

STATEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
REGULAR MEETING - PLANNING AND LAND USE MATTERS
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 27, 2012
Board of Supervisors North Chamber
1600 Pacific Highway, Room 310, San Diego, California

REGULAR SESSION – Regular Meeting was called to order at 9:09 a.m.

Present: Supervisors Ron Roberts, Chairman; Greg Cox, Vice Chairman; Dianne Jacob; Pam Slater-Price; Bill Horn; also David C. Hall, Assistant Clerk of the Board.

Time Certain:

<u>Time</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Subject</u>
<u>9:00 A.M.</u>	<u>10.</u>	<u>CONTINUED FROM 6/20/12, AGENDA NO. 3: GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT WORKPLAN OPTIONS FOR PROPERTY SPECIFIC REQUESTS</u>

TIME CERTAIN: 9:00 A.M.

NOTICE: THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MAY TAKE ANY ACTION WITH RESPECT TO THE ITEMS INCLUDED ON THIS AGENDA. RECOMMENDATIONS MADE BY COUNTY STAFF DO NOT LIMIT ACTIONS THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MAY TAKE. MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC SHOULD NOT RELY UPON THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE BOARD LETTER AS DETERMINATIVE OF THE ACTION THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MAY TAKE ON A PARTICULAR MATTER.

Supporting documentation and attachments for items listed on this agenda can be viewed online at <http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/cob/bosa/> or in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at the County Administration Center, 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 402, San Diego, CA 92101.

Board of Supervisors' Agenda Items

10. CONTINUED ITEM FROM 6/20/12 AGENDA NO. 3:
GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT WORKPLAN OPTIONS FOR PROPERTY
SPECIFIC REQUESTS
(4 VOTES)

TIME CERTAIN: 9:00 A.M.

**10. SUBJECT: CONTINUED ITEM FROM 6/20/12 AGENDA NO. 3:
GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT WORKPLAN OPTIONS FOR
PROPERTY SPECIFIC REQUESTS (DISTRICTS: ALL)**

OVERVIEW:

On June 20, 2012 the Board of Supervisors continued the item to June 27, 2012 at 9:00 a.m.

During a workshop held January 9 through January 11, 2012 (1), the Board of Supervisors considered over 137 private property owner requests to modify the County of San Diego's General Plan land use designations. 56 requests were referred back to staff by the Board for further evaluation. Actions directed by the Board varied between requests but included steps such as determining if a modified request was available that could be consistent with the General Plan Guiding Principles, obtaining community planning group input, determining what larger study areas (if any) required consideration in making changes to the plan, notifying potentially affected property owners, and developing workplan options for amending the General Plan. This report responds to Board direction related to the private property owner requests, property owners, and developing workplan options for amending the General Plan. This report responds to Board direction related to the private property owner requests.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for this request are not included in the Fiscal Year 2011-12 Operational Plan for the Department of Planning and Land Use. If approved, this request will result in costs of up to \$1,560,000 for implementation of the workplan and General Plan amendments. The funding source is General Fund fund balance available. There is no annual cost and no additional staff years.

Adoption of a General Plan Amendment that changes the General Plan's land use map and/or Mobility Element network could likely impact the Transportation Impact Fee program. The more substantial the change, the greater the need to revise/update the Transportation Impact Fee program to ensure that this program remains consistent with the adopted General Plan. Based on the cost for previous Transportation Impact Fee Program updates, these additional costs could range from an estimated \$150,000 to \$750,000 depending on the extent of changes and complexity of the General Plan Amendment. Also, the restudy of the floodway mapping related to Unresolved Request VC67, as discussed in the Background, is estimated at \$50,000. Additional funding would be needed to fund these updates.

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT:

N/A

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

1. Receive this report of staff's evaluation of property specific requests.

2. Provide direction to staff on whether or not to take any specific action on any request such as initiation of a General Plan Amendment.
3. Establish appropriations of up to \$1,560,000 in Fiscal Year 2011-12 for implementation of the workplan and associated General Plan Amendments based on General Fund fund balance available. **(4 VOTES)**

10.1 ACTION – DS8 AND STUDY AREA:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Cox, seconded by Supervisor Horn, the Board of Supervisors referred the staff evaluated changes associated with Property Specific Request DS8 and Study Area, to the Chief Administrative Officer to process a general plan amendment.

AYES: Cox, Roberts, Horn

NOES: Jacob, Slater-Price

10.2 ACTION – DS24:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Horn, seconded by Supervisor Roberts, the Board of Supervisors referred the staff evaluated changes associated with Property Specific Request DS24, to the Chief Administrative Officer to process a general plan amendment.

AYES: Cox, Roberts, Horn

NOES: Jacob, Slater-Price

10.3 ACTION – NC22 AND STUDY AREA:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Horn, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors referred the staff evaluated changes associated with Property Specific Request NC22 and Study Area, to the Chief Administrative Officer to process a general plan amendment.

AYES: Cox, Roberts, Horn

NOES: Jacob, Slater-Price

10.4 ACTION – PP30:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Horn, seconded by Supervisor Slater-Price, the Board of Supervisors referred the staff evaluated changes associated with Property Specific Request PP30, to the Chief Administrative Officer to process a general plan amendment.

AYES: Cox, Slater-Price, Roberts, Horn

NOES: Jacob

10.5 ACTION – VC7, 9, 11, 20A, 20B, 54, 60, 61, AND STUDY AREA:
ON MOTION of Supervisor Roberts, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors referred the staff evaluated changes associated with Property Specific Requests VC7, 9, 11, 20A, 20B, 54, 60, 61 and Study Area, excluding the portion of the study area south of VC20B to Lilac Road, thereby exempting VC66, to the Chief Administrative Officer to process a general plan amendment.

AYES: Cox, Roberts, Horn
NOES: Jacob, Slater-Price

10.6 ACTION – VC57, 63, 64 AND STUDY AREA:
ON MOTION of Supervisor Horn, seconded by Supervisor Roberts, the Board of Supervisors referred the staff evaluated changes associated with Property Specific Requests VC57, 63, 64 and Study Area, to the Chief Administrative Officer to process a general plan amendment.

AYES: Cox, Roberts, Horn
NOES: Jacob, Slater-Price

10.7 ACTION – NC42 AND STUDY AREA:
ON MOTION of Supervisor Horn, seconded by Supervisor Roberts, the Board of Supervisors referred the staff evaluated changes associated with Property Specific Request NC42 and Study Area, to the Chief Administrative Officer to process a general plan amendment.

AYES: Cox, Roberts, Horn
NOES: Jacob, Slater-Price

10.8 ACTION – ME19:
ON MOTION of Supervisor Jacob, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors directed the Chief Administrative Officer to re-evaluate the Zoning Ordinance with regards to food processing designations and to analyze properties in the unincorporated area that could be appropriately re-zoned to allow for commercial use; and to return to the Board with that information on and the associated costs of an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater-Price, Roberts
ABSENT: Horn

10.9 ACTION – NM15:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Horn, seconded by Supervisor Roberts, the Board of Supervisors directed the Chief Administrative Officer to initiate a General Plan Amendment to the North Mountain Sub-Regional Plan, adding language that establishes a commitment to consider redesignating an additional five acres of NM15 with a commercial land use north of the existing commercial area along the west side of State Route 79 and other properties that might have a similar situation so that the change could be made if the Williamson Act requirements are removed from the property.

AYES: Cox, Roberts, Horn

NOES: Jacob, Slater-Price

10.10 ACTION – VC67 AND STUDY AREA:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Horn, seconded by Supervisor Slater-Price, the Board of Supervisors directed the Chief Administrative Officer to attempt to find a resolution to the issues raised with respect to Property Specific Request VC67 as part of the general plan amendment process.

AYES: Cox, Roberts, Horn, Slater-Price

NOES: Jacob

10.11 ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Roberts, seconded by Supervisor Horn, the Board of Supervisors directed the Chief Administrative Officer to explore options that would allow the County to recoup costs of the general plan amendment process.

AYES: Cox, Roberts, Horn, Slater-Price

NOES: Jacob

10.12 ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Cox, seconded by Supervisor Roberts, the Board of Supervisors directed the Chief Administrative Officer to report back to the Board with the option of combining the Property Specific Requests in the “Very Low Complexity” category with the rest of the work product rather than having them included in the workplan for the Forest Conservation Initiative (FCI) amendment and General Plan cleanup process.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater-Price, Roberts

NOES: Horn

11. SUBJECT: CLOSED SESSION (CARRYOVER FROM 6/26/12, AGENDA NO. 30) (DISTRICTS: ALL)

OVERVIEW:

A. CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS

(Government Code section 54957.6)

Designated Representatives: Don Turko, Jeannine Seher

Employee Organizations: All

OFF- AGENDA CONSIDERATION:

1. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION

Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to subdivision (b) of Government Code section 54956.9: (Number of Potential Cases – 1)

ACTION:

No reportable matters.

12. SUBJECT: PUBLIC COMMUNICATION (DISTRICTS: ALL)

OVERVIEW:

Robert Germann spoke to the Board regarding Gillespie Field.

ACTION:

Heard, referred to the Chief Administrative Officer.

There being no further business, the Board adjourned at 5:20 p.m. in memory of Winifred “Winnie” Stone.

THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
County of San Diego, State of California

Consent: Vizcarra

Discussion: Panfil

NOTE: This Statement of Proceedings sets forth all actions taken by the County of San Diego Board of Supervisors on the matters stated, but not necessarily the chronological sequence in which the matters were taken up.