COMMENTS RESPONSES

From: Nicole Travis Jenkins <nicole.s.travis@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesdav. January 11, 2017 3:20 PM

To: efhqtc@gmail.com; douqlas.dill@att.net; Cox, Greq; Jacob, Dianne; Gaspar, Kristin; Ron-

Roberts; Horn, Bill; Fitzpatrick, Lisa; Chan, Michelle; Sibbet, David

Subject: VALIANO SPECIFIC PLAN

I am writing this letter in regards to the following plan:

VALIANO SPECIFIC PLAN: PDS2013-SP-13-0012, PDS2013-GPA-13-001, PDS2013-REZ-13-001, PDS2013-TM-5575, PDS2013-STP-13-003, PDS2014-MUP-14-019, LOG NO. PDS2013-ER-13-08-002

It has recently come to my attention that the developer for the Valiano plan has come up with a work-around to the community plan that is in place for Harmony Grove and Eden Valley. It seems they would like to carve out a section of Harmony Grove so that they would no longer have to follow Harmony Grove's plan. I cannot believe this is even being put forth as an option. I do not live in Harmony Grove. I live in North Park and North Park has just spent considerable time on our own community plan. If these developers are allowed to do what they are proposing, who's to say other developers couldn't do this in North Park, or Poway, or any other community? To allow the carving up of communities at the whims of the developers would be irresponsible at best and downright criminal at worst. It would take away the voices and the rights of the people who actually live in the community and would tear at the very fabric of those communities. Please do not allow these developers to have this amount of power and control.

Sincerely, Nicole Jenkins 3540 Alabama St. Unit 1 San Diego, CA 92104

R-AB-1

R-AB-1 The comment is in reference to the modification of Neighborhood 5 boundaries within the overarching San Dieguito CPA; please see Topical Response: General Plan Amendment and Subarea Boundary Line Adjustment CEQA Analysis and Response R-D-3. Please also see Response R-F-34 regarding the routine nature of boundary changes stemming from the planning jurisdiction.

1