

San Diego County Archaeological Society, Inc.

Environmental Review Committee

11 June 2015

To:

Ms. Maggie Loy

Department of Planning and Development Services

County of San Diego

5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 310 San Diego, California 921123

Subject:

Draft Environmental Impact Report

Valiano Specific Plan

PDS2013-SP-13-001, PDS2013-GPA-13-001, PDS2013-REZ-13-001, PDS2013-TM-5575, PDS2013-STP-13-003, PDS2014-MUP-14-019,

Log No. PDS2013-ER-13-08-002

Dear Ms. Loy:

I have reviewed the cultural resources aspects of the subject DEIR on behalf of this committee of the San Diego County Archaeological Society.

Based on the information contained in the DEIR and its Appendix F, we have the following comments:

- 1. The first full paragraph on page 2.5-4 of the DEIR states that, for eight sites, "their research potential has been fulfilled through documentation, as well as curation of artifacts." Likewise, Section 2.5.3 (Cumulative Impact Analysis) of the DEIR states, on page 2.5-12, that "For sites considered less than significant, the information is preserved through recordation, test excavations and preservation of artifacts." The last paragraph on following page also states that curation will occur. And page 2.5-14, still in Section 2.5.3, further states that "impacts to the on and off-site locations have been mitigated through their documentation, curation of cultural material collected, and archiving information so it will be available for future researchers." We have confirmed that no artifacts from those sites have been curated to date at the San Diego Archaeological Center. Please advise if and where they have been curated and, if they haven't yet, the appropriate cultural resource management firm must be required to do so immediately. Please note that this material is not burial-related and is not being curated as part of any mitigation measures included in Section 2.5.4 of the DEIR, which, as drafted, allows for an alternative to curation.
- 2. Mitigation Measure M-CR-2 in Section 2.5.5 of the DEIR in two places permits repatriation of entire prehistoric collections "to a Native American Tribe of appropriate affiliation." As the County is aware, SDCAS absolutely agrees with the repatriation of any human remains

and associated burial goods, but believes that all other cultural material recovered must be curated at a facility, Tribal or otherwise, meeting the standards of 36CFR79 in order for the mitigation of impacts to be complete. Only in that way is the possibility for future reevaluation of the analysis, using yet-to-be-developed methods and techniques, maintained, while also maintaining their availability for access by the cultural groups involved.

- 3. Even within the County's current approach to allow that repatriation, Section 2.5.5 is inconsistent. Paragraph b.10, on page 2.5-20, includes the full wording from page 11 of Appendix F to the DEIR's cultural resources appendix, including the wording "as determined by agreement among the Tribes, the Principal Investigator, and County staff." However, paragraph a.11, on page 2.5-17, omits that quoted wording. It needs to be added to make it clear that the decision to repatriate requires agreement among all parties.
- 4. Other than the above, we agree with the impact analysis and mitigation measures as proposed.

SDCAS appreciates the opportunity to participate in the public review of this project's environmental documents.

Sincerely,

ames Wood James W. Royle, Jr., Chairperson

Environmental Review Committee

cc:

Helix Environmental Brian F. Smith & Associates SDCAS President File