

JL2

Property Specific Requests:

Julian Community Planning Group (CPG) – Change designation from RL80 to RL40¹ Endangered Habitats League (EHL) – Retain proposed RL80 land use designation²

Anticipated Consequences	CPG	EHL
Community Recommendation	RL40 ¹	
Opposition Expected	Yes	Yes ³
Spot Designation/Zone	No	No
EIR Recirculation Needed	No	No
Change to GPU Objectives Needed	No	No
Level of Change	Minor	Minor

Notes

- 1- Julian CPG letter dated February 15, 2011
- 2- Endangered Habitat League letter dated November 8, 2010
- 3- Anticipate property owners are opposed to lower density

Property Description

Property Owner:

Various

Size:

9,499 acres; 209 parcels

Location/Description:

Generally large parcels located in remote mountains in the northern and southeastern portions of the planning area;


Outside County Water Authority boundary

Prevalence of Constraints (See following page):


- → high; → partially; - none
- Steep slope (greater than 25%)
- Floodplain
- Wetlands
- Habitat Value
- Agricultural Lands
- Fire Hazard Severity Zones

Land Use		
General Plan		
Scenario	Designation	
Existing General Plan	1 du / 40 ac	
	1 du / 4, 8, 20 ac	
PC/Staff Recommendation	RL80	
Referral	RL40	
Hybrid		
Draft Land Use	RL80	
Environmentally Superior		
Zoning		
Existing — S80, 40-acre minimum lot size		
A72 - 8-acre minimum lot size		

Proposed — A72, 40-acre minimum lot size



Aerial




PC/Staff Recommendation


Discussion

The property identified by JL2 consists of the approximately 9,500 acres in the Julian Community Planning Area proposed for RL80 on the PC/Staff Recommended Map. Consistent with the Referral Map, the Julian CPG recommends a RL40 designation to retain development rights, while EHL recommends retaining the RL80 density due to its remote mountainous location outside the County Water Authority boundary, lack of infrastructure and services, and location within the Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone. The Julian CPG disagrees with the EHL position that this property is remote and mountainous because this area also includes "a considerable area on the west side of the Julian town site that is neither remote nor mountainous".

There are 22 properties out of a total of 209 parcels that are greater than or equal to 80 acres that could potentially subdivide under the RL40 designation (*refer to attached graphic on third page*).


JL2 (cont.)





Fire Hazard Severity Zones

JL2 (cont.)



JL₅

Property Specific Request: Change land use designation from SR10 to SR4		
Unknown		
No		
Yes		
Yes		
No		
Moderate		

Note: 1 – Based on staff's experience

Property Description Property Owner:

Harry Horner

Size:

32.4 acres

2 parcels (three APNs)

Location/Description:

Intersection of Wynola Road and Old Julian Road (SR78);

Outside County Water Authority boundary


Prevalence of Constraints (See following page):

- O Steep slope (greater than 25%)
- Floodplain
- Wetlands
- O Habitat Value
- Agricultural Lands
- Fire Hazard Severity Zones

Land Use		
General Plan		
Scenario	Designation	
Existing General Plan	1 du/4,8,20 ac	
PC / Staff Recommendation	SR10 / RC	
Referral		
Hybrid	SR10 / RC	
Draft Land Use		
Environmentally Superior	RL20 / RC	
Zoning		
Existing — C36		
A70, 4-acre minimum lot size		
Proposed — Same as existing		
Proposed — Same as existing		



Aerial



PC/Staff Recommendation

Discussion

Outside of the Village of Julian, the primary mapping principles were to recognize existing parcelization, but to limit the potential for additional subdivision, concentrating future development in and near the Julian Rural Village. An SR4 designation is outside the range of alternatives evaluated in the EIR and would result in a spot designation. To resolve the spot designation would require designating a larger area at SR4, which would likely require a recirculation of the EIR.

JL5 (cont.)



Fire Hazard Severity Zones

JL6

Property Specific Request: Change land use designation from RL40 to SR10		
Requested by: Patrick Brown		
Community Recommendation	Unknown	
Opposition Expected ¹	No	
Spot Designation/Zone	No	
EIR Recirculation Needed	No	
Change to GPU Objectives Needed	No	
Level of Change	Minor	

Property Description Property Owner:

Patrick Brown

Size:

89.3 acres

5 parcels

Location/Description:

Intersection of Wynola Road and Banner Road; Outside County Water Authority boundary


Prevalence of Constraints (See following page):

- – high; partially; - none
- Steep slope (greater than 25%)
- Floodplain
- Wetlands
- O Habitat Value
- Agricultural Lands
- Fire Hazard Severity Zones

Land Use		
General Plan		
Scenario	Designation	
Existing General Plan	1 du/4,8,20 ac	
PC / Staff Recommendation	RL40	
Referral		
Hybrid	RL40	
Draft Land Use		
Environmentally Superior	RL80	
Zoning		
Existing — A70 (4-acre minimum lot size)		
Proposed — Same as existing		



Aerial




PC/Staff Recommendation

Discussion


Assuming these five parcels are legal lots, then neither the SR10 nor the RL40 land use designations would allow for further subdivision of the five parcels since they are all less than 20 acres in size. The parcels are all nearly entirely constrained by steep slope and are located within the Very high Fire Hazard Severity Zone.

Note: 1 – Based on staff's experience

JL6 (cont.)



Steep Slope (Greater than 25%)



Fire Hazard Severity Zones