 1000.00
Min. Distance Rvcr - Reflector	1.00 1.00
Min. Distance Source - Reflector	0.10
Industrial (ISO 9613)	
Lateral Diffraction	some Obj
Obst. within Area Src do not shield	On
Screening	Incl. Ground Att. over Barrier
	Dz with limit (20/25)
Barrier Coefficients C1,2,3	3.0 20.0 0.0
Temperature (#(Unit,TEMP))	10
rel. Humidity (%)	70
Ground Absorption G	0.00
Wind Speed for Dir. (#(Unit,SPEED))	3.0
Roads (TNM)	
Railways (FTA/FRA)	
Aircraft (???)	
Strictly acc. to AzB	

Receiver Noise Levels

Name	M.	ID		Level Lr		Lii	mit. Val	ue		Land	Use	Height		C	oordinates	
			Day	Night	CNEL	Day	Night	CNEL	Type	Auto	Noise Type			Х	Y	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)				(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
R1		R1	38.0	37.7	44.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		x	Total	5.00	r	6349065.95	1783270.46	5.00
R2		R2	42.1	41.9	48.6	0.0	0.0	0.0		x	Total	5.00	r	6345334.29	1790924.45	5.00
R3		R3	41.9	41.7	48.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		х	Total	5.00	r	6350007.54	1792002.50	5.00

Point Source(s)

Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	Ľ		Lw/L	i	Ope	erating Ti	me	Height	t	Coordinates		
			Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night			Х	Y	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
AC001		AC001	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6350386.60	1787214.36	33.00
AC002		AC002	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6350350.14	1787213.84	33.00
AC003		AC003	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349837.81	1787215.51	33.00
AC004		AC004	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349802.22	1787214.65	33.00
AC005		AC005	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349612.12	1787218.99	33.00
AC006		AC006	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349573.92	1787218.12	33.00
AC007		AC007	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349068.19	1787232.35	33.00
AC008		AC008	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349030.69	1787232.35	33.00
AC009		AC009	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6348737.64	1787234.44	33.00
AC010		AC010	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6348692.50	1787236.52	33.00
AC011		AC011	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6348342.67	1787241.38	33.00
AC012		AC012	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6348298.23	1787241.38	33.00
AC013		AC013	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6348116.28	1787244.85	33.00
AC014		AC014	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6348071.15	1787245.55	33.00
AC015		AC015	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347733.65	1787249.02	33.00
AC016		AC016	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347688.51	1787252.49	33.00
AC017		AC017	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347714.46	1788141.52	33.00

Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	'L	Lw/Li		i	Ope	erating Ti	ime	Heigh	t	C	oordinates	
			Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night			Х	Y	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
AC018		AC018	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347754.73	1788140.83	33.00
AC019		AC019	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6348113.76	1788133.88	33.00
AC020		AC020	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6348157.51	1788133.88	33.00
AC021		AC021	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6348223.48	1788131.10	33.00
AC022		AC022	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6348616.97	1788126.38	33.00
AC023		AC023	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6348567.49	1788125.08	33.00
AC024		AC024	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6348975.05	1788126.38	33.00
AC025		AC025	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349019.32	1788125.08	33.00
AC026		AC026	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349271.92	1788125.08	33.00
AC027		AC027	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349305.78	1788121.17	33.00
AC028		AC028	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349338.33	1788122.48	33.00
AC029		AC029	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349594.84	1788117.27	33.00
AC030		AC030	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349632.60	1788115.97	33.00
AC031		AC031	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349822.70	1788115.97	33.00
AC032		AC032	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349863.07	1788113.36	33.00
AC033		AC033	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6350334.42	1788101.64	33.00
AC034		AC034	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6350370.88	1788100.34	33.00
AC035		AC035	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349730.25	1788868.57	33.00
AC036		AC036	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349684.68	1788869.87	33.00
AC037		AC037	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349443.80	1788876.38	33.00
AC038		AC038	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349381.30	1788877.68	33.00
AC039		AC039	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349314.89	1788878.99	33.00
AC040		AC040	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6349021.92	1788880.29	33.00
AC041		AC041	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347844.92	1788487.37	33.00
AC042		AC042	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347846.65	1788529.04	33.00
AC043		AC043	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347850.93	1788913.07	33.00
AC044		AC044	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347849.62	1788883.12	33.00
AC045		AC045	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347810.54	1789136.92	33.00
AC046		AC046	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347852.47	1789135.88	33.00
AC047		AC047	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6348445.96	1789125.46	33.00
AC048		AC048	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6348403.25	1789127.54	33.00
AC049		AC049	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347344.51	1788489.41	33.00
AC050		AC050	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347344.51	1788531.07	33.00
AC051		AC051	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347347.11	1788880.94	33.00
AC052		AC052	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347348.42	1788934.76	33.00
AC053		AC053	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347347.11	1788987.28	33.00
AC054		AC054	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347353.13	1789347.90	33.00
AC055		AC055	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6347353.13	1789388.79	33.00
AC056		AC056	88.9	88.9	88.9	LW	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6346418.11	1/88505./1	33.00
AC057		AC057	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw .	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6346419.84	1788544.94	33.00
AC058		AC058	88.9	88.9	88.9	LW	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6346428.28	1/88868.51	33.00
AC059		AC059	88.9	88.9	88.9	LW	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6346428.02	1788914.08	33.00
AC060		AC060	88.9	88.9	88.9	LW	88.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6346427.76	1780356.00	33.00
AC061		AC061	00.9	00.9	00.9	LW	00.9		570.00	0.00	261.00	3.00	g	6346433.15	1700212.27	33.00
AC062		AC062	00.9	00.9	00.9	LW	00.9		570.00	0.00	201.00	3.00	g	6240451.00	1709000 00	22.00
TRASH01		TRASH01	80.9	89.0	80.5 80.0	LW	80.5 80		150.00	0.00	201.00	8.00	8 r	6348270 44	1787576.04	8.00
TRASHOT		TRASHOT	80.0	80.0	80.0	LW	00		150.00	0.00	00.00	8.00		6348270.44	1707577.00	8.00
TRASHO2		TRASHO2	89.0	89.0	89.0	LW	80		150.00	0.00	90.00	8.00	• •	63/0653 71	1787557 /8	8.00
TRASHOA		TRASH04	89.0	89.0	89.0	Lw	89		150.00	0.00	90.00	8.00	r	6349768 21	1787556 70	8.00
TRASHOS		TRASHOS	89.0	89.0	89.0	Lw	89		150.00	0.00	90.00	8.00	· r	6349786.01	1787829 56	8.00
TRASHOG		TRASHOS	89.0	89.0	89.0	Lw	89		150.00	0.00	90.00	8 00	r	6349667 73	1787831 08	8 00
TRASH07	-	TRASH07	89.0	89.0	89.0	Lw	89		150.00	0.00	90.00	8 00	r	6348611 74	1787744 16	8 00
TRASHOR		TRASHOR	89.0	89.0	89.0	Lw	89		150.00	0.00	90.00	8 00	r	6347790 13	1787758 49	8 00
TRASH09		TRASH09	89.0	89.0	89.0	Lw	89		150.00	0.00	90.00	8.00	r	6349937.26	1788427.76	8.00
TRASH10		TRASH10	89.0	89.0	89.0	Lw	89		150.00	0.00	90.00	8.00	r	6349032.32	1788443.38	8.00
TRASH11		TRASH11	89.0	89.0	89.0	Lw	89		150.00	0.00	90.00	8.00	r	6348164.88	1788913.97	8.00
TRASH12		TRASH12	89.0	89.0	89.0	Lw	89		150.00	0.00	90.00	8.00	r	6347802.98	1789423.79	8.00
TRASH13		TRASH13	89.0	89.0	89.0	Lw	89		150.00	0.00	90.00	8.00	r	6346937.33	1789315.59	8.00
TRASH14		TRASH14	89.0	89.0	89.0	Lw	89		150.00	0.00	90.00	8.00	r	6346839.67	1789293.89	8.00
TRASH15		TRASH15	89.0	89.0	89.0	Lw	89		150.00	0.00	90.00	8.00	r	6346734.42	1788514.81	8.00
TRASH16	1	TRASH16	89.0	89.0	89.0	Lw	89		150.00	0.00	90.00	8.00	r	6347026.30	1788511.56	8.00
							-								. •	

Line Source(s)

Name	М.	ID	R	Result. PWL Result. PWL'			Ľ		Lw/L	i	Ор	erating Ti	ime		Moving	Pt. Src		Heig	ht	
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night		Number		Speed		
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	Day	Evening	Night	(mph)	(ft)	\square

Name	ID	н	eight		Coordinat	es	-
		Begin	End	x	У	z	Ground
		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Area Source(s)

Name	M.	ID	Result. PWL		Result. PWL"			Lw / Li			Operating Time			Height		
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night	(ft)	
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)		
LOAD1		LOAD1	103.7	103.7	103.7	62.4	62.4	62.4	Lw	103.7					8	r
LOAD2		LOAD2	103.7	103.7	103.7	62.3	62.3	62.3	Lw	103.7					8	r
LOAD3		LOAD3	103.7	103.7	103.7	62.8	62.8	62.8	Lw	103.7					8	r
LOAD4		LOAD4	103.7	103.7	103.7	65.6	65.6	65.6	Lw	103.7					8	r
LOAD5		LOAD5	103.7	103.7	103.7	61.6	61.6	61.6	Lw	103.7					8	r
LOAD6		LOAD6	103.7	103.7	103.7	57.7	57.7	57.7	Lw	103.7					8	r
LOAD7		LOAD7	103.7	103.7	103.7	59.0	59.0	59.0	Lw	103.7					8	r
PARK01		PARK01	74.3	74.3	74.3	41.6	41.6	41.6	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK02		PARK02	74.3	74.3	74.3	41.7	41.7	41.7	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK03		PARK03	74.3	74.3	74.3	38.9	38.9	38.9	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK04		PARK04	74.3	74.3	74.3	39.0	39.0	39.0	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK05		PARK05	74.3	74.3	74.3	47.1	47.1	47.1	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK06		PARK06	74.3	74.3	74.3	44.2	44.2	44.2	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK07		PARK07	74.3	74.3	74.3	37.0	37.0	37.0	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK08		PARK08	74.3	74.3	74.3	44.1	44.1	44.1	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK09		PARK09	74.3	74.3	74.3	48.0	48.0	48.0	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK10		PARK10	74.3	74.3	74.3	38.8	38.8	38.8	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK11		PARK11	74.3	74.3	74.3	39.4	39.4	39.4	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK12		PARK12	74.3	74.3	74.3	39.6	39.6	39.6	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK13		PARK13	74.3	74.3	74.3	47.5	47.5	47.5	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK14		PARK14	74.3	74.3	74.3	41.3	41.3	41.3	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK15		PARK15	74.3	74.3	74.3	40.5	40.5	40.5	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK16		PARK16	74.3	74.3	74.3	45.3	45.3	45.3	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK17		PARK17	74.3	74.3	74.3	36.2	36.2	36.2	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK18		PARK18	74.3	74.3	74.3	41.7	41.7	41.7	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK19		PARK19	74.3	74.3	74.3	46.0	46.0	46.0	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK20		PARK20	74.3	74.3	74.3	37.5	37.5	37.5	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK21		PARK21	74.3	74.3	74.3	36.1	36.1	36.1	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK22		PARK22	74.3	74.3	74.3	45.2	45.2	45.2	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK23		PARK23	74.3	74.3	74.3	35.8	35.8	35.8	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK24		PARK24	74.3	74.3	74.3	41.6	41.6	41.6	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK25		PARK25	74.3	74.3	74.3	38.7	38.7	38.7	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK26		PARK26	74.3	74.3	74.3	38.2	38.2	38.2	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK27		PARK27	74.3	74.3	74.3	46.8	46.8	46.8	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK28		PARK28	74.3	74.3	74.3	52.0	52.0	52.0	Lw	74.3					5	r
PARK29		PARK29	74.3	74.3	74.3	51.2	51.2	51.2	Lw	74.3					5	r

Name	ID	Height			Coordinates					
		Begin		End	х	У	z	Ground		
		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		
LOAD1	LOAD1	8.00	r		6346688.66	1789312.86	8.00	0.00		
					6346828.33	1789308.00	8.00	0.00		
					6346827.81	1789275.18	8.00	0.00		
					6346879.28	1789274.32	8.00	0.00		
					6346872.51	1788565.46	8.00	0.00		
					6346787.10	1788567.02	8.00	0.00		
					6346787.10	1788533.17	8.00	0.00		
					6346680.32	1788535.77	8.00	0.00		
LOAD2	LOAD2	8.00	r		6347083.45	1788525.88	8.00	0.00		
					6346978.76	1788526.40	8.00	0.00		
					6346978.76	1788563.90	8.00	0.00		
					6346891.78	1788564.42	8.00	0.00		
					6346901.16	1789297.23	8.00	0.00		
					6346945.52	1789294.72	8.00	0.00		
					6346946.04	1789329.61	8.00	0.00		
					6347090.74	1789331.09	8.00	0.00		
LOAD3	LOAD3	8.00	r		6347738.39	1789560.75	8.00	0.00		
					6348035.78	1789555.02	8.00	0.00		
					6348036.30	1789499.29	8.00	0.00		
					6348173.28	1789496.68	8.00	0.00		
					6348174.84	1789551.89	8.00	0.00		
					6348524.32	1789546.68	8.00	0.00		
					6348523.80	1789417.00	8.00	0.00		
					6348467.03	1789417.52	8.00	0.00		
					6348467.55	1789357.10	8.00	0.00		
					6347822.24	1789368.56	8.00	0.00		
					6347822.24	1789428.97	8.00	0.00		
					6347735.26	1789430.54	8.00	0.00		
LOAD4	LOAD4	8.00	r		6348131.40	1788877.54	8.00	0.00		
					6348265.77	1788877.20	8.00	0.00		
					6348263.34	1788695.60	8.00	0.00		
					6348318.90	1788694.56	8.00	0.00		
					6348317.16	1788459.14	8.00	0.00		
					6348126.88	1788463.31	8.00	0.00		
LOAD5	LOAD5	8.00	r		6349018.07	1788601.41	8.00	0.00		
					6349951.40	1788585.43	8.00	0.00		
					6349951.40	1788445.85	8.00	0.00		

Name ID Height						Coordinates							
		Begin	_	End		x	У	z	Ground				
		(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)				
					63	49911.82	1788446.55	8.00	0.00				
					63	49910.43	1788391.68	8.00	0.00				
			-		63	49054.88	1788409.05	8.00	0.00				
			-		63	49033.00	1788463.78	8.00	0.00				
LOAD6	LOAD6	8.00	r		63	49125.27	1787895.74	8.00	0.00				
			-		63	49649.58	1787886.37	8.00	0.00				
					63	49646.98	1787824.73	8.00	0.00				
					63	49803.23	1787821.26	8.00	0.00				
					63	49804.09	1787882.89	8.00	0.00				
					63	50304.09	1787875.08	8.00	0.00				
					63	50301.84	1787493.14	8.00	0.00				
					63	49786.73	1787500.95	8.00	0.00				
					63	49786.21	1787563.97	8.00	0.00				
					63	49635.17	1787567.10	8.00	0.00				
					63	49634.65	1787504.07	8.00	0.00				
					63	49117.98	1787511.37	8.00	0.00				
LOAD7	LOAD7	8.00	r		63	48625.23	1787898.63	8.00	0.00				
			-		63	48627.55	1/8//60.11	8.00	0.00				
			-		63	48595.09	1707706.20	8.00	0.00				
			-		63	48652 72	1787703.68	8.00	0.00				
			\vdash		63	48650 12	1787512 71	8 00	0.00				
			-		63	48287.27	1787518.79	8.00	0.00				
					63	48288.14	1787583.02	8.00	0.00				
					63	48137.09	1787585.63	8.00	0.00				
					63	48137.09	1787523.13	8.00	0.00				
					63	47773.38	1787528.34	8.00	0.00				
					63	47777.57	1787719.38	8.00	0.00				
					63	47810.13	1787718.95	8.00	0.00				
					63	47810.13	1787773.63	8.00	0.00				
					63	47777.14	1787773.63	8.00	0.00				
					63	47778.01	1787850.46	8.00	0.00				
					63	47799.28	1787850.46	8.00	0.00				
					63	47801.01	1787909.05	8.00	0.00				
PARK01	PARK01	5.00	r		63	47672.03	1787144.58	5.00	0.00				
			-		63	47673.07	1787177.91	5.00	0.00				
			-		63	47736.00	1787208.64	5.00	0.00				
			-		63	48066 82	1787203.04	5.00	0.00				
					63	48064.22	1787172.70	5.00	0.00				
					63	47961.09	1787173.23	5.00	0.00				
					63	47960.57	1787139.89	5.00	0.00				
PARK02	PARK02	5.00	r		63	48352.25	1787199.12	5.00	0.00				
					63	48682.17	1787193.08	5.00	0.00				
					63	48681.39	1787158.18	5.00	0.00				
					63	48747.02	1787158.18	5.00	0.00				
					63	48746.76	1787128.24	5.00	0.00				
					63	48461.19	1787132.28	5.00	0.00				
					63	48461.19	1787165.70	5.00	0.00				
DADUCT	DADUCT		-		63	48352.25	1787166.56	5.00	0.00				
PARK03	PARK03	5.00	r		63	49081.40	1787194.07	5.00	0.00				
			-		63	49559.87	1787153.91	5.00	0.00				
			\vdash		60	49689 20	1787152.04	5.00	0.00				
			\vdash		63	49689 39	1787119 77	5.00	0.00				
			-		63	49006.75	1787131.92	5.00	0.00				
					63	49007.09	1787163.17	5.00	0.00				
					63	49081.40	1787163.17	5.00	0.00				
PARK04	PARK04	5.00	r		63	49721.17	1787119.45	5.00	0.00				
					63	49720.65	1787153.82	5.00	0.00				
					63	49855.55	1787152.78	5.00	0.00				
					63	49854.51	1787180.39	5.00	0.00				
					63	50327.42	1787170.49	5.00	0.00				
					63	50328.47	1787141.85	5.00	0.00				
			-		63	50402.94	1787141.85	5.00	0.00				
				┝──┤	63	50402.94	1787107.99	5.00	0.00				
PARK05	PARK05	5.00	r		63	50417.24	1787366.30	5.00	0.00				
			-		63	50447.97	1787105.78	5.00	0.00				
			-		60	50415 16	1787195 57	5.00	0.00				
PARKOS	PARKOR	5 00	r		63	47683 80	1787968 50	5.00	0.00				
		5.00	Ľ		63	47620 78	1787969 62	5.00	0.00				
			-		63	47621 82	1788123 28	5.00	0.00				
					63	47653.07	1788123.28	5.00	0.00				
					63	47653.07	1788159.74	5.00	0.00				
					63	47685.89	1788158.70	5.00	0.00				
Name	ID	Height Begin End					Coordinat	es					
--------	--------	---------------------	----------	----------	---	------------	------------	------	--------				
		Begin	_	End		x	У	z	Ground				
		(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)				
PARK07	PARK07	5.00	r		_	6347659.85	1788247.76	5.00	0.00				
					_	6348684.32	1788230.05	5.00	0.00				
			-		_	6348684.32	1788199.84	5.00	0.00				
			-		-	6348560.89	1788168 59	5.00	0.00				
					-	6347767.14	1788182.66	5.00	0.00				
						6347765.57	1788215.99	5.00	0.00				
						6347659.32	1788216.51	5.00	0.00				
PARK08	PARK08	5.00	r			6348703.60	1787933.70	5.00	0.00				
						6348639.01	1787934.22	5.00	0.00				
						6348641.10	1788142.03	5.00	0.00				
						6348673.91	1788142.03	5.00	0.00				
						6348672.87	1788083.70	5.00	0.00				
						6348702.03	1788083.18	5.00	0.00				
PARK09	PARK09	5.00	r			6348949.69	1788157.92	5.00	0.00				
					_	6348948.65	1787977.71	5.00	0.00				
					_	6348922.61	1787978.23	5.00	0.00				
		5.00	_		_	6348924.17	1788157.39	5.00	0.00				
PARK10	PARK10	5.00	r		_	6348961.15	1/88231.8/	5.00	0.00				
			-			6349642.40	1789102.20	5.00	0.00				
			-			6349041.88	1788192.29	5.00	0.00				
			\vdash			6349579 32	1788158 44	5.00	0.00				
			-			6349037.19	1788167.29	5.00	0.00				
			F			6349036.67	1788203.75	5.00	0.00				
						6348959.59	1788203.75	5.00	0.00				
PARK11	PARK11	5.00	r			6349791.88	1788138.12	5.00	0.00				
						6349790.84	1787877.71	5.00	0.00				
						6349663.23	1787879.79	5.00	0.00				
						6349665.31	1788140.21	5.00	0.00				
PARK12	PARK12	5.00	r			6349818.44	1788217.29	5.00	0.00				
						6350401.25	1788207.92	5.00	0.00				
						6350401.25	1788177.71	5.00	0.00				
					_	6350317.92	1788178.23	5.00	0.00				
					_	6350317.40	1788145.42	5.00	0.00				
					_	6349879.38	1788154.27	5.00	0.00				
			-		_	6349879.90	1788186.04	5.00	0.00				
DADV12	DADV12	E 00	r		_	6349818.44	1788186.04	5.00	0.00				
FARKIS	PARKIS	5.00	-		-	6350400.07	1788131.00	5.00	0.00				
			-		-	6350433.67	1787951.60	5.00	0.00				
			-			6350403.99	1787951.60	5.00	0.00				
PARK14	PARK14	5.00	r			6348200.80	1788450.64	5.00	0.00				
						6348200.37	1788386.41	5.00	0.00				
						6347922.16	1788391.18	5.00	0.00				
						6347921.72	1788421.56	5.00	0.00				
						6347828.41	1788421.56	5.00	0.00				
						6347828.41	1788457.59	5.00	0.00				
PARK15	PARK15	5.00	r			6347744.42	1788418.33	5.00	0.00				
						6347748.33	1788896.20	5.00	0.00				
					_	6347781.32	1788897.50	5.00	0.00				
			-		_	6347781.32	1788871.89	5.00	0.00				
			-	\vdash	_	6347811.26	1780520 42	5.00	0.00				
			-		-	6347776 54	1788539.43	5.00	0.00				
			-			6347776 11	1788418 33	5.00	0.00				
PARK16	PARK16	5.00	r			6348952.98	1788612.54	5.00	0.00				
						6348923.46	1788612.54	5.00	0.00				
						6348923.46	1788883.37	5.00	0.00				
						6348956.45	1788883.81	5.00	0.00				
PARK17	PARK17	5.00	r			6349032.84	1789047.87	5.00	0.00				
						6349518.08	1789040.06	5.00	0.00				
						6349518.08	1789004.90	5.00	0.00				
						6349580.58	1789004.90	5.00	0.00				
			_			6349579.71	1788937.63	5.00	0.00				
			-		_	6349664.35	1788937.63	5.00	0.00				
			-		_	6349664.35	1/88909.41	5.00	0.00				
			-			6349044.12	1788919.83	5.00	0.00				
			\vdash			6349044.56	1788050 45	5.00	0.00				
PARK19	PARK19	5 00	r		-	6350135 74	1788582 77	5.00	0.00				
		5.00	Ľ			6350028.10	1788584.15	5.00	0.00				
						6350028.45	1788594.22	5.00	0.00				
						6349995.12	1788593.88	5.00	0.00				
						6349995.12	1788605.33	5.00	0.00				
						6349962.83	1788603.25	5.00	0.00				
						6349963.87	1788745.27	5.00	0.00				

Name	ID	Height Begin End					Coordinat	es	
		Begin		End		х	У	z	Ground
		(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
		. ,				6349999.28	1788745.27	5.00	0.00
						6349998 24	1788710 20	5.00	0.00
						6350061.09	1788709 85	5.00	0.00
						6350060.74	1788683 11	5.00	0.00
			-			63500002.69	1788683.11	5.00	0.00
			-			6350092.03	1700672.20	5.00	0.00
						6350035.05	1788673.33	5.00	0.00
DADK10	DADK10	F 00	_			0350135.40	17000/3.04	5.00	0.00
PARK19	PARK19	5.00	r			6348478.77	1/89314.//	5.00	0.00
						6348512.45	1/89314.//	5.00	0.00
						6348512.45	1789107.13	5.00	0.00
						6348476.69	1789107.13	5.00	0.00
PARK20	PARK20	5.00	r			6348464.19	1789022.06	5.00	0.00
						6347737.10	1789035.25	5.00	0.00
						6347738.14	1789056.09	5.00	0.00
						6347715.92	1789056.09	5.00	0.00
						6347717.66	1789156.43	5.00	0.00
						6347750.30	1789156.43	5.00	0.00
						6347751.34	1789316.85	5.00	0.00
						6347781.55	1789316.50	5.00	0.00
						6347780.16	1789118.59	5.00	0.00
						6347762.10	1789118.93	5.00	0.00
						6347753.42	1789119.28	5.00	0.00
						6347753.42	1789074.49	5.00	0.00
						6347862.80	1789074.14	5.00	0.00
			F			6347863.84	1789097.75	5.00	0.00
			-			6348397 21	1789087 69	5.00	0.00
			-			6348397 64	1789059 17	5.00	0.00
			-			6348352.00	1780058 17	5.00	0.00
DADK21	DADK21	F 00				6247062.84	1700424 62	5.00	0.00
PARKZI	PARKZI	5.00	-			6347063.84	1700452.05	5.00	0.00
			-			6347064.27	1789452.85	5.00	0.00
						6347310.80	1789448.51	5.00	0.00
						6347310.80	1789484.53	5.00	0.00
						6347373.73	1789484.10	5.00	0.00
						6347437.97	1789482.79	5.00	0.00
						6347438.40	1789458.92	5.00	0.00
						6347457.50	1789459.79	5.00	0.00
						6347447.09	1788451.98	5.00	0.00
						6347418.01	1788451.54	5.00	0.00
						6347417.57	1788541.82	5.00	0.00
						6347384.59	1788542.69	5.00	0.00
						6347392.83	1789332.62	5.00	0.00
						6347411.93	1789333.49	5.00	0.00
						6347413.23	1789437.66	5.00	0.00
						6347361.58	1789438.52	5.00	0.00
						6347359.85	1789418.99	5.00	0.00
PARK22	PARK22	5.00	r			6346708.46	1789378.91	5.00	0.00
						6346421.22	1789383.60	5.00	0.00
						6346421 22	1789413 02	5.00	0.00
						6346708.98	1789410.68	5.00	0.00
PARK23	PARK23	5.00	r			6346325.64	1789426.83	5.00	0.00
r ANK23	r Allik23	5.00	<u> </u>			6246325.04	1700426.03	5.00	0.00
			-			6346357.76	1789420.41	5.00	0.00
			-			6346357.09	1789299.08	5.00	0.00
			-			0340307.99	1709239.08	5.00	0.00
			-			0340381.05	1700550.07	5.00	0.00
			-			0340352.23	1700451 51	5.00	0.00
			-			0340350.75	1/88451.50	5.00	0.00
			-			6346399.71	1788449.16	5.00	0.00
			-			6346399.45	1788472.86	5.00	0.00
			_			6346679.14	1788468.17	5.00	0.00
			_			6346679.14	1788436.40	5.00	0.00
						6346716.90	1788436.66	5.00	0.00
						6346716.64	1788403.32	5.00	0.00
						6346338.25	1788411.14	5.00	0.00
						6346338.51	1788434.31	5.00	0.00
			L		_	6346316.64	1788434.31	5.00	0.00
PARK24	PARK24	5.00	r			6347085.55	1788461.66	5.00	0.00
						6347345.53	1788457.32	5.00	0.00
						6347345.53	1788427.38	5.00	0.00
						6347370.71	1788427.38	5.00	0.00
						6347371.57	1788392.22	5.00	0.00
						6347013.07	1788400.03	5.00	0,00
			F			6347013 94	1788432 15	5.00	0.00
			-			6347084 69	1788432.15	5.00	0.00
ΡΔ ΒΚ ₂ Ε	PARKOE	5 00	r			6349771 10	1787187 65	5.00	0.00
. /		5.00	ť			6349641 22	1787180 04	5.00	0.00
			-			63/06/5 14	1787402 70	5.00	0.00
			\vdash			6340670.00	1787402.79	5.00	0.00
			1			03430/3.60	1/0/402.45	00.00	0.001

Name	ID	ł	lei	ght		Coordinat	es	
		Begin		End	x	у	z	Ground
		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
					6349679.86	1787500.85	5.00	0.00
					6349740.28	1787499.81	5.00	0.00
					6349740.28	1787483.14	5.00	0.00
					6349772.57	1787482.10	5.00	0.00
PARK26	PARK26	5.00	r		6348149.25	1787553.51	5.00	0.00
					6348278.26	1787553.12	5.00	0.00
					6348272.61	1787211.45	5.00	0.00
					6348145.53	1787213.54	5.00	0.00
PARK27	PARK27	5.00	r		6348767.47	1787402.61	5.00	0.00
					6348798.72	1787402.09	5.00	0.00
					6348797.16	1787290.63	5.00	0.00
					6348790.39	1787262.51	5.00	0.00
					6348789.35	1787203.13	5.00	0.00
					6348759.14	1787203.65	5.00	0.00
					6348761.22	1787266.15	5.00	0.00
					6348765.91	1787295.32	5.00	0.00
					6348766.43	1787314.07	5.00	0.00
PARK28	PARK28	5.00	r		6347664.74	1787410.73	5.00	0.00
					6347663.18	1787330.00	5.00	0.00
					6347640.26	1787328.95	5.00	0.00
					6347642.34	1787410.73	5.00	0.00
PARK29	PARK29	5.00	r		6347663.18	1787312.29	5.00	0.00
					6347661.61	1787221.14	5.00	0.00
					6347638.70	1787222.18	5.00	0.00
					6347637.65	1787312.81	5.00	0.00

Barrier(s)

		·-/												
Name	e Sel.	М.	ID	Absc	rption	Z-Ext.	Cant	ilever	Hei	ght		Coordinat	es	
				left	right		horz.	vert.	Begin	End	х	У	z	Ground
						(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Building(s)

Name	Sel.	М.	ID	RB	Residents	Absorption	Height			Coordinat	es	
							Begin		х	У	z	Ground
							(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
B01			B01	х	0		30.00	r	6348287.27	1787518.79	30.00	0.00
									6348650.12	1787512.71	30.00	0.00
									6348753.33	1787513.92	30.00	0.00
									6348750.92	1787202.64	30.00	0.00
									6348283.91	1787210.45	30.00	0.00
B02			B02	х	0		30.00	r	6347672.96	1787532.31	30.00	0.00
									6347773.38	1787528.34	30.00	0.00
									6348137.09	1787523.13	30.00	0.00
									6348134.84	1787213.14	30.00	0.00
									6347669.22	1787220.95	30.00	0.00
B03			B03	x	0		30.00	r	6349631.63	1787194.53	30.00	0.00
									6349008.72	1787205.16	30.00	0.00
									6349012.24	1787516.09	30.00	0.00
									6349117.98	1787511.37	30.00	0.00
									6349634.65	1787504.07	30.00	0.00
B04			B04	х	0		30.00	r	6349786.73	1787500.95	30.00	0.00
									6350301.84	1787493.14	30.00	0.00
									6350406.77	1787490.92	30.00	0.00
									6350404.35	1787181.84	30.00	0.00
									6349781.09	1787191.82	30.00	0.00
B05			B05	х	0		30.00	r	6349805.32	1788143.56	30.00	0.00
									6350392.56	1788133.57	30.00	0.00
									6350390.39	1787872.72	30.00	0.00
									6350304.09	1787875.08	30.00	0.00
									6349804.09	1787882.89	30.00	0.00
B06			B06	х	0		30.00	r	6349649.58	1787886.37	30.00	0.00
									6349125.27	1787895.74	30.00	0.00
									6348960.29	1787896.94	30.00	0.00
									6348962.38	1788157.35	30.00	0.00
									6349652.65	1788146.94	30.00	0.00
B07			B07	х	0		30.00	r	6349951.40	1788585.43	30.00	0.00
									6349018.07	1788601.41	30.00	0.00
									6348966.50	1788598.89	30.00	0.00
									6348969.00	1788909.78	30.00	0.00
									6349747.33	1788896.84	30.00	0.00
									6349747.54	1788846.92	30.00	0.00
									6349850.41	1788845.19	30.00	0.00
									6349849.97	1788794.84	30.00	0.00
									6349953.79	1788794.14	30.00	0.00
B08			B08	x	0		30.00	r	6348625.23	1787898.63	30.00	0.00

Name	Sel.	М.	ID	RB	Residents	Absorption	Height			Coordinat	es	
							Begin		х	У	z	Ground
							(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
									6347801.01	1787909.05	30.00	0.00
									6347695.03	1787910.82	30.00	0.00
									6347697.11	1788171.93	30.00	0.00
									6348631.83	1788155.96	30.00	0.00
B09			B09	х	0		30.00	r	6348126.88	1788463.31	30.00	0.00
									6347818.65	1788468.53	30.00	0.00
									6347822.12	1788936.59	30.00	0.00
									6348131.15	1788931.73	30.00	0.00
									6348131.40	1788877.54	30.00	0.00
B10			B10	х	0		30.00	r	6348467.55	1789357.10	30.00	0.00
									6348465.09	1789097.15	30.00	0.00
									6347791.48	1789108.44	30.00	0.00
									6347793.33	1789369.41	30.00	0.00
									6347822.24	1789368.56	30.00	0.00
B11			B11	х	0		30.00	r	6347082.10	1788472.15	30.00	0.00
									6347083.45	1788525.88	30.00	0.00
									6347090.74	1789331.09	30.00	0.00
									6347091.13	1789410.67	30.00	0.00
									6347381.93	1789406.33	30.00	0.00
									6347373.25	1788466.82	30.00	0.00
B12			B12	х	0		30.00	r	6346682.02	1788480.26	30.00	0.00
									6346390.78	1788484.16	30.00	0.00
									6346399.12	1789372.04	30.00	0.00
									6346689.48	1789366.58	30.00	0.00
									6346688.66	1789312.86	30.00	0.00
									6346680.32	1788535.77	30.00	0.00

Ground Absorption(s)

Name	Sel.	M.	ID	G	Coord	inates
					х	у
					(ft)	(ft)

Contour(s)

Name	Sel.	М.	ID	OnlyPts	Hei	ght	C	oordinates	
					Begin	End	х	у	z
					(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Vertical Area Source(s)

Name	ID	н	eight		Coordinat	tes	
		Begin	End	х	У	z	Ground
		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Rail

Name	Sel.	М.	ID	L	v'	Train Class	Correct.	Vmax
				Day	Night		Track	
				(dBA) (dBA)			(dB)	(km(mph)

Sound Level Spectra

Name	ID	Туре					Okta	ve Spe	ctrum (o	iB)					Source
			Weight.	31.5	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	А	lin	

Roads

Nam	e	Sel.	М.	ID		Lme		Cour	nt Data		e	xact Cou	nt Data			Speed	l Limit	SCS	Surf	ace	Gradient	Mul	t. Reflec	tion
					Day	Evening	Night	DTV	Str.class.	М				p (%)		Auto	Truck	Dist.	Dstro	Туре		Drefl	Hbuild	Dist.
					(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			Day	Day Evening Nig		Day	Evening	Night	(mph)	(mph)		(dB)		(%)	(dB)	(ft)	(ft)

RoadsGeo

Name	F	lei	ght		Coordinat	es		Dist	LSlope
	Begin		End	х	У	z	Ground	(ft)	(%)
	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		

APPENDIX 3.2:

CADNAA: CONSTRUCTION NOISE MODEL INPUTS



This page intentionally left blank.



15250 - Majestic Otay

CadnaA Noise Prediction Model: 15250-02_Construction.cna Date: 08.08.23 Analyst: B. Maddux

Calculation Configuration

Configurat	ion
Parameter	Value
General	
Max. Error (dB)	0.00
Max. Search Radius (#(Unit,LEN))	2000.01
Min. Dist Src to Rcvr	0.00
Partition	
Raster Factor	0.50
Max. Length of Section (#(Unit,LEN))	999.99
Min. Length of Section (#(Unit,LEN))	1.01
Min. Length of Section (%)	0.00
Proj. Line Sources	On
Proj. Area Sources	On
Ref. Time	
Daytime Penalty (dB)	0.00
Recr. Time Penalty (dB)	5.00
Night-time Penalty (dB)	10.00
DTM	
Standard Height (m)	0.00
Model of Terrain	Triangulation
Reflection	
max. Order of Reflection	2
Search Radius Src	100.00
Search Radius Rcvr	100.00
Max. Distance Source - Rcvr	1000.00 1000.00
Min. Distance Rvcr - Reflector	1.00 1.00
Min. Distance Source - Reflector	0.10
Industrial (ISO 9613)	
Lateral Diffraction	some Obj
Obst. within Area Src do not shield	On
Screening	Incl. Ground Att. over Barrier
	Dz with limit (20/25)
Barrier Coefficients C1,2,3	3.0 20.0 0.0
Temperature (#(Unit,TEMP))	10
rel. Humidity (%)	70
Ground Absorption G	0.00
Wind Speed for Dir. (#(Unit,SPEED))	3.0
Roads (TNM)	
Railways (FTA/FRA)	
Aircraft (???)	
Strictly acc. to AzB	

Receiver Noise Levels

Name	М.	ID		Level Lr		Lir	nit. Val	ue		Land	Use	Height		C	oordinates	
			Day	Night	CNEL	Day	Night	CNEL	Туре	Auto	Noise Type			х	Y	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)				(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
R1		R1	50.1	-56.9	47.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		х	Total	5.00	r	6349065.95	1783270.46	5.00
R2		R2	54.1	-52.9	51.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		х	Total	5.00	r	6345334.29	1790924.45	5.00
R3		R3	56.3	-50.7	53.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		х	Total	5.00	r	6350007.54	1792002.50	5.00

Point Source(s)

		_		(- <i>1</i>												
Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	/L		Lw/L	i	Op	erating Ti	ime	Heigh	t	C	oordinates	
			Day Evening Night		Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night			Х	Y	Z	
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Line Source(s)

Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	/L	R	esult. PW	L'	Lw/L	i	Op	erating Ti	me		Moving	Pt. Src		Heigh	ht
			Day Evening Night Day Evening Night Type Value				norm.	Day	Special	Night		Number		Speed					
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)		dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	Day	Evening	Night	(mph)	(ft)	\square

Name	ID	H	eight		Coordina	tes	
		Begin	End	х	У	z	Ground
		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Area Source(s)

Name	М.	ID	R	Result. PWL			esult. PW	L''		Lw / Li		Op	erating Ti	me	Height	
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night	(ft)	
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)		
ConstructionActivity		ConstructionActivity1	122.6	15.6	15.6	62.5	-44.5	-44.5	PWL-Pt	115.6					8	r

Name	ID	Height					Coordinat	es	
		Begin		End		х	У	z	Ground
		(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
ConstructionActivity	ConstructionActivity1	8.00	r			6350508.48	1786950.52	8.00	0.00
						6349636.29	1786964.19	8.00	0.00
						6348777.64	1786977.68	8.00	0.00
						6347524.57	1786997.34	8.00	0.00
						6347526.43	1788319.90	8.00	0.00
						6346196.53	1788348.75	8.00	0.00
						6346203.01	1789673.59	8.00	0.00
						6347528.30	1789642.46	8.00	0.00
						6348864.50	1789627.57	8.00	0.00
						6348865.75	1789882.17	8.00	0.00
						6348870.98	1790949.60	8.00	0.00
						6350212.15	1790934.07	8.00	0.00
						6350200.98	1789612.67	8.00	0.00
						6350194.64	1788862.89	8.00	0.00
						6350189.74	1788284.14	8.00	0.00
						6350519.72	1788279.59	8.00	0.00

Barrier(s)

Name	Sel.	M.	ID	Abso	rption	Z-Ext.	Canti	lever	Hei	ght		Coordinat	es	
				left	right		horz.	horz. vert.		End	х	у	z	Ground
						(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Building(s)

			-										
Name	Sel.	М.	ID	RB	Residents	Absorption	Height	Coordinates					
							Begin	х	У	z	Ground		
							(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		

Ground Absorption(s)

Name	Sel.	М.	ID	G	Coord	inates
					х	У
					(ft)	(ft)

Contour(s)

Name	Sel.	М.	ID	OnlyPts	Hei	ght	C	oordinates	
					Begin End		х	У	z
					(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Vertical Area Source(s)

Name	ID	Hei	ight	Coordinates							
		Begin End		x	У	z	Ground				
		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)				

Rail

Name	Sel.	М.	ID	L	N'	Train Class	Correct.	Vmax
				Day Night			Track	
				(dBA)	(dBA)		(dB)	(km(mph)

Sound Level Spectra

Name	ID	Туре	Oktave Spectrum (dB)								Source				
			Weight.	31.5	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	А	lin	

Roads

Na	ame	Sel.	M.	ID		Lme		Cour	nt Data	exact Count Data					Speed Limit		SCS	Surface		Gradient	Mult. Reflecti		tion	
					Day	Evening	Night	DTV	Str.class.	М		p (%)			Auto	Truck	Dist.	Dstro	Туре		Drefl	Hbuild	Dist.	
					(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	(mph)	(mph)		(dB)		(%)	(dB)	(ft)	(ft)

RoadsGeo

Name	He	eight		Dist	LSlope			
	Begin	End	x	У	z	Ground	(ft)	(%)
	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		